

## 141. The Characters of Some Induced Representations of Semisimple Lie Groups

By Takeshi HIRAI

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1968)

**Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a simply connected semisimple Lie group. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be its Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  is a maximal compact subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let us fix arbitrarily a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_0^-$  of  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  be the complexifications of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_0^-$  respectively. Introduce a lexicographic order in the set of all roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  and let  $\Delta$  be the set of all positive roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Fix an element  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \neq 0$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_0^-$  and let  $\Delta'$  be the set of all roots  $\alpha \in \Delta$  zero at  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  and  $\Delta''$  the complement of  $\Delta'$  in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}'_0$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{h}_0^-$  orthogonal to  $\Delta'$ . Consider the centralizer  $S$  of  $\mathfrak{h}'_0$  in  $G$ . Let  $S_1$  be a subgroup of  $S$  and let  $s \rightarrow L_s$  ( $s \in S_1$ ) be a representation of  $S_1$  by bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $E$ . If  $S_1$  and  $L$  fulfill some conditions, we can construct canonically a representation of  $G$  on a certain Hilbert space, starting from  $L$  (see § 1). After F. Bruhat [1] we call it induced representation of  $L$  and denote it by  $T^L$ . He has studied in [1] a criterion of the irreducibility of  $T^L$ , when  $L$  is of finite-dimensional. Our present purpose is (1) to obtain a sufficient condition on  $S_1$  and  $L$  for the existence of the characters of both  $L$  and  $T^L$ , and (2) to express the character of  $T^L$  by that of  $L$  in the form of summation. This has been done in very special cases in [2], [3], and [4(b)].

**§ 1. Induced representations.** Let  $\mathfrak{c}_0$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  and put  $\mathfrak{k}'_0 = [\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0]$ , then  $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{c}_0 + \mathfrak{k}'_0$ . For any  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , let  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  be the set of all elements  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which fulfill

$$[\mathfrak{h}, x] = \alpha(\mathfrak{h})x \quad (\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{h}^-).$$

Put  $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}' = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta''} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_0 = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}'_0 = \mathfrak{n}' \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{n}'_0$  are subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $K$ ,  $H^-$ ,  $D$ ,  $K'$ ,  $N$ , and  $N'$  be the analytic subgroups of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_0^-$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}'_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}'_0$  respectively. Then  $G = NH^-K$  is Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ .

We assume that the subgroup  $S_1$  fulfills that

$$S^0(D \cap Z) \subset S_1 \subset S,$$

where  $S^0$  is the connected component of the identity element of  $S$  and  $Z$  is the center of  $G$ . Moreover we assume on  $L$  that  $L_z$  is a scalar

multiple of the identity operator  $1_E$  on  $E$  for every  $z \in D \cap Z$ .

Now let us construct explicitly the representation  $T^L$ . Put  $\Gamma_1 = N'S_1$ , then  $\Gamma_1$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $N'$  is normal in  $\Gamma_1$ . Put  $\mathcal{E}_1 = K \cap S_1$ , then  $\Gamma_1 = NH^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1$ , and therefore  $G = \Gamma_1 K$  (see [1]). If an element  $g \in G$  has two expressions  $g = \gamma u = \gamma' u'$  ( $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_1, u, u' \in K$ ), there exists an element  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_1$  such that  $\gamma' = \gamma \xi, u' = \xi^{-1} u$ . For any  $g \in G$ , let  $h(g)$  be the unique element of  $\mathfrak{h}_0^-$  such that  $g \in N \exp(h(g))K$  and define

$$\beta(g) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_0^-} n_\alpha \alpha(h(g)) \right\}, \tag{1}$$

where  $n_\alpha = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . For any  $g \in G$ , let  $\Gamma(g)$  be the unique element of  $c_0$  such that  $g \in NH \exp(\Gamma(g))K'$ . Then there exists uniquely two real-valued linear functions  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  on  $c_0$  such that

$$L_z = \exp \{ (\nu + \sqrt{-1} \nu')(\Gamma(z)) \} 1_E \tag{2}$$

for every  $z \in D \cap Z$ . Denote by  $K^*$  the factor group  $K/D \cap Z$  and let  $du^*$  ( $u^* \in K^*$ ) be the normalized Haar measure on  $K^*$  such that

$$\int_{K^*} du^* = 1.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the set of all functions on  $K$  with values in  $E$  which fulfill the following three conditions:

