

### 13. On Deformations of Holomorphic Maps

By Eiji HORIKAWA

University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1972)

**0. Introduction.** The modern deformation theory has begun with the splendid work of Kodaira-Spencer [1] followed by [2], [3]. Moreover Kodaira has investigated families of submanifolds of a fixed compact complex manifold in [4]. The next natural problem is to investigate "deformations of holomorphic maps". I intend to give here a statement of fundamental results and some applications. Details will be published elsewhere.

**1. Notations and conventions.** We denote by  $X, Y, Z$  compact complex manifolds and by  $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M, q: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow N, \pi: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow S$  complex analytic families of compact complex manifolds (see [1] for the definition).

We say that two holomorphic maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f': X' \rightarrow Y$  are equivalent if there exists a complex analytic isomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X'$  such that  $f = f' \circ h$ .

**2. Deformations of non-degenerate holomorphic maps.** By a family of holomorphic maps into a fixed compact complex manifold  $Y$ , we mean a quadruplet  $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi, p, M)$  of complex analytic family  $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$  and a holomorphic map  $\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = Y \times M$  over  $M$  in the sense that  $p = pr_2 \circ \Phi$ .

We define the concept of completeness of a family of holomorphic maps into  $Y$  as in the theory of deformations of compact complex manifolds [1].

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi, p, M)$  be a family of holomorphic maps into  $Y, 0 \in M, X = X_0 = p^{-1}(0)$  and let  $f = \Phi_0: X \rightarrow Y$  be the induced holomorphic map. Then we have an exact sequence of sheaves on  $X$ :

$$\theta_X \xrightarrow{F} f^* \theta_Y \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector fields,  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{X/Y}$  is the cokernel of the canonical homomorphism  $F$  and  $P$  is the natural projection.

For simplicity we assume that  $f$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\text{rank}_z df = \dim X$  for some point  $z \in X$ ). Then the homomorphism  $F$  is injective. If  $f$  is an embedding,  $\mathcal{I}$  is nothing but the normal bundle  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Now we define a characteristic map

$$\tau = \tau_0: T_0(M) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I})$$

( $T_0(M)$  is the tangent space of  $M$  at 0) by the formula

$$\tau \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = P \left( \sum \frac{\partial \Phi^i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^i} \right) \quad \text{for } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \in T_0(M)$$

(where  $w=(w^1, \dots, w^m)$  is a system of local coordinates on  $Y$ ).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi, p, M)$  be a family of non-degenerate holomorphic maps into  $Y$ ,  $0 \in M, X=X_0$  and  $f=\Phi_0: X \rightarrow Y$ . If the characteristic map  $\tau_0$  is surjective, then the family is complete at 0.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-degenerate holomorphic map. If  $H^1(X, \mathcal{T})=0$ , then there exists a family  $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi, p, M)$  of holomorphic maps into  $Y$  and a point  $0 \in M$  such that*

- i)  $\Phi_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is equivalent to  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,
- ii)  $\tau_0: T_0(M) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T})$  is bijective.

The proof of each theorem is analogous to that of the corresponding theorem in [2], [3].

**3. General case.** Let  $\{U_i\}$  be a fixed finite Stein covering of  $X$ . In the situation of section 1, if we do not assume that  $f$  is non-degenerate, we must replace  $H^0(X, \mathcal{T})$  by

$$D_{X/Y} = \frac{\{(\tau_i, \rho_{ij}) : \tau_i \in \Gamma(U_i, f^*\Theta_Y), \rho_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \Theta_X) \\ \tau_j - \tau_i = F\rho_{ij}, \rho_{jk} - \rho_{ik} + \rho_{ij} = 0\}}{\{(Fg_i, g_j - g_i) : g_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \Theta_X)\}}.$$

Then we can define a characteristic map

$$\tau: T_0(M) \rightarrow D_{X/Y}.$$

**Theorem 1'.** *In the situation of Theorem 1, we do not assume that  $f$  is non-degenerate. If  $\tau: T_0(M) \rightarrow D_{X/Y}$  is surjective, then the family is complete at 0.*

**Theorem 2'.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map. If*

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{T})=0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(X, \Theta_{X/Y})=0$$

( $\Theta_{X/Y}$  is the sheaf of germs of relative vector fields), then there exist a family  $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi, p, M)$  of holomorphic maps into  $Y$  and a point  $0 \in M$  such that

- i)  $\Phi_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is equivalent to  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,
- ii)  $\tau: T_0(M) \rightarrow D_{X/Y}$  is bijective.

