

191. Some Properties of M -Spaces

By Kiiti MORITA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Education

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 13, 1967)

In a previous paper [9] we have introduced the notion of M -spaces. A topological space X is an M -space if there exists a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying condition (M) below:

$$(M) \quad \begin{cases} \text{If } \{K_i\} \text{ is a sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such that} \\ K_{i+1} \subset K_i, K_i \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \text{ for each } i \text{ and for some fixed point} \\ x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then } \bigcap \bar{K}_i \neq \phi. \end{cases}$$

Condition (M) is equivalent to the original condition (40) in [9]. In this paper we shall discuss some properties of M -spaces.

1. In [7] (cf. also J. Dugundji [2, p. 196]) we proved that a T_1 -space X is metrizable if and only if there is a sequence $\{\mathfrak{F}_i\}$ of locally finite closed coverings of X such that for any point x and for any neighborhood V of x there is some i for which $\text{St}(x, \mathfrak{F}_i) \subset V$. Thus it is natural to consider a topological space X such that there is a sequence $\{\mathfrak{F}_i\}$ of locally finite closed coverings of X satisfying condition (M). Such a space we shall call an M^* -space after T. Ishii [3]. Corresponding to our metrization theorem mentioned above, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.1. *If X is an M -space, then X is an M^* -space with property (C) below:*

$$(C) \quad \begin{cases} \text{For any locally finite collection } \{F_\lambda\} \text{ of closed sets of } X \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a locally finite collection } \{G_\lambda\} \text{ of open sets of } X \text{ such} \\ \text{that } F_\lambda \subset G_\lambda \text{ for each } \lambda. \end{cases}$$

In case X is normal, the converse is true.

Proof. Let X be an M -space; by [8, Theorem 1. 2] there is a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{B}_i\}$ of locally finite open coverings of X satisfying condition (M), and hence $\{\mathfrak{F}_i\}$ satisfies condition (M) where we set $\mathfrak{F}_i = \{\bar{V} \mid V \in \mathfrak{B}_i\}$. Since by A. Okuyama [10] any M -space has property (C), the first part of the theorem is proved.

To prove the second part, let $\{\mathfrak{F}_i\}$ be a sequence of locally finite closed coverings of X satisfying condition (M); without loss of generality we may assume that \mathfrak{F}_{i+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{F}_i for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Let us set

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{E}_i = \{\text{St}(F, \mathfrak{F}_i) \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}_i\}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots$$

Then, if $A \subset X$, we have

$$(2) \quad \text{St}(A, \mathfrak{R}_i) = \text{St}(\text{St}(\text{St}(A, \mathfrak{F}_i), \mathfrak{F}_i), \mathfrak{F}_i).$$

Let $K_i, i=1, 2, \dots$ be non-empty subsets of X such that

$$(3) \quad K_{i+1} \subset K_i, \quad K_i \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{R}_i), \quad i=1, 2, \dots,$$

where $x_0 \in X$. Then from (2) and (3) we get

$$(4) \quad \text{St}(\text{St}(K_i, \mathfrak{F}_i), \mathfrak{F}_i) \cap \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{F}_i) \neq \phi, \quad i=1, 2, \dots.$$

Since $\{\mathfrak{F}_i\}$ satisfies condition (M), there is a point $x_1 \in \cap \text{St}(\text{St}(K_i, \mathfrak{F}_i), \mathfrak{F}_i)$. Then

$$(5) \quad \text{St}(K_i, \mathfrak{F}_i) \cap \text{St}(x_1, \mathfrak{F}_i) \neq \phi, \quad i=1, 2, \dots.$$

Applying condition (M), we see that there is a point $x_2 \in \cap \text{St}(K_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$. Then we have

$$(6) \quad K_i \cap \text{St}(x_2, \mathfrak{F}_i) \neq \phi, \quad i=1, 2, \dots.$$

By appealing to condition (M) again, we have $\cap \bar{K}_i \neq \phi$. Therefore $\{\mathfrak{R}_i\}$ satisfies condition (M).