(a)  $f(\xi u) = L_\xi f(u)$  for any  $u \in K, \xi \in \mathcal{E}_1$ ;

(b)  $(f(u), a)$  is measurable on  $K$  for every  $a \in E$ , where  $(, )$  denotes the inner product of  $E$ ;

(c)  $\int_{K^*} (f(u), f(u)) e^{-2\nu(\Gamma(u))} du^* < \infty$ .

Note that the function  $\varphi(u) = (f(u), f(u)) e^{-2\nu(\Gamma(u))}$  satisfies that  $\varphi(zu) = \varphi(u)$  ( $u \in K, z \in D \cap Z$ ) and therefore  $\varphi$  may be considered as a function on  $K^*$  and then  $\varphi$  is measurable on  $K^*$  from (b). Introduce in  $\mathfrak{A}$  the inner product

$$(f_1, f_2) = \int_{K^*} (f_1(u), f_2(u)) e^{-2\nu(\Gamma(u))} du^* \tag{3}$$

and identify two functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  if  $f_1(u) = f_2(u)$  for almost all  $u$ . Then we obtain a Hilbert space  $L_2^L(K)$ .

For every  $g \in G$ , define  $T_g^L$  as follows. First extend the representation  $L$  of  $S_1$  to that of  $\Gamma_1 = N'S_1$  by putting  $L_n = 1_E$  for every  $n \in N'$ . Let  $ug = \gamma u'$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma_1, u \in K$ ). For any  $f \in L_2^L(K)$ , put

$$T_g^L f(u) = \beta^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) L_\gamma f(u'). \tag{4}$$

Then it is not difficult to prove that  $T_g^L$  is well-defined for any  $g \in G$  and  $g \rightarrow T_g^L$  defines actually a representation of  $G$  on  $L_2^L(K)$ . If  $L$  is unitary,  $T^L$  is also unitary. If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are topologically equivalent,  $T^{L_1}$  and  $T^{L_2}$  are also topologically equivalent.

**§ 2. Existence of the characters.** Let  $A$  be a bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $A$  is called summable if there exists

a complete orthonormal system  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |(Aa_i, a_j)| < \infty.$$

Let  $\omega$  be the set of all equivalent classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{E}_1$ . For any  $\delta \in \omega$ , let  $E(\delta)$  be the set of all vectors  $a$  in  $E$  such that  $\{L_\xi a; \xi \in \mathcal{E}_1\}$  spans a finite-dimensional vector space on which  $L_\xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_1$ ) operate as a multiple of a representation of class  $\delta$ . Denote by  $C_0^\infty(S_1)$  the set of all functions on  $S_1$  which are indefinitely differentiable and vanish outside some compact sets. Define for  $x \in C_0^\infty(S_1)$ ,

$$L_x = \int_{S_1} L_s x(s) ds,$$

where  $ds$  is a Haar measure on  $S_1$ . ( $ds$  is two-sided invariant, because  $S^0$  is reductive and the index  $[S_1 : S^0(D \cap Z)]$  is finite.) Analogously we define  $T_x^L$  for any  $x \in C_0^\infty(G)$ . We can prove the following lemma after Harish-Chandra [4(a)].

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $L$  fulfills*

$$(L1) \quad \dim E(\delta) \leq Nd(\delta)^2$$

for any  $\delta \in \omega$ , where  $N$  is a constant independent of  $\delta$  and  $d(\delta)$  is the dimension of a representation of class  $\delta$ . Then  $L_x$  is summable for any  $x \in C_0^\infty(S_1)$  and the mapping  $x \rightarrow Sp(L_x)$  is a distribution on  $S_1$  in the sense of L. Schwartz (this distribution is called the character of  $L$ ). Moreover  $T_x^L$  is summable for any  $x \in C_0^\infty(G)$  and  $T^L$  has its character, a distribution on  $G$ .

