No. 10.] 389

117. On the Expansion of Analytic Function.

By Shin-ichi TAKAHASHI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai. (Comm. by M. Fujiwara, M.I.A., Dec. 12, 1930.)

Prof. G. Julia has treated in his recent paper¹⁾ the convergency of the series of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n f(z^n)$, and the representation of any analytic function regular in the vicinity of the origin, by the series of the form above stated, where f(z) is a polynomial or an entire function, such that

$$f(0)=0$$
, $f'(0)=1$.

Suggested by this paper, I have obtained a very simple proof for Widder's theorem²⁾ on the expansion of an analytic function in This theorem may, somewhat modified generalized Taylor's series. from its original form, be stated as follows:

Let $P_n(z)$ be the functions satisfying the following conditions in the domain $|z| \leq R$:

- (1) $P_n(z)$ are regular analytic in $|z| \leq R$;
- (2) $P_n(z) = 1 + h_n(z)$, where $h_n(z) = b_1^{(n)}z + b_2^{(n)}z^2 + \cdots$, for $|z| \le R$, $(n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$;

(3)
$$|h_n(z)| \leq \frac{M}{n+1}$$
 for $|z| \leq R$.

Then any analytic function f(z), regular in $|z| \leq \rho \leq R$, can be expanded in one and only one way into a series of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$, convergent in $|z| < \rho$. Moreover, the coefficients a_n are determined by the formula

formula
$$a_{n} = (-1)^{n} \left| \begin{array}{ccccccc} f(0) & 1 & & & & \\ & \frac{f'(0)}{1!} & b_{1}^{(0)} & 1 & & & \\ & \frac{f''(0)}{2!} & b_{2}^{(0)} & b_{1}^{(1)} & 1 & & \\ & & \frac{f''(0)}{2!} & b_{n}^{(0)} & b_{n-1}^{(1)} & b_{n-2}^{(2)} & \dots & b_{1}^{(n-1)} \end{array} \right|.$$

¹⁾ Acta Mathematica, 54 (1930), 263-295.

²⁾ Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 31 (1929), 43-52. I have already published another simple proof of Widder's theorem. See Tôhoku Math. Journ., 33 (1930), 48-54.

Proof. Let f(z) be an analytic function regular in $|z| \leq \rho \leq R$. We shall show that we can determine a_n such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$ converges uniformly for $|z| \leq \rho' < \rho$ and represents the given function f(z).

1. Determination of a_n . Unicity of the expansion.

If the preceding expansion is possible and has the required properties, then we must have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$$

in the domain, where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$ is uniformly convergent.

Now let C be a circle with centre z=0, lying in the domain, where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$ uniformly converges.

Then it is easily seen that

$$\int_{c} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz = \int_{c} \frac{a_0 + a_1 z P_1(z) + a_2 z^2 P_2(z) + \dots + a_n z^n P_n(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

and since

$$\int_{C} \frac{a_n z^n P_n(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz = 2\pi i a_n,$$

we have

(B)
$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z) - a_0 - a_1 z P_1(z) - \dots - a_{n-1} z^{n-1} P_{n-1}(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz$$
.

By our hypothesis (2), the formula (B) becomes

(C)
$$a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} - a_1 b_{n-1}^{(1)} - a_2 b_{n-2}^{(2)} - \dots - a_{n-1} b_1^{(n-1)}$$
.

This recurring formula (C) gives us immediately the formula (A). Thus all a_n are uniquely determined.

2. Convergency of the expansion formed with the coefficients (B).

We have by the hypothesis (3) the inequalities

$$|P_n(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{M}{n+1},$$
 $\left|\frac{f(z)-a_0}{z}\right| < N$

for $|z| \leq \rho$. Now let the radius of the circle C be ρ . Then the

formula (B) gives us the inequality

$$|a_n| \le
ho^{-n} \Big\{ N
ho + |a_1| \,
ho \Big(1 + \frac{M}{2} \Big) + |a_2| \,
ho^2 \Big(1 + \frac{M}{3} \Big) + \cdots + |a_{n-1}| \,
ho^{n-1} \Big(1 + \frac{M}{n} \Big) \Big\} \,,$$

which may be written

$$S_n - S_{n-1} \le S_{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{M}{n+1}\right)$$
 or $S_n \le S_{n-1} \left(2 + \frac{M}{n+1}\right)$,

by putting

$$S_n = N\rho + |a_1| \rho \left(1 + \frac{M}{2}\right) + |a_2| \rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{M}{3}\right) + \cdots + |a_n| \rho^n \left(1 + \frac{M}{n+1}\right),$$

whence we get

$$S_n < S_1 \left(2 + \frac{M}{3}\right) \left(2 + \frac{M}{4}\right) \dots \left(2 + \frac{M}{n+1}\right).$$

Now

$$S_1=N\rho+|a_1|\rho\left(1+\frac{M}{2}\right)$$
,

and if we observe that

$$|a_1| = |f'(0)| = \left|\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{f(z)-a_0}{z}\right| < N$$
,

we immediately have

$$S_1 \leq N \rho \left(2 + \frac{M}{2}\right).$$

Therefore

$$S_n < N\rho \left(2 + \frac{M}{2}\right) \left(2 + \frac{M}{3}\right) \dots \left(2 + \frac{M}{n+1}\right)$$

and whence follows the inequality

$$|a_n| \le N
ho^{-(n-1)} \left(2 + rac{M}{2}
ight) \left(2 + rac{M}{3}
ight) \left(2 + rac{M}{n}
ight).$$

Thus we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N \rho^{-(n-1)} \left(2 + \frac{M}{2}\right) \left(2 + \frac{M}{3}\right) \dots \left(2 + \frac{M}{n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{M}{n+1}\right) z^{n}$$

as the dominant series of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$, and it is easily seen that this dominant series is absolutely and uniformly convergent in the domain $|z| \leq \rho' < \rho$.

Therefore $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n P_n(z)$ is uniformly convergent in $|z| \leq \rho' < \rho$ and Widder's theorem is thus completely proved.

N.B. From our method of proof, it is not difficult to see that we can take, instead of (3), a more unrestricted condition

$$|h_n(z)| \leq \frac{M}{\log (1+n)}$$
 for $|z| \leq R$.