No. 67

99. A Generalized Derivative and Integrals of the Perron Type

By Yôto KUBOTA

Department of Mathematics, Ibaraki University (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., June 12, 1965)

1. Introduction. Many kinds of integration of the Perron type have been given by various authors using various types of generalized derivatives.

The aim of this paper is to introduce axiomatically a generalized derivative which includes ordinary derivative, approximate derivative and Cesàro derivative, and to build an integral of the Perron type including ordinary Perron integral, AP- and CP-integral defined by J. C. Burkill [1], [2] and more generally G. Sunouchi and M. Utagawa's generalized Perron integral [4], [3].

- 2. A generalized derivative. Definition 2.1. Let M be a linear space of measurable functions defined on closed interval [a, b]. If we can assign uniquely the extented real value $\underline{GD} f(x)$ to any function $f(x) \in M$ and any point $x \in [a, b]$ such that
 - (i) GD1=0,
 - (ii) $GD \lceil f(x) + g(x) \rceil \ge GD f(x) + GD g(x)$,
 - (iii) if f(x) is ordinary differentiable at x then GD [f(x)+g(x)] = Df(x)+GD g(x),
 - (iv) $GD f(x) \ge D f(x)$

where $\underline{D} f(x)$ means ordinary lower derivate of f at x.

- (v) $\underline{GD} [\alpha f(x)] = \alpha GD f(x)$ $(\alpha > 0)$,
- then GDf(x) is termed generalized lower derivate of f(x) at x.

Throughout this paper we more assume the following property.

(vi) If $f \in M$ and $\underline{GD} f(x) \ge 0$ at each point x of [a, b] then f(x) is non-decreasing.

Definition 2.2. If we define $\overline{GD}f(x)$ by $\overline{GD}f(x) = -\underline{GD}[-f(x)]$ then $\overline{GD}f(x)$ is called generalized upper derivate of f(x) at x. If $\underline{GD}f(x) = \overline{GD}f(x)$ then we say that f(x) has generalized derivative at x and the common value is written by GDf(x).

Ordinary-, approximate- and Cesàro-lower derivate satisfy the conditions (i)-(vi). The proofs of (vi) for approximate- and Cesàro-derivate were given by G. Sunouchi and M. Utagawa [4].

We can easily prove the following properties.

- (1) $\overline{GD}1=0$.
- (2) $\overline{GD} \lceil f(x) + g(x) \rceil \leq \overline{GD} f(x) + \overline{GD} g(x)$.

(3) If f(x) is ordinary differentiable at x then

$$\overline{GD}$$
 $[f(x)+g(x)] = Df(x) + \overline{GD}g(x)$.

(4) $\overline{GD} f(x) \leq \overline{D} f(x)$

where $\bar{D}f(x)$ means ordinary upper derivate of f(x).

- (5) $\overline{GD} [\alpha f(x)] = \alpha \underline{GD} f(x)$ and $\underline{GD} [\alpha f(x)] = \alpha \overline{GD} f(x)$ for $\alpha < 0$. $\overline{GD} [\alpha f(x)] = \alpha \overline{GD} f(x)$ for $\alpha > 0$.
- (6) If C is constant then GD C=0.
- (7) $GD f(x) \leq \overline{GD} f(x)$.
- 3. Generalized Perron integral. Definition 3.1. A function $U(x) \in M$ is termed upper function of f(x) in [a, b] if
 - (i) U(a) = 0,
 - (ii) $GDU(x) > -\infty$ at each point x,
 - (iii) $GD U(x) \ge f(x)$ at each point x.

A lower function L(x) is defined correspondingly.

Definition 3.2. If f(x) has upper and lower functions in [a,b] and $\inf_{U} U(b) = \sup_{L} L(b)$ finite then f(x) is termed Perron integrable in the generalized sense. The common value of the two bounds is called the definite GP-integral of f(x) and is denoted by $(GP)^{b} f(t) dt$.

Theorem 3.1. For any upper and lower functions U(x), L(x) of f(x) in [a, b], $\omega(x) = U(x) - L(x)$ is non-decreasing on [a, b].

