

54. A Remark on the Theorem of Bishop

By Chikara WATANABE

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1969)

1. On normality of a family of pure-dimensional analytic sets in a domain of C^n , the following theorem of Oka [4] is well-known.

Theorem of Oka. *Let F be a family of pure-dimensional analytic sets in a domain of C^n . Then F is analytically normal if and only if the volumes of elements of F are locally uniformly bounded.*

This theorem was proved by T. Nishino [3] in the case of two variables. The proof of this theorem in the case of n variables was given in our former paper (Watanabe [6]).

On the other hand, the concept of geometric convergence was introduced by E. Bishop as follows.

Let $\{S_\nu\}$ be a sequence of closed subsets in a domain of C^n . It is said that $\{S_\nu\}$ converges geometrically to a closed set S if for any compact set K , $\{S_\nu \cap K\}$ is a convergent sequence in $\text{Comp}(K)^1$ and $S = \bigcup_K \lim(S_\nu \cap K)$ where K ranges over the compact sets. Further Bishop [1] proved the following.

Theorem of Bishop. *Let $\{S_\nu\}$ be a sequence of purely λ -dimensional analytic sets in a domain D of C^n . Suppose that $\{S_\nu\}$ converges geometrically to a closed set S in D . If the volumes of S_ν are uniformly bounded, then S is also an analytic set in D .*

We shall prove that in the above theorem of Bishop, S is also purely λ -dimensional if S is not empty.

2. Let $D = \Delta \times \{|w| < R\}$ be a domain of C^{n+1} , where Δ is a domain of (z_1, \dots, z_n) -space $C^n(z)$. Then the following proposition is well-known (for example, Fujita [2]).

Proposition. *Let S be a purely λ -dimensional analytic set in D . Assume that S is contained in $\Delta \times \{|w| < R_0\}$ for some positive number $R_0 < R$. Then the projection of S on Δ is also purely λ -dimensional analytic set in Δ .*

It follows from this:

Corollary. *Let $D = \Delta \times \{|w_1| < R\} \times \dots \times \{|w_\mu| < R\}$ be a domain of $C^{\lambda+\mu}$ and S be a purely λ -dimensional analytic set in D . If S is contained in $\Delta \times \{|w_1| < R_0\} \times \dots \times \{|w_\mu| < R_0\}$ for some positive number $R_0 < R$, then $\mathfrak{A} = (S, \pi, \Delta)$ is an analytic cover, where π is a projection.*

1) For a definition of $\text{Comp}(K)$, see [5].

Now let us prove the following

Theorem. *Let $\{S_\nu\}$ be a sequence of purely λ -dimensional analytic sets in a domain of C^n . If $\{S_\nu\}$ converges geometrically to a non-empty analytic set S , then the local dimension $\dim_p S$ at each point $p \in S$ is at least λ .*

Proof. Suppose that there holds $\dim_p S = k < \lambda$ for some point $p \in S$. For simplicity we may assume that p is the origin. After a suitable change of the coordinate system, we can choose $(n - k)$ pseudo-polynomials $P_{k+1}(z'; \zeta), P_{k+2}(z'; \zeta) \dots, P_n(z'; \zeta)$, whose coefficients are holomorphic functions of $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$, and positive numbers ε_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that

(i) $S \cap U \subset S^* = \{(z', z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n); P_l(z'; z_l) = 0, l = k + 1, \dots, n\}$ for a neighbourhood U of the origin.

