

## 168. A Three Series Theorem

By Masako IZUMI and Shin-ichi IZUMI

Department of Mathematics, The Australian National University,  
Canberra, Australia

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUTUNA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1968)

1. We suppose throughout this paper that  $(m_n)$  tends to zero monotonically.

J. Meder [1] (cf. S. Kaczmarz [2]) has proved the following

**Theorem I.** Denote by  $l_n$ ,  $L_n$ , and  $\tilde{L}_n$  the first logarithmic means of the three series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n m_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n \cdot \Delta^2 m_n$$

respectively, where  $t_n = s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n$  and  $s_n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$ . If

$$l_n = o(1/m_n) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\Delta m_n = O(m_n/n \log n) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $L_n = \tilde{L}_n + o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

He raised the problem ([1] P 471) whether this theorem holds also without any additional restriction or not and the problem ([1] P 472) to generalize this theorem by proving it e.g. in the case of weighted means or in the case of the Nörlund method of summation.

Let  $p_n \geq 0$ ,  $p_1 > 0$ , and  $P_n = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The weighted mean  $w_n$  of the first series of (1) is defined by

$$w_n = (p_1 s_1 + p_2 s_2 + \cdots + p_n s_n) / P_n.$$

Similarly we denote by  $W_n$  and  $\tilde{W}_n$  the weighted means of the second and the third series of (1).

The case  $p_n = 1/n$  is the first logarithmic mean. About the weighted means J. Meder and Z. Zdrojewski [3] proved the following

**Theorem II.** Suppose that  $p_n > 0$ ,  $(p_n)$  is convex or concave and

$$(2) \quad 0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)p_n / P_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)p_n / P_n < \infty.$$

If

$$(3) \quad w_n = o(m_n^{-1}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(4) \quad \Delta m_n = O(m_n/n) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $W_n = \tilde{W}_n + o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This theorem does not contain Theorem I as a particular case, since the first logarithmic means do not satisfy the condition (2). We shall prove the following

**Theorem.** *Suppose that*

$$(5) \quad p_n \downarrow 0, \quad p_n/p_{n+1} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad P_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*In order that  $W_n = \tilde{W}_n + o(1)$  for all  $(w_n)$  satisfying the condition (3) it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n P_k m_k^{-1} \Delta m_{k+1} = O(P_n) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the first logarithmic means satisfy the condition (5), this theorem gives the solution for the problem P 471 and gives also the solution of P 472 in the case of weighted means. The case  $p_n \downarrow 0$  in Theorem II is a particular case of this Theorem. For the case  $p_n \downarrow 0$  we can find a necessary and sufficient condition from the proof of this Theorem, but it is not so simple as (6).