Proof. By (ii) of Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2,

$$\underline{GD} \, \omega(x) \ge \underline{GD} \, U(x) + \underline{GD} \, [-L(x)] \\
= \underline{GD} \, U(x) - \overline{GD} \, L(x).$$

It follows from (ii) and (iii) in Definition 3.1 that $\underline{GD}\omega(x) \ge 0$ at each point x. Hence by axiom (vi), $\omega(x)$ is non-decreasing on [a, b].

Since $U(b) \ge L(b)$ by Theorem 3.1, it follows from Definition 3.2 that a necessary and sufficient condition that f(x) is GP-integrable over [a, b] is that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an upper function U(x) and a lower function L(x) such that $U(b) < L(b) + \varepsilon$.

Theorem 3.2. If f(x) is GP-integrable on [a, b] then it is also GP-integrable on every sub-interval [c, d].

Proof. Since f(x) is GP-integrable on [a,b], for a given $\varepsilon>0$ there exist upper and lower functions U(x), L(x) such that $0 \le U(b) - L(b) < \varepsilon$. If we define the functions U*(x) and L*(x) on [c,d] as U*(x) = U(x) - U(c), [L*(x) = L(x) - L(c)] then U*(c) = L*(c) = 0, $GD U*(x) = GD U(x) > -\infty$ and $GD U*(x) \ge f(x)[\overline{GD} L*(x) < +\infty$, $\overline{GD} L*(x) \le f(x)]$ by property (6) and axiom (iv) [by properties (6) and (3)]. Hence U*(x)[L*(x)] is an upper [lower] function of f(x) on [c,d] and $U*(d)-L*(d) \le U(d)-L(d) < \varepsilon$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. If f(x) is GP-integrable on [a, b] then for a < c < b

$$(GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt = (GP)\int_a^c f(t)dt + (GP)\int_c^b f(t)dt.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 f(x) is GP-integrable on [a, c] and [c, b] respectively. Let U(x) be any upper function of f(x) in [a, b]. Then U(x) is an upper function of f(x) on [a, c], and U(x) - U(c) is an upper function of f(x) on [c, d]. Since U(b) = U(c) + [U(b) - U(c)], we have

$$(GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt \ge (GP)\int_a^c f(t)dt + (GP)\int_c^b f(t)dt.$$

Similarly using lower functions we obtain

$$(GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt \leq (GP)\int_a^c f(t)dt + (GP)\int_c^b f(t)dt,$$

which proves the equality.

Theorem 3.4. If f and g are GP-integrable on [a, b] then $\alpha f + \beta g$ is also GP-integrable on [a, b] and

$$(GP)\int_a^b \left[\alpha f(t) + \beta g(t)\right]dt = \alpha (GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt + \beta (GP)\int_a^b g(t)dt.$$

Proof. Since f(x)[g(x)] is GP-integrable there exist $U_1(x)$ and $L_1(x)[U_2(x)]$ and $L_2(x)$ such that

$$0 \leq U_1(b) - L_1(b) < \varepsilon$$
 $[0 \leq U_2(b) - L_2(b) < \varepsilon]$.

(i) First we prove that αf is GP-integrable and

$$(GP)\int_a^b \alpha f(t)dt = \alpha (GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt.$$

For the case $\alpha=0$ it is clear. If $\alpha>0$ then we put

$$U(x) = \alpha U_{i}(x)$$
 $[L(x) = \alpha L_{i}(x)].$

It follws from axiom (v) and property (5) that

$$\underline{GD} U(x) \ge \alpha f(x)$$
 and $\underline{GD} U(x) > -\infty$

$$[\overline{GD} L(x) \leq \alpha f(x) \text{ and } \overline{GD} L(x) < + \infty].$$

Hence U[L] is an upper [lower] function of f in [a, b] and $0 \le U(b) - U(a) = \alpha(U_1(b) - L_1(b)) < \alpha \varepsilon$

which proves the integrability of αf . Since

$$L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(b) {\le} (GP) {\int_a^b} f(t) dt {\le} U_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(b)$$

and $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$L(b) \leq \alpha(GP) \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq U(b)$$
.