(ii) the roots of $P_l(z'; \zeta) = 0$ are all contained in the disc $|\zeta| < \varepsilon_l$ ($l = k + 1, \dots, n$) for $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ with $|z_j| \leq \varepsilon_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$). For sufficiently small positive numbers r, ρ , the polydisc $\Omega = \Delta \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho\}$ is a relatively compact subset of U , where $\Delta = \{z'; |z_j| < r, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

We may assume that for $z' \in \Delta$, the roots of $P_l(z'; \zeta) = 0$ are all contained in the disc $|\zeta| < \frac{\rho}{2} = \rho'$. Then S^* is an analytic set in Ω and $S^* \cap \Omega \subset \Delta \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho'\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho'\}$. Since $\{S_\nu\}$ converges geometrically to S , it follows that $\lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap \bar{\Omega}) \subset S \cap \bar{\Omega}$, and it is easily seen that for a positive number ρ'' ($\rho' < \rho'' < \rho$) there is a positive integer ν_0 such that $S_\nu \cap \Omega$ is contained in $\Delta \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho''\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho''\}$ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$. On the other hand, denoting $\Delta \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho\}$ by $\bar{\Delta}$, we have $\Omega = \bar{\Delta} \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho\}$ and so $S_\nu \cap \Omega \subset \bar{\Delta} \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho''\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho''\}$. But from the corollary of Proposition, the projection of $S_\nu \cap \Omega$ on the (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) -space is Δ . This contradicts the fact that $S_\nu \cap \Omega$ is contained in $\Delta \times \{|z_{k+1}| < \rho''\} \times \dots \times \{|z_n| < \rho''\}$. Q.E.D.

On the other hand, from the estimation of the Hausdorff measure and the relation between the volume and the Hausdorff measure, the dimension of S is at most λ if a sequence of purely λ -dimensional analytic sets converges geometrically to an analytic set S and if the 2λ -dimensional volumes of S_ν are uniformly bounded ([5]). Therefore we have from our theorem, the following

Corollary. *In the theorem of Bishop, if the limit set S is not empty, then S is also purely λ -dimensional.*

3. Here we shall give some properties of geometric and analytic convergence.

Let $\{S_\nu\}$ be a sequence of closed sets in a domain D of C^n . Sup-

pose that $\{S_\nu\}$ converges geometrically to a closed set S in D . Since $\lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu) \subset \lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_{\mu+1})$, we have $S \subset \lim_{\nu} \lim_{\mu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ for every sequence $\{K_\mu\}$ of compact sets such that $K_\mu \subset K_{\mu+1} \cdots$, and $D = \bigcup_{\mu} K_\mu$. On the other hand, we have $S \supset \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ from the very definition, and hence $S = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$. The converse is not necessarily true as the following example shows.

Example. Let $D = \{(z_1, z_2) \in C^2; |z_1 - 1| < 1, |z_2| < 1\}$ be a domain of C^2 and $\{S_n\}$ be a sequence of analytic sets such that $S_1 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D; z_1 = \frac{1}{2}\}$, $S_2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D; z_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\}$, \dots , $S_{2n} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D; z_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2^n}\}$, $S_{2n+1} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D; z_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n}\}$, \dots . Then since $S_{2n} \cap K \neq \emptyset$, and $S_{2n+1} \cap K = \emptyset$ for the compact set $K = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D; |z_1 - \frac{5}{4}| \leq \frac{1}{4}, |z_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$, $\{S_n\}$ does not converge geometrically. But it is obvious that $\lim_{\mu} \lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu) = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D; z_1 = 1\}$ for every exhaustion of D by compact sets K_μ . However if $\lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ exists for sufficiently large μ , then there is a subsequence $\{S_{\nu_j}\}$ of $\{S_\nu\}$ which converges geometrically to a closed set S^* and $\lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu) = \lim_{j} (S_{\nu_j} \cap K_\mu)$ for sufficiently large μ , and hence we have $S^* = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{j} (S_{\nu_j} \cap K_\mu) = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{j} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ for sufficiently large μ .

Summing up the above result, we have

Proposition 1. *If $\{S_\nu\}$ converges geometrically to a closed set S in D , then it holds that $S = \lim_{\nu} \lim_{\mu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ for every sequence $\{K_\mu\}$ of compact sets such that $K_\mu \subset K_{\mu+1} \cdots$, and $D = \bigcup_{\mu} K_\mu$. Further if $S = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ exists, then S is closed and there is a subsequence of $\{S_\nu\}$ which converges geometrically to S .*

Next we consider the case of analytic convergence of a sequence of pure-dimensional analytic sets.

