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1. Introduction and Theorem. Let $P$ be a $x_{0}$-strictly hyperbolic $2 p \times 2 p$-system of differential operators of first order defined over a $C^{\infty}$-cylinder $R^{1} \times \Omega \subset R^{n+1}$. Let $B$ be a $p \times 2 p$-system of functions defined on the boundary $\Gamma$ of $R^{1} \times \Omega$. We consider the following mixed problems under certain conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P(x, D) u=f & x \in R^{1} \times \Omega & \left(x_{0}>0\right) \\
B(x) u=g & x \in \Gamma & \left(x_{0}>0\right), \\
u=h & \text { on } x_{0}=0 &
\end{array}
$$

where $\sqrt{-1} D=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right)$.
For the sake of simplicity of descriptions, we may only consider the case where $\Omega=\left\{x_{n}>0\right\}$, by the localization process. Then our assumptions are the following:
(I) $\alpha$ ) The coefficients of $P$ and $B$ are real, belong to $C^{\infty}\left(R^{1} \times \bar{\Omega}\right)$ and constant outside some compact set of $R^{1} \times \bar{\Omega}$.
$\beta$ ) For $P$, it satisfies the \# condition with respect to $\Gamma$ and for fixed real $(x, \tau, \sigma)$ there is at most one real double root $\lambda$ of $|P|(x, \tau, \sigma, \lambda)$ $=0$ where $x \in \Gamma$. Furthermore it is non-characteristic with respect to $\Gamma$ and it is normal, i.e.

$$
|P|(x, 0, \sigma, \lambda) \neq 0
$$

for any real $(\sigma, \lambda) \neq 0$.
$\gamma$ ) The $p$ row-vectors of $B(x)$ are linearly independent, where $x \in \Gamma$.
(II) $\alpha$ ) If the Lopatinsky determinant $R\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)=0$ for a real point $\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ such that there are no real double roots $\lambda$ of $|P|\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}, \lambda\right)=0$, then

$$
\left|R\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}-i \gamma, \sigma_{0}\right)\right| \geq 0\left(\gamma^{1}\right) \quad(\gamma>0)
$$

Furthermore if there is at least one real simple root $\lambda\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$, the zero set of $R(x, \tau \pm i \gamma, \sigma)$ in some neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ is in the set $\{\gamma=0\}$.
$\beta$ If $R\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)=0$ for a real point $\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ such that there are real double roots $\lambda$ of $|P|\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}, \lambda\right)=0$, then

$$
\left|R\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}-i \gamma, \sigma_{0}\right)\right| \geq 0\left(\gamma^{1 / 2}\right) \quad(\gamma>0)
$$

Furthermore if there is at least one real simple root $\lambda$, the rank of the

Hessian of $R(x, \tau, \sigma)$ at its zeros in some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\text { codim. of }\{R(x, \tau, \sigma)=0\} \quad \text { in } R^{2 n-1}
$$

Where the zero set of $R(x, \tau, \sigma)$ in some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ is preassumed to be a regular submanifold of $R^{2 n}$.
$\gamma$ ) Moreover, if there is at least one non-real root $\lambda$ of $|P|\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}, \lambda\right)=0$ for the point $\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ which satisfies the condition $\beta$ ), then for some smooth and non-singular matrix $S(x, \tau-i \gamma, \sigma)$ with $\gamma \geq 0$ defined on some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$, one of the corresponding coupling coefficients $b_{\mathrm{IIII}}(x, \tau, \sigma)$ is real whenever $\tau$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}(x, \tau, \sigma)$ are real (For definitions, see § 2).
(III) Any constant coefficients problems frozen the coefficient at boundary are $L^{2}$-well posed.

Then we have the following
Theorem. Under assumptions (I), (II), (III), the mixed problem is $L^{2}$-well posed.

The aim of the present note is to describe the outline of our proof of the above assertion. Here we use essentially the conception of reflection coefficients ([1], [2]) and modifying Kreiss' consideration ([4]) we make use of the micro-localization of the characterization for $L^{2}-$ well posed mixed problem of order two ([1], [3] and [7]).
2. The outline of the proof. Considering the assumption (I) let $S(x, \tau-i \gamma, \sigma)(\gamma \geq 0)$ be a smooth, non-singular matrix defined on some neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
S^{-1} P S=E D_{n}-A(x, \tau-i \gamma, \sigma)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\lambda_{\mathrm{I}}^{+} & & & & \\
& \lambda_{\mathrm{I}}^{-} & & & \\
& & A_{\mathrm{II}} & \\
& & & A_{\mathrm{III}}^{+} & \\
& & & \\
\lambda_{\mathrm{III}}^{-}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \lambda_{\mathrm{I}}^{ \pm}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\cdot & & & \\
& \cdot & & \\
& \lambda_{i}^{ \pm} & \\
& & & \\
& & & .
\end{array}\right), \quad i \in \mathrm{I}, \quad|\mathrm{I}|=r,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda_{i}^{ \pm}$are real for $\gamma=0$, and $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{i}^{+}\left(\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{i}^{-}\right)>0(<0)$ respectively if $\gamma>0$. Next for $\tau_{0}=\tau_{0}(x, \sigma)$