**2. Proof of the Theorem.** By the definition and Abel's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n W_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k m_k \sum_{j=k}^n p_j = \sum_{k=1}^n (s_k - s_{k-1}) m_k \sum_{j=k}^n p_j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} s_k \left( m_k \sum_{j=k}^n p_j - m_{k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n p_j \right) + s_n m_n p_n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (t_k - t_{k-1}) \left( m_k \sum_{j=k}^n p_j + m_{k+1} p_k \right) + (t_n - t_{n-1}) m_n p_n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} t_k \left( \Delta^2 m_k \sum_{j=k}^n p_j + \Delta m_{k+1} \cdot p_k + \Delta(m_{k+1} p_k) \right) \\ &\quad + t_{n-1} (\Delta m_{n-1} \cdot (p_{n-1} + p_n) + m_n \Delta p_{n-1}) + t_n m_n p_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad P_n W_n &= P_n \tilde{W}_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} t_k (2\Delta m_{k+1} \cdot p_k + \Delta p_k \cdot m_{k+2}) \\ &\quad + t_{n-1} (\Delta m_{n-1} \cdot (p_{n-1} + p_n) + m_n \Delta p_{n-1} - \Delta^2 m_{n-1} \cdot (p_{n-1} + p_n)) \\ &\quad + t_n (m_n p_n - \Delta^2 m_n \cdot p_n) \\ &= P_n \tilde{W}_n + X_n + Y_n + Z_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$P_n w_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{j=k}^n p_j = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k p_k$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad s_n &= p_n^{-1} (P_n w_n - P_{n-1} w_{n-1}), \\ t_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n s_k = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{-1} (P_k w_k - P_{k-1} w_{k-1}) \\ &= P_n w_n p_n^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k w_k \Delta(p_k^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (8) into (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \left( P_k w_k p_k^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j w_j \Delta(p_j^{-1}) \right) (2p_k \Delta m_{k+1} + m_{k+2} \Delta p_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k w_k p_k^{-1} (2p_k \Delta m_{k+1} + m_{k+2} \Delta p_k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} (2p_k \Delta m_{k+1} + m_{k+2} \Delta p_k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j w_j \Delta(p_j^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k w_k p_k^{-1} (2p_k \Delta m_{k+1} + m_{k+2} \Delta p_k) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} P_j w_j \Delta(p_j^{-1}) \sum_{k=j+1}^{n-2} (2p_k \Delta m_{k+1} + m_{k+2} \Delta p_k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k w_k \left( 2\Delta m_{k+1} + m_{k+2} p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2\Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} + \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} m_{j+2} \Delta p_j \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &m_{k+2} p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k + \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} m_{j+2} \Delta p_j \\
 &= p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k \left( m_{k+2} - p_{k+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} m_{j+2} \Delta p_j \right) \\
 &= p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k \left( \Delta m_{k+2} + p_{k+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=k+2}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} + p_{n-1} m_n \right) \\
 &= -\Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} + p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k \cdot p_{n-1} m_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(9) \quad X_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k w_k \left( 2\Delta m_{k+1} + \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} + p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k \cdot p_{n-1} m_n \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_n &= t_{n-1} ((p_{n-1} + p_n) \Delta m_n + m_n \Delta p_{n-1}) \\
 &= \left( P_n w_n p_n^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k w_k \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \right) \left( (p_{n-1} + p_n) \Delta m_n + m_n \Delta p_{n-1} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_n &= t_n (p_n m_n - p_n \Delta^2 m_n) \\
 &= (p_n m_n - p_n \Delta^2 m_n) \left( P_n w_n p_n^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k w_k \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the condition (3), the last term of  $X_n$  is

$$(10) \quad p_{n-1} m_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k w_k p_k^{-1} \Delta p_k = o \left( P_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \Delta p_k \right) = o(P_n),$$

since  $p_k^{-1} \uparrow$  and  $m_k^{-1} \uparrow$ , and

$$(11) \quad Y_n = o \left( P_n m_n^{-1} \Delta m_n + p_n P_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\Delta(p_k^{-1})| \right) = o(P_n)$$

since  $p_{n-1}/p_n = O(1)$ , and further,

$$(12) \quad Z_n = o \left( P_n + p_n P_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \right) = o(P_n).$$

Collecting (7), (9), (10), (11), and (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad P_n W_n &= P_n \tilde{W}_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k w_k \left( 2\Delta m_{k+1} + \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} \right) \\
 &\quad + o(P_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad 0 &\leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k m_k^{-1} \Delta(p_k^{-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} \\
 &= -\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} P_k m_k^{-1} \Delta(p_k^{-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} p_j \Delta m_{j+1} \cdot P_{j-1} m_{j-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |\Delta(p_k^{-1})| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} P_{j-1} m_{j-1}^{-1} \Delta m_{j+1} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} P_j m_j^{-1} \Delta m_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (13) becomes

$$P_n W_n = P_n \tilde{W}_n + o\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k m_k^{-1} \Delta m_{k+1}\right) + o(1).$$

This proves the sufficiency of the condition (6). The necessity of the condition (6) is seen by (13) and (14). Thus the Theorem is proved.

### References

- [1] J. Meder: On a lemma of S. Kaczmarz. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, **12**, 253-258 (1964).
- [2] S. Kaczmarz: Sur la convergence et sommabilité des développements orthogonaux. *Studia Mathematica*, **1**, 87-121 (1929), Lemma 5 (p. 111).
- [3] J. Meder and Z. Zdrojewski: On a relation between some special methods of summation. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, **19**, 131-142 (1968).