# On $\phi$ -biflat and $\phi$ -biprojective Banach algebras

A. Sahami A. Pourabbas

#### **Abstract**

In this paper, we introduce the new notions of  $\phi$ -biflatness,  $\phi$ -biprojectivity,  $\phi$ -Johnson amenability and  $\phi$ -Johnson contractibility for Banach algebras, where  $\phi$  is a non-zero homomorphism from a Banach algebra A into  $\mathbb{C}$ . We show that a Banach algebra A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable if and only if it is  $\phi$ -inner amenable and  $\phi$ -biflat. Also we show that  $\phi$ -Johnson amenability is equivalent with the existence of left and right  $\phi$ -means for A. We give some examples to show differences between these new notions and the classical ones. Finally, we show that  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi$ -biflat if and only if G is an amenable group and A(G) is  $\phi$ -biprojective if and only if G is a discrete group.

#### 1 Introduction

For the background theory of amenability of Banach algebras, see B. E. Johnson [11]. A Banach algebra A is amenable (contractible) if every continuous derivation from A into a dual Banach A-module  $X^*$  (Banach A-module X) is inner, for every Banach A-module X. Also in [12], Johnson showed that a Banach algebra A is amenable if and only if A has a virtual diagonal, that is, there exists an  $m \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\pi^{**}(m)a = a$  for every  $a \in A$ , where  $\pi : A \otimes_p A \to A$  is the product morphism, specified by  $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$ .

There are some important homological notions which have direct relation with amenability and contractibility, such as biflatness and biprojectivity. Indeed, *A* is called biflat (biprojective), if there exists a bounded *A*-module morphism

Received by the editors in July 2012 - In revised form in December 2012. Communicated by A. Valette.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 43A07, 43A20, Secondary 46H05.

*Key words and phrases* : Banach algebra, φ-biflatness, φ-biprojectivity, φ-amenability, φ-inner amenability.

 $\rho: A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  ( $\rho: A \to A \otimes_p A$ ) such that  $\pi^{**} \circ \rho$  is the canonical embedding of A into  $A^{**}$  ( $\rho$  is a right inverse for  $\pi$ ), see [17]. In fact, a Banach algebra A is amenable if and only if A is biflat and has a bounded approximate identity.

Recently E. Kaniuth et~al. in [13] have introduced and studied the notion of  $\phi$ -amenability for Banach algebras. For a multiplicative linear functional  $\phi$  on A, A is called  $\phi$ -amenable if every continuous derivation from A into the dual Banach A-module  $X^*$  is inner, for every Banach A-module X such that  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$ . They showed that  $\phi$ -amenability of A is equivalent with the existence of a bounded net  $(a_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  in A such that  $aa_{\alpha} - \phi(a)a_{\alpha} \to 0$  and  $\phi(a_{\alpha}) \to 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ . Later on, this notion even has been generalized in [9], [14] and [15]. Motivated by these considerations, A. Jabbari et~al. in [10], have introduced the  $\phi$ -version of inner amenability, which is equivalent with the existence of a bounded net  $(a_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  in A such that  $aa_{\alpha} - a_{\alpha}a \to 0$  and  $\phi(a_{\alpha}) = 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ .

The content of this paper is as follows. After recalling some background notations and definitions, we will define new notions of  $\phi$ -Johnson amenability,  $\phi$ -biflatness and  $\phi$ -biprojectivity for Banach algebras and with some characterizations and some examples, we will show the differences between these new notions and the classical ones. It will be shown that A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable if and only if A is  $\phi$ -biflat and  $\phi$ -inner amenable. Also, it will be shown that A(G) is  $\phi$ -biprojective if and only if G is an amenable group. Also we will show that A(G) is  $\phi$ -biprojective if and only if G is a discrete group. The paper concludes with some examples about semigroup algebras.

