

## 8. On Embedding Torsion Free Modules into Free Modules<sup>\*</sup>)

By Kanzo MASAIKE  
Tokyo Gakugei University

(Communicated by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1977)

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be torsion free, if  $M$  is isomorphic to a submodule of a direct product of copies of  $E(R_R)$ , the injective hull of  $R_R$ . In [4] the author studied the following problem. What is the condition of a maximal right quotient ring  $Q$  under which every finitely generated torsion free right  $R$ -module becomes torsionless? Specializing the above problem we shall investigate rings for which every finitely generated torsion free right module is embedded into free right modules. Such a ring will be called *right T.F. ring* in this paper. In section 1 we shall give a characterization of right *T.F.* rings in the case where  $Q$  is right self-injective.

If  $R$  is *right QF-3* i.e.,  $R$  has a unique minimal faithful right module, then,  $Q$  is right *QF-3* (Tachikawa [7]), however, the converse does not hold in general. In section 2 it is proved that  $R$  is right and left *QF-3*, if and only if so is  $Q$  and  $Q$  is torsionless as right and left  $R$ -modules.

1. Throughout this paper  $R$  is a ring with identity and  $Q$  denotes a maximal right quotient ring of  $R$ . Let  $q \in Q$ . Set  $(q:R) = \{r \in R; qr \in R\}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $Q$  is right self-injective, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *Every finitely generated  $R$ -submodule of  $Q_R$  is embedded into a free right  $R$ -module.*

(ii)  *$Q_R$  is flat and  $Q \otimes_R Q \cong Q$  canonically.*

**Proof.** (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). This is obtained by the method of [4, Theorem 2].

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since  $qR + R$  is finitely generated  $R$ -module, it is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R$ , finite direct sum of copies of  $R_R$ .

Hence there exists  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n \in \text{Hom}(qR + R_R, R_R)$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } \delta_i = 0$ . Since  $\delta_i$  is extended to  $\bar{\delta}_i \in \text{Hom}(Q_Q, Q_Q)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , we can take  $a_i \in Q$  so that  $\delta_i(x) = a_i x$ ,  $x \in qR + R$ . Now,  $R$  has an identity.

---

<sup>\*</sup>) Dedicated to Prof. Kiiti Morita on his sixtieth birthday.

Therefore,  $a_i \in (q : R)$ . Put  $M = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } \delta_i = 0$ ,  $M$  has no non-zero right annihilator in  $R$  and hence in  $Q$ . Put  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n Qa_i$ . Since  $Q_Q$  is injective, the finitely generated left ideal  $A$  of  $Q$  is an annihilator left ideal (cf. [2, p. 28 Theorem 8]). Then,  $A$  is a left annihilator of the right annihilator  $B$  of  $A$ . Since  $B=0$ , we have  $A=Q$ . Thus,  $Q(q : R) = Q$ . The consequence is immediate from Morita [5].

Let  $a, b \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$  be arbitrary. If  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ , we shall define  $a \cdot b$  by  $a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots + a_nb_n \in Q$ . Then, for  $f \in \text{Hom} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_Q, Q_Q \right)$  there exists  $a \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$  such that  $f(x) = a \cdot x$ ,  $x \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $Q$  is right self-injective, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $R$  is a right T.F. ring.
- (ii)  $Q_R$  is flat,  $Q \otimes_R Q \cong Q$  canonically and  $Q$  is a right T.F. ring.
- (iii)  $Q_R$  is flat,  $Q \otimes_R Q \cong Q$  canonically and every annihilator left ideal of  $M_n(Q)$ , the complete ring of  $n \times n$  matrix over  $Q$ , is finitely generated for every  $n > 0$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). This is obtained by Proposition 1.1 and the proof of [4, Theorem 1].

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $A$  be an annihilator left ideal of  $M_n(Q)$ . Set  $B = \left\{ (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q; (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \text{ appears in a row of the matrix which belongs to } A \right\}$  and  $C = \left\{ c \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q; b \cdot c = 0 \text{ for all } b \in B \right\}$ . Define  $\bar{b} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_Q \rightarrow Q_Q$  by  $\bar{b}(x) = b \cdot x$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $x \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$ . Then,  $\left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q \right) / C$  is embedded into  $\prod Q^{(b)}$ , where  $Q^{(b)}$  denotes copies of  $Q_Q$ . Since  $Q$  is right T.F., there exists  $f_i \in \text{Hom} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_Q, Q_Q \right)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^t \text{Ker } f_i = C$ . Then, there exists  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t \in B$  such that  $C = \left\{ c \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q; b_i \cdot c = 0, \text{ for all } i \right\}$ . Hence the right annihilator of  $A$  in  $M_n(Q)$  is the right annihilator of  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t\}$  (where  $B_i$  is the element of  $M_n(Q)$  such that the first row of the matrix  $B_i$  is  $b_i$  and the other rows are zero element of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$ ) and is also a right annihilator of  $\sum_{i=1}^t M_n(Q)B_i$ . Since  $M_n(Q)$  is right self-injective,  $\sum_{i=1}^t M_n(Q)B_i$  is an annihilator left ideal. It follows that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^t M_n(Q)B_i$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^W Q\right)/C$  be a finitely generated torsion free right  $Q$ -module, where  $C$  is a submodule of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$ . Let  $C^* = \{\beta \in M_n(Q); \text{ every row of the transposed matrix } {}^t\beta \text{ belongs to } C\}$ . Since  $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q\right)/C$  is torsionless,  $C^*$  is an annihilator right ideal of  $M_n(Q)$  and hence a right annihilator of a finite subset of  $M_n(Q)$ . Therefore, there exists  $f_i: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q \rightarrow Q$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, h$ , such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^h \text{Ker } f_i = C$  and hence  $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q\right)/C$  is contained in a free  $Q$ -module.

