

145. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. VII

By Yoshinari ARAI and Kiyoshi ISÉKI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1965)

In his paper [1], Y. Arai, one of the present authors, obtained deductions of several axiom systems for propositional calculus from the (L_3) -axioms:

- 1 $CpCqp$,
- 2 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr$,
- 3 $CCNpNqCqp$.

In this note, we shall show the other deductions from the (L_3) -axioms and give some remarks. For the deductions, we use rules of substitution and detachment as in our previous notes.

The first two axioms imply the following theses:

- 1 $p/CCpCqrCCpqCpr, q/Cqr$ *C2—4,
- 4 $CCqrCCpCqrCCpqCpr.$
 - 2 $p/Cqr, q/CpCqr, r/CCpqCpr, *C4—C1$ $p/Cqr,$
 $q/p—5,$
- 5 $CCqrCCpqCpr.$
 - 1 $p/CpCpq, q/CCpqCpr$ *C1 $p/q, q/p—6,$
- 6 $CCCpqCprCqCpq.$
 - 5 $p/q, q/Cpq, r/Cpr—7,$
- 7 $CCCpqCprCCqCpqCqCpr.$
 - 2 $p/CCpqCpr, q/CqCpq, r/CqCpr$ *C7—C6—8,
- 8 $CCCpqCprCqCpr.$
 - 5 $p/CpCqr, q/CCpqCpr, r/CqCpr$ *C8—C2—9,
- 9 $CCpCqrCqCpr.$
 - 9 $p/Cqr, q/Cpq, r/Cpr$ *C5—10,
- 10 $CCpqCCqrCpr.$
 - 2 $q/p, r/q—11,$
- 11 $CCpCpqCCppCpq.$
 - 2 $q/Cqp, r/p$ *C1 $q/Cqp—C1—12,$
- 12 $Cpp.$
 - 9 $p/CpCpq, q/Cpp, r/Cpq$ *C11—C12—13,
- 13 $CCpCpqCpq.$

In the deductions from axioms 1 and 2, theses 5, 9, 10, and 13 are fundamental and important. To obtain thesis 10, we shall show another deduction by Y. Arai.

- 2 $p/Cqr, q/Cpq, r/Cpr$ *C5—14,
- 14 $CCCqrCpqCCqrCpr.$

- 1 $p/CCCqrCpqCCqrCpr, q/Cpq$ *C14—15,
 15 $CCpqCCCqrCpqCCqrCpr.$
 2 $p/Cpq, q/CCqrCpq, r/CCqrCpr$ *C15—C1 $p/Cpq,$
 q/Cqr —10,
 10 $CCpqCCqrCpr.$
 Next we shall use axiom 3 and deduce some theses.
 1 $p/CCNqNpCpq, q/Np$ *C3 $p/q, q/p$ —16,
 16 $CNpCCNqNpCpq.$
 2 $p/Np, q/CNqNp, r/Cpq$ *C16—C1 $p/Np, q/Nq$ —17,
 17 $CNpCpq.$
 9 $p/Np, q/p, r/q$ *C17—18,
 18 $CpCNpq.$
 5 $p/NNp, q/CNpNNNp, r/CNNpp$ *C3 q/NNp —C17
 $p/Np, q/NNNp$ —19,
 19 $CNNpCNNpp.$
 13 $p/NNp, q/p$ *C19—20,
 20 $CNNpp.$
 3 $p/NNp, q/p$ *C20 p/Np —21,
 21 $CpNNp.$
 10 $p/NNp, q/p, r/q$ *20—22,
 22 $CCpqCNNpq.$
 5 $p/NNp, r/NNq$ *C21 p/q —23,
 23 $CCNNpqCNNpNNq.$
 10 $p/Cpq, q/CNNpq, r/CNNpNNq$ *C22—C23—24,
 24 $CCpqCNNpNNq.$
 10 $p/Cpq, q/CNNpNNq, r/CNqNp$ *C24—C3 $p/Np,$
 q/Nq —25,
 25 $CCpqCNqNp.$

Therefore we have Frege axioms:

- 1 $CpCqp,$
- 2 $CCpCqrCpqCpr,$
- 3 $CCpqCNqNp,$
- 4 $CNNpp,$
- 5 $CpNNp.$

A deduction from Frege axioms is given by S. Tanaka. The detail will be given in his later paper. Here we shall only give the proof of $(F) \Rightarrow (L_3)$. Then theses 1~14 also hold in the (F)-system. We shall freely use some of these results in the proof. In our proof, we need the followings:

- 6 $CCpqCCqrCpr.$
- 7 $CCqrCCpqCpr.$
 3 q/Nq —8,
- 8 $CCpNqCNNqNp.$

- 6 $p/q, q/NNq, r/Np$ *C5—9,
 9 $CCNNqNpCqNp$.
 6 $p/CpNq, q/CNNqNp, r/CqNp$ *C8—C9—10,
 10 $CCpNqCqNp$.
 7 $p/q, q/NNp, r/p$ *C4—11,
 11 $CCqNNpCqp$.
 6 $p/CNpNq, q/CqNNp, r/Cqp$ *C10 p/Nq —C11—12,
 12 $CCNpNqCqp$.

Reference

- [1] Y. Arai: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. III. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 570-574 (1965).

Corrections to Yasuyuki Imai and Kiyoshi Iséki: "On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. I" (Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 436-439 (1965)).

Page 438, line 36: For "10" read "6".

" , " : For "C2" read "C6".

" , line 38: For "C14" read "C3".

Page 439, line 17: For "C6" read "C15".