We recall that if X is a Banach A-module, then with the following actions  $X^*$  is also a Banach A-module:

$$< a \cdot f, x > = < f, x \cdot a >, < f \cdot a, x > = < f, x \cdot a > (a \in A, x \in X, f \in A^*).$$

The projective tensor product of A by A is denoted by  $A \otimes_p A$ . The Banach algebra  $A \otimes_p A$  is a Banach A-module with the following actions

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) = ab \otimes c, \quad (b \otimes c) \cdot a = b \otimes ca \quad (a, b, c \in A).$$

Throughout this paper,  $\Delta(A)$  denotes the character space of A, that is, all non-zero multiplicative linear functionals on A. Let  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $\phi$  has a unique extension on  $A^{**}$  denoted by  $\tilde{\phi}$  and defined by  $\tilde{\phi}(F) = F(\phi)$  for every  $F \in A^{**}$ . Clearly this extension remains to be a character on  $A^{**}$ .

Now we will give the definition of our new notions.

*Definition* 1.1. A Banach algebra *A* is called φ-Johnson amenable, if there exists an element  $m \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(m) = 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}$  is defined as above. Also, *A* is called a φ-Johnson contractible Banach algebra, if there exists an element  $m \in A \otimes_p A$  such that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\phi \circ \pi(m) = 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ .

*Definition* 1.2. Let *A* be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . *A* is called  $\phi$ -biprojective, if there exists a bounded *A*-module morphism  $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$  such that  $\phi \circ \pi \circ \rho = \phi$ . Also *A* is called  $\phi$ -biflat if there exists a bounded *A*-module morphism  $\rho : A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho = \phi$ .

## 2 Elementary properties

In this section, we prove some elementary lemmas to characterize the  $\phi$ -Johnson amenability, the  $\phi$ -biflatness and the  $\phi$ -biprojectivity of Banach algebras.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . The Banach algebra A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable if and only if there exists a bounded net  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  in  $A \otimes_p A$  such that  $a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a \to 0$  and  $\phi \circ \pi(m_{\alpha}) \to 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let A be  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. Then there exists an  $m \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(m) = 1$ . So by Goldstine's theorem m is a  $w^*$ -accumulation point of a bounded net  $(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq A \otimes_p A$ . Since  $\pi^{**}$  is  $w^*$ -continuous, hence  $\pi(m_\alpha) \xrightarrow{w^*} \pi^{**}(m)$ ,  $\pi(m_\alpha)(\phi) \to \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(m)$ , therefore  $\phi \circ \pi(m_\alpha) \to 1$ . Since  $m_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} m$ , for every  $\psi \in (A \otimes_p A)^*$ , we have  $m_\alpha(a \cdot \psi) \to m(a \cdot \psi)$  and  $m_\alpha(\psi \cdot a) \to m(\psi \cdot a)$ . Therefore  $m_\alpha \cdot a(\psi) \to m \cdot a(\psi)$ , that is,  $m_\alpha \cdot a \xrightarrow{w^*} m \cdot a$ . Similarly, one can show that  $a \cdot m_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} a \cdot m$ . It is easy to verify that  $a \cdot m_\alpha - m_\alpha \cdot a \xrightarrow{w} 0$ . Consequently, one can assume that by Mazur's theorem, this limit holds even in the norm topology.

Conversely, let  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq A \otimes_p A$  be a bounded net such that  $a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a \to 0$  and  $\phi \circ \pi(m_{\alpha}) \to 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ . After passing to a subnet if necessary, let  $m \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  be a  $w^*$ -cluster point of the net  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ . Since  $a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ , one can easily show that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$ , for every  $a \in A$ . Also the  $w^*$ -continuity of  $\pi^{**}$ , reveals that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(m) = 1$  and the proof is complete.

Recall that A is a left (right)  $\phi$ -amenable Banach algebra, if there exists a bounded net  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  in A, such that  $||am_{\alpha} - \phi(a)m_{\alpha}|| \to 0$  ( $||m_{\alpha}a - \phi(a)m_{\alpha}|| \to 0$ ), respectively and  $\phi(m_{\alpha}) = 1$ . For further details see [13].

