

## 129. Fundamental Solution of Partial Differential Operators of Schrödinger's Type. I

By Daisuke FUJIWARA

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1974)

§ 1. Preliminaries. Let  $ds^2 = \sum_{ij}^n g_{ij}(x) dx_i dx_j$  be a Riemannian metric on  $R^n$ . The Laplacian  $\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$  associated with this metric naturally defines a self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(R^n)$  with respect to the measure  $\sqrt{g} dx$ . This generates a one parameter group of unitary operators  $U_t = \exp \frac{1}{2} i\nu^{-1} \Delta t$ ,  $\nu > 0$ ,  $t \in R$ . For any  $f$  in the domain of  $\Delta$ , the function  $u = U_t f$  satisfies the following equations

$$(1) \quad \left( i\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u = 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in R,$$

$$(2) \quad s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U_t f = f.$$

The aim of this note is to construct, under assumptions in §§ 2 and 3, the distribution kernel  $U(t, x, y)$  of the operator  $U_t$ . Our proof follows Feynman's idea [2]. Combining technique of Calderón-Vaillancourt with method of oscillatory integrals [4], we can give rigorous mathematical reasoning to Feynman's idea.

§ 2. Parametrix. Let us denote by  $q = q(t, y, \eta)$  and  $p = p(t, y, \eta)$  the solution of the Hamiltonian equations

$$(3) \quad \frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$$

satisfying initial conditions at  $t=0$ ;  $q=y$  and  $p=\eta$ , where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian function  $H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} g^{ij}(q) p_i p_j$ . Since  $H$  is a homogeneous function of  $p$ 's, we have

$$(4) \quad q(t, y, \eta) = q(1, y, t\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad tp(t, y, \eta) = p(1, y, t\eta).$$

Our first assumption is that

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{the canonical transformation } \chi_t : (x^\circ, \eta) \mapsto (x, \xi) = (q(t, x^\circ, \eta), \\ p(t, x^\circ, \eta)) \text{ induces global diffeomorphism of the base space } R^n. \end{array}$$

The generating function of this canonical transformation is

$$(5) \quad S_0(t, x, \eta) = \int_0^t L(q, \dot{q}) ds + x^\circ \cdot \eta,$$

where  $L(q, \dot{q})$  is Lagrangean corresponding to Hamiltonian  $H$  and the

integral should be taken along the classical orbit from  $x^\circ$ .  $x^\circ = x^\circ(t, x, \eta)$  is the unique solution of the equation  $x = q(t, x^\circ, \eta)$ . We set

$$(6) \quad S(t, x, \xi, y) = S_0(t, x, \xi) - \xi \cdot y.$$

Our parametrix is of the form

$$(7) \quad E_N(t, x, \xi, y) = \exp i\nu S(t, x, \xi, y) e(t, x, \xi)$$

with

$$(8) \quad e(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{r=0}^N (i\nu)^{-r} e_r(t, x, \xi),$$

where  $N$  will be fixed later. Amplitude functions  $e_r(t, x, \xi)$  are determined inductively by

$$(9) \quad \frac{D}{Dt} e_{r+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S e_{r+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta e_r = 0, \quad e_{-1} = 0,$$

with initial conditions  $e_0(0, x, \xi) = 1$  and  $e_r(0, x, \xi) = 0$ . Here  $\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$

+  $\sum_{j=1}^n \dot{q}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}$ . Thus we have

$$(10) \quad e_0(t, x, \xi) = (g(x)/g(x^\circ(t, x, \xi)))^{1/4} \quad \text{and} \\ e_r(t, x, \xi) = -e_0(t, x, \xi) \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z e_{r-1}(s, z(s), \xi) / e_0(s, z(s), \xi) ds,$$

where  $z(s) = q(s, x^\circ(t, x, \xi), \xi)$ . Our parametrix satisfies

$$(11) \quad \left( \nu i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) E_N(t, x, \xi, y) = (\nu i)^{-N} \frac{1}{2} \Delta E_N(t, x, \xi) \exp i\nu S.$$

Later we use homogeneity property;

$$(12) \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^\beta e_j(t, x, \xi) = t^{j+|\beta|} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^\beta e_j(1, x, t\xi).$$

**§ 3. Assumptions.** We assume the following assumptions (A-II) ~ (A-VI) as well as (A-I) in the previous section. (A-II) there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that we have  $C_0 \leq \left( \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \right) / \left( \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(y) \xi_i \xi_j \right) \leq C_0^{-1}$  for any  $x, y$  in  $R^n$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in R^n$ . (A-III) for any multi-index  $\alpha$ , there exists a constant  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that we have  $\left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha g_{ij}(x) \right| \leq C_\alpha$ , for any  $x \in R^n$ . (A-IV) there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that we have  $|\text{grad}_\xi (S_0(t, x, \xi) - S_0(t, z, \xi))| \geq C_2 |x - z|$  and  $|\text{grad}_y (S_0(t, y, \xi) - S_0(t, y, \eta))| \geq C_2 |\xi - \eta|$  for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x, z, y \in R^n$  and  $\xi, \eta$  in  $R^n$ . (A-V) for any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \geq 2$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that we have  $\left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^\alpha (S_0(t, x, \xi) - S_0(t, z, \xi)) \right| \leq C |x - z|$  and  $\left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^\alpha (S_0(t, y, \xi) - S_0(t, y, \eta)) \right| \leq C |\xi - \eta|$  for any  $t$  in  $[0, T]$  and  $x, z, y \in R^n$  and  $\xi, \eta \in R^n$ . (A-VI) for any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such

