

## 227. On an Addition Theorem for $M$ -Spaces

By Tetsuo KANDÔ

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education,  
Nagoya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1966)

1. **Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\{A_\alpha\}$  a locally finite closed covering of  $X$ . As is well known, if each subspace  $A_\alpha$  has one of the following properties, then the whole space  $X$  has also the same property (see, for instance, K. Morita [4] and J. Nagata [8]):

- (a) being a normal space,                      (b) being countably paracompact,  
(c) being collectionwise normal,            (d) being perfectly normal,  
(e) being paracompact and normal,        (f) being metrizable.

Recently, K. Morita [7] has introduced the notion of  $M$ -spaces. He calls a topological space  $X$  an  $M$ -space if there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying the condition (\*) below:

- (\*) If a family  $\mathfrak{K}$  consisting of a countable number of subsets of  $X$  has the finite intersection property and containing as its member a subset of  $\text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $n$  and for some fixed point  $x_0$  in  $X$ , then  $\bigcap \{\bar{K} \mid K \in \mathfrak{K}\} \neq \emptyset$ .

In this note, we shall establish an analogous result for the notion of  $M$ -spaces; namely, we shall prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{A_\alpha\}$  be a locally finite covering of a Hausdorff space  $X$  and each  $A_\alpha$  be a closed  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . If each  $A_\alpha$  is a normal  $M$ -space with respect to its relative topology, then the whole space  $X$  is also a normal  $M$ -space.*

The next §2 is devoted to the proof of this theorem, and in §3 we shall deduce some of its immediate consequences. Most terminologies and notations used in this note are the same as those of J. W. Tukey [12].

Finally, I wish to express my hearty thanks to Prof. K. Morita who has given me many kindful suggestions and advices.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Our proof of Theorem 1 rests upon the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{\mathfrak{G}_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a normal sequence of open coverings of a topological space  $X$ . Then there is another normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{S}_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  having the properties:*

- (i) *Each  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{G}_n$ .*

(ii) Each  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is locally finite.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a family of closed subsets in a space  $X$  and for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , let  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  be a locally finite family of open subsets in  $X$  such that  $F_\alpha \subset H_\alpha$ , where  $H_\alpha = \cup\{G | G \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha\}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_\alpha$  the open covering of  $X$  consisting of the set  $X - F_\alpha$  and the sets in  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ . If the family  $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  is locally finite, then the family  $\wedge\{\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}^{(1)}$  is a locally finite open covering of  $X$ .

Lemma 1 is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2 in [5] and the proof of Lemma 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1.2 in [3].

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 1. Let  $\{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a locally finite closed covering of a Hausdorff space  $X$  and suppose that each  $A_\alpha$  is a normal  $M$ -space with respect to its relative topology. According to a result of A. Okuyama [10], each  $A_\alpha$  is collectionwise normal and countably paracompact, and hence the whole space  $X$  is also collectionwise normal and countably paracompact (see K. Morita [4]). Consequently, from a theorem of M. Katětov [2] we see that there exists a locally finite open family  $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $H_\alpha \supset A_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$  (see also V. Šedivá [11]). Considering the  $G_\delta$ -property of  $A_\alpha$ , we can find a countable family  $\{G_n^{(\alpha)} | n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n^{(\alpha)} = A_\alpha$  and that  $G_n^{(\alpha)} \subset H_\alpha$  and  $G_{n+1}^{(\alpha)} \subset G_n^{(\alpha)}$  for each  $n$ .

Since each  $A_\alpha$  is an  $M$ -space, there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_n^{(\alpha)} | n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of open coverings of the subspace  $A_\alpha$  satisfying the condition (\*) with respect to the subspace  $A_\alpha$ . Moreover, we can assume that each covering  $\mathfrak{U}_n^{(\alpha)}$  is locally finite in  $A_\alpha$  by Lemma 1. Applying a theorem of C. H. Dowker [1] to this covering, we obtain a locally finite open covering  $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)} \cap A_\alpha^{(2)}$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}_n^{(\alpha)}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n^{(\alpha)}$  the open covering of the space  $X$  formed by  $X - A_\alpha$  and the sets of the form  $G_n^{(\alpha)} \cap M$ , where  $M \in \mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}$ , and construct the covering

$$(1) \quad \wedge\{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n^{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in \Omega\} = \mathfrak{N}_n, \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we see from Lemma 2 that  $\mathfrak{N}_n$  is a locally finite open covering of the space  $X$ .

Now we shall construct by induction a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  in the following way. First, we put  $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{N}_1$ . Let  $n > 1$  and suppose that the locally finite open coverings  $\mathfrak{B}_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n-1)$  have been defined in such a way that (i)  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{N}_i$ , and (ii)  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  is a star-refinement of  $\mathfrak{B}_{i-1}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}$  is a locally finite open covering of the normal space  $X$ , it has a locally finite open

1) As to this notation, see J. W. Tukey [12].

2) Here  $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)} \cap A_\alpha$  means a family  $\{M \cap A_\alpha | M \in \mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}\}$ .

star-refinement  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{N}_n \wedge \mathfrak{B}_n = \mathfrak{B}_n$ . Then the above relations (i) and (ii) are also valid for  $i = n$ .