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that A is a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . A is left and right  $\phi$ -amenable if and only if A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $(m_{\beta})_{\beta \in J}$  are bounded nets in A such that  $\phi(m_{\alpha}) = \phi(m_{\beta}) = 1$ , which satisfy  $||am_{\alpha} - \phi(a)m_{\alpha}|| \to 0$  and  $||m_{\beta}a - \phi(a)m_{\beta}|| \to 0$ , respectively, for every  $a \in A$ . Define  $m_{\beta}^{\alpha} = m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta} \subseteq A \otimes_{p} A$ , therefore  $\phi \circ \pi(m_{\beta}^{\alpha}) = \phi(m_{\alpha}m_{\beta}) = \phi(m_{\alpha})\phi(m_{\beta}) = 1$ . On the other hand, for every  $a \in A$ , we have

$$||a\cdot(m_{\alpha}\otimes m_{\beta})-(m_{\alpha}\otimes m_{\beta})\cdot a||\to 0.$$

To see this, by using the boundedness of  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $(m_{\beta})_{\beta \in J}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||a \cdot m_{\alpha}^{\beta} - m_{\alpha}^{\beta} \cdot a|| &= ||a \cdot (m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta}) - (m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta}) \cdot a|| \\ &\leq ||am_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta} - \phi(a)m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta}|| + ||m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta}\phi(a) - (m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta})a|| \\ &\leq ||am_{\alpha} - \phi(a)m_{\alpha}|| \, ||m_{\beta}|| + ||m_{\alpha}|| \, ||m_{\beta}a - \phi(a)m_{\beta}|| \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

So by Lemma 2.1, A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable.

For converse, suppose that  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  is a bounded net in  $A \otimes_p A$  such that  $a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a \to 0$  and  $\phi \circ \pi(m_{\alpha}) \to 1$ . One can easily show that, there exists a

bounded linear map  $T: A \otimes_p A \to A$  defined by  $T(a \otimes b) = \phi(b)a$ , for every a and b in A. It is easy to see that  $T(a \cdot m) = a \cdot T(m)$  and  $T(m \cdot a) = \phi(a)T(m)$ , where  $m \in A \otimes_p A$ . Now, consider the following

$$||T(a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a)|| \leq ||T|| ||a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a||,$$

therefore one can easily see that

$$||aT(m_{\alpha}) - \phi(a)T(m_{\alpha})|| = ||T(a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a)|| \to 0.$$

Replacing  $m_{\alpha}$  with  $\phi(T(m_{\alpha}))^{-1}m_{\alpha}$  and using the fact  $\phi(T(m_{\alpha})) = \phi \circ \pi(m_{\alpha}) = 1$ , we obtain a bounded net  $(T(m_{\alpha}))_{\alpha}$  in A, which satisfies the hypotheses of [13, Theorem 1-4], hence A is left  $\phi$ -amenable. Similarly, one can show that A is right  $\phi$ -amenable.

Recall that, A is a  $\phi$ -inner amenable Banach algebra, if A has a bounded net  $(a_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  such that  $\phi(a_{\alpha}) \to 1$  and  $aa_{\alpha} - a_{\alpha}a \to 0$ , see [10, Theorem 2-1].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Suppose that A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. Then A is  $\phi$ -inner amenable.

*Proof.* Let  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq A \otimes_{p} A$  be a bounded net such that  $a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a \to 0$  and  $\phi \circ \pi(m_{\alpha}) \to 1$ . Now if we consider the net  $(\pi(m_{\alpha}))_{\alpha}$  and since  $\pi$  is A-module morphism, then clearly,

$$a\pi(m_{\alpha}) - \pi(m_{\alpha})a = \pi(a \cdot m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} \cdot a) \rightarrow 0$$

and  $\phi \circ \pi(m_{\alpha}) \to 1$ . Hence, *A* is a  $\phi$ -inner amenable Banach algebra.

Now, we want to give an example which is  $\phi$ -inner amenable but is not  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. Moreover, we give another example which is  $\phi$ -biprojective, hence is  $\phi$ -biflat but is not  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. Let I be a closed ideal of the Banach algebra A which  $\phi|_I \neq 0$ . Then I is left and right  $\phi$ -amenable whenever A is left and right  $\phi$ -amenable, see [13].