Suppose that a sequence $\{S_\nu\}$ of pure-dimensional analytic sets in a domain D of C^n converges analytically to S .²⁾ We shall show that $S \cap K = \lim_{\nu} (S_\nu \cap K)$ for a compact set K such that $S \cap K \neq \emptyset$.

Let $S^{(\varepsilon)} = \bigcup_{z' \in S} \{z; \rho(z, z') < \varepsilon\}$, where $\rho(z, z')$ means the Euclid distance between z and z' . If $S_{\nu_j} \cap K - S^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for a sequence of positive integers $\nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots$, then we can choose a sequence of points $p_{\nu_j} \in S_{\nu_j} \cap K - S^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K$. Since K is compact it may be assumed that $p_{\nu_j} \rightarrow p$. From the assumption p is not contained in S . On the other

2) For a definition of analytic convergence, see [2], [6].

hand, from the definition of analytic convergence there are a neighbourhood U of p and holomorphic functions $f_k^{(\nu)}$, $k=1, 2, \dots, l$ in U such that $S_\nu \cap U = \{z \in U; f_k^{(\nu)}(z) = 0, k=1, 2, \dots, l\}$.

Moreover, since $f_k^{(\nu)}(z)$ converges uniformly to a holomorphic function $f_k^{(0)}(z)$ in U , $f_k^{(\nu_j)}(z)$ also converges uniformly to $f_k^{(0)}(z)$ in U . We have $|f_k^{(0)}(p)| = \delta_k > 0$ since p is not contained in S . But since $f_k^{(\nu_j)}(z)$ converges uniformly to $f_k^{(0)}(z)$, it holds $|f_k^{(0)}(p)| \leq |f_k^{(0)}(p) - f_k^{(0)}(p_{\nu_j})| + |f_k^{(0)}(p_{\nu_j}) - f_k^{(\nu_j)}(p_{\nu_j})| < \delta_k$ for sufficiently large j . This is a contradiction and hence we have $S_{\nu_j} \cap K - S^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K = \emptyset$ for sufficiently large j .

Thus there is a positive integer ν_0 depending only on ε such that $S_\nu \cap K \subset S^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K$ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$. This means that $\{S_\nu \cap K\}$ converges to $S \cap K$ in $\text{Comp}(K)$. Thus we have

Proposition 2. *If a sequence $\{S_\nu\}$ of pure-dimensional analytic sets converges analytically to S , then it holds that $S = \lim \lim (S_\nu \cap K)$ for every sequence $\{K_\mu\}$ of compact sets such that $K_\mu \subset K_{\mu+1} \dots$, and $D = \bigcup_\mu K_\mu$.*

Remark. Even if $\lim (S_\nu \cap K_\mu)$ exists, the sheet numbers of S_ν need not be bounded. Hence we can not always choose a subsequence of $\{S_\nu\}$ which converges analytically. Such an example was given in former paper ([6]).

References

- [1] E. Bishop: Conditions for the analyticity of certain sets. *Mich. Math. Jour.*, **11**, 289-304 (1964).
- [2] O. Fujita: Sur les familles d'ensembles analytiques. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **16** (1964).
- [3] T. Nishino: Sur les familles de surfaces analytiques. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **1**, 357-377 (1962).
- [4] K. Oka: Note sur les familles de fonctions analytiques multiformes etc. *J. Hiroshima Univ.*, **4** (1934).
- [5] G. Stolzenberg: Volumes, limits, and extensions of analytic varieties. *Lecture note in Math.*, No. 19, Springer-Verlag (1966).
- [6] C. Watanabe: On a family of pure-dimensional analytic sets (to appear in the *Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ.*).