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1. Introduction. In a previous paper [5] we have established the following theorem which is a shape-theoretical analogue of the classical Whitehead theorem in homotopy theory of $C W$ complexes.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ be a shape morphism of pointed connected topological spaces of finite dimension. If the induced morphisms $\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ of homotopy pro-groups ${ }^{11}$ is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k<n$ and an epimorphism for $k=n$ where $n$ $=\max (1+\operatorname{dim} X, \operatorname{dim} Y)$, then $f$ is a shape equivalence.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem, which corresponds to another form of the Whitehead theorem in homotopy theory of $C W$ complexes; Theorem 1.2 was announced in a previous paper [5].

Theorem 1.2. Let $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ be the same as in Theorem 1.1. If the induced morphism $\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ of homotopy pro-groups is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k \leqq n$ where $n=\max (\operatorname{dim} X, \operatorname{dim} Y)$, then $f$ is a shape equivalence.

Furthermore, the following theorem holds.
Theorem 1.3. Let $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ be a shape morphism of pointed connected topological spaces such that the induced morphism

$$
\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \longrightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

of homotopy pro-groups is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k \leqq n$. If $\operatorname{dim} Y \leqq n$, then there exists a unique shape morphism $g:\left(Y, y_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ such that $f g=1$.
2. Preliminaries. Let $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ be a shape morphism of pointed connected topological spaces.

As in [5], without loss of generality we may assume that $\left\{\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right)\right.$, [ $\left.\left.p_{2 \mu}\right], \Lambda\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{02}\right),\left[q_{2 x^{2}}\right], \Lambda\right\}$ are inverse systems in $\mathfrak{B}_{0}$ which are isomorphic to the Čech systems of ( $X, x_{0}$ ) and ( $Y, y_{0}$ ) respectively in pro $\left(\mathfrak{W}_{0}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{W}_{0}$ is the homotopy category of pointed connected $C W$ complexes, and that $f$ is an equivalence class containing a special system map

$$
\left\{1, f_{\lambda}, \Lambda\right\}:\left\{\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right),\left[p_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}\right], \Lambda\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{0 \lambda}\right),\left[q_{\lambda \lambda}\right], \Lambda\right\}
$$

[^0]with each $f_{2}$ a cellular map. Moreover, by [3] we can assume that $\operatorname{dim} X_{\lambda} \leqq \operatorname{dim} X$ and $\operatorname{dim} Y_{\lambda} \leqq \operatorname{dim} Y$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $Z_{\lambda}$ be the reduced mapping cylinder of $f_{\lambda}$, which is obtained from the disjoint union of $X_{2} \times I$ and $Y_{2}$ by identifying $(x, 1)$ with $f_{\lambda}(x)$ for $x \in X_{\lambda}$ and by shrinking $\left(x_{0 \lambda} \times I\right) \cup\left\{y_{0 \lambda}\right\}$ to a point which is denoted also by $x_{0 x}$; the images of ( $x, t$ ) with $x \in X_{\lambda}, t \in I$ and of $y \in Y_{\lambda}$ under the identification are denoted by $[x, t]$ and $[y]$ respectively. Let us define embeddings $\alpha_{\lambda}:\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z_{\lambda}, x_{02}\right), \beta_{\lambda}:\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{02}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z_{\lambda}, x_{02}\right)$ by $\alpha_{\lambda}(x)$ $=[x, 0], \beta_{\lambda}(y)=[y]$ and a map $\gamma_{\lambda}:\left(Z_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{0 \lambda}\right)$ by $\gamma_{\lambda}[x, t]=\left[f_{\lambda}(x)\right]$, $\gamma_{\lambda}[y]=y . \quad$ Then $f_{\lambda}=\gamma_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}, \gamma_{\lambda} \beta_{2}=1$ and $\beta_{\lambda} \gamma_{2} \simeq 1$.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of a result established in the proof of [5, Theorem C]. ${ }^{2)}$ Here we denote the $n$-skeleton of a $C W$ complex $K$ by $K^{n}$ as usual.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that the induced morphism $\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ $\rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ of homotopy pro-groups is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k<n$ and an epimorphism for $k=n$. Then for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist $\mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ and continuous maps

