

145. A New Algebraical Property of Certain von Neumann Algebras

By Hisashi CHODA and Marie ECHIGO

Department of Mathematics, Osaka Gakugei Daigaku

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1963)

1. It has been a subject since F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann [5] that there are two non-hyperfinite, non-isomorphic, continuous finite factors. Recently, J. Schwartz [7] has affirmatively solved the subject by introducing the Property P (Definition 1 below). However, the property P is spatial, and a question still remains to find that a purely algebraical property can serve his need.

In the present note, we shall introduce a purely algebraical property, the property Q (Definition 2), and show that the property Q is sufficient to serve Schwartz' need. Actually, we shall show that the property Q implies the property P in Theorem 1, and that the properties P and Q are equivalent for a group operator algebra in Theorem 2. Besides, we shall show directly that the hyperfinite continuous factor satisfies the property Q in Theorem 5. Furthermore, we shall show that the tensor product of two von Neumann algebras having the property Q satisfies also the property Q in Theorem 6.

2. Let G be a (discrete) group. Let $L^\infty(G)$ be the algebra of all bounded complex-valued functions defined on G . A functional $\int x(g)dg$ on $L^\infty(G)$ will be called a *Banach mean*, cf. [3], when it has the following properties: For $x, y \in L^\infty(G)$ and $g, h \in G$,

$$1^\circ \quad \int [\alpha x(g) + \beta y(g)]dg = \alpha \int x(g)dg + \beta \int y(g)dg,$$

$$2^\circ \quad \int x(g)dg \geq 0 \text{ if } x(g) \geq 0 \text{ for all } g \in G,$$

$$3^\circ \quad \int x(gh)dg = \int x(g)dg,$$

$$4^\circ \quad \int 1 dg = 1,$$

where α and β are complex numbers. According to Day [3], if G has a Banach mean, G will be called an *amenable group*. If $\{T_g | g \in G\}$ is a uniformly bounded family of operators on a Hilbert space, then there exists a finite constant K with $|(T_g x | y)| \leq K \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$. Hence $[x | y] = \int (T_g x | y) dg$ is a bounded conjugate bilinear form on the Hilbert space. Consequently, there exists a unique bounded operator T such that $[x | y] = (Tx | y)$. We shall call T the *operator Banach mean* on G and write it by $T = \int T_g dg$. A similar construction is

already employed by [1] and [6].

It is plain by the definition that the operator Banach mean satisfies the following properties:

- a) $\int [\alpha T_g + \beta S_g] dg = \alpha \int T_g dg + \beta \int S_g dg,$
- b) $\int T_g dg \geq 0,$ if $T_g \geq 0$ for all $g,$
- c) $\int T_g^* dg = \left[\int T_g dg \right]^*,$
- d) $\int T_{g^n} dg = \int T_g dg,$
- e) $\int I dg = I,$
- f) $\int S T_g dg = S \int T_g dg,$
- g) $\int T_g S dg = \left[\int T_g dg \right] S,$

where S is a bounded operator on the Hilbert space. Another, two properties of the operator Banach mean are the following:

- h) Let \mathcal{K} be a weakly closed convex set of operators, and suppose $T_g \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $g \in G,$ then $\int T_g dg \in \mathcal{K}.$
- i) Let T be an operator, and suppose T commutes with T_g for all $g \in G.$ Then $\int T_g dg$ commutes with $T.$

We shall prove h) and i) as follows: Let $T = \int T_g dg$ and suppose in order to proceed by contradiction that $T \notin \mathcal{K}.$ By the well-known separation theorem, there exists a real linear functional φ of operators, continuous in the weak operator topology, and a real constant $c,$ such that $\varphi(T) > c$ and $\varphi(S) \leq c$ for all $S \in \mathcal{K}.$ Since φ is weakly continuous, there exists a set $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ of vectors such that $\varphi(S) = \operatorname{Re} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (S x_i | y_i) \right]$ for each bounded operator $S.$ But then it is clear using the definition and the properties of the operator Banach mean that

$$\varphi(T) = \operatorname{Re} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int T_g dg x_i | y_i \right) \right] = \int \operatorname{Re} \sum_{i=1}^n (T_g x_i | y_i) dg = \int \varphi(T_g) dg \leq c,$$

which contradiction proves h). By f), g) and the assumption that T_g commutes with T for each $g \in G,$

$$\left[\int T_g dg \right] T = \int T_g T dg = \int T T_g dg = T \left[\int T_g dg \right],$$

and so $\int T_g dg$ commutes with $T,$ which proves i).

