

### 56. A Duality Theorem for Locally Compact Groups. IV

By Nobuhiko TATSUUMA

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 12, 1966)

1. As a sequel of the previous articles [1]~[3], the present paper is devoted to prove the duality theorem which is same as shown in [3], for certain class of locally compact semi-direct product  $G$  of a separable closed abelian normal subgroup  $N$  and a closed subgroup  $K$  satisfying the assumptions 1~4. These class contains the motion group on  $R^n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional inhomogeneous Lorentz group, and the transformation group of straight line.

We call an operator field  $T=\{T(D)\}$  over the set  $\Omega_0$  of all equivalence classes (representative  $D=\{U_D^p, \mathfrak{E}^p\}$ ) of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  *admissible* when

(1)  $T(D)$  is a unitary operator in  $\mathfrak{E}^p$  for any  $D$  in  $\Omega_0$ .

(2) For any irreducible decomposition  $\int D^\lambda d\nu(\lambda)$  of  $D_1 \otimes D_2$  which is related by  $U$ ,

$$U(T(D_1) \otimes T(D_2))U^{-1} = \int T(D^\lambda) d\nu(\lambda).$$

The main proposition of this paper is as follows.

**Proposition.** *For any admissible operator field  $T$ , there exists unique element  $g$  in  $G$  such that*

$$T(D) = U_D^p \quad \text{for any } D \text{ in } \Omega_0.$$

2. [Assumption 1]  $G$  is a regular semi-direct product in the sense of Mackey [4].

Consider the dual group  $\hat{N}$  of abelian group  $N$ , then  $g$  in  $G$  gives a transformation  $g(\hat{n})$  on  $\hat{N}$  defined by

$$\langle g(\hat{n}), n \rangle = \langle \hat{n}, g^{-1}ng \rangle,$$

where brackets show ordinary dual relation between  $N$  and  $\hat{N}$ . We choose a representative  $\hat{n}$  in given  $G$ -orbit  $L$  in  $\hat{N}$ , and let the isotropy group of  $\hat{n}$  in  $G$  be  $G(\hat{n})$ , then  $G(\hat{n})$  is a semi-direct product of  $N$  and a subgroup  $K(\hat{n})$  in  $K$ .

For any irreducible unitary representation  $\tau = \{W_k^\tau, \mathfrak{E}^\tau\}$  of  $K(\hat{n})$  consider the representation  $D(\hat{n}, \tau)$  of  $G$  induced by the representation  $\{\langle \hat{n}, n \rangle W_k^\tau, \mathfrak{E}^\tau\}$  of  $G(\hat{n})$  ( $g = nk$ ).

From Mackey's results ([4] Th. 14.1 and 2),  $D(\hat{n}, \tau)$  is irreducible and determined by  $L$  and  $\tau$  besides unitary equivalence, and arbitrary irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  is given in this form.

By the definition,  $D(\hat{e}, \rho)(=D(\rho))$  is regarded as a representation  $\rho=\{V^\rho, \mathfrak{S}^\rho\}$  of factor group  $K\sim(G/N)$ . And elements of the space  $\mathfrak{S}(\hat{n}, \tau)$  of representation  $D(\hat{n}, \tau)$  ( $\hat{n}\neq\hat{e}$ ) are represented as  $\mathfrak{S}^\tau$ -valued functions on  $G$  satisfying

$$f(nkg)=\langle\hat{n}, n\rangle W_{\tilde{k}} f(g), \quad \text{for any } n\in N, k\in K.$$

[Assumption 2] *There exists an  $G$ -invariant open semi-group  $A$  in  $\hat{N}$ , such that*

- (i) *for any  $\hat{n}$  in  $A$ ,  $K(\hat{n})$  is a compact subgroup of  $K$ ,*
- (ii) *for any  $\hat{n}_1$  in  $\hat{N}$ , there exists a  $\hat{n}_2$  in  $A$  such that the set  $\{k:\hat{n}_1+k(\hat{n}_2)\in A\}$  has positive Haar measure in  $K$ .*

When  $\hat{n}_i$  is in  $A$ , the compactness of  $K(\hat{n}_i)$  allows us to apply the decomposition theorem given by Mackey [4].

$$D(\hat{n}_1, \tau_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes D(\hat{n}_i, \tau_i)\sim\int_s D(\hat{n}_1, \dots, \hat{n}_i; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_i; \tilde{k})d\nu(\tilde{k}),$$

where  $\tilde{k}=(k_1, \dots, k_i)$  runs over the representatives in the space  $S$  of  $(K(\hat{n}_1)\times\cdots\times K(\hat{n}_i), \tilde{K})$ -double cosets ( $\tilde{K}=\{(k, \dots, k)\in K\times\cdots\times K\}$ ), and  $\nu$  is a measure over  $S$  such that a double coset-wise set in  $K\times\cdots\times K$  is a null set with respect to the Haar measure  $\mu^l=\mu\times\cdots\times\mu$  if and only if its canonical image in  $S$  is a  $\nu$ -null set.  $D(\hat{n}_1, \dots, \hat{n}_i; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_i; \tilde{K})$  shows induced representation of  $G$  by the restriction of  $\langle\sum_j k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j), n\rangle(k_1^{-1}(\tau_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes k_i^{-1}(\tau_i))$ , to  $N(k_1^{-1}K(\hat{n}_1)k_1\cap\cdots\cap k_i^{-1}K(\hat{n}_i)k_i)$ . ( $k_j^{-1}(\tau_j)=\{W_{k_j k k_j^{-1}}^j, \mathfrak{S}^{\tau_j}\}$ : a representation of the group  $k_j^{-1}K(\hat{n}_j)k_j$ ).