$$
A_{\mathrm{II}}\left(x, \tau_{0}, \sigma\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a(x, 0, \sigma) & 1 \\
0 & a(x, 0, \sigma)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here we may restrict ourself to the case where the eigenvalue of $A_{\text {II }}(x, \tau, \sigma)$ are described by the following form in some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$;

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{II}}^{ \pm}=a(x, \zeta, \sigma) \mp \sqrt{\zeta \bar{b}}(x, \zeta, \sigma) \quad(\sqrt{1}=1)
$$

$a(x, \zeta, \sigma), b(x, \zeta, \sigma)$ are real when $\zeta$ is real, $b(x, \zeta, \sigma) \neq 0, \tau_{0}=\tau_{0}\left(x_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$,
$\tau=\zeta+\tau_{0}(x, \sigma)$ and $\tau_{0}(x, \sigma)$ is real and positive.
Furthermore $A_{\text {III }}^{ \pm}$have only non-real eigenvalues for any $\gamma \geq 0$ and the ones of $A_{\text {III }}^{+}$have positive imaginary parts.

Let $B S=\left(V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{I}}^{-}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}, V_{\text {III }}^{-}\right)$. Where $V_{\mathrm{I}}^{ \pm}$are $(p \times r)$-matrices, $V_{\text {II }}^{\prime}, \quad V_{\text {II }}^{\prime \prime}$ are $p$-vectors and $V_{\text {III }}^{ \pm}$are ( $p \times s$ )-matrices respectively $(2 r+2+2 s=2 p)$.

Let $S_{\mathrm{II}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}-h_{11} \zeta-a}{1+h_{12} \zeta}, & 1\end{array}\right), \quad a=a(x, 0, \sigma)$
and let

$$
S^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{2 r} & & \\
& S_{\mathrm{II}} & \\
& & E_{2 s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $h_{i j}$ are the functions derived from $A_{\mathrm{II}}(x, \tau-i \gamma, \sigma)$. Furthermore we denote $B \cdot S \cdot S^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left(V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{I}}^{-}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{-}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{-}\right)(x, \tau, \sigma) .
$$

Then from our assumptions we obtain the following Lemmas. In particular from (I) $\gamma$ ), (II) $\alpha$ ) and (III), we see the following

Lemma 2.1. If for real $\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ there exist no real double roots $\lambda$, then there is neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ where
i) For some $V_{3, i}^{-}$the determinant

$$
\left|V_{1}^{+}, V_{3,1}^{+}, \cdots, V_{3, i-1}^{+}, V_{3, i}^{-}, V_{3, i+1}^{+}, \cdots, V_{3, s}^{+}\right| \neq 0
$$

where $V_{\text {III }}^{+}=\left(V_{3,1}^{+}, \cdots, V_{3, s}\right), s=p-\gamma, V_{3, i}^{+}$are $p$-column vectors (Here after let $i=1$.).
ii) For some $V_{3,1}^{+}$it belongs to the linear subspace $L\left(V_{3,2}^{+}, \cdots, V_{3,8}^{+}\right)$ spanned by the vectors $V_{3,2}^{+}, \cdots, V_{3, s}$.
iii) The column vectors of $V_{\overline{\mathrm{I}}}^{-}$belong to $L\left(V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{3,2}^{+}, \cdots, V_{3, s}^{+}\right)$. But ii) and iii) are only valid at the points $\in U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ such that the Lopatinsky det. $\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\text {III }}^{+}\right|(x, \tau, \sigma)=c(\tau-\tau(x, \sigma))=0(c \neq 0)$ and where $\tau(x, \sigma)$ is real whenever $V_{I}^{+}$present.