On the other hand

$$L(b) \leq (GP) \int_a^b \alpha f(t) dt \leq U(b),$$

and therefore

$$(GP)\int_a^b \alpha f(t)dt = \alpha (GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt$$
.

For the case $\alpha < 0$ we can prove the above equality similarly. (ii) Next we shall show that if f and g are GP-integrable then f+g is also so and

$$(GP)\int_a^b \left[f(t)+g(t)\right]dt = (GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt + (GP)\int_a^b g(t)dt.$$

Let $U(x) = U_1(x) + U_2(x)$ and $L(x) = L_1(x) + L_2(x)$. Then U(x) [L(x)] is an upper [lower] function of f+g by axiom (ii) and property (2). Moreover $U(b) - L(b) < 2\varepsilon$. Hence f+g is GP-integrable and

$$(GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt + (GP)\int_a^b g(t)dt = (GP)\int_a^b \lceil f(t) + g(t) \rceil dt.$$

The general case can be deduced from (i) and (ii).

Definition 3.3. Let f(x) be a function GP-integrable on [a, b]. We define the indefinite GP-integral of f(x) as

$$F(x) = (GP) \int_a^x f(t) dt$$
.

Theorem 3.5. If f(x) is GP-integrable on [a, b] then for any upper [lower] function U(x) [L(x)] the function U(x) - F(x) [F(x) - L(x)] is non-decreasing on [a, b].

Proof. Let $a \le x_1 < x_2 \le b$. Then $U*(x) = U(x) - U(x_1)$ is an upper function of f(x) in $[x_1, x_2]$. Hence

$$U(x_2) - U(x_1) \ge (GP) \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t) dt$$

and by Theorem 3.3,

$$U(x_2) - U(x_1) \ge F(x_2) - F(x_1)$$
.

Similarly we can prove the theorem for the function F(x)-L(x).

Theorem 3.6. The function F(x) is differentiable in the generalized sense at almost all points of [a, b] and

$$GD F(x) = f(x)$$
 a.e.

Proof. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an upper function U(x) such that $U(x) - F(x) < \varepsilon^2$. If we put R(x) = U(x) - F(x) then R(x) is non-decreasing, and therefore it has finite ordinary derivative R'(x) almost everywhere which is summable on [a, b]. Hence

$$(L)\int_{a}^{b}R'(x)dx \leq R(b)-R(a)=U(b)-F(b)<\varepsilon^{2}.$$

We set $A(\varepsilon) = \{x: \underline{GD} F(x) < f(x) - \varepsilon\}$, and denote by S the set of points where R'(x) exists and finite. Then we have |S| = b - a. If $x \in A(\varepsilon)$ then

$$GD F(x) < f(x) - \varepsilon \leq GD U(x) - \varepsilon$$
,

and therefore

(1)
$$GD U(x) - GD F(x) > \varepsilon$$
.

For any point $x \in S$, by axiom (iii),

$$(2) R'(x) = \underline{GD} U(x) - \underline{GD} F(x),$$

and if we put $B(\varepsilon) = \{x: R'(x) > \varepsilon\}$ then it follows from (1) and (2) that $x \in A(\varepsilon) \cdot S$ implies $x \in B(\varepsilon)$, i.e. $A(\varepsilon) \cdot S \subset B(\varepsilon)$. Hence

$$|A(\varepsilon)| \leq |B(\varepsilon)|.$$

But

$$\varepsilon \mid B(\varepsilon) \mid \leq (L) \int_{B(\varepsilon)}^{\varepsilon} R'(t) dt \leq (L) \int_{a}^{b} R'(t) dt < \varepsilon^{2}.$$

Hence $|B(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon$, and by (3), $|A(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon$. Since

$$\{x: \underline{GD} F(x) < f(x)\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x: \underline{GD} F(x) < f(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \right\},$$

we have

$$|\{x:\underline{GD}\,F(x){<}f(x)\}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}\right)\right| < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \varepsilon.$$

Consequently we obtain $\underline{GD} F(x) \ge f(x)$ a.e.

Using a lower function, it can be proved analogously that

$$\overline{GD} F(x) \leq f(x)$$
 a.e.