3. Using these properties of the operator Banach mean, we shall investigate the relation between the properties P and Q defined below.

DEFINITION 1. A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} has the *property P* if for each linear operator T in the Hilbert space the weakly closed convex hull \mathcal{K}_T of the set $\{UTU^* \mid U \in \mathcal{A}^u\}$, where \mathcal{A}^u is the group of all unitary operators of \mathcal{A} , has a non-void intersection with \mathcal{A}' .

Clearly the property P depends on the underlying Hilbert space on which \mathcal{A} acts, that is, the property P is spatial.

DEFINITION 2. A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} has the *property Q* if there exists an amenable subgroup \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{A}^u , which generates \mathcal{A} . In this case, \mathcal{G} will be called an *amenable generator* of \mathcal{A} .

THEOREM 1. *If a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} has the property Q, then \mathcal{A} has the property P.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be an amenable generator of \mathcal{A} . For any bounded operator T in the Hilbert space on which \mathcal{A} acts, put $\Phi(T) = \int UTU^* dU$ using the operator Banach mean of \mathcal{G} . Then $UTU^* \in \mathcal{K}_T$, whence it is clear that $\Phi(T) \in \mathcal{K}_T$ by h). By f), g), and d), $U\Phi(T)U^* = \Phi(T)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{G}$, thus $\Phi(T)$ commutes with \mathcal{G} . On the other hand, \mathcal{G} generates \mathcal{A} , whence $\Phi(T) \in \mathcal{A}'$. Therefore \mathcal{K}_T meets with \mathcal{A}' by a non-void set.

LEMMA 1. *Let a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} acting on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} have the property Q. Then there exists a mapping σ of the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathfrak{H} into \mathcal{A}' having the following properties:*

- (a) σ $\sigma(I) = I$,
- (b) σ $\sigma(A'T) = A'\sigma(T)$, for $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$,
- (c) σ $\sigma(TA') = \sigma(T)A'$, for $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$,
- (d) σ $\sigma(\alpha T + \beta S) = \alpha\sigma(T) + \beta\sigma(S)$,
- (e) σ $\sigma(T^*) = \sigma(T)^*$,
- (f) σ $\sigma(T) \in \mathcal{K}_T$,
- (g) σ $\sigma(T) \in \mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be the amenable generator of \mathcal{A} . For any operator T , put $\sigma(T) = \int UTU^* dU$, then it is clear that σ satisfies (a) σ)-(g) σ) by the properties of the operator Banach mean.

LEMMA 2. *In the above Lemma 1, suppose furthermore that \mathcal{A}' is finite. Then there exists a linear functional τ defined on all bounded operators having the following properties:*

- (a) τ $\tau(I) = 1$,
- (b) τ $\tau(A'T) = \tau(TA')$, for $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$,
- (c) τ $\tau(T) \geq 0$ if $T \geq 0$,
- (d) τ $\tau(T^*) = \tau(T)^*$.

Proof. Let φ be a normalized trace on \mathcal{A}' , cf. [2]. Put $\tau(T) = \varphi[\sigma(T)]$, where $\sigma(T)$ is as in Lemma 1. Then τ has the properties (a) τ)-(d) τ) by Lemma 1 and the property of the trace.

Lemmas 1 and 2 correspond to [7; Lemma 5 and Cor. 6], and implied by the results of Schwartz. However, our proofs are somewhat direct, and may be observed with some interests.

4. Let G be a countable discrete group, and μ be the invariant measure on G which assigns to each point the measure 1. Let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by the unitary group of all left translations: $V_h f(g) = f(h^{-1}g)$ for $f \in L^2(G)$. Similarly let $\mathcal{A}'(G)$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by all right translations: $U_h f(g) = f(gh)$. By [5; Lemma 5.3.4] $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a factor if and only if each equivalent class $\{hgh^{-1} | h \in G\}$, $g \neq 1$, is infinite. Hereafter we shall restrict ourselves that G satisfies always this condition. Then by [5; Lemma 5.3.5] $\mathcal{A}(G)$ and $\mathcal{A}'(G) = \mathcal{A}(G)'$ are continuous and finite. $\mathcal{A}(G)$ will be called the *group operator algebra* of the group G .

THEOREM 2. *If the factor $\mathcal{A}(G)$ has the property P , then $\mathcal{A}(G)$ has the property Q .*

Theorems 1 and 2 show that the property P and the property Q are equivalent for group operator algebras. We shall omit the proof of Theorem 2 since it is contained in the second half of the following theorem by [7; Lemma 7].