Example 2.4. Let A be a Banach algebra with  $\dim(A) > 1$  such that  $ab = \phi(a)b$  for every  $a, b \in A$ , where  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then A is weakly amenable, but not amenable [2, Proposition 2.13]. Also A is not a  $\phi$ -inner amenable Banach algebra [5, Example 2-3]. Note that  $A^{\sharp} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , the unitization of A, is a  $\phi_e$ -inner amenable Banach algebra, where  $\phi_e(a + \lambda) = \phi(a) + \lambda$ , for every  $a \in A$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We claim that, this algebra is not  $\phi_e$ -Johnson amenable. We go toward a contradiction and suppose that  $A^{\sharp}$  is  $\phi_e$ -Johnson amenable, where dim A>1. Since A is a closed ideal of  $A^{\sharp}$  and  $\phi_e|_A\neq 0$ , A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. Hence, A is  $\phi$ -inner amenable. So by [5, Example 2-3], dim(A) = 1 which is a contradiction.

Furthermore, we show that  $A^{\sharp}$  is not even a pseudo-amenable Banach algebra. To see this we go toward a contradiction, suppose that  $A^{\sharp}$  is pseudo-amenable. Let  $a_0 \in A$  be such that  $\phi(a_0) = 1$ . By [7, Theorem 3-1], clearly A is approximately amenable. Therefore A has an approximate identity say  $(e_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ . Consider

$$a_0 = \lim_{\alpha} a_0 e_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha} \phi(a_0) e_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha},$$

in other words,  $a_0$  is a unit element for A. Then by the above considerations, one can easily see that

$$a = \lim ae_{\alpha} = a \lim e_{\alpha} = \phi(a)a_0$$

so dim(A) = 1, which is a contradiction.

Note that, since  $aa_0 = \phi(a)a_0$  and  $\phi(a_0) = 1$ , A is a left  $\phi$ -amenable Banach algebra, so by [13, Lemma 3-2]  $A^{\sharp}$  is left  $\phi_e$ -amenable. Therefore by this example we have a Banach algebra which is  $\phi_e$ -amenable and  $\phi_e$ -inner amenable but is not  $\phi_e$ -Johnson amenable.

We want to give an example which reveals differences of  $\phi$ -biflatness and  $\phi$ -biprojectivity with  $\phi$ -Johnson amenability. Let A be a Banach algebra with  $\dim(A)>1$  such that  $ab=\phi(b)a$ , where  $\phi\in\Delta(A)$ . By [5, Example 2-3] A is not  $\phi$ -inner amenable, so by previous lemma A is not  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. But we show that, A is  $\phi$ -biprojective. Indeed, let  $x_0\in A$  be such that  $\phi(x_0)=1$ . Define  $\rho:A\to A\otimes_p A$  by  $\rho(a)=a\otimes x_0$ . One can easily see that  $\rho$  is a bounded A-module morphism and  $\phi\circ\pi\circ\rho=\phi$ . Then we have an example which is  $\phi$ -biprojective and hence  $\phi$ -biflat but is not  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable.

*Example* 2.5. Let  $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$  and  $\phi(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}) = b$ . It is easy to see that  $\phi$  is a character on A. By [18, page 3241] A is a biprojective Banach algebra, hence is  $\phi$ -biprojective, therefore is  $\phi$ -biflat. On the other hand, by [5, Example 2-3], this algebra is not  $\phi$ -inner amenable, then by previous Lemma A is not  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable.

# 3 Characterization of $\phi$ -biflatness and $\phi$ -biprojectivity

**Lemma 3.1.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . If A is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable, then A is  $\phi$ -biflat.

*Proof.* Let  $m \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  be such that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(m) = 1$ . Define a map  $\rho : A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  by  $\rho(a) = a \cdot m$ . Then  $\rho$  is an A-module morphism, since

$$b \cdot \rho(a) = b \cdot (a \cdot m) = ba \cdot m = \rho(ba), \quad \rho(a) \cdot b = (a \cdot m) \cdot b = ab \cdot m = \rho(ab).$$

On the other hand

$$\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho(a) = \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(a \cdot m) = \tilde{\phi}(a\pi^{**}(m)) = \phi(a)\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**}(m) = \phi(a).$$

Therefore *A* is a  $\phi$ -biflat Banach algebra.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . If A is  $\phi$ -Johnson contractible, then A is  $\phi$ -biprojective. The converse holds, whenever A is either unital or a commutative Banach algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $m \in A \otimes_p A$  be such that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\phi(\pi(m)) = 1$ . Define  $\rho : A \to A \otimes_p A$  by  $\rho(a) = a \cdot m$ . Then clearly  $\rho$  is a bounded A-module morphism and we have

$$\phi \circ \pi \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a\pi(m)) = \phi(a)\phi(\pi(m)) = \phi(a).$$

So *A* is  $\phi$ -biprojective.

