26. The Relation between (N, p_n) and (\overline{N}, p_n) Summability

By Kazuo Ishiguro

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1965)

We suppose, throughout this note, that

$$p_n > 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = \infty,$$

 $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots.$

The Nörlund transformation (N, p_n) is defined as transforming the sequence $\{s_n\}$ into the sequence $\{t_n\}$ by means of the equation

(1)
$$t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_{\nu}.$$

As is well known, this transformation is regular if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{p_n}{P_n}=0.$$

See Hardy [1], p. 64.

The discontinuous Riesz transformation (\overline{N}, p_n) is defined as transforming the sequence $\{s_n\}$ into the sequence $\{u_n\}$ by means of the equation

$$(3) u_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{\nu} s_{\nu} .$$

This transformation is regular (see Hardy [1], p. 57).

As is easily seen, the transformations (N, p_n) and (\overline{N}, p_n) take symmetric forms, hence we can expect the close relation between them. We shall prove here the following

Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$\{p_n\} is non-increasing,$$

and that

$$(5) p_n \geq \sigma > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

Then (\overline{N}, p_n) implies^{*)} (N, p_n) .

Proof. From (3) we have

$$s_n = \frac{P_n u_n - P_{n-1} u_{n-1}}{p_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

with $P_{-1} = u_{-1} = 0$. Hence, from (1),

^{*)} Given two summability methods A, B, we say that A implies B if any sequence summable A is summable B to the same sum.

No. 2] Relation between (N, p_n) and (\overline{N}, p_n) Summability

$$(6) t_{n} = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \left\{ \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{p_{\nu}} P_{\nu} u_{\nu} - \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{p_{\nu}} P_{\nu-1} u_{\nu-1} \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{p_{\nu}} - \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{p_{\nu+1}} \right\} P_{\nu} u_{\nu} + \frac{p_{0}}{p_{n}} u_{n} \\ = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{n\nu} u_{\nu},$$

where

(7)
$$a_{n\nu} = \frac{P_{\nu}}{P_{n}} \left\{ \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{p_{\nu}} - \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{p_{\nu+1}} \right\}$$
 for $\nu = 0, 1, \dots n$,

with $p_{-1}=0$.

Now if $s_{\nu}=1$ for all ν , then $t_n=1$, $u_n=1$ for all n. Hence $\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{n\nu}=1$ for all n. Also, since $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{p_n\}$ is bounded, it is clear that $a_{n\nu} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed ν . Hence a necessary and sufficient condition for the transformation (6) to be regular is that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |a_{n\nu}| = O(1).$$

Since, from (4),

$$\frac{p_n}{p_0} \leq \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_1} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{p_1}{p_{n-1}} \leq \frac{p_0}{p_n},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |a_{n\nu}| &= -\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n\nu} + \frac{p_0}{p_n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} P_{\nu} \Big\{ \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{p_{\nu}} - \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{p_{\nu+1}} \Big\} + \frac{p_0}{p_n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{P_n} \Big\{ P_0 \frac{p_n}{p_0} - P_{n-1} \frac{p_0}{p_n} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{p_{\nu}} (P_{\nu} - P_{\nu-1}) \Big\} + \frac{p_0}{p_n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{P_n} (p_n + p_{n-1} + \dots + p_1) + \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} \frac{p_0}{p_n} + \frac{p_0}{p_n} \\ &\leq \frac{2p_0}{\sigma} \end{split}$$

from (7) and (5). This proves our assertion. From the proof of our theorem, we obtain the following Corollary. If

 $\inf_{n} p_{n} = 0,$ then (\overline{N}, p_{n}) does not imply $(N, p_{n}).$ Next we shall prove the following Theorem 2. Suppose that (8) $\{p_{n}\}$ is non-decreasing, and that K. ISHIGURO

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{p_n}{P_n}=0.$$

Then (\overline{N}, p_n) implies (N, p_n) .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we get $\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{n\nu} = 1$ for all n. Next we see easily, from (2), that $a_{n\nu} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed ν . Finally we have, from (8),

$$rac{p_n}{p_0}\!\!\geq\!\!rac{p_{n-1}}{p_1}\!\!\geq\!\cdots\!\geq\!\!rac{p_1}{p_{n-1}}\!\!\geq\!\!rac{p_0}{p_n}$$
 ,

hence

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |a_{n\nu}| = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{n\nu}$$

= $\frac{1}{P_n} (p_n + p_{n-1} + \dots + p_1) - \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} \frac{p_0}{p_n} + \frac{p_0}{p_n}$
< 2.

Collecting the above estimations we obtain the desired conclusion.

Reference

[1] G. H. Hardy: Divergent Series. Oxford (1949).

[Vol. 41,