The assumption 2 (ii) asserts the irreducible decomposition of  $D(\hat{n}_1, \tau_1)\otimes D(\hat{n}_2, \tau_2)$  ( $\hat{n}_2\in A$ ) has a component which is a direct integral of  $D(\hat{n}, \tau)$   $\hat{n}\in A$  with positive measure.

Moreover the corresponding vector in the space of representation on the right hand side to  $f_1\otimes\cdots\otimes f_i$  in  $\mathfrak{S}(\hat{n}_1, \tau_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathfrak{S}(\hat{n}_i, \tau_i)$  ( $\hat{n}_1, \dots, \hat{n}_i\neq\hat{e}$ ) by this decomposition is the function  $f_1(k_1g)\otimes\cdots\otimes f_i(k_ig)$  on  $G$ .

Evidently, 
$$D(\rho_1)\otimes D(\rho_2)\sim D(\rho_1\otimes\rho_2).$$

And  $D(\rho)\otimes D(\hat{n}, 1)\sim D(\hat{n}, \rho|_{K(\hat{n})})$ , where the right hand side shows the induced representation of  $G$  by  $\langle\hat{n}, n\rangle\rho|_{K(\hat{n})}(\rho|_{K(\hat{n})}$ : the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $K(\hat{n})$ ) of the subgroup  $NK(\hat{n})$ , and the corresponding vector to  $v\otimes f$  of  $\mathfrak{S}^\rho\otimes\mathfrak{S}(\hat{n}, 1)$  is the function  $f(g)(U_g^\rho v)$  on  $G$ . If  $\rho|_{K(\hat{n})}\sim\sum_j \tau_j$  ( $\tau_j$ : irreducible component with projection  $P_j$ ), then  $D(\rho)\otimes D(\hat{n}, 1)$  contains the component equivalent to  $D(\hat{n}, \tau_j)$  and the component of above vector is given by  $f(g)(P_j U_g^\rho v)$ . Moreover, in the case of  $\tau_j\sim 1$ , we can set a  $K(\hat{n})$ -invariant vector  $\varphi$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  as  $f(g)\langle U_g^\rho v, \varphi\rangle\varphi=f(g)P_j U_g^\rho v$  which corresponds to the function  $f(g)\langle U_g^\rho v, \varphi\rangle$  in the space  $\mathfrak{S}(\hat{n}, 1)$ .

Lastly we set up the following assumptions.

[Assumption 3] *The duality theorem of the same type is true in the case of  $K$ .*

[Assumption 4] *There exists a finite set  $\hat{N}=\{\hat{n}_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ) and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $e$  in  $K$  such that the map corresponding  $(k_1, \dots, k_l) \in V \times \dots \times V$  to  $\sum k_j(\hat{n}_j)$  is an open map.*

3. Now we are on the step to prove the main theorem. Let  $T=\{T(D)\}$  is a given admissible operator field. We can consider  $T$  as an admissible operator field on the dual space of  $K$  which is imbedded as a subset in  $\Omega_0$ , assumption 3 assures existence of  $k_0$  in  $K$  such that  $T(D(\rho))=U_{k_0}^{D(\rho)}$  for any  $\rho$ . Define an admissible operator field  $T_0=\{T_0(D)\}$  by  $T_0=TU_{k_0}^{-1}$ , then obviously  $T_0(D(\rho))=I_{D(\rho)}$  (identity operator in  $\mathfrak{S}^\rho$ ). And it is enough to show that  $T_0=U_n$  for some  $n \in N$ . On the component of  $D(\rho) \otimes D(\hat{n}, 1)$  which is equivalent to  $D(\hat{n}, \tau_j)$  the admissibility of  $T_0$  gives,

$$T_0(D(\hat{n}, \tau_j))(f(g)P_j U_g^\rho v) = T_0(D(\hat{n}, 1)f(g))P_j U_g^\rho v, \tag{1}$$

