

**167. Another Proof of a Theorem Concerning  
the Greatest Semilattice-Decomposition  
of a Semigroup**

By Takayuki TAMURA

University of California, Davis, Calif. U. S. A.  
(Comm. by Zyoiti SUEYAMA, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1964)

1. **Introduction.** For any semigroup  $S$ , consider any congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that  $S/\rho$  is a semilattice, i.e., a commutative idempotent semigroup. Such a  $\rho$  is called a semilattice-congruence or simply  $s$ -congruence. As is well known, there is the smallest  $s$ -congruence  $\rho_0$  on  $S$  in the sense of inclusion [1-7]. Let  $L=S/\rho_0$  and let  $S_\alpha, \alpha \in L$ , be a congruence class modulo  $\rho_0$ :

$$S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in L} S_\alpha, \quad S_\alpha \cap S_\beta = \emptyset, \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

If the cardinal number  $|L|$  of  $L$  is exactly 1, that is,  $\rho_0$  is the universal relation on  $S$ , then  $S$  is called  $s$ -indecomposable; if  $|L| > 1$ , then  $S$  is  $s$ -decomposable. The partition of  $S$  due to  $\rho_0$  is called the greatest  $s$ -decomposition of  $S$ , and  $S/\rho_0$  is called the greatest  $s$ -homomorphic image of  $S$ .

**Theorem.** *In the greatest  $s$ -decomposition of a semigroup  $S$ , each congruence class  $S_\alpha$  is  $s$ -indecomposable.*

This theorem was proved by the author [4] and recently stated by Petrich in [2] without proof. The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of this theorem from somewhat different point of view. Proposition 1 below can be proved by using the above theorem, but here we are going to prove Proposition 1 directly and then to prove the above theorem by using it.

2. **Preliminaries.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be elements of a semigroup  $S$ . If an element  $a$  of  $S$  is the product of all of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  admitting repeated use, then  $a$  is said to be fully generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . The set  $G$  of all the elements of  $S$  which are fully generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a non-empty subsemigroup of  $S$ .  $G$  is called the subsemigroup of  $S$  fully generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be the free semigroup generated by  $n$  distinct letters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in the usual sense, and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  fully generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is composed of all words any one of which contains all of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

Let  $\rho$  be any  $s$ -congruence on  $\mathcal{F}$ . We denote by  $\varphi$  the natural mapping of  $\mathcal{F}$  upon  $\mathcal{F}/\rho$ , that is, for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{F}/\rho$  is the congruence class modulo  $\rho$  containing  $A$ . For convenience of the

proof, we define the partial ordering  $\leq$  in the semilattice  $\mathcal{F}/\rho$  in the usual way:

$$A\varphi \geq B\varphi \text{ iff } (B\varphi)(C\varphi) = A\varphi \text{ for some } C \in \mathcal{F}$$

then  $A\rho B$  iff  $A\varphi = B\varphi$ .

The letters  $x_i$  in Lemmas 1 and 3 below denote some of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ; and  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  may happen to be the same.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $x_1 \cdots x_i \cdots x_m$  be a word in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then*

$$x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_m \rho x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_m x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $X = x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_m$ ,  $Y = x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_m x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}$ , and let  $Z = x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}$ ,  $U = x_i \cdots x_m$ . Then  $ZUZ$ ,  $UZU \in \mathcal{F}$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} X\rho X^3 &= (ZUZ)(UZU)\rho(UZU)(ZUZ) \text{ by commutativity} \\ &= Y^3\rho Y. \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $x$  be any one of the letters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . We define words  $Ax$  and  $xA$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows:

If  $A = x_1 \cdots x_m$ , then  $Ax = x_1 \cdots x_m x$ ,  $xA = x x_1 \cdots x_m$ . More generally, we can define words  $AZ$  and  $ZA$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $Z = z_1 \cdots z_k$  where  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  are some of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

$$AZ = x_1 \cdots x_m z_1 \cdots z_k, \quad ZA = z_1 \cdots z_k x_1 \cdots x_m.$$

Clearly  $A(ZU) = (AZ)U$ ,  $(ZU)A = Z(UA)$ ,  $Z, U \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . According to Lemma 1, we see  $Ax\rho xA$  and  $AZ\rho ZA$ , for  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_0$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $x$  be any one of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Then  $A\rho B$  implies  $Ax\rho Bx$  and  $xA\rho xB$ .*

**Proof.** With using  $A\varphi \geq B\varphi$  and its compatibility, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax)\varphi &= ((Ax)\varphi)^2 = (AxAx)\varphi = (A\varphi)((xAx)\varphi) \geq (B\varphi)((xAx)\varphi) \\ &= (BxAx)\varphi = (Bx)\varphi((Ax)\varphi) \geq (Bx)\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have  $(Bx)\varphi \geq (Ax)\varphi$ . The dual case is obtained immediately by the above remark.

**Lemma 3.** *Again let  $x$  be any one of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $Ax\rho A$  and  $xA\rho A$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $A\varphi = A^3\varphi$ , by Lemma 2,

$$(Ax)\varphi = (AAx)\varphi = (A\varphi)((Ax)\varphi) \geq A\varphi.$$

By Lemma 1, we can find  $B \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $A\varphi = (xB)\varphi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A\varphi &= A^3\varphi = (A\varphi)(A\varphi)(A\varphi) = (A\varphi)((xB)\varphi)(A\varphi) \\ &= ((Ax)\varphi)((BA)\varphi) \geq (Ax)\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $(Ax)\varphi = A\varphi$ , or  $Ax\rho A$ .