**Remark.** If  $R$  is right non-singular,  $Q$  and hence  $M_n(Q)$  are regular self-injective. Then, every annihilator right ideal of  $M_n(Q)$  is generated by an idempotent element and so is every annihilator left ideal. Therefore, the result of K.R. Goodearl [3, Theorem 7] is obtained from our Theorem 1. 2, too.

2. In [1] it is proved that  $R$  is right  $QF$ -3 if and only if there exists non-isomorphic simple right ideals  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  such that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n E(S_i)$  is a faithful projective right ideal of  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $R$  is right  $QF$ -3.
- (ii)  $Q$  is right  $QF$ -3,  $Q$  is torsionless as a right  $R$ -module and  $\text{Soc}(Q_Q)Q$  is an essential extension of  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  as a right  $R$ -module, where  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  is a right socle of  $R$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $R$  is right  $QF$ -3. It is easily checked that  $(\text{Soc}(R_R)Q)Q \subset \text{Soc}(Q_Q)$ . Let  $K$  be a simple right ideal of  $Q$ . Let  $eQ$  be the unique minimal faithful right  $Q$ -module such that  $e = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$ , where  $e_i$ 's are orthogonal primitive idempotent elements of  $Q$  and  $e_iQ \cong e_jQ$  if and only if  $i=j$ . Since  $eQ$  is faithful,  $K$  is isomorphic to a submodule of a suitable  $e_iQ$ . Then,  $e_iQ = e_iR$  implies that  $K$  contains a simple right ideal of  $R$  and hence  $\text{Soc}(Q_Q)$  is an essential extension of  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ . Thus, (ii) holds immediately.

Conversely, assume (ii). Let  $eQ = e_1Q \oplus e_2Q \oplus \dots \oplus e_nQ$  be the same as previous. Since  $\text{Soc}(e_iQ_Q) \cap \text{Soc}(R_R) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Soc}(e_iQ_Q)$  is a simple right ideal of  $R$  for all  $i$ . On the other hand, since  $e_iQ$  is torsionless  $R$ -module,  $e_iQ$  is isomorphic to a right ideal  $I_i$  of  $R$  which contains a simple right ideal. Now,  $i \neq j$  implies  $I_i$  and  $I_j$  are non-isomorphic, then  $\sum_{k=1}^n I_k = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n I_k$  in  $R$  and  $R$  is right  $QF$ -3.

In the following right and left  $QF$ -3 rings are called  $QF$ -3.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $R$  is  $QF$ -3.

(ii)  $Q$  is  $QF-3$  and  $Q$  is torsionless as right and left  $R$ -modules

(iii)  $Q$  is a  $QF-3$  maximal two-sided quotient ring of  $R$  and  $R$  has a minimal dense right ideal and a minimal dense left ideal.

**Proof.** From [4, Proposition 2] it is not hard to see that the above conditions (i) or (ii) implies  $Q$  is also a maximal left quotient ring. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obtained by E.A. Rutter Jr. [6, Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.4].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $J$  be a minimal dense left ideal of  $R$ . Since  $\bigcap_{q \in Q} (q : R)$  is a dense left ideal, it contains  $J$  and  $JQ \subset R$ . Let  $p \in Q$  be a non-zero element. There exists  $j \in J$  such that  $jp \neq 0$ . Define  $\bar{j} \in \text{Hom}(Q_R, R_R)$  by left multiplication of  $j$ . Since  $\bar{j}(p) \neq 0$ ,  $Q_R$  is torsionless.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By Proposition 2.1 it is sufficient to prove that  $\text{Soc}(Q_Q)_R$  is an essential extension of  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ . Let  $K$  be a simple right ideal of  $Q$ . Since  ${}_R Q$  is torsionless, there exists  $\delta \in \text{Hom}({}_R Q, {}_R R)$  such that  $\text{Ker } \delta \not\supset K$ . Clearly  $\delta$  is a right multiplication of an element of  $\bigcap_{q \in Q} (R : q)$ , where  $(R : q) = \{r \in R ; qr \in R\}$ . Set  $M = K \left( \bigcap_{q \in Q} (R : q) \right)$ . Then, the above observation implies  $M \neq 0$ . Let  $N \neq 0$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $K$  is a simple right ideal of  $Q$ ,  $NQ = K$ . Hence  $M = NQ \left( \bigcap_{q \in Q} (R : q) \right) \subset N$  and it follows that  $M$  is a simple right ideal of  $R$  and the consequence is immediate.

### References

- [1] R. R. Colby and E. A. Rutter Jr.:  $QF-3$  rings with zero singular ideals. *Pac. J. Math.*, **28**, 303-308 (1968).
- [2] C. Faith: Lectures on Injective Modules and Quotient Rings. *Lecture Note in Math.*, **49**, Springer-Verlag (1966).
- [3] K. R. Goodearl: Embedding non-singular modules in free modules. *J. Pure and Applied Algebra*, **1**, 275-279 (1971).
- [4] K. Masaike: On quotient rings and torsionless modules. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sec. A*, **11**, No. 280, 26-30 (1971).
- [5] K. Morita: Flat modules, injective modules and quotient rings. *Math. Z.*, **120**, 25-40 (1971).
- [6] E. A. Rutter Jr.: Dominant modules and finite localizations. *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **27**, 225-239 (1975).
- [7] H. Tachikawa: Quasi-Frobenius Rings and Generalizations. *Lecture Note in Math.*, **351**, Springer-Verlag (1973).