# 74. A Geometrical Proof of a Theorem on the Secular Equation. 

By Masaji Itô.<br>(Comm. by M. Fujiwara, m.i.A., July 13, 1931.)

The well-known fact that the secular equation

$$
|A-\lambda E|=\left|a_{i k}-\lambda \delta_{i k}\right|=0, \quad\left(a_{i k}=a_{k i}\right)
$$

has real roots only, may geometrically be interpreted as follows.
A plane determined by $n$ points

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1}: & \left(a_{11}-\lambda,\right. & a_{12}, & \left.\ldots \ldots, \quad a_{1 n}\right), \\
P_{2}: & \left(a_{21},\right. & a_{22}-\lambda, & \left.\ldots \ldots, a_{2 n}\right), \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
P_{n}: & \left(a_{n 1},\right. & a_{n 2}, & \ldots \ldots . \\
\left.a_{n n}-\lambda\right),
\end{array}
$$

passes through the origin $n$ times, when the parameter $\lambda$ varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ continuously.

We will prove this theorem by simple geometrical consideration.
Let $l_{i}$ be the straight line, parallel to the coordinate axis $x_{i}$, passing through ( $a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \ldots \ldots, a_{i n}$ ), along which the point $P_{i}$ moves from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$, when $\lambda$ varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$.

First consider the case, where $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots \ldots, l_{n}$ meet in a point. We transform then $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots .$. to the coordinates axes and the origin to a point $P$, lying in the region, where all coordinates are of the same sign.

For the sake of simplicity, we take $n=3$.
Let $Q$ be the orthogonal projection of $P$ on the $x_{1} x_{2}$ plane, and $S$ be the intersection of the $x_{3}$ axis with the join of $P, R$, where $R$ denotes the intersection of $P_{1} P_{2}$ and $O Q$.

When the plane $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ passes through $P, S$ will coincide with $P_{3}$.
When $\lambda$ is negative and $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large, $P_{3}$ lies on the positive $x_{3}$ axis far from the origin, while $S$ lies very near to $O$.

When $\lambda$ increases gradually, $P_{3}$ moves towards $O$, while $S$ towards $+\infty$.

Therefore there comes a moment, where $S$ coincides with $P_{3}$. After that moment, $S$ moves further and comes on the negative side on the $x_{3}$ axis, passing through infinity, when $R$ passes through $Q$, that is, $P_{1} P_{2}$ passes through $Q . Q$ lies in the region on the $x_{1} x_{2}$ plane, where the coordinates are of the same sign. Therefore, if we can
prove that $P_{1} P_{2}$ passes through $Q$ twice, then $S$ passes through infinity twice, so that $S$ coincides with $P_{3}$ three times in all.

Thus the problem is reduced to the case $n=2$.
It is evident that $P_{1} P_{2}$ passes through $Q$ once, when each of $P_{1}$, $P_{2}$ moves from $+\infty$ towards $O$, if $Q$ lies in the first quadrant. After this moment, $P_{1} P_{2}$ will coincide with $x_{2}$ axis and then with $x_{1}$ axis, or in the inverse order, according as $P_{1}$ passes through the origin before or after $P_{2}$. Therefore $P_{1} P_{2}$ passes through $Q$ once more.

Thus the theorem is proved.
The general case will be proved by mathematical induction, quite similarly to the above reasoning.

We will next turn to the case, where $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots \ldots, l_{n}$ do not meet in one point.

Without any loss of generality we can assume $a_{12}, a_{13}, \ldots \ldots, a_{1 n}>0$. Let $l_{i}$ be the straight line, along which $P_{i}$ moves from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$. When $n=2 m$, the plane passing through $l_{2}, l_{3}, \ldots \ldots, l_{m}$ and $O$, and further a point $T$ (corresponding to $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ ) on $l_{m+1}$ will meet the line $l_{1}$ at a point $A$, corresponding to the value of $\lambda$, which satisfies

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11}-\lambda & a_{1, m+1} & \ldots \ldots, & a_{1 n}  \tag{1}\\
a_{21} & a_{1, m+1} & \ldots \ldots ., & a_{2 n} \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
a_{n+1,1} & a_{m+1, m+1}-\lambda_{10} & \ldots \ldots, & a_{m+1, n}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Again, the plane passing through $l_{m+2}, \ldots \ldots, l_{n}$ and $O, T$ will meet the line $l_{1}$ at a point $B$, corresponding to the value of $\lambda$ satisfying

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11}-\lambda & a_{12} & \ldots \ldots, & a_{1, m+1}  \tag{2}\\
a_{m+1,1} & a_{m+1,2} & \ldots \ldots, & a_{m+1, m+1}-\lambda_{0} \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

Since $a_{i k}=a_{k i}$, (1) and (2) are the same, so that $A$ coincides with $B$. If we determine $\lambda_{0}$ such that $T$ lies on the plane passing through $l_{1}$ and $O$, then $A$ will be uniquely determined.

When $n=2 m+1$, it is easily verified, that two planes passing through the origin and $l_{2}, l_{3}, \ldots \ldots, l_{m+1} ; l_{m+2}, \ldots \ldots, l_{n}$ respectively will meet $l_{1}$ at the same point. Let this point be $A$.

Then draw $l_{i}^{\prime}$ parallel to $l_{i}$, passing through $A$, and denote by $P_{i}^{\prime}$ the intersection of $l_{i}^{\prime}$ with $O P_{i}$. Then $P_{1}, P_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots, P_{n}^{\prime}$ move along $l_{1}, l_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots, l_{n}^{\prime}$, which meet in the point $A$. And two planes $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots \ldots P_{n}, P_{1} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots \ldots P_{n}^{\prime}$ pass through $O$ at the same time.

If the $x_{1}$ coordinate of $A$ be negative, then $P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots \ldots$ will move on $l_{2}^{\prime}, l_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots$ in the negative sense. Therefore, inverting the direction of $l_{1}, A$ lies in the region where all coordinates are of the same sign. Therefore the plane $P_{1} P_{2}^{\prime} P_{3}^{\prime} \ldots \ldots P_{n}^{\prime}$, consequently $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots \ldots P_{n}$, passes through $O$ exactly $n$ times.

Thus the reality of roots of the secular equation is established.
The Sylvester's theorem, which asserts the reality of roots of the equation

$$
|A-\lambda B|=0,
$$

where $A, B$ are symmetric, and $A$ or $B$ is definite, can also be proved geometrically; we will publish the proof in another occasion.