A sufficient condition for that the character of  $L$  is essentially a locally summable function on  $S_1$  can be deduced from the result of Harish-Chandra [4(c), Th. 2, p. 477]. Let us state it. Let  $C$  be the field of complex numbers. Consider the following conditions on  $S_1$  and  $L$ .

(S) There exists a subgroup  $Z_1$  of  $S_1 \cap Z$  which has the following property: denote by  $\underline{S}_1$  and  $\underline{S}^0$  the factor groups  $S_1/Z_1$  and  $S^0/Z_1$  respectively and let  $\underline{Z}_{S_1}$  be the center of  $\underline{S}_1$ , then  $\underline{S}_1 = \underline{S}^0 \underline{Z}_{S_1}$ .

(L2)  $L_z = 1_E$ , for every element  $z \in Z_1$ . And  $L_z = \lambda(z)1_E$  ( $\lambda(z) \in C$ ), for every element  $z$  of the inverse image of  $\underline{Z}_{S_1}$  by the natural mapping  $S_1 \rightarrow \underline{S}_1$ .

(L3) Let  $\mathfrak{s}_0$  be the Lie algebra of  $S_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}$  its complexification. Let  $\mathfrak{B}_\mathfrak{s}$  be the center of the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Then

$$L_z a = \alpha(z)a \quad (z \in \mathfrak{B}_\mathfrak{s}, \alpha(z) \in C)$$

for any vector  $a$  in  $E$  which is indefinitely differentiable under  $L$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $S_1$  and  $L$  fulfill Conditions (S), (L1), (L2), and (L3), then the character  $\tau$  of  $L$  is essentially a locally summable function on  $S_1$  which is analytic on  $S_1 \cap G'$ .*

As will be seen in Theorem 2 in §3, in this case, the character  $\pi$  of  $T^L$  is also a locally summable function on  $G$  which is analytic on  $G'$ , and is expressed by means of  $\tau$  by means of a simple formula.

3. Expression of  $\pi$  by means of  $\tau$ . Denote by  $C_0(G)$  the set of all continuous functions on  $G$  which vanish outside some compact sets. Let  $d\tilde{u}$  ( $\tilde{u}=\mathcal{E}_1u$ ) be the normalized invariant measure on the coset space  $\tilde{K}_1=\mathcal{E}_1\backslash K$  such that  $\int_{\tilde{K}_1} d\tilde{u}=1$ . And let  $dn$  and  $ds$  be Haar measures on  $N'$  and  $S_1$  respectively. Then we can normalize Haar measure  $dg$  on  $G$  in such a way that for any  $x \in C_0(G)$ ,

$$\int_G x(g)dg = \int_{\tilde{K}_1} d\tilde{u} \int_{N'} dn \int_{S_1} x(nsu)\beta^{-1}(s)ds \quad (\tilde{u}=\mathcal{E}_1u). \tag{6}$$

**Theorem 1.** Under Condition (L1) on  $L$  in Lemma 1, the character  $\pi$  of  $T^L$  is expressed as follows: for any  $x \in C_0^\infty(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G x(g)\pi(g)dg &= Sp(T_x^L) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{K}_1} d\tilde{u} \int_{N'} dn \int_{S_1} x(u^{-1}nsu)\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s)\tau(s)ds, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $\tilde{u}=\mathcal{E}_1u$ .

