# 59. On a Problem of Fossum 

By Tetsushi Ogoma<br>Department of Mathematics Kyoto University

(Comm. by Kenjiro Shoda, M. J. A., April 18, 1974)

Robert M. Fossum proposes the following problem in his book "The divisor class group of a Krull domain":*)

Problem. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic not equal to 2 , and $F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ a non-degenerate quadratic form over $k$. Find a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $A_{F}=K\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right] /(F)$ may be a factorial ring.

The purpose of the present note is to give the answer of the problem. In this note, we employ the same terminology and notation as of $[F]$.

Lemma 1. Let $F=X_{1}^{2}+a X_{2}^{2}+b X_{3}^{2}+c X_{4}^{2}(a b c \neq 0, a, b, c \in k)$. If $c$ $=a b$ then $\mathrm{Cl}\left(A_{F}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}$

Proof. If $t=\sqrt{-a}$ is in $k$, then $F=U V+Y Z$ with $U=X_{1}+t X_{2}, V$ $=X_{1}-t X_{2}, Y=b\left(X_{3}+t X_{4}\right), Z=X_{3}-t X_{4}$ and therefore the assertion in this case is obvious by [ $F$ ], § 14.

If $t$ is not in $k$, then we can show that class $\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+a x_{2}^{2}\right.$, $x_{3}^{2}+a x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{3}+a x_{2} x_{4}, x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{3}$ ) and $x_{i}$ is the image of $X_{i}$ in $A_{F}$ ( $i=1,2,3,4$ ), generates infinite cyclic group. Since we know that $\mathrm{Cl}\left(A_{F}\right)$ is a subgroup of an infinite cyclic group by the proof of KleinNagata theorem, we deduce that $\mathrm{Cl}\left(A_{F}\right) \simeq Z$. (Cf. the proof of L. Roberts quoted in $[F], \S 11$ p. 52.)

Lemma 2. Let $F=X_{1}^{2}+a X_{2}^{2}+b X_{3}^{2}+c X_{4}^{2}(a, b, c \in k, a b c \neq 0)$. If none of $-a,-b c, a b c$ is the square of any element of $k$, then $A_{F}$ is factorial.

Proof. In view of the proof of Klein-Nagata theorem, it is sufficient to show that $G=b X_{3}^{2}+c X_{4}^{2}$ is irreducible in $k(t)\left[X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$, where $t=\sqrt{-a}$. To do this it is sufficient to prove that $-c / b$ cannot be written as the square of any element of $k(t)$. Assume the contrary, i.e., that it holds that

$$
-c / b=(\alpha+\beta t)^{2}=\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2} \alpha+2 \alpha \beta t \quad(\alpha, \beta \in k)
$$

Since 1 and $t$ are linearly independent over $k$ we must have $2 \alpha \beta=0$. Since we assumed that ch $k \neq 2$ and since $-c / b$ is not the square of any element of $k$, we have $\beta \neq 0$ and therefore $\alpha=0$. But then

$$
-c / b=-a \beta^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad a b c=\beta^{2} a^{2} b^{2}
$$

[^0]a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.
It is well known that a non-degenerate quadratic form $F$ in $k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$ can be written as
$$
F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=X_{1}^{2}+a X_{2}^{2}+b X_{3}^{2}+c X_{4}^{2} \quad(a, b, c \in k a b c \neq 0)
$$
by an adequate linear transformation. In this case, if $-b$ or $-c$ is the square of an element of $k$, then by changing indices of $X$ we may assume that $-a$ is the square of the element of $k$; we may do the same even if some one of $-a b,-b c,-c a$ is the square of an element of $k$ by multiplying an element of $k$ and by changing indices of $X$. (For example, if $-a b=\beta^{2}, \beta \in k$ then $\frac{1}{a} F=\frac{1}{a} X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}-\frac{\beta^{2}}{a^{2}} X_{3}^{2}+\frac{c}{a} X_{4}^{2}$ and we put $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}, X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}, X_{3}^{\prime}=X_{1}, X_{4}^{\prime}=X_{4}$ ). Therefore the following theorem covers all the cases.

Theorem. Let $F=X_{1}^{2}+a X_{2}^{2}+b X_{3}^{2}+c X_{4}^{2}(a b c \neq 0)$ and $A_{F}=k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right.$, $\left.X_{3}, X_{4}\right] /(F)$.

1) If -a is the square of an element of $k$, then $A_{F}$ is factorial if and only if $-b c$ is not the square of any element of $k$.
2) If none of $-a,-b,-c,-a b,-b c,-c a$ is the square of any element of $k$, then $A_{F}$ is factorial if and only if abc is not the square of any element of $k$.
3) If $A_{F}$ is not factorial, then $\mathrm{Cl}\left(A_{F}\right) \simeq Z$.

Proof. In the case 1), $G=b X_{3}^{2}+c X_{4}^{2}$ is irreducible if and only if $-b c$ is not the square of an element of $k$, and we prove this case.

In the case 2), if $a b c$ is not the square of any element of $k$, then $A_{F}$ is factorial by Lemma 2.

In $a b c$ is the square of an element of $k$, then

$$
a b c=\alpha^{2}(\alpha \in k), \quad c=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{a^{2} b^{2}} \cdot a b
$$

and by putting $X_{4}^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha}{a b} X_{4}$, it holds that

$$
F=X_{1}^{2}+a X_{2}^{2}+b X_{3}^{2}+a b X_{4}^{\prime 2}
$$

and we have $\mathrm{Cl}\left(A_{F}\right) \simeq Z$ by Lemma 1 .
The non-factorial case of 1) is also the same as the proof of Lemma 1. This completes the proof.


[^0]:    *) In this note, the symbol $[F]$ will refer to this literature, Ergebn. Math. Bd. 74, Springer (1973).

