

145. On the Distribution of Zeros of Dirichlet's L-Function on the Line $\sigma=1/2$

By Teluhiko HILANO

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Sophia University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Dec. 13, 1976)

§ 1. Introduction. Results on the distribution of zeros of Dirichlet's L -function on the line $\sigma=1/2$ have been proved by analogous method in case of Riemann's ζ -function. For example, Hardy proved in 1914 that there exist infinitely many zeros of Riemann's ζ -function on the critical line and later Hardy and Littlewood proved that

$$N_0(T) > KT$$

for some absolute constant K and then these results were easily extended in case of $L(s, \chi)$. (See Suetuna [8] Chap. III.) In 1942, A. Selberg proved that

$$N_0(T) > cT \log T$$

for some constant c and this method was also applicable to $L(s, \chi)$. Recently N. Levinson gave a different proof of Selberg's result with $c=1/3$.

In this note we shall show that the essential idea of Levinson is also applicable to the case of $L(s, \chi)$ in order to prove the fundamental properties of $L(s, \chi)$. Details of the calculation will appear elsewhere.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. T. Tatzuza and Prof. Y. Motohashi who encouraged him preparing this note.

§ 2. Fundamental properties of $L(s, \chi)$. Throughout this note, χ denote a primitive character with mod q and T is a sufficiently large number. We use the following notations;

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \chi(-1)) \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(s) &= h(s, \chi) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{q}\right)^{-(s+a)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+a}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\varepsilon(\chi) = \frac{(-i)^a}{q^{1/2}} \sum_{m=1}^q \chi(m) e^{2\pi i m/q} \tag{2.3}$$

$$f'(s) = h'(s)/h(s). \tag{2.4}$$

As is well known, we have

$$|\varepsilon(\chi)| = 1.$$

We can choose a complex number α with

$$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha^{-1}$$

such that

$$\alpha^{-2} = \varepsilon(\chi). \quad (2.5)$$

Then we can write the functional equation of $L(s, \chi)$ as

$$\alpha h(s)L(s, \chi) = \bar{\alpha} h(1-s)L(1-s, \bar{\chi}). \quad (2.6)$$

We differentiate both sides of (2.6) and eliminate $L(1-s, \bar{\chi})$ from there.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha h(s)L(s, \chi)(f'(s) + f'(1-s)) \\ = -(\alpha h(s)L'(s, \chi) + \bar{\alpha} h(1-s)L'(1-s, \bar{\chi})). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The right hand side of (2.7) is real for $s = 1/2 + it$. But for $|\sigma| \leq 10$ and $t \geq 1$, we have

$$f'(s) + f'(1-s) = \log \frac{qt}{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right) \quad (2.8)$$

and then

$$f'(s) + f'(1-s) \neq 0$$

for sufficiently large t . Hence if, for large $t > 0$, either side of (2.7) is zero, we have

$$h(s)L(s, \chi) = 0.$$

But, for all s , we get

$$h(s) \neq 0,$$

then we get

$$L(s, \chi) = 0.$$

Now we have just proved

Theorem A. *Let γ be a sufficiently large number. Then $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$ is a zero of $L(s, \chi)$ if and only if ρ is also a zero of $\operatorname{Re} \alpha h(s)L'(s, \chi)$.*

We can immediately prove

Corollary B. *Under the same assumption of Theorem A, if ρ is a zero of $L'(s, \chi)$, then ρ is also a zero of $L(s, \chi)$.*

Now we calculate the number of zeros $\rho = 1/2 + it$ of $\operatorname{Re} \alpha h(s)L'(s, \chi)$. We put

$$G(s) = G(s, \chi) = L(s, \chi) + L'(s, \chi)/(f'(s) + f'(1-s)). \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.7) and the functional equation, we get

$$\alpha h(s)L'(s, \chi) = -\bar{\alpha} h(1-s)(f'(s) + f'(1-s))G(1-s, \bar{\chi}). \quad (2.10)$$

Hence we may count the number of zeros of

$$g(t) = \operatorname{Re} \alpha h(s)(f'(s) + f'(1-s))G(s, \chi), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\sigma = 1/2$, instead of $\operatorname{Re} \alpha h(s)L'(s, \chi)$. Furthermore we remark that

$$G(s, \chi) = 0$$

if and only if $L'(s, \chi) = 0$.