THEOREM 3. *$\mathcal{A}(G)$ has the property Q if and only if G is amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ has the property Q . For $x \in L^\infty(G)$, let us define an operator T_x on $L^2(G)$ by $T_x f(g) = x(g)f(g)$ for $f \in L^2(G)$. If we put $\int x(g)dg = \tau(T_x)$, where τ is a linear functional of Lemma 2, then it satisfies 1°, 2°, and 4° by Lemma 2. Since

$$\int x(gh)dg = \tau(T_{x(gh)}) = \tau(U_h T_x U_h^*) = \tau(T_x) = \int x(g)dg,$$

3° is also satisfied, whence G is amenable.

Conversely, suppose that G is amenable. Then $\mathcal{G} = \{V_g | g \in G\}$ is amenable and clearly generates $\mathcal{A}(G)$, whence $\mathcal{A}(G)$ has the property Q .

Theorems 1 and 3 are sufficient to reproduce the theorem of Schwartz [7] combining with the known theorems on amenable groups. If Π is the group of all those permutations of countably many objects which leave all but a finite set unchanged, then Π is amenable by [3; p. 516, (F)], whence $\mathcal{A}(\Pi)$ has the property Q by Theorem 3. If Φ is the free group on two generators, then Φ is not amenable by [3; p. 516, (G)], whence $\mathcal{A}(\Phi)$ has not the property Q . On the other hand, by [5; Lemma 6.2.2], $\mathcal{A}(\Phi)$ has not Property Γ of [5; Def. 6.1.1] and $\mathcal{A}(\Pi \times \Phi) = \mathcal{A}(\Pi) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Phi)$ satisfies Property Γ by a theorem of Misonou [4] and [5; Lemma 6.1.2], whence $\mathcal{A}(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\Pi \times \Phi)$ are not isomorphic. Since $\mathcal{A}(\Pi \times \Phi)$ is not hyperfinite $\mathcal{A}(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\Pi \times \Phi)$ is a pair of non-isomorphic, non-hyperfinite,

continuous finite factors as shown in [7].

5. In the remainder, we shall give a few algebraic facts on the property Q .

THEOREM 4. *The property Q is purely algebraical.*

This is clear by the fact that a group isomorphic to an amenable group is amenable.

LEMMA 3. *Let \mathcal{A}_n have the property Q and \mathcal{G}_n be an amenable generator of \mathcal{A}_n for each n . Let \mathcal{A}_n are monotonically increasing and generate a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , and let $\mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_m$ for $n < m$. Then \mathcal{A} has the property Q .*

Proof. Put $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$. Then \mathcal{G} is an amenable generator of \mathcal{A} by [3; p. 516, (F)] and [1; Theorem 2].

THEOREM 5. *The hyperfinite factor has the property Q .*

This is a consequence of Theorem 3 and the fact that Π is amenable since $A(\Pi)$ is hyperfinite. However, we shall give here a direct proof basing on the idea of Schwartz [7; Lemma 2]. By Lemma 3, it is sufficient to show that a discrete finite factor \mathcal{B} has the property Q . This is obvious since \mathcal{B} has a finite amenable generator.

THEOREM 6. *If von Neumann algebras \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 have the property Q , then the tensor product $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ has the property Q too.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are amenable generators of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 respectively. If $\mathcal{G} = \{U_1 \otimes U_2 \mid U_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{G}_2\}$, then \mathcal{G} generates \mathcal{A} by [2; Chap. I, §2, Prop. 6]. Since \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$, \mathcal{G} is amenable by [3; p. 517, (F'')]. Therefore \mathcal{G} is an amenable generator of \mathcal{A} .

References

- [1] J. Dixmier: Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groupes et leurs applications, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, **12**, 213-227 (1950).
- [2] —: Les Algèbres d'Opérateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1957).
- [3] M. M. Day: Amenable semigroups, Illinois J. Math., **1**, 509-544 (1957).
- [4] Y. Misonou: On divisors of factors, Tohoku Math. J., **8**, 63-69 (1956).
- [5] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann: On rings of operators, IV, Ann. of Math., **44**, 716-808 (1943).
- [6] M. Nakamura and Z. Takeda: Group representation and Banach limit, Tohoku Math. J., **3**, 132-135 (1951).
- [7] J. Schwartz: Two finite, non-hyperfinite, non isomorphic factors, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **16**, 19-26 (1963).