**4. Costabilities.**

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose that*

- i)  $f^*: H^1(Y, \Theta_Y) \rightarrow H^1(X, f^*\Theta_Y)$  is surjective,
- ii)  $f^*: H^2(Y, \Theta_Y) \rightarrow H^2(X, f^*\Theta_Y)$  is injective.

*Then for any family  $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$  of deformations of  $X=X_0(0 \in M)$ , there exist a family  $q: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow M$  of deformations of  $Y=Y_0$  and a holomorphic map  $\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  over  $M$  such that  $\Phi_0$  coincides with  $f$  (restricting  $M$  on a neighborhood of 0 if necessary).*

The relative version of Theorem 3 is

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be holomorphic maps, and let  $h=g \circ f$ . Assume that*

- i)  $f^*: H^0(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Y/Z}) \rightarrow H^0(X, f^*\mathcal{I}_{Y/Z})$  is surjective,
- ii)  $f^*: H^1(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Y/Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, f^*\mathcal{I}_{Y/Z})$  is injective,
- iii)  $f^*: H^1(Y, \Theta_Y) \rightarrow H^1(X, f^*\Theta_Y)$  is injective.

Then for any commutative diagram

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{Z} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{s} & S \end{array} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{array}{l} X = X_0(0 \in M), Z = Z_0(0' \in S) \\ \gamma_0 = h \text{ and } s(0) = 0' \end{array}$$

there exists a family  $q: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow M$  such that the diagram (\*) is factored into

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow q & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & M & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

(restricting  $M$  on a neighborhood of  $0$  in  $M$  if necessary).

5. Applications. I) Equi-blowing-down. The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a monoidal transformation with a non-singular center  $D$ . Then for any family  $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$  of deformations of  $X = X_0(0 \in M)$ , there exist a family  $q: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow M$  of deformations of  $Y = Y_0$ , a holomorphic map  $\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  over  $M$ , a family  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow M$  of deformations of  $D = D_0$  and an embedding  $J: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  over  $M$  such that*

- i)  $\Phi_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  coincides with  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,
- ii)  $J_0: D_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  coincides with  $D \subset Y$ ,
- iii)  $\Phi_t: X_t \rightarrow Y_t$  is the monoidal transformation with center  $D_t$  for  $t \in M$

(restricting  $M$  on a neighborhood of  $0$  if necessary).

II) Deformations of algebraic manifolds with ample canonical bundle.

We say that a compact complex manifold  $X$  is unobstructed if there exists a family  $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$  of deformations of  $X = X_0(0 \in M)$  such that the infinitesimal deformation map

$$\rho: T_0(M) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_X)$$

is surjective (cf. [1]).  $X$  is called obstructed if it is not unobstructed.

We give here an example of an obstructed  $X$  which has ample canonical bundle. By a result of Mumford [5], we can find a monoidal transformation  $Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$  whose center is a non-singular space curve  $\gamma$  of degree 14 and of genus 24 such that  $Y$  is obstructed. Let  $X$  be a hypersurface in  $Y$  of sufficiently high order, then the canonical bundle of  $X$  is ample and  $X$  is obstructed; for if not, we can prove that  $Y$  is also unobstructed by virtue of Theorem 3, which is a contradiction.

**Remark.** The surface  $X$  constructed above is a non-singular model of a singular hypersurface  $X'$  in  $\mathbf{P}^3$  of order  $\nu$  which has  $\gamma$  as an  $m$ -fold curve ( $\nu \gg 0, m \gg 0$ ).

If we assume that  $X$  is a submanifold of an abelian variety and that the canonical bundle is ample, then we can prove that  $X$  is unobstructed by induction on  $\dim X$ , by virtue of Theorem 4.

### References

- [ 1 ] Kodaira, K., and Spencer, D. C.: On deformations of complex analytic structures. I, II. *Ann. of Math.*, **67**, 328–466 (1958).
- [ 2 ] —: A theorem of completeness for complex analytic fibre spaces. *Acta Math.*, **100**, 281–294 (1958).
- [ 3 ] Kodaira, K., Nirenberg, L., and Spencer, D. C.: On the existence of deformations of complex analytic structures. *Ann. of Math.*, **68**, 450–459 (1958).
- [ 4 ] Kodaira, K.: A theorem of completeness of characteristic systems for analytic families of compact submanifolds of complex manifolds. *Ann. of Math.*, **75**, 146–162 (1962).
- [ 5 ] Mumford, D.: Further pathologies in algebraic geometry. *Amer. Jour. of Math.*, **84**, 642–648 (1962).