### 3. Propositions and Theorems.

**Proposition 1.**  *$\mathcal{F}$  is  $s$ -indecomposable.*

**Proof.** Recalling that  $\rho$  is any  $s$ -congruence on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . According to Lemma 3,

$$Ax\rho A \text{ if } x \text{ is any one of } a_1, \dots, a_n.$$

Immediately we have

$$AB\rho A \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and hence } A\rho AB\rho BA\rho B.$$

Thus the proposition has been proved.

As the application of Proposition 1, we have

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $S$  be any semigroup,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be elements of  $S$ , and  $G$  be the subsemigroup of  $S$  fully generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Then  $G$  is  $s$ -indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Let  $G'$  be an  $s$ -homomorphic image of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the semigroup fully generated by the letters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , which is discussed in the preceding section. Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is homomorphic onto  $G$ ,  $G'$  is a homomorphic image of the greatest  $s$ -homomorphic image of  $\mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $s$ -indecomposable by Proposition 1 and hence  $|G'|=1$ . Thus  $G$  is  $s$ -indecomposable.

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $\rho_0$  be the smallest  $s$ -congruence on  $S$  so that  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in S/\rho_0} S_\alpha$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the smallest  $s$ -congruence on  $S_\alpha$ . We are to prove that  $\sigma$  is universal on  $S_\alpha$ . Suppose  $a \rho_0 b$  and  $a, b \in S_\alpha$ . Then there are a finite number of elements

$$a = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-1}, b_m = b$$

such that  $b_i$  and  $b_{i+1}$  are related in such a fashion that one of the following cases (1), (2), and (3) happens [5, 6, 7].

- Case I  $\begin{cases} b_i = zxyu \\ b_{i+1} = zyxu \end{cases}$  for some  $z, u \in S^1; x, y \in S$
- Case II  $\begin{cases} b_i = zx^2u \\ b_{i+1} = zxu \end{cases}$  for some  $z, u \in S^1; x \in S$
- Case III  $\begin{cases} b_i = zxu \\ b_{i+1} = zx^2u \end{cases}$  for some  $z, u \in S^1; x \in S$

where  $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$ , 1 being the adjoined two-sided identity and where  $x, y, z, u$  depend on  $i$ . Now  $G_i$  is defined as follows:  $G_i$  is the subsemigroup of  $S$  fully generated by

$$\begin{array}{ll} x, y, z, u & \text{in the Case I} \\ x, u, z & \text{in the Case II and III} \end{array}$$

where if  $z$  or  $u$  is 1, it is omitted. By the definition of  $\rho_0$ , any two elements of  $G_i$  are  $\rho_0$ -related, that is,

$$G_i \subseteq S_\alpha \text{ if } b_i, b_{i+1} \in S_\alpha.$$

Obviously the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $G_i$  is an  $s$ -congruence on  $G_i$ . In the consequence of Proposition 2,  $\sigma$  becomes universal on  $G_i$ . Therefore  $b_i \sigma b_{i+1}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m-1$ ) in  $S_\alpha$ . By transitivity we get  $a \sigma b$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**4. Remark.** We can prove Proposition 1 in another way: With using Lemmas 1 and 2, we prove

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A = x_1 \dots x_i \dots x_m \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then*  
 $Ax_i \rho x_1 \dots x_i^2 \dots x_m \rho x_i A.$

Let  $W$  be a word in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The sum of all the exponents of a letter  $x$  in  $W$  is called the total exponent of  $x$  in  $W$ . For example, if  $W = x^2 y z^2 x z^2$ , the total exponent of  $x$  in  $W$  is 3. Fixing the order

of the letters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , the total exponent of  $a_i$  in  $W$  is denoted by  $t_i$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , all  $t_i$  are positive integers. We define  $\tau(A)$  as follows:

$$\tau(A) = (t_1, \dots, t_n), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Also if  $\tau(B) = (t'_1, \dots, t'_n)$ , then  $\tau(A) = \tau(B)$  iff  $t_i = t'_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , some  $t_i$  may happen to be 0.

From Lemma 4, immediately

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ;  $Z, U \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . If  $\tau(Z) = \tau(U)$ , then  $AZ \rho AU$  and  $ZA \rho UA$ .*

Now, define a congruence  $\xi$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows:

$$A \xi B \text{ iff } \tau(A) = \tau(B).$$

$\tau(\mathcal{F})$  is the direct sum of the semigroups  $I$  of all positive integers with usual vector addition:

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}) = \underbrace{I \oplus I \oplus \dots \oplus I}_n.$$

Clearly  $\tau$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  onto  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$  is a commutative archimedean semigroup and hence idempotent-indecomposable. Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \xi B$ . Then by Lemma 5,

$$A \rho AA \rho AB \rho BA \rho BB \rho B.$$

Thus we have proved  $\xi \subseteq \rho$ , from which we can conclude that  $\rho$  is universal on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Also we add that the subsemigroup  $G$  of  $S$  fully generated by a finite number of elements of  $S$  is closely related to the concept of  $P$ -subsemigroup due to Yamada [6, 7], and to that of  $N$ -classes due to Petrich [2].

## References

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston: The algebraic theory of semigroups, vol. 1. Math. Surveys, no. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (1961).
- [2] M. Petrich: The maximal semilattice decomposition of a semigroup. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **69**, 342-344 (1963).
- [3] T. Tamura and N. Kimura: Existence of greatest decomposition of a semigroup. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **7**, 83-84 (1955).
- [4] T. Tamura: The theory of construction of finite semigroups I. Osaka Math. Jour., **8**, 243-261 (1956).
- [5] —: Operations on binary relations and their applications. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **70**, 113-120 (1964).
- [6] M. Yamada: On the greatest semilattice decomposition of a semigroup. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **7**, 59-62 (1955).
- [7] —: The structure of separative bands. Dissertation (1962).