

53. On Theorems of Ontology

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1968)

We shall concern with a certain theorem having characteristic properties [1], [2].

In this paper we shall prove that the following expression is a theorem of ontology:

$$(\alpha) \quad x \in X \equiv xa^*X \wedge [s]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}.$$

The proof of (α) is based on the following only axiom of ontology given in 'S. Leśniewski's calculus of names' by J. Slupecki [2]:

$$T1. \quad x \in X \equiv [\exists y]\{y \in x\} \wedge [y, z]\{y \in x \wedge z \in x \supset y \in z\} \wedge [y]\{y \in x \supset y \in X\}.$$

The above axiom implies the following theorems:

$$T2. \quad x \in X \wedge y \in x \supset x \in y,$$

$$T3. \quad x \in X \supset x \in V,$$

$$T4. \quad Sa^*P \supset SiP,$$

$$T5. \quad x \in V \supset (x \in S \equiv xa^*S),$$

$$T6. \quad x \in X \equiv xiX \wedge \rightarrow/x/.$$

In this system there are the following definitions:

$$D1. \quad Sa^*P \equiv [\exists x]\{x \in S\} \wedge [x]\{x \in S \supset xP\},$$

$$D2. \quad \rightarrow/x/ = [y, z]\{y \in x \wedge z \in x \supset y \in z\}.$$

The proofs of theorems will be given in the form of suppositional proofs used by J. Slupecki.

$$(I) \quad xa^*X \equiv [\exists y]\{y \in x\} \wedge [y]\{y \in x \supset y \in X\}. \quad \{D1\}$$

$$(II) \quad [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \wedge y \in x \supset xa^*y.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Proof.} & (1) \quad [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \\ & (2) \quad y \in x \\ & (3) \quad y \in V \\ & (4) \quad ya^*x \\ & (5) \quad ya^*x \supset xa^*y \\ & \quad \quad \quad xa^*y \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} (1) \\ (2) \\ (3) \\ (4) \\ (5) \end{array}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \{\text{premises}\} \\ \{T3, 2\} \\ \{T5, 2, 3\} \\ \{OII: 1\} \\ \{5, 4\} \end{array}$$

$$(III) \quad [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \wedge y \in x \wedge z \in x \supset y \in z.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Proof.} & (1) \quad [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \\ & (2) \quad y \in x \\ & (3) \quad z \in x \\ & (4) \quad xa^*y \\ & (5) \quad [z]\{z \in x \supset z \in y\} \\ & (6) \quad z \in x \supset z \in y \\ & (7) \quad z \in y \\ & \quad \quad \quad y \in z \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} (1) \\ (2) \\ (3) \\ (4) \\ (5) \\ (6) \\ (7) \end{array}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \{\text{premises}\} \\ \{II, 1, 2\} \\ \{D1, 4\} \\ \{OII: 5\} \\ \{6, 3\} \\ \{T2, 3, 7\} \end{array}$$

- (IV) $[S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \supset [y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$.
Proof. (1) $[S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$ {premise}
(2) $y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z$ {III, 1}
 $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$ {DII : 2}
- (V) $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\} \wedge Sa^*x \supset xa^*S$.
Proof. (1) $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$ } {premises}
(2) Sa^*x
(3) Six {T4, 2}
(4) $S \varepsilon x$ {T6, 3, 1}
(5) $[\exists y]\{y \varepsilon x\}$ {D Σ : 4}
(6) $y \varepsilon x \wedge S \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon S$ {OI : 1}
(7) $y \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon S$ {6, 4}
(8) $[y]\{y \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon S\}$ {DII : 7}
(9) $[\exists y]\{y \varepsilon x\} \wedge [y]\{y \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon S\}$ {5, 8}
 xa^*S {D1, 9}
- (VI) $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\} \supset [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$.
Proof. (1) $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$ {premise}
(2) $Sa^*x \supset xa^*S$ {V, 1}
 $[S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$ {DII : 2}
- (VII) $[S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \equiv [y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$. {IV, VI}
- (VIII) $x \varepsilon X \supset xa^*X \wedge [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$.
Proof. (1) $x \varepsilon X$ {premise}
(2) $[\exists y]\{y \varepsilon x\} \wedge [y]\{y \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon X\}$ {T1, 1}
(3) xa^*X {D1, 2}
(4) $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$ {T1, 1}
(5) $[S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$ {VII, 4}
 $xa^*x \wedge [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$ {3, 5}
- (IX) $xa^*X \wedge [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\} \supset x \varepsilon X$.
Proof. (1) xa^*X } {premises}
(2) $[S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$
(3) $[\exists y]\{y \varepsilon x\} \wedge [y]\{y \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon X\}$ {D1, 1}
(4) $[y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\}$ {VII, 2}
(5) $[\exists y]\{y \varepsilon x\} \wedge [y, z]\{y \varepsilon x \wedge z \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon z\} \wedge [y]\{y \varepsilon x \supset y \varepsilon X\}$ {3, 4}
 $x \varepsilon X$ {T1, 5}
- (X) $x \varepsilon X \equiv xa^*X \wedge [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}$ {VIII, IX}

This theorem is equiform to expression (α). Therefore the proof is complete. Further the theorem (VII) is denoted by $D2$ in the form of the following expression.

$$\neg/x \equiv [S]\{Sa^*x \supset xa^*S\}.$$

This theorem can act as definition of the symbol " \neg/x " in the system in which " a^* " acts as a primitive term. The theorem (X)

shows that the symbol " a^* " can act as the only primitive term of ontology.

References

- [1] C. Lejewski: On Leśniewski's ontology. *Ratio*, **1**, 150-176 (1958).
- [2] J. Slupecki: S. Leśniewski's calculus of names. *Studia, Logica*, **3**, Warsaw (1955).