This sequence  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$  is obviously a normal sequence of open coverings of  $X$ . It remains to prove that the sequence  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$  satisfies the  $M$ -space condition (\*). To prove this, let  $\mathfrak{R} = \{K_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be any family consisting of a countable number of subsets of  $X$  having the finite intersection property and suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains as its member a subset  $K_{n_i}$  of  $\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_i)$  for every  $i$  and for some fixed point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . We have to show  $\bigcap \{K \mid K \in \mathfrak{R}\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\Delta(x_0) = \{\alpha \in \Omega \mid x_0 \in H_\alpha\}$  and  $\Delta_0(x_0) = \{\alpha \in \Omega \mid x_0 \in A_\alpha\}$ . Then the index-sets  $\Delta(x_0)$  and  $\Delta_0(x_0)$  are finite subsets of  $\Omega$  by virtue of local finiteness of the family  $\{H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$ , and the set  $\Delta_0(x_0)$  is contained in  $\Delta(x_0)$ .

First, we shall prove that for every  $i$  we have:

$$(2) \quad \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_i) \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{N}_i) \subset \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta(x_0)\}.$$

Indeed, let  $N$  be any member of  $\mathfrak{N}_i$  containing the point  $x_0$ . The set  $N$  has the form

$$(3) \quad N = \bigcap \{X - A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega_1\} \cap (\bigcap \{G_i^{(\alpha)} \cap U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega_2\}),$$

where  $U_\alpha$  is some set belonging to  $\mathfrak{M}_i^{(\alpha)}$ , and  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are disjoint subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = \Omega$ . If  $\beta$  is any index not contained in  $\Delta(x_0)$ , then  $\beta$  must be contained in  $\Omega_1$ , whence we have  $N \subset X - A_\beta$ . Since this relation holds for any  $\beta \notin \Delta(x_0)$ , it follows that

$$N \subset \bigcap \{X - A_\beta \mid \beta \notin \Delta(x_0)\} = X - \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \notin \Delta(x_0)\} \subset \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta(x_0)\}.$$

Hence the relation (2) is proved.

Next, we shall show that there is some integer  $i_0$  such that  $i \geq i_0$  implies

$$(4) \quad \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_i) \subset \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0(x_0)\}.$$

Indeed, in case  $\Delta(x_0) = \Delta_0(x_0)$ , we have only to choose  $i_0 = 1$ . Otherwise, we choose any  $\beta \in \Delta(x_0) - \Delta_0(x_0)$ . Then  $x_0 \notin A_\beta$  and hence  $x_0 \notin G_i^{(\beta)}$  for some  $i = i(\beta)$ . Therefore, for any  $j \geq i(\beta)$ , we have

$$\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_j) \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_{i(\beta)}) \subset \text{St}(x_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{i(\beta)}^{(\beta)}) \subset X - A_\beta.$$

Consequently, if we choose  $i_0 = \text{Max} \{i(\beta) \mid \beta \in \Delta(x_0) - \Delta_0(x_0)\}$ , we see that the relation (4) is valid for this  $i_0$ .

Finally, we shall prove that the family  $\mathfrak{R} \cap A_{\alpha_0}$  has the finite intersection property for some  $\alpha_0 \in \Delta_0(x_0)$ . Let us assume the contrary. Then for each  $\alpha \in \Delta_0(x_0)$ , we can find a finite set  $\Gamma_\alpha$  of natural numbers such that  $\bigcap \{K_i \cap A_\alpha \mid i \in \Gamma_\alpha\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\Gamma = \bigcup \{\Gamma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0(x_0)\}$ . Then we have from (4),

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n_{i_0}} \cap (\bigcap \{K_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}) &= K_{n_{i_0}} \cap (\bigcap \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0(x_0)\}) \cap (\bigcap \{K_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}) \\ &= K_{n_{i_0}} \cap \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0(x_0)} (A_\alpha \cap (\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} K_i)) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the finite intersection property of the family  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Hence, for some  $\alpha_0 \in \Delta_0(x_0)$ , the family  $\mathfrak{R} \cap A_{\alpha_0}$  has the finite intersection property, and furthermore, for every  $i \geq i_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n_i} \cap A_{\alpha_0} &\subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_i) \cap A_{\alpha_0} \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{N}_i) \cap A_{\alpha_0} \\ &\subset \text{St}(x_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_i^{(\alpha_0)}) \cap A_{\alpha_0} \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{M}_i^{(\alpha_0)}) \cap A_{\alpha_0} \\ &\subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i^{(\alpha_0)}), \end{aligned}$$

because  $x_0 \in A_{\alpha_0}$ . Since the subspace  $A_{\alpha_0}$  is an  $M$ -space, we have

$$\bigcap \{ \overline{K \cap A_{\alpha_0}} \cap A_{\alpha_0} \mid K \in \mathfrak{K} \} \neq \emptyset,$$

whence we infer that  $\bigcap \{ \overline{K} \mid K \in \mathfrak{K} \} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

The problem whether Theorem 1 is valid without the  $G_\delta$ -condition of  $A_\alpha$  remains open.