Now the zeros of $g(t)$ may occur in two cases;

i) $G(s) \neq 0$ and

$$\arg \alpha h(s)(f'(s) + f'(1-s))G(s) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} \pmod{\pi} \quad (2.12)$$

ii) $G(s)=0$.

For simplicity of the following arguments, we assume that neither $t=T$ nor $T+U$ is zero of $g(t)$. There exist N_1 zeros with multiplicity and N'_1 distinct zeros of $L'(s, \chi)$ on the segment $[1/2+iT, 1/2+i(T+U)]$. We divide it into N'_1+1 subintervals by $\rho'_j=1/2+i\gamma_j^{(1)}$ ($\gamma_j^{(1)} < \gamma_{j+1}^{(1)}$), which are distinct zeros of $L'(s, \chi)$. Let W_j denote the change of the argument of $\alpha h(s)(f'(s)+f'(1-s))G(s)$ on the j -th subinterval. Then there exist at least

$$\sum \left(\left[\frac{W_j}{\pi} \right] - 1 \right) + N_1 + N'_1 \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum W_j + N_1 - N'_1 - 2 \tag{2.13}$$

zeros of $g(t)$ from Corollary B and above remarks. To calculate $\sum W_j$, we use the same method of Levinson. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum W_j &= \Delta \arg h(s) + \sum V_j + O(1) \\ &= \frac{U}{2} \log \frac{qT}{2\pi} + \sum V_j + O\left(\frac{U^2}{T} + 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

where V_j is the change of $\arg G(s)$ along the j -th subinterval. On the other hand, we have

$$-(\sum V_j + \pi N_1) = 2\pi(N_G(D) - N_1) + O(\log qT),$$

where D is the region defined by

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 3 \\ T \leq t \leq T+U \end{aligned}$$

and $N_G(D)$ is the number of zeros of $G(s)$ in D . Hence we get

Theorem C. *We have*

$$N_0(T+U, \chi) - N_0(T, \chi) \geq \frac{U}{2\pi} \log \frac{qT}{2\pi} - 2N_G(D) + O\left(\frac{U^2}{T} + 1\right).$$

§ 3. Main theorem. Now we may estimate $N_{\psi_G}(D)$ instead of $N_G(D)$ because we need upper bound of $N_G(D)$. We put

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\chi(n)b_n}{n^s}$$

where b_n is the same as that in Levinson. Using Littlewood theorem and the approximate functional equation of $L(s, \chi)$ (See Lavrik [2], [3] or Motohashi [6]), we get similar formulas as (2.5), (2.6) and (2.20)–(2.27) of [4]. We can estimate terms corresponding to those I 's as before and finally we prove

Main theorem. *For $\varepsilon > 0$, we assume that*

$$\log q < (\log T)^{1-\varepsilon}$$

and put

$$L = \log \frac{qT}{2\pi},$$

and

$$U = \frac{T}{qL^4}, \quad X = \left(\frac{qT}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} / (q^{\varepsilon/2} L^{\varepsilon}).$$

Then we have

$$N_0(T+U, \chi) - N_0(T, \chi) > \frac{1}{3}(N(T+U, \chi) - N(T, \chi)).$$

References

- [1] P. X. Gallagher: A large sieve density estimate near $\sigma=1$. *Invent. Math.*, **11**, 329–339 (1970).
- [2] A. F. Lavrik: A functional equation for Dirichlet L -series and the problem of divisors in arithmetic progressions. *A. M. S. Transl.*, **82**(2), 47–65 (1969).
- [3] —: An approximate functional equation for the Dirichlet L -function. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **18**, 101–115 (1968).
- [4] N. Levinson: More than one third of zeros of Riemann zeta-function are on line $\sigma=1/2$. *Advances in Math.*, **13**, 383–436 (1974).
- [5] —: A simplification in the proof that $N_0(T) > (1/3)N(T)$ for Riemann's zeta-function. *Advances in Math.*, **18**, 239–242 (1975).
- [6] Y. Motohashi: On an Approximate Functional Equation of Dirichlet L -function. *Kokyuroku of Research Institute. Kyoto University No. 193* (1973) (in Japanese).
- [7] K. Prachar: *Primzahlverteilung*. Springer (1957).
- [8] Z. Suetuna: *Analytic Theory of Numbers*. Iwanami (1950) (in Japanese).
- [9] Titchmarsh: *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*. Oxford (1951).