

155. On the Fundamental Solution of a Degenerate Parabolic System

By Kenzo SHINKAI

University of Osaka Prefecture

(Comm. by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Oct. 13, 1975)

Introduction. In the recent paper [2], the author has shown that the method used in C. Tsutsumi [3] to construct the pseudo-differential symbol of the fundamental solution for a degenerate parabolic pseudo-differential operator is applicable to some parabolic systems. The purpose of the present paper is to show that the above method is also applicable to a parabolic system which degenerates at $t=0$. As an application we construct in §2 the pseudo-differential symbol of the fundamental solution of a degenerate parabolic operator of higher order which includes the operator treated by M. Miyake [1]. In the following the notation of [2] will be freely used.

1. The fundamental solution of a degenerate system. Let us consider the Cauchy problem for a system of pseudo-differential equations

$$(1) \quad \partial_t u(t, x) + p(t; X, D_x)u(t, x) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{s \searrow 0} u(t, u) = u_0(x),$$

where $p(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_i^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$, $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$. We denote by $z(t, s; x, \xi)$ an $M \times M$ matrix of symbols which satisfies $\partial_t z(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, \xi)z(t, s; x, \xi) = 0$, $z(s, s; x, \xi) = I$, where I denotes the identity matrix. We denote by $|p|$ the norm of an $M \times M$ matrix p , i.e., $p = \sup \{|py|/|y|; 0 \neq y \in \mathbb{C}^M\}$.

Definition. We say that a system of pseudo-differential operators $\partial_t + p(t; X, D_x)$ satisfies the property (F), when there exists a non-negative continuous function $\lambda(t; x, \xi)$ and following two conditions are satisfied:

i) For any α, β there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$(3) \quad \int_s^t |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma; x, \xi)| d\sigma \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \left\{ \int_s^t \lambda(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma + 1 \right\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

ii) There exist constants $d > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad |z(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C \exp \left[-d \int_s^t \lambda(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma \right] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

When a system $\partial_t + p(t; X, D_x)$ is parabolic in the sense of Petrowskii, it satisfies the property (F) with $\lambda(t; x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^m$ in any finite layer $[0, T] \times R_{x, \xi}^{2n}$. But in the case of degenerate $p(t; x, \xi)$, we must choose a degenerate $\lambda(t; x, \xi)$. Here we give a class of systems for which the property (F) is easily verified.

Lemma 1. *Let $p(t; x, \xi) = f(t)\tilde{p}(t; x, \xi)$ where $f(t)$ is a non-negative continuous function and $\tilde{p}(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_i^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$ is a matrix of which the real part of every eigenvalue is not less than $d\langle \xi \rangle^m$. Then $\partial_t + p(t; X, D_x)$ fulfills the property (F) with $\lambda(t; x, \xi) = f(t)\langle \xi \rangle^m$.*

The property (F) is stable in the following sense:

Lemma 2. *Let $\partial_t + p(t; X, D_x)$ satisfy the property (F), and let $q(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_i^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$. If there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that $0 < C_1 < d/C$ and*

$$(5) \quad \int_s^t |q(\sigma; x, \xi)| d\sigma \leq C_1 \int_s^t \lambda(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma + C_2,$$

then $\partial_t + p(t; X, D_x) + q(t; X, D_x)$ also satisfies the property (F).

Theorem 1. *Let $\partial_t + p(t; X, D_x)$ satisfy the property (F). Then there exists the symbol of fundamental matrix $e(t, s; x, \xi) \in w\mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^0)$ for the Cauchy problem (1), (2), i.e., $e(t, s; x, \xi)$ satisfies*

$$(6) \quad \partial_t e(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t) \circ e(t, s)(x, \xi) = 0,$$

$$(7) \quad w\text{-}\lim_{t \downarrow s} e(t, s; x, \xi) = I.$$

The proof of the theorem is the same with that of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in [2].

2. The fundamental solution of a degenerate parabolic operator of higher order. Let

$$(8) \quad L = \partial_t^M + a_1(t; X, D_x)\partial_t^{M-1} + \dots + a_M(t; X, D_x),$$

where

$$(9) \quad a_j(t; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^j a_{j,k}(t; x, \xi)t^{j-l-k}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, M.$$

Then we have the following

Theorem 2. *Let L satisfy the following conditions:*

i) $a_{j,k}(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_i^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m(j,k)})$ where

$$(10) \quad m(j, k) = jm - km/(l+1).$$

ii) *For a positive constant d , every root $\tau_j(t; x, \xi)$ of the equation*

$$\tau^M + a_{1,0}(t; x, \xi)\tau^{M-1} + \dots + a_{M,0}(t; x, \xi) = 0$$

satisfies

$$(11) \quad \text{Re } \tau_j(t; x, \xi) \leq -d\langle \xi \rangle^m, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, M.$$

Then there exist pseudo-differential symbols $g_j(t, s; x, \xi) \in w\mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^{-jm/(l+1)})$, $j=0, 1, \dots, M-1$, such that for $\psi_j(x) \in \mathcal{B}$, $j=0, 1, \dots, M-1$, the function

$$(12) \quad v(t, x) = Os-[e^{-t\psi} g_j(t, 0; x, \xi)\psi_j(x+y)]$$

is a solution of the following Cauchy problem

$$(13) \quad Lv = 0,$$

$$(14) \quad \partial_t^j v(0, x) = \psi_j(x), \quad j=0, 1, \dots, M-1.$$

Proof. Set

$$(15) \quad h(t; \xi) = t^l \langle \xi \rangle^m + \langle \xi \rangle^{m/(l+1)},$$

and let

