

77. The Theory of Nuclear Spaces Treated by the Method of Ranked Space. II

By Yasujirô NAGAKURA
Science University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1971)

In the paper [3], we defined the neighbourhood having a rank in the nuclear space Φ .

Now in this note we shall prove that the space Φ above is a linear ranked space.

§ 3. Definition of unit ball. Following § 2, we suppose the mappings $T_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}}$, $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$, in the nuclear space Φ . Furthermore, we consider a fixed sequence of real numbers $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \varepsilon_1 = 1 \\ (2) \quad & 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k, n_i, n_{i+1}} \right) \varepsilon_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_i \\ (3) \quad & 0 < \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we define $V_i(0, 1, m) \equiv U_i(0, \varepsilon_i, m)$ as the unit ball of neighbourhood with rank i in regarding to m .

In particular, we define that the neighbourhood with rank 0, V_0 , is always the space Φ .

By the definition of $U_i(0, \varepsilon_i, m)$ in § 2, it is easily verified to be $rV_i(0, 1, m) = V_i(0, r, m)$ for any $r > 0$.

Then we shall call number r the radius of neighbourhood $V_i(0, r, m)$.

Lemma 5. We have $V_j(0, 1, m) \supseteq V_i(0, 1, m)$ if $j \leq i$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, it is clear.

Lemma 6. We have $V_i(0, 1, m') \supseteq V_i(0, 1, m)$ if $m' \leq m$.

Lemma 7. We have $V_i(0, r, m) \supseteq V_i(0, r', m)$ if $r' \leq r$.

Now, we shall define the fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods.

Definition 1. When a sequence of neighbourhoods $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ satisfies the following conditions, it is called the fundamental sequence.

- (1) there exists some integer i_0 such that $V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) = V_0$
for $0 \leq i \leq i_0$,
- (2) $r_i \leq r_{i+1}$ for $i > i_0$ and $r_i \rightarrow \infty$,
- (3) $r_i \geq r_{i+1}$ for $i > i_0$ and $r_i \rightarrow 0$,
- (4) $m_i \leq m_{i+1}$ for $i > i_0$ and $m_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 8. If $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods, then $g \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$ for every i implies $g = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, it is clear.

Lemma 9. (1) $V_i(0, r, m)$ is circled.

$$(2) \quad V_i(0, r, m) + V_i(0, r', m') \subseteq V_i(0, r + r', \min(m, m')).$$

Proof. (1) Since we have $V_i(0, r, m) = rV_i(0, 1, m) = rU_i(0, \varepsilon_i, m)$ by the definition, it is clear.

(2) The relations $g \in V_i(0, r, m)$ and $g' \in V_i(0, r', m')$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\min(m, m')} \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g + g', \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\|_{n_{i-1}} \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\|_{n_{i-1}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m'} \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g', \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\|_{n_{i-1}} < r\varepsilon_i + r'\varepsilon_i = (r + r')\varepsilon_i. \end{aligned}$$

And hence $g + g'$ is contained in $V_i(0, r + r', \min(m, m'))$.

A neighbourhood of the origin in the space Φ is representable, except V_0 , in the form $V_i(0, r, m) = rV_i(0, 1, m)$, where $V_i(0, 1, m)$ is the unit ball and number r is a strictly positive real number.

Thus we shall define $V_i(g, r, m) \equiv g + V_i(0, r, m)$ as the neighbourhood of a point g in the space Φ .

Now we shall prove that the space Φ satisfies the three conditions, i.e. (RL_1) , (RL_2) , and (RL_3) in Washihara [2].

Condition (RL_1) . Suppose that $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ and $\{V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i)\}$ are two arbitrary fundamental sequences of neighbourhoods.

By the definition in the paper [3], there exists some integer i_0 such that for all $i > i_0$, $\gamma_i \uparrow \infty$, $r_i \downarrow 0$, $m_i \uparrow \infty$, $\gamma'_i \uparrow \infty$, $r'_i \downarrow 0$ and $m'_i \uparrow \infty$. And then we see that $\min(\gamma_i, \gamma'_i) \uparrow \infty$, $(r_i + r'_i) \downarrow 0$ and $\min(m_i, m'_i) \uparrow \infty$ for all $i > i_0$.

Thus if we set $V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) \equiv V_0$ for $i \leq i_0$ and

$$V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) \equiv V_{\min(r_i, r'_i)}(0, r_i + r'_i, \min(m, m')) \quad \text{for } i > i_0,$$

we obtain the fundamental sequence $\{V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i)\}$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) + V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) & \subseteq V_{\min(r_i, r'_i)}(0, r_i, m_i) + V_{\min(r_i, r'_i)}(0, r'_i, m'_i) \\ & \subseteq V_{\min(r_i, r'_i)}(0, r_i + r'_i, \min(m_i, m'_i)), \end{aligned}$$

the proof is complete.

Condition (RL_2) (i). Let $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ be any fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods. Then $\{\lambda V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ is also fundamental for any scalar λ , since $\lambda V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) = V_{r_i}(0, |\lambda| r_i, m_i)$.

Condition (RL_2) (ii). For any point g in Φ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ with $\lim \lambda_n = 0$, we shall prove that there exists a fundamental sequence $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ such that $\lambda_i g \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$.

First, take $\{m_i\}$ to be a sequence of the strictly positive integers with $m_i \uparrow \infty$.