$$
\psi:\left(Z_{\mu}^{n} \cup X_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right), \quad r_{\lambda \mu}:\left(Z_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right)
$$

such that the diagram

is homotopy commutative, where

$$
\alpha^{\prime}:\left(X_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Z_{\mu}^{n} \cup X_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right), \quad j:\left(Z_{\mu}^{n} \cup X_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Z_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right)
$$

are inclusion maps.
Furthermore we have
Lemma 2.2. Under the same assumption as in Lemma 2.1, the diagram

is homotopy commutative and $f_{\lambda} \psi \beta^{\prime} \simeq q_{2_{\mu}} j_{2}$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}, j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ are in-
2) Correction to [5, p. 252]: line 20, for " $\beta_{\lambda}\left(E^{1} \times I\right)=x_{1}$ " read " $\beta_{\lambda}\left(E^{1} \times 1\right)=x_{1}$ "; line 25, for " $\left(E^{k} \times 0 \cup E^{k} \times I, E^{k}\right.$ " read " $\left(E^{k} \times 0 \cup \dot{E}^{k} \times I\right.$, $\dot{E}^{k}$ "; line 26, for " $\left(X_{k-1}\right.$, $\left.A_{k-1}, x_{k-1}\right)$ " read " $\left(X_{k-1}, A_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ "; line 27, for "such that $h_{2}\left(s_{0}\right) \in X_{0}^{1}$ " read $"$ and $x_{k-1}^{\prime}=\alpha_{\lambda}\left(s_{0}, 1\right)$ '".
clusion maps and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\alpha_{\mu} \mid\left(X_{\mu}^{n-1}, x_{0 \mu}\right):\left(X_{\mu}^{n-1}, x_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Z_{\mu}^{n} \cup X_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right), \\
\beta^{\prime}=\beta_{\mu} \mid\left(Y_{\mu}^{n}, y_{0 \mu}\right):\left(Y_{\mu}^{n}, y_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Z_{\mu}^{n} \cup X_{\mu}, x_{0 \mu}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\beta^{\prime \prime}=\beta^{\prime} j_{1}$.
Proof. The first part is obvious. We have $f_{\lambda} \psi \beta^{\prime} \simeq \gamma_{\lambda} r_{\lambda \mu} j \beta^{\prime} \simeq \gamma_{\lambda} r_{\lambda \mu} \beta_{\mu} j_{2}$ $\simeq q_{\lambda \mu} j_{2}$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. Indeed, Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, combined with Lemma 3.1 below.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k<n$ and an epimorphism for $k=n$. If $\operatorname{dim} Y \leqq n$, then $\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ is an epimorphism for $k \geqq n$.

Proof. In this case, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist some $\mu \in \Lambda$, a continuous map $\phi_{2 \mu}:\left(Y_{\mu}, y_{0 \mu}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{02}\right)$ such that
(1)

$$
q_{\lambda \mu} \simeq f_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda \mu}
$$

This is seen from Lemma 2.2 by putting $\phi_{\lambda \mu}=\psi \beta^{\prime}$. From (1) it follows that for any $k \geqq 1$ we have
(2) $\quad \pi_{k}\left(q_{\lambda_{\mu}}\right)=\pi_{k}\left(f_{\lambda}\right) \pi_{k}\left(\phi_{\lambda_{\mu}}\right): \pi_{k}\left(Y_{\mu}, y_{0 \mu}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{k}\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{0 \lambda}\right)$.

Hence we have
(3)

$$
\operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}\left(q_{\lambda \mu}\right) \subset \operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}\left(f_{\lambda}\right) .
$$

By [5, Theorem 1.2] (3) shows that

$$
\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \longrightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

is an epimorphism in the category of pro-groups. This completes the proof.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\left(P, p_{0}\right)$ and $\left(Q, q_{0}\right)$ be pointed topological spaces. Let us denote by $[P, Q]$ the set of all the homotopy classes of continuous maps from ( $P, p_{0}$ ) to ( $Q, q_{0}$ ). The set of all shape morphisms from $\left(P, p_{0}\right)$ to $\left(Q, q_{0}\right)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{S}_{0}[P, Q]$. Here for the sake of simplicity we omit the description of base-points in both case.