Let $\mathfrak{F}_i = \{F_{i\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \wedge_i\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{R}_i = \{\text{St}(F_{i\lambda}, \mathfrak{F}_i) \mid \lambda \in \wedge_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{i\lambda} \subset \text{Int}(\text{St}(F_{i\lambda}, \mathfrak{F}_i)).$$

Hence, if X has property (C), there is, for each i , a locally finite open covering $\mathfrak{G}_i = \{G_{i\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \wedge_i\}$ such that $F_{i\lambda} \subset G_{i\lambda} \subset \text{Int}(\text{St}(F_{i\lambda}, \mathfrak{F}_i))$, $\lambda \in \wedge_i$. If X is normal, there is a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$ of locally finite open coverings of X such that \mathfrak{U}_i is a refinement of \mathfrak{G}_i for each i . This completes our proof of the second part.

Theorem 1.2. *A collectionwise normal space is an M -space if and only if it is an M^* -space.*

Proof. Every normal M^* -space is countably paracompact as is shown by Ishii [3]. Hence the "if" part follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 by a theorem of M. Katětov [5].

By Theorem 1.2 every paracompact normal M^* -space is an M -space. Hence the problem raised by Ishii [3] is settled hereby.

2. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a quasi-perfect map if it is a continuous closed surjective map such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-perfect map. If X is an M^* -space, so is Y . If X has property (C), so has Y .*

The first part of this lemma is proved by Ishii [3]. The proof of the second part is straightforward, since if $\{A_\lambda\}$ is locally finite collection of subsets of X then the collection $\{f(A_\lambda)\}$ of subsets of Y is also locally finite (cf. Okuyama [10]).

Now we are in a position to prove the following theorem, the first part of which is obtained by T. Ishii [3] and gives an affirmative answer to the problem posed by A. Arhangel'skii in a letter to the author: "Is the image of a paracompact p -space in the sense of [1] under a perfect map a p -space?" (For paracompact Hausdorff spaces p -spaces are identical with M -spaces).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-perfect map. If X is*

an M -space and if either X or Y is normal, then Y is an M -space. If Y is an M -space, so is X .

Proof. If X is normal, so is Y . Hence the first part follows readily from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1. Since the composite of two quasi-perfect maps is a quasi-perfect map, the second part is proved by [9, Theorem 6.1].

3. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following theorem, which was proved first by T. Kandô [4] under the assumption that each A_λ is G_δ , and later by J. Suzuki [11] generally.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{A_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a locally finite closed covering of a topological space X . If A_λ is a normal M -space for each λ , so is X .*

Proof. Let P be the topological sum of disjoint spaces P_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that for each λ there is a homeomorphism h_λ from P_λ onto A_λ . If we define a map $h: P \rightarrow X$ by $h \mid P_\lambda = h_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then h is a perfect map and P is a normal M -space. Hence by Theorem 2.2 X is a normal M -space.

4. In this section we shall show that there is a perfect map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that X is an M -space but Y is not, and such that X , Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Thus Theorems 1.1 and 2.2 are not true unless the normality of X or Y is assumed.

Let ω_1 be the first uncountable ordinal and let us set

$$S = W(\omega_1 + 1) \times W(\omega_1 + 1) - (\omega_1, \omega_1)$$

where $W(\omega_1 + 1)$ is the set of all ordinals $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ with the usual interval topology. In S , the sets

$$P = \{(\alpha, \omega_1) \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}, \quad Q = \{(\omega_1, \beta) \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$$