Theorem 3.7. If f(x) is ordinary Perron-integrable (*P*-integrable) on [a, b] then it is *GP*-integrable on [a, b] and

$$(P)\int_a^b f(t)dt = (GP)\int_a^b f(t)dt$$
.

Proof. The upper [lower] function M(x) [m(x)] of defining the ordinary Perron integral of f(x) over [a,b] has the following properties; M(a)=m(a)=0, $\underline{D}M(x)>-\infty$ and $\underline{D}M(x)\geq f(x)$ at each point of [a,b] [$\overline{D}m(x)<+\infty$, $\overline{D}m(x)\leq f(x)$ at each point]. Hence, by axiom (iv) and property (4), any M(x) [m(x)] is an upper [lower] function of f(x) in the GP-sense. From the inequalities

$$\inf U(b) \leq \inf M(b) = \sup m(b) \leq \sup L(b),$$

and $U(b) \ge L(b)$, we have $\inf U(b) = \sup L(b) = (P) \int_a^b f(t) dt$.

Theorem 3.8. A non-negative function f(x) which is GP-integrable on [a, b] is necessarily L-integrable on [a, b] and both integrals over [a, b] coincide each other.

Proof. Let U(x) be any upper function of f(x). Since $\underline{GD}\ U(x) \ge f(x) \ge 0$ it follows from axiom (vi) that U(x) is non-decreasing. Hence U(x) is ordinary differentiable at almost all points, and therefore U'(x) is summable. It follows from axiom (iv), properties (4) and (7) that $U'(x) = GD\ U(x)$ a.e. Hence $U'(x) \ge f(x) \ge 0$ a.e. which implies L-integrability of f(x) on [a,b]. The remain part of the theorem follows from Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.9. Given a non-decreasing sequence f_n of functions which are GP-integrable on [a, b] and whose GP-integral over [a, b] constitute a sequence bounded above, the function $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ is itself GP-integrable on [a, b] and we have

$$(GP) \int_a^b f(t)dt = \lim_{n \to \infty} (GP) \int_a^b f_n(t)dt.$$

Proof. Since $f_n - f_1$ is non-negative, it follows from Theorem

3.8 that $f_n - f_1$ is *L*-integrable. Hence, by Lebesgue's theorem, we have

(2)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (L) \int_a^b (f_n - f_1) dt = (L) \int_a^b (f - f_1) dt.$$

Since the sequence of integrals $(GP)\int_a^b f_n(t)dt$ is bounded above, the sequence of integrals

$$(L)\!\!\int_a^b\!\!(f_n\!-\!f_1)dt\!=\!(GP)\!\!\int_a^b\!\!(f_n\!-\!f_1)dt$$

is also so, and therefore

$$0\!\leq\!(L)\!\!\int_a^b\!(f\!-\!f_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})dt\!<\!\infty$$

which implies L-integrability of the function $f-f_1$. Hence $f-f_1$ is GP-integrable, and f is also so. The equality (1) follows directly from (2) and Theorem 3.4.

If we put the approximate derivates \underline{AD} and \overline{AD} in place of the generalized derivates \underline{GD} and \overline{GD} respectively in the Definition 3.1 and M is the set of all measurable functions defined on [a, b] then we have the approximately continuous Perron integral defined by G. Sunouchi and M. Utagawa [4] which is more general than Burkill's AP-integral [1]. Also if, in the Definition 3.1, M is the set of all special Denjoy integrable functions on [a, b] and the generalized derivates are replaced by the Cesàro derivates then Definition 3.2 defines Sunouchi and Utagawa's Cesàro-Perron integral [4] which is known to be equivalent to Burkill's Cesàro-Perron integral [3].

References

- [1] J. C. Burkill: The approximately continuous Perron integral. Math. Zeit., 34, 270-278 (1931).
- [2] —: The Cesàro-Perron integral. Proc. London Math. Soc., 34, 314-322 (1932).
- [3] Y. Kubota: On the definition of Cesàro-Perron integrals. Tôhoku Math. Jour., 11, 266-270 (1959).
- [4] G. Sunouchi and M. Utagawa: The generalized Perron integrals. Tôhoku Math. Jour., 1, 95-99 (1949).