Now let us assume that  $S_1$  and  $L$  fulfill the four conditions in Lemma 2. Then  $L$  may be considered as a representation of the factor group  $\underline{S}_1$ . Moreover since  $T_z^L=1$  for every  $z \in Z_1$ ,  $T^L$  may also be considered as a representation of the factor group  $\underline{G}=G/Z_1$ . Correspondingly, the characters  $\tau$  and  $\pi$  may be considered as distributions on  $\underline{S}_1$  and  $\underline{G}$  respectively. For our purpose, there exists no essential difference for considering  $\underline{G}$  and  $\underline{S}_1$  instead of  $G$  and  $S_1$ . Therefore hereafter, for convenience, we consider  $\underline{G}$  instead of  $G$  and denote  $\underline{G}$ ,  $\underline{S}_1$ ,  $\underline{S}^0$ , and  $\underline{Z}_{S_1}$  simply by  $G$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S^0$ , and  $Z_{S_1}$  respectively ( $S/Z_1$  is denoted again by  $S$  and so on). The notations  $L$ ,  $T^L$ ,  $\tau$ , and  $\pi$  etc. are preserved. Then the integral formula (7) remains valid. Since  $S_1$  is the product of its center  $Z_{S_1}$  and its connected component  $S^0$ , some properties of connected reductive Lie groups are immediately translated on  $S_1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}_0^1, \mathfrak{h}_0^2, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_0^k$  be a maximal set of Cartan subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{s}_0$  which are not conjugate to each other under any inner automorphism of  $S_1$ . Then every  $\mathfrak{h}_0^j$  is also a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $A^j$  ( $H^j$  resp.) be the Cartan subgroup of  $S_1$  ( $G$  resp.) corresponding to  $\mathfrak{h}_0^j$ , that is, the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{h}_0^j$  in  $S_1$  ( $G$  resp.). Then clearly  $H^j \cap S_1 = A^j$  and  $H^j \subset S$ . Now let  $A_0^j$  ( $H_0^j$  resp.) be the center of  $A^j$  ( $H^j$  resp.) and put  $Z^j = H_0^j \cap A_0^j$ . Then the indices  $p_j = [H_0^j : Z^j]$  and  $q_j = [A_0^j : Z^j]$  are finite. If  $G$  is complex semisimple,  $k=1$   $S$  and  $H^1$  are connected, and therefore  $S_1=S$ ,  $H^1=A^1=H_0^1=A_0^1$ , and  $p_1=q_1=1$ . Let  $\tilde{A}^j$  ( $\tilde{H}^j$  resp.) be the normaliser of  $\mathfrak{h}_0^j$  in  $S_1$  ( $G$  resp.). Put  $W_{A^j}=\tilde{A}^j/A_0^j$  and  $W_{H^j}=\tilde{H}^j/H_0^j$ .

Then  $W_{H^j}$  operates on  $H^j$  as  $h \rightarrow h^\omega = ghg^{-1}$ , where  $\omega \in W_{H^j}$  and  $g$  is an element of the coset  $\omega$ . Similarly  $W_{A^j}$  operates on  $A^j$ . The order  $w_j$  of  $W_{A^j}$  is finite. For an element  $g \in G$ , let  $D(g)$  be the coefficient of  $t^l$  in  $\det(Ad(g) - 1_{\mathfrak{g}} + t1_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , where  $l = \text{rank } G = \dim \mathfrak{h}_0^j$  and  $1_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the identity mapping on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $s \in S_1$ , let  $Ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(s)$  denote the restriction on  $\mathfrak{s}$  of  $Ad(s)$  and let  $D_{\mathfrak{s}}(s)$  be the coefficient of  $t^{l'}$  in  $\det(Ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(s) - 1_{\mathfrak{s}} + t1_{\mathfrak{s}})$  where  $l' = \dim \mathfrak{h}'_0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If the four conditions in Lemma 2 are satisfied, we change the notations as is cited above. Then the character  $\pi$  is essentially a locally summable function on  $G$  which is expressed as follows: for any  $g \in G'$ ,*

$$\pi(g) = |D(g)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{p_j}{q_j w_j} \sum_{\omega \in W_{H^j}, h_\omega^g \in A^j} |D_{\mathfrak{s}}(h_\omega^g)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(h_\omega^g) \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where  $h_\omega$  is an element of some  $H^j$  such that  $g = g_0 h_\omega g_0^{-1}$  for some  $g_0 \in G$ , and the last summation runs over all  $\omega \in W_{H^j}$  such that  $h_\omega^g = (h_\omega)^g \in A^j$ .

**§ 4. Deduction of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1.** Now we mention briefly to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is reduced essentially to a calculation of the trace of a certain integral operator, by using our special realization of the induced representation of  $L$ .

To deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 under the four conditions in Lemma 2, the following integral formulas are essential. Take a Cartan subgroup  $H^j$  of  $G$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $H^j$ , denote by  $G_A$  the set of all elements of the form  $ghg^{-1}$  ( $h \in A \cap G', g \in G$ ). Let  $g \rightarrow \bar{g}$  be the natural mapping of  $G$  onto  $\bar{G} = H_0^j \backslash G$ . Let  $h \in H^j$  and  $g \in G$ . Denote  $g^{-1}hg$  by  $h^{\bar{g}}$  where  $\bar{g} = H_0^j g \in \bar{G}$ . Let  $dg, d\bar{g}$ , and  $dh$  be invariant measures on  $G, \bar{G}$  and  $H^j$  respectively such that for any  $x \in C_0(G)$ ,

$$\int_G x(g) dg = \int_{\bar{G}} d\bar{g} \int_{H_0^j} x(hg) dh \quad (\bar{g} = H_0^j g). \tag{9}$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $A$  be an open subset of  $H^j \cap G'$  and let  $f$  and  $\varphi$  be measurable functions on  $G_A$  and  $A$  respectively. If  $f(h^{\bar{g}})\varphi(h)$  is integrable on  $\bar{G} \times A$ , then*

$$\int_{\bar{G}} d\bar{g} \int_A f(h^{\bar{g}})\varphi(h) dh = \int_{G_A} f(g) \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in W_{H^j}, h_\omega^g \in A} \varphi(h_\omega^g) \right\} |D(g)|^{-1} dg, \tag{10}$$

where  $h_\omega$  is an element of  $H^j$  such that  $g = g_0 h_\omega g_0^{-1}$  for some  $g_0 \in G$  and the summation runs over all  $\omega$  for which  $h_\omega^g \in A$  [see 4(c), p. 488, and 4(b), p. 508].

The analogous integral formula is valid for  $S_1$  and  $A^j$  (recall that  $S_1 = S^0 Z s_1$ ). From these formulas for  $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ , we obtain the

following lemma. For every  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ), let  $d^j h$  ( $h \in A^j$ ) and  $d^j \bar{s}$  ( $\bar{s} = A_0^j s$ ) be invariant measures on  $A^j$  and  $\bar{S}^j = A_0^j \setminus S_1$  such that for any  $x \in C_0(S_1)$ ,

$$\int_{S_1} x(s) ds = \int_{\bar{S}^j} d^j \bar{s} \int_{A_0^j} x(hs) d^j h. \quad (11)$$

**Lemma 4.** *If  $f$  is an integrable function on  $S_1$ , then*

$$\int_{S_1} f(s) ds = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{w_j} \int_{\bar{S}^j} d^j \bar{s} \int_{A^j} f(s^{-1}hs) |D_{\bar{s}}(h)| d^j h, \quad (12)$$

where  $\bar{s} = A^j s$  for the  $j$ -th summand.

To deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1, first apply Lemma 4 to the integral (7) and next apply Lemma 3 to it, then we obtain the formula (8).

**Note.** Let  $G$  be the group of all real unimodular matrices of order  $n$  and take  $S_1 = S$ . Then Condition (S) is generally not satisfied in this case. But for certain known representations of  $S$ , we can prove the analogous formula as that in Theorem 2. For the universal covering group of the above group  $G$ , we can find examples for which  $p_j > 1$  and  $q_j > 1$ . Let  $G_1$  be the group of all  $n \times n$  matrices  $g$  such that  $\det g = \pm 1$ . Then  $G_1$  is not connected, but we can extend Theorem 2 to  $G_1$  in certain cases.

## References

- [1] F. Bruhat: Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie. Bull. Soc. Math. France, **84**, 97-205 (1956).
- [2] I. M. Gel'fand and M. I. Graev: Traces of unitary representations of real unimodular groups (in Russian). Doklady Acad. Nauk S. S. S. R., **100**, 1037-1040 (1955).
- [3] M. I. Graev: Unitary representations of real simple Lie groups (in Russian). Trudy Mosk. Mat. Ob., **7**, 335-389 (1958).
- [4] Harish-Chandra:
  - (a) Representations of semisimple Lie groups. III. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **75**, 234-253 (1954).
  - (b) The Plancherel formula for complex semisimple Lie groups. Ibid., **76**, 485-528 (1954).
  - (c) Invariant eigendistributions on semisimple Lie groups. Ibid., **119**, 457-508 (1965).