are closed. Let X be the topological sum of disjoint spaces S_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that for each n there is a homeomorphism φ_n of S onto S_n . Now we identify a point $\varphi_{2m-1}(p)$ with $\varphi_{2m}(p)$ for $p \in P$ and a point $\varphi_{2m}(q)$ with $\varphi_{2m+1}(q)$ for $q \in Q$. By this identification we have an identification space Y and an identification map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is a perfect map. Since X is locally compact Hausdorff, so is Y . Since S is countably compact, X is an M -space. But Y is not an M -space. To prove this, assume ψ to be a quasi-perfect map from Y onto some metric space T . Let us set $\theta_n = \psi \circ f \circ \varphi_n: S \rightarrow T$. Then $\theta_n(S)$ is compact and hence can be imbedded in the Hilbert cube I^{\aleph_0} . Therefore the map θ_n takes on the same constant value t_n on tails of P and Q . Since $\theta_{2m-1}(p) = \theta_{2m}(p)$ for $p \in P$ and $\theta_{2m}(q) = \theta_{2m+1}(q)$ for $q \in Q$ ($m \geq 1$), we have $t_n = t_1$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Hence $\psi^{-1}(t_1) \cap f(S_n) \neq \emptyset$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore $\psi^{-1}(t_1)$ is not countably compact. Thus Y is not an M -space (but Y is a p -space in the sense of [1]).

If we set $C_i = \cup \{f(S_{2m+i}) \mid m=0, 1, \dots\}$, $i=1, 2$, then $Y=C_1 \cup C_2$ and C_i are closed M -spaces. Thus Theorem 3.1 is not true if "normal" is removed from its statement.

5. The following generalizes [9, Theorem 6.2] and [4, Corollary 2 to Theorem 5].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ be a G_δ -set of a regular M -space X , where G_i are open sets. Then A is an M -space for cases (a), (b), and (c) below: (a) A is paracompact; (b) each G_i is paracompact; (c) X is normal and each G_i is an F_σ -set of X .*

Proof. Let $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$ be a normal sequence of locally finite open coverings of X satisfying condition (M) . In case (a) there is a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{B}_i\}$ of locally finite open coverings of A such that if $V \in \mathfrak{B}_i$ then $\bar{V} \subset G_i \cap U$ for some set U of \mathfrak{U}_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$). Then $\{\mathfrak{B}_i\}$ satisfies condition (M) . In case (c) there are closed sets F_{ij} , $i, j=1, 2, \dots$ such that $G_i = \cup \{F_{ij} \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$, and hence, if we select open sets L_{ij} such that $F_{ij} \subset L_{ij}$, $\bar{L}_{ij} \subset G_i$, then by [6, Theorem 3] the open covering $\{L_{ij} \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$ of G_i has a locally finite open refinement. Hence in cases (b) and (c) there is a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{S}_{ij} \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$ of locally finite open coverings of G_i such that if $H \in \mathfrak{S}_{ij}$ then $\bar{H} \subset G_i$. Now let us set

$$\mathfrak{B}_i = \{(\bigcap_{k=1}^i H_k) \cap U \cap A \mid H_k \in \mathfrak{S}_{ki}, k \leq i; U \in \mathfrak{U}_i, i=1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Then $\{\mathfrak{B}_i\}$ is a normal sequence of locally finite open coverings of A satisfying condition (M) .

References

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii: On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally bicompact spaces. Dokl. Akad. Nauk, S.S.S.R., **151**, 751-754 (1963) (Soviet Math. Dokl., **4**, 1051-1055 (1963)).
- [2] J. Dugundji: Topology. Boston (1966).
- [3] T. Ishii: On closed mappings and M -spaces. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 752-756, 757-761 (1967).
- [4] T. Kandô: On an addition theorem for M -spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 1050-1055 (1966).
- [5] M. Katětov: Extensions of locally finite coverings. Colloq. Math., **6**, 145-151 (1958).
- [6] K. Morita: Star-finite coverings and the star-finite property. Math. Japonicae, **1**, 60-68 (1948).
- [7] —: A condition for metrizability of topological spaces and for n -dimensionality. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, **5**, 33-36 (1955).
- [8] —: Paracompactness and product spaces. Fund. Math., **50**, 223-236 (1961).
- [9] —: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. Math. Ann., **154**, 365-382 (1964).
- [10] A. Okuyama: Some generalizations of metric spaces, their metrization theorems and product spaces (to appear).
- [11] J. Suzuki: On a theorem for M -spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 610-614 (1967).