Second, let $\{r'_n\}$ be the sequence of real numbers with $r'_n > 0$ and $r'_n \downarrow 0$, where $r'_1 \equiv \|\lambda_1\| \|\sum_{k=1}^{m_1} \lambda_{k, 1, n_1}(g, \varphi_{k, n_1})_{n_1} \varphi_{k, 1}\|_1$. Since $\lim \lambda_n = 0$, there exists some integer h_1 such that

$$|\lambda_n| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \lambda_{k, n_1, n_2}(g, \varphi_{k, n_2})_{n_2} \varphi_{k, n_1} \right\|_{n_1} < r'_2 \varepsilon_2, \quad \text{for all } n > h_1.$$

Similarly, we find some integer h_2 such that $h_2 > h_1$ and

$$|\lambda_n| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_3} \lambda_{k,n_2,n_3}(g, \varphi_{k,n_3})_{n_3} \varphi_{k,n_2} \right\|_{n_2} < r'_3 \varepsilon_3, \quad \text{for all } n > h_2.$$

In the same manner, we obtain the sequence $\{h_n\}$.

Now, we set

$$r_1 = 2 \max_{i=1 \dots h_1} |\lambda_i| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} \lambda_{k,1,n_1}(g, \varphi_{k,n_1})_{n_1} \varphi_{k,1} \right\|_1$$

$$r_2 = r'_2, r_3 = r'_3, \dots$$

Hence we have

$$V_1(0, r_1, m_1) \ni \lambda_1 g, \lambda_2 g, \dots, \lambda_{h_1-1} g,$$

$$V_2(0, r_2, m_2) \ni \lambda_{h_1} g, \lambda_{h_1+1} g, \dots, \lambda_{h_2-1} g,$$

$$V_3(0, r_3, m_3) \ni \lambda_{h_2} g, \lambda_{h_2+1} g, \dots, \lambda_{h_3-1} g,$$

.....

If we set $V_{r_0}(0, r_0, m_0) \equiv V_0, V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) \equiv V_1(0, r_1, m_1)$ for $0 < i < h_1$ and $V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) \equiv V_2(0, r_2, m_2)$ for $h_1 \leq i < h_2$, etc., we complete the proof.

Condition (RL₃). It is clear from the definition.

Lemma 10. For any neighbourhood $V_i(0, r, m)$ and any fundamental $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$, there exists some integer j such that

$$V_i(0, r, m) \supseteq V_{r_j}(0, r_j, m_j).$$

Proof. Since $\gamma_i \uparrow \infty, r_i \downarrow 0$ and $m_i \uparrow \infty$, there exists some integer j such that $i < \gamma_j, r > r_j$ and $m < m_j$. Hence it is clear.

Definition 2. A sequence $\{g_n\}$ in Φ is called a R -Cauchy sequence of elements in Φ , if there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ such that the relations $n \geq i$ and $m \geq i$ imply $g_n - g_m \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$.

Definition 3. We say that two R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ are equivalent, if there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ such that $g_i - f_i \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$ for all i . And we write $\{g_n\} \sim \{f_n\}$.

Lemma 11. The equivalence by Definition 3 is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Proof. We see easily that it is reflexive and symmetric. Then we shall prove that it is transitive. If $\{g_n\} \sim \{g'_n\}$ and $\{g'_n\} \sim \{g''_n\}$, there exist some $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ and $\{V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i)\}$ such that $g_i - g'_i \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$ and $g'_i - g''_i \in V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i)$ for all i . And then we have

$$g_i - g''_i \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) + V_{r'_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) \subset V_{\min(r_i, r'_i)}(0, r_i + r'_i, \min(m_i, m'_i)).$$

Since $\{V_{\min(r_i, r'_i)}(0, r_i + r'_i, \min(m_i, m'_i))\}$ is fundamental, this proof is now complete.

Lemma 12. Suppose $\{g_n\}$ to be a sequence in Φ . Then if to any neighbourhood $V(0, r, m)$ there corresponds some integer N such that the relations $n \geq N$ and $m \geq N$ imply $g_n - g_m \in V(0, r, m)$, $\{g_n\}$ is a R -Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Let $\{V_i(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ be a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods. Then there exists a sequence of integers $\{N_i\}$ such that the

relations $n \geq N_i$ and $m \geq N_i$ imply $g_n - g_m \in V_i(0, r_i, m_i)$ and $N_i < N_{i+1}$.

Since $\{V_i(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ is fundamental, there exists an integer j such that $V_i(0, r_i, m_i) = V_0$ for $0 \leq i < j$ and $V_i(0, r_i, m_i) \neq V_0$ for $i \geq j$.

Now we set $V_{r_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) = V_0$ for $0 \leq i < N_j$, $V_{r_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) = V_j(0, r_j, m_j)$ for $N_j \leq i < N_{j+1}$ and $V_{r_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i) = V_{j+1}(0, r_{j+1}, m_{j+1})$ for $N_{j+1} \leq i < N_{j+2}$, etc.,

Then $\{V_{r_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i)\}$ is fundamental such that the relations $n \geq i$ and $m \geq i$ imply $g_n - g_m \in V_{r_i}(0, r'_i, m'_i)$.

Hence $\{g_n\}$ is a R -Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 13. *The sequence $\{g_n\}$ in Φ is a R -Cauchy sequence if and only if, for any $V(0, r, m)$ there exists some integer N such that the relations $n \geq N$ and $m \geq N$ imply $g_n - g_m \in V(0, r, m)$.*

Proof. It is clear.

References

- [1] K. Kunugi: Sur la méthode des espaces rangés. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 318–322, 549–554 (1966).
- [2] M. Washihara: On ranked spaces and linearity. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **45**, 238–242 (1969).
- [3] Y. Nagakura: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 337–341 (1971).
- [4] I. M. Gel'fand and N. Y. Vilenkin: Generalized Functions, Vol. 4 (1964).