From (II) $\beta$ ) and $\gamma$ ) we see the following
Lemma 2.2. Let $\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ be a real point such that there exists a real double root $\lambda$. Let $\left|V_{I}^{+}, V_{\text {II }}^{+}, V_{\text {III }}^{+}\right|\left(x_{0}, \zeta, \sigma_{0}\right)=0$, where we consider $\zeta$ as a new variable instead of $\tau$. Then
i) $\zeta=0$.
ii) Let $\zeta^{1 / 2}=\eta$, then

$$
\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\text {II }}^{+}, V_{\text {III }}^{+}\right|=C(\eta-\eta(x, \sigma)) \quad(c \neq 0)
$$

in some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$, where $\eta(x, \sigma)$ may take complex values.
Under the assumption of Lemma 2.2 we see the following Lemmas.
Lemma 2.3. i) The coupling coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{\mathrm{IIII}}\left(x_{0},-i \gamma, \sigma_{0}\right) & =\frac{\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{-}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}\right|}{\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}\right|}\left(x_{0},-i \gamma, \sigma_{0}\right) \\
& =0\left(\gamma^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad(\gamma>0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) Let $Q(x, \zeta, \sigma)$ be $\frac{a_{11}+a_{21} b_{\text {IIII }}}{a_{12}+a_{22} b_{\text {IIII }}}$, then it is $\frac{\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}\right|}{\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}\right|}$, where $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}\end{array}\right)=S_{\text {II }}^{-1}$.

Now from Lemma 2.3 and (III) we obtain the following

## Lemma 2.4.

i) $\left|V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}\right| \neq 0$.
ii) $V_{\text {II }}^{\prime} \in L\left(V_{\text {III }}^{+}\right)$on $\zeta=\eta(x, \sigma)=0$.
iii) $V_{\mathrm{I}}^{-} \in L\left(V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\text {III }}^{+}\right)$on $\zeta=\eta(x, \sigma)=0$.
iv) $V_{\text {II }}^{\prime \prime}-Q V_{\text {II }}^{\prime \prime} \in L\left(V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}\right)$.

From (II) $\beta$ ), $\gamma$ ), (III) and the definition of $Q$ we see the following
Lemma 2.5. i) The above defined $Q(x, \zeta, \sigma)$ takes only real values, when $\zeta$ is real.
ii) $\zeta=0, Q(x, 0, \sigma)=0$ are equivalent to $R(x, \zeta, \sigma)=0$ for $\operatorname{Im} \zeta \leq 0$.
iii) $-Q(x, 0, \sigma) \geq 0$.

From Lemma 2.4 we obtain the following
Lemma 2.6. For $(x, \zeta, \sigma)$ belonging to some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g= & \left(V_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, V_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
U_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}+\left(\zeta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime}+K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime \prime}\right) U^{\prime}+K_{\mathrm{II}} U_{\mathrm{I}}^{-} \\
U_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}+Q U_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}+\left(\zeta K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime}+K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime \prime}\right) U_{\mathrm{I}}^{-} \\
U_{\mathrm{III}}^{+}+K_{\mathrm{IIII}} U_{\mathrm{I}}^{-}+K_{\mathrm{IIII}} U_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +V_{\mathrm{III}}^{-} U_{\mathrm{III}}^{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u=\left(U_{\mathrm{I}}^{+}, U_{\mathrm{I}}^{-}, U_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}, U_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}, U_{\mathrm{II}}^{+}, U_{\mathrm{III}}\right)$. Moreover the components of $K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime \prime}$ and $K_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime \prime}$ are zero, whenever $\zeta=0$ and $\eta(x, \sigma)=0$.

From Lemma 2.1 we obtain an a priori $L^{2}$-estimate in the case where there is no real double root $\lambda$. On the other hand if there is at least one real double root $\lambda$, we see from Lemma 2.5 and by some modifications of Kreiss' method that the problem ( $\left(D_{n}-A_{\mathrm{II}}\right) u=f, u^{\prime \prime}+Q u^{\prime}=g$ ) has a priori estimate

$$
\left\|\left(D_{n}-A_{\mathrm{II}}\right) u\right\|_{0, \gamma}+\left\langle\langle g\rangle_{1 / 2, r} \geq C \gamma\|u\|_{0, \gamma} \quad(C>0)\right.
$$

where $\operatorname{supp} u \subset U\left(x_{0}\right)$, spectrum of $u$ with respect to $x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n-1}$ $\subset U\left(\tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$. Then from the method of the proof of the above estimate and from Lemma 2.6, we obtain a similar estimate in this case. Here we use the fact that the components $k$ of $K_{\text {III }}^{\prime \prime}, K_{\text {III }}^{\prime \prime}$ has the following form : in some $U\left(x_{0}, \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k(x, \zeta, \sigma)=\tilde{k}(x, 0, \sigma)+\zeta \tilde{\tilde{k}}(x, 0, \sigma)+0\left(|\zeta|^{2}\right), \\
& |\tilde{k}(x, 0, \sigma)|^{2} \leq K|Q(x, 0, \sigma)| \quad(K>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows from the last assumption of (II), ( $\beta$ ).
Furthermore our assumptions are valid for the dual problem and hence a priori estimate for that problem is also obtained. Thus our proof is complete ([6]).

Remark. The conditions (I), (II), (III) are invariant for certain coordinate transformations. Hence Theorem is applicable for problems defined on any smooth $R^{1} \times \Omega$.
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