Let ( $Q, q_{0}$ ) be a pointed $C W$ complex, and $Q^{m}$ the $m$-skeleton of $Q$. Then the inclusion map $i:\left(Q^{m}, q_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q, q_{0}\right)$ induces a map

$$
(i)_{\sharp}:\left[P, Q^{m}\right] \longrightarrow[P, Q] .
$$

Lemma 4.1. ( $i)_{\#}$ is surjective if $\operatorname{dim} P \leqq m$ and bijective if $\operatorname{dim} P<m$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim} P \leqq m$ and that $g:\left(P, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q, q_{0}\right)$ is a continuous map. Then by [3, Lemma 4.1] there exist a pointed $C W$ complex ( $K, k_{0}$ ) of dimension $\leqq m$ and two continuous maps $p:\left(P, p_{0}\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(K, k_{0}\right), \phi:\left(K, k_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q, q_{0}\right)$ such that $g \simeq \phi p$. Here we may assume that $\phi$ is cellular. Hence, if we put $g^{\prime}=\phi p$, then $[g]=(i)_{\sharp}\left[g^{\prime}\right]$, $\left[g^{\prime}\right] \in\left[P, Q^{m}\right]$.

Next, suppose that $\operatorname{dim} P<m,\left[g_{1}\right],\left[g_{2}\right] \in\left[P, Q^{m}\right]$ and $(i)_{\#}\left[g_{1}\right]$ $=(i)_{\#}\left[g_{2}\right]$. Then by [3, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2] there exist a $C W$ com-
plex ( $K, k_{0}$ ) of dimension $<m$ and continuous maps $p:\left(P, p_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(K, k_{0}\right)$, $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}:\left(K, k_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q, q_{0}\right)$ such that $g_{i} \simeq \phi_{i} p, i=1,2$ and $i \phi_{1} \simeq i \phi_{2}$. Here we may assume that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are cellular maps. Hence $\phi_{1} \simeq \phi_{2}:\left(K, k_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q^{m}, q_{0}\right)$. Hence $\left[g_{1}\right]=\left[g_{2}\right]$. This proves Lemma 4.1.

Now, let $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ be a shape morphism such that $\pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k<n$ and an epimorphism for $k=n$. Let $\left\{\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{0 \lambda}\right),\left[p_{2 k}\right], \Lambda\right\},\left\{\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{0 \lambda}\right),\left[q_{2 \lambda}\right], \Lambda\right\}$ and

$$
\left\{1, f_{\lambda}, \Lambda\right\}:\left\{\left(X_{\lambda}, x_{02}\right),\left[p_{2 \lambda^{\prime}}\right], \Lambda\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{\left(Y_{\lambda}, y_{02}\right),\left[q_{2 \lambda}\right] \cdot \Lambda\right\}
$$

be the same as in §2. We have Lemma 4.2 below by Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ be the same as in Lemma 2.1. Then we have the homotopy commutative diagrams below:
(i) in case $\operatorname{dim} P \leqq n$ :

(ii) in case $\operatorname{dim} P<n$ :


Now, let us first treat the case $\operatorname{dim} P \leqq n$. In this case, by Lemma 4.1 the map $\left(j_{2}\right)_{\#}$ in the first diagram of Lemma 4.2 is surjective. Hence we have
(4)
$\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{\lambda \mu}\right)_{\#} \subset \operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\lambda}\right)_{\#}$.
It is easy to see from (4) that the special system map
(5)

$$
\left\{1,\left(f_{\lambda}\right)_{\#}, \Lambda\right\}:\left\{\left[P, X_{\lambda}\right],\left(p_{\lambda \lambda}\right)_{\#}, \Lambda\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{\left[P, Y_{\lambda}\right],\left(q_{\lambda \lambda}\right)_{\#}, \Lambda\right\}
$$

is an epimorphism in the pro-category of the category of sets.
Next, let us proceed to the case where $\operatorname{dim} P \leqq n-1$. In this case, by Lemma $4.1\left(j_{2}\right)_{\#}$ is bijective. Let us put

$$
\phi_{\lambda \mu}=\psi_{\sharp}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)_{\#}\left(j_{2}\right)_{\#}^{-2}:\left[P, Y_{\mu}\right] \longrightarrow\left[P, X_{\lambda}\right] .
$$