and for the case of  $\tau_j=1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(D(\hat{n}, 1))(f(g)\langle U_g^\rho v, \varphi \rangle) &= \langle U_g^\rho T_0(D(\rho))v, \varphi \rangle (T_0(D(\hat{n}, 1))f)(g) \\ &= \langle U_g^\rho v, \varphi \rangle (T_0(D(\hat{n}, 1))f)(g). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Because of  $\rho, v, f$  are arbitrary and from (2),  $T_0(D(\hat{n}, 1))$  must be an operation to multiply a measurable function  $c(\hat{n}, g)$  on  $G$  such that  $|c(\hat{n}, g)| = 1, c(\hat{n}, nkg) = c(\hat{n}, g)$  for  $n \in N, k \in K(\hat{n})$ . While (1) results  $T_0(D(\hat{n}, \tau))$  is the operator of same form as  $T_0(D(\hat{n}, 1))$  independently to  $\tau$ . From the equivalence of  $D(\hat{n}, 1)$  and  $D(g(\hat{n}), 1)$ , the function  $c(\hat{n}, g)$  coincides with  $c_0(g^{-1}(\hat{n}))$  for a function  $c_0$  on  $\hat{N}$  for almost all  $g$ .

For the determination of  $c_0$ , the decomposition of

$$D(\hat{n}_0, \tau_0) \otimes D(\hat{n}_1, \tau_1) \otimes \dots \otimes D(\hat{n}_l, \tau_l) \quad (\hat{n}_0, \hat{n}_1, \dots, \hat{n}_l \in A)$$

is available. Simple argument leads us to that  $D(\hat{n}_0, \hat{n}_1, \dots, \hat{n}_l; \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_l; \tilde{k})(=D_1)$  is decomposed to a discrete direct sum of  $D(\sum_{j=0}^l k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j), \tau)$ . Since the operators  $T_0(D(\sum_{j=0}^l k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j), \tau))$  are all same form for any  $\tau$ , the operator  $T_0(D_1)$  is represented as an operator to multiply the function  $c_0(g^{-1}(\sum_{j=0}^l k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j)))$ . In the relation

$$\begin{aligned} (T_0(D(\hat{n}_0, \tau_0))f_0)(k_0g) \otimes \dots \otimes (T_0(D(\hat{n}_l, \tau_l))f_l)(k_lg) \\ = (T_0(D(\sum_{j=0}^l k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j), \tau))f_0)(k_0g) \otimes \dots \otimes f_l(k_lg), \end{aligned}$$

we substitute the forms of operators and get

$$c_0(g^{-1}k_0^{-1}(\hat{n}_0)) \times \dots \times c_0(g^{-1}k_l^{-1}(\hat{n}_l)) = c_0(g^{-1}(\sum_{j=0}^l k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j))) \quad (\text{a.a. } k_j, g).$$

Exclude  $g$  and calculate intergration

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V \times \dots \times V} c_0\left(\sum_{j=0}^l k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j)\right) f(\tilde{k}) d\mu^l(\tilde{k}) \\ = c_0(k_0^{-1}(\hat{n}_0)) \int \prod_{j=1}^l c_0(k_j^{-1}(\hat{n}_j)) f(\tilde{k}) d\mu^l(\tilde{k}) \end{aligned}$$

for any continuous function  $f$  on the space  $V \times \dots \times V$  in the as-

sumption 4. The left hand side is a continuous function of  $\hat{n}_0$ , so  $c_0(k_0^{-1}(\hat{n}_0))$  too, consequently  $c_0(\hat{n}_0)$  is continuous over  $A$ , and

$$c_0(\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2) = c_0(\hat{n}_1)c_0(\hat{n}_2) \quad \text{for } \hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2 \in A. \quad (3)$$

But the assumption 2(ii) means for any  $\hat{n}$  in  $\hat{N}$ , there exists  $\hat{n}_1$  in  $A$  such that  $\hat{n} + \hat{n}_1 = \hat{n}_2$  is in  $A$ . From (3), if we define  $c_0(\hat{n}) = c_0(\hat{n}_2)/c_0(\hat{n}_1)$ , then  $c_0$  is uniquely extendable as a character on  $\hat{N}$ . That is there exists a  $n$  in  $N$  and

$$c_0(\hat{n}) = \langle \hat{n}, n \rangle.$$

Immediate calculation shows

$$T_0(\hat{n}, \tau) = U_n^{D(\hat{n}, \tau)} \quad \hat{n} \in A. \quad (4)$$

Again we apply the assumption 2(ii) to the decomposition of  $D_1(\hat{n}_1, \tau_1) \otimes D_2(\hat{n}_2, \tau_2)$  ( $\hat{n}_2 \in A$ ), substituting the above formula of  $T_0(\hat{n}, \tau)$  on the component which is a direct integral of  $D(\hat{n}, \tau)$ 's ( $\hat{n} \in A$ ), easily it is shown that the equation (4) is valid for any  $\hat{n} \in \hat{N}$ .

q.e.d.

### References

- [ 1 ] N. Tatsuuma: A duality theorem for locally compact groups I. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 878-882 (1965).
- [ 2 ] —: A duality theorem for locally compact groups II. Ibid., **42**, 46-47 (1966).
- [ 3 ] —: A duality theorem for locally compact groups III. Ibid., **42**, 87-90 (1966).
- [ 4 ] G.W. Mackey: Induced representations of locally compact groups I. Ann. Math., **55**, 101-139 (1952).