3. Some applications. Finally, we shall deduce some results from Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact Hausdorff space which is locally an  $M$ -space, i.e., each point  $x$  in  $X$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  such that  $U_x$  is an  $M$ -space. Then the space  $X$  itself is an  $M$ -space.*

*Proof.* By paracompactness of the space  $X$ , the open covering  $\{\text{Int } U_x \mid x \in X\}$  has a locally finite open refinement  $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$ , which also has an open refinement  $\{H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$  such that  $G_\alpha \supset \overline{H_\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . By normality of the space  $X$ , we can find closed  $G_\delta$ -subsets  $A_\alpha$  such that  $\overline{H_\alpha} \subset A_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$ . Then each  $A_\alpha$  is a closed subspace of some  $M$ -space  $U_x$  and hence is an  $M$ -space and moreover, a normal space. Thus, the theorem follows from the above Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\{G_\alpha\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite covering of a normal Hausdorff space  $X$  and suppose that each  $G_\alpha$  is an open  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ . If each  $G_\alpha$  is an  $M$ -space, then so also is  $X$ .*

*Proof.* According to K. Morita [7, Theorem 1.2],  $\{G_\alpha\}$  is a normal covering of  $X$  and hence has a locally finite refinement. Therefore, by the similar method as above, we can find a locally finite refinement  $\{F_\beta\}$  of  $\{G_\alpha\}$  such that each  $F_\beta$  is a closed  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . Thus, the theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4** (J. Nagata and Yu. Smirnov). *Let  $\{A_\alpha\}$  be a locally finite closed covering of a topological space  $X$ . If each  $A_\alpha$  is metrizable, then so also is  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since each  $A_\alpha$  is paracompact and perfectly normal, from [4] we see that  $X$  is also paracompact and perfectly normal. Consequently,  $X$  is an  $M$ -space by Theorem 1. On the other hand, the product space  $X \times X$  is perfectly normal because it is a union of the locally finite family of metrizable and hence perfectly normal subspaces  $A_\alpha \times A_\beta$ . Applying A. Okuyama's metrization theorem [9], we conclude that  $X$  is metrizable.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\{A_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable family of closed subsets of a space  $X$  having the property:*

(\*\*)  $\bigcup \{\text{Int } A_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\} = X.$

If each  $A_n$  is a normal  $M$ -space, then so also is  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C_1 = A_1$  and  $C_n = A_n - \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Int } A_i$  for  $n > 1$ . It is clear that  $\{C_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $X$  and that each  $C_n$  is a normal  $M$ -space and hence a countably paracompact space (see [7]). Therefore  $X$  is also countably paracompact and normal (cf. [4]) and consequently, we can find a locally finite family  $\{D_n\}$  such that  $C_n \subset D_n \subset \text{Int } A_n$  and each  $D_n$  is a closed  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . Thus this theorem also follows from Theorem 1.

If we drop the condition (\*\*) in Theorem 5, the theorem fails to hold. There exists a non- $M$ -space which is a countable union of closed  $M$ -subspaces; for instance, any non-metrizable  $CW$ -complex is such a space (see Morita [6]).

**Corollary 1.** *If, in the above Theorem 5, each  $A_n$  is metrizable, then so also is the space  $X$ .*

The proof is similar to the above; we use Theorem 4 instead of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A$  be an open  $F_\sigma$ -subset of a normal Hausdorff  $M$ -space  $X$ . Then  $A$  is also a normal  $M$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \bigcup_n F_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Then we can easily find closed subsets  $C_n$  in such a way that

$$F_n \subset \text{Int } C_n \subset C_n \subset A.$$

From this we have  $A = \bigcup_n \text{Int } C_n$ , and the theorem follows from Theorem 5.

## References

- [1] C. H. Dowker: On a theorem of Hanner. *Ark. för Mat.*, **2**, 307-313 (1952).
- [2] M. Katětov: Extension of locally finite coverings. *Colloquium Math.*, **6**, 145-151 (1958).
- [3] K. Morita: On the dimension of normal spaces. II. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **2**, 16-33 (1950).
- [4] —: On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings. I, II. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **29**, 537-543 (1953), **30**, 711-717 (1954).
- [5] —: Paracompactness and product spaces. *Fund. Math.*, **50**, 223-236 (1962).
- [6] —: On the product of paracompact spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **39**, 559-563 (1963).
- [7] —: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. *Math. Ann.*, **154**, 365-382 (1964).
- [8] J. Nagata: On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizability. *J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ.*, **1**, 28-39 (1950).
- [9] A. Okuyama: On metrizability of  $M$ -spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **40**, 176-179 (1964).
- [10] —: On  $P$ -spaces,  $M$ -spaces and their products with metric spaces (to appear).

- [11] V. Šedivá: On collectionwise normal and hyposomcompact spaces. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **9**, 50–62 (1959).
- [12] J. W. Tukey: *Convergence and Uniformity in Topology*. Princeton (1940).