Then by Lemma 4.2 we have
(6)
$\left(f_{\lambda}\right)_{\#} \phi_{\lambda_{\mu}}=\left(q_{\lambda \mu}\right)_{\#}$.
Let $[g] \in\left[P, X_{\mu}\right]$. Then by Lemma 4.1 there is $[h] \in\left[P, X_{\mu}^{n-1}\right]$ such that $[g]=\left(i_{2} i_{1}\right)_{\#}[h]$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2 we have $\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)_{\#}\left(j_{1}\right)_{\#}\left(f_{\mu}^{n-1}\right)_{\#}$ $=\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\#}\left(f_{\mu}^{n-1}\right)_{\#}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\#}$. Hence we have $\phi_{\lambda_{\mu}}\left(f_{\mu}\right)_{\#}[g]=\phi_{\lambda \mu}\left(j_{2}\right)_{\#}\left(j_{1}\right)_{\#}\left(f_{\mu}^{n-1}\right)_{\#}[h]$ $=\psi_{\#}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\#}[h]=\left(p_{\lambda \mu}\right)_{\#}\left(i_{2} i_{1}\right)_{\#}[h]=\left(p_{\lambda_{\mu}}\right)_{\#}[g]$, that is,

$$
\phi_{\lambda \mu}\left(f_{\mu}\right)_{\#}=\left(p_{\lambda \mu}\right)_{\#} .
$$

By [5, Theorem 1.1] it follows from (6) and (7) that the special system map (5) is an isomorphism in the pro-category of the category of sets.

By [4, Theorem 2.3] we may assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}_{0}[P, X]=\lim _{\longleftarrow}\left\{\left[P, X_{\lambda}\right],\left(p_{2 \lambda}\right)_{\sharp}, \Lambda\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{S}_{0}[P, Y]=\lim _{\longleftarrow}\left\{\left[P, Y_{\lambda}\right],\left(q_{\lambda x}\right)_{\#}, \Lambda\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have
Theorem 4.3. Let $f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ be a shape morphism of pointed connected topological spaces such that $\pi_{k}(f): \pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ $\rightarrow \pi_{k}\left\{\left(Y, y_{0}\right)\right\}$ is an isomorphism for $1 \leqq k<n$ and an epimorphism for $k=n . \quad I f\left(P, p_{0}\right)$ is a pointed space with $\operatorname{dim} P<n$, then the map

$$
f_{\#}: \mathbb{S}[P, X] \longrightarrow \Im_{0}[P, Y]
$$

induced by $f$ is bijective.
Now, it is clear that Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Addendum. Our results in this paper were obtained in August, 1974. Quite recently, J. Dydak [1] has introduced the notion of the deformation dimension of a topological space $X$, $\operatorname{ddim} X$ in notation, and proved that $\operatorname{ddim} X \leqq n$ if and only if the Čech system of $X$ is isomorphic to an inverse system of $C W$ complexes of dimension $\leqq n$ in pro $\left(\mathfrak{W}_{0}\right)$. Thus, as is pointed out by him, our proof of Theorem 1.1 in [5] remains valid if "dim" is replaced by "ddim". He proved also Theorem 4.3 with "dim" replaced by "ddim"; his proof is different from ours but relies upon our Lemma 2.1 as well. Finally, we note that Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 remain true if "dim" is replaced by "ddim".
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[^0]:    1) For the definition of the $k$-th homotopy pro-group of a pointed topological space ( $X, x_{0}$ ), see [5]. Here we denote it by $\pi_{k}\left\{\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ (cf. [2]).