that we have  $\left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^\beta e_0(t, x, \xi) \right| \leq C$  for any  $t \in [0, T], x \in R^n, \xi \in R^n$ .

**Remark.** 1) These assumptions may be redundant. 2) Assumption (A-I) is very restrictive. We can use Maslov's theory of canonical operators and replace (A-I) with less restrictive assumption.

§ 4. **Results.** We define two integral transformations;

$$(13) \quad E_N(t)f(x) = (\nu/2\pi)^n \iint_{R^{2n}} E_N(t, x, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi,$$

$$(14) \quad F_N(t)f(x) = (i\nu)^{-N} (\nu/2\pi)^n \iint_{R^{2n}} \frac{1}{2} \Delta e_N(t, x, \xi) \exp i\nu S(t, x, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

These are well defined for functions  $f(x)$  in  $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ . For the sake of brevity we shall omit writing domains of integration if there is no fear of confusion.

**Theorem 1.** *The equality (13) naturally defines a bounded linear operator  $E_N(t), t \in [0, T]$ , in  $L^2(R^n)$  with respect to the measure  $\sqrt{g} dx$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *We have*

$$(15) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| E_N\left(\frac{T}{k}\right) E_N\left(\frac{T}{k}\right) \cdots E_N\left(\frac{T}{k}\right) - \exp i\nu^{-1} T \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right\| = 0.$$

cf. R. Feynman [2].

§ 5. **Outline of proof.** From (10) and (A-VI) we see all of  $e_r(t, x, \xi)$  enjoy the same estimate as  $e_0(t, x, \xi)$ .

**Lemma.** *Assume that  $a(x, \xi)$  is a function in  $C^\infty(R^{2n})$  and that for any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that we have*

$$(16) \quad \left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \quad \text{for any } x \in R^n, \xi \in R^n.$$

Define a mapping  $A$  as

$$(17) \quad Af(x) = \iint_{R^{2n}} a(x, \xi) \exp i\nu S(t, x, \xi, y) f(y) dy d\xi$$

for any  $f$  in  $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that we have

$$(18) \quad \|Af\| \leq C\nu^{-n} \|f\|,$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  is the  $L^2$ -norm and  $C > 0$  is independent of  $t, \nu$  and  $f$  (cf. [3]).

Theorem 1 follows from this lemma and (15). If we use this lemma for  $a(x, \xi) = \Delta e_N(1, x, \xi)$  we have

$$(19) \quad \|F_N(t)f\| \leq Ct^N \nu^{-N} \|f\|.$$

Equality (11) implies that

$$(20) \quad E_N(t) = \exp i\nu \frac{1}{2} t \Delta + R_N(t), \quad R_N(t) = \int_0^t \exp i\nu \frac{1}{2} (t-s) \Delta F_N(s) ds.$$

(19) and (20) mean that

$$(21) \quad \|R_N(t)\| \leq Ct^{N+1} \nu^{-N}.$$

We have for  $k$  products of operators  $E_N\left(\frac{T}{k}\right) E\left(\frac{T}{k}\right) \cdots E_N\left(\frac{T}{k}\right)$

$$= \left( \exp \nu i \frac{1}{2} \frac{T}{k} \Delta + R_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) \right) \cdots \left( \exp i \nu \frac{1}{2} \frac{T}{k} \Delta + R_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) \right).$$
 Since  $\exp i \frac{1}{2} \nu t \Delta$  is unitary,  $\left\| E_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) E_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) \cdots E_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) - \exp i \frac{1}{2} \nu T \Delta \right\| \leq \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left\| R_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) \right\|^l = \left( 1 + \left\| R_N \left( \frac{T}{k} \right) \right\| \right)^k - 1$ . This and (21) prove Theorem 2 if we choose  $N \geq 1$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] A. P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt: On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators. *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan*, **23**, 374-378 (1971).
- [ 2 ] R. Feynman: Space-time approach to nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern Physics.*, **20**, 367-384 (1948).
- [ 3 ] D. Fujiwara: On the boundedness of integral transformations with highly oscillatory kernel (to appear).
- [ 4 ] P. D. Lax: Asymptotic solutions of oscillatory initial value problems. *Duke Math. Jour.*, **24**, 627-646 (1957).
- [ 5 ] V. P. Maslov: *Théorie des perturbations et méthodes asymptotiques* (French translation). Paris (1972).