Conversely, suppose that A is a  $\phi$ -biprojective Banach algebra. Let  $\rho: A \to A \otimes_p A$  be a bounded A-module morphism and e is an unit for A. Thus,  $\rho(e) \in A \otimes_p A$  and  $a \cdot \rho(e) = \rho(e) \cdot a$  and  $\phi \circ \pi \circ \rho(e) = \phi(e) = 1$ . Therefore A is  $\phi$ -Johnson contractible. In the commutative case, let  $x_0 \in A$  be such that  $\phi(x_0) = 1$ . For  $\rho(x_0) \in A \otimes_p A$ , we have  $a \cdot \rho(x_0) = \rho(x_0) \cdot a$  and  $\phi \circ \pi \circ \rho(x_0) = \phi(x_0) = 1$ , for every  $a \in A$ . Then the proof is complete.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let* A *be a Banach algebra and*  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . *If* A *is*  $\phi$ -*biflat and*  $\phi$ -*inner amenable, then* A *is*  $\phi$ -*Johnson amenable.* 

*Proof.* Since A is a  $\phi$ -biflat Banach algebra, there exists a bounded A-module morphism  $\rho: A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho = \phi$ . Suppose that  $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is a bounded net in A such that for each  $a \in A$ ,  $aa_\alpha - a_\alpha a \to 0$  and  $\phi(a_\alpha) \to 1$ . Thus, we have

$$||a \cdot \rho(a_{\alpha}) - \rho(a_{\alpha}) \cdot a|| \to 0$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho(a_{\alpha}) \to 1.$$

We construct a bounded net  $(b_{\lambda}) \subseteq A \otimes_{p} A$  such that  $\phi \circ \pi(b_{\lambda}) \to 1$  and  $||a \cdot b_{\lambda} - b_{\lambda} \cdot a|| \to 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , pick finite sets  $F \subseteq A$  and  $\Phi \subseteq (A \otimes_{p} A)^{*}$ . Let

$$K = \{a \cdot \xi | a \in F, \xi \in \Phi\} \cup \{\xi \cdot a | a \in F, \xi \in \Phi\}.$$

Hence, there exists  $v = v(\epsilon, F, \Phi)$  such that for every  $a \in F$ 

$$||a \cdot \rho(a_v) - \rho(a_v) \cdot a|| < \frac{\epsilon}{3K_0}$$

and

$$|\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho(a_v) - 1| < \epsilon,$$

where  $K_0 = \max\{||\xi|| : \xi \in \Phi\}$ . By Goldstine's theorem, there exists a bounded net  $(b_{\lambda}) \subseteq A \otimes_p A$  such that converges to  $\rho(a_v)$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. Since  $\pi^{**}$  is  $w^*$ -continuous,  $\pi(b_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{w^*} \pi^{**}(\rho(a_v))$ . Hence, there exists  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\epsilon, F, \Phi)$  such that

$$|\psi(b_{\lambda_0}) - \rho(a_v)(\psi)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

and

$$|\phi \circ \pi(b_{\lambda_0}) - \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho(a_v)| < \epsilon$$
,

for all  $\psi \in K$ . Therefore for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|\phi \circ \pi(b_{\lambda_0}) - 1| = |\phi \circ \pi(b_{\lambda_0}) - \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho(a_v) + \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \rho(a_v) - 1| < c\epsilon.$$

Since  $|\psi(b_{\lambda_0}) - \rho(a_v)(\psi)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ ,

$$|\xi(a \cdot b_{\lambda_0} - b_{\lambda_0} \cdot a)| \leq |\xi(a \cdot b_{\lambda_0}) - a \cdot \rho(a_v)(\xi)| + |a \cdot \rho(a_v)(\xi) - \rho(a_v) \cdot a(\xi)| + |\rho(a_v) \cdot a(\xi) - \xi(b_{\lambda_0} \cdot a)| < \epsilon.$$

Hence, we have  $a \cdot b_{\lambda} - b_{\lambda} \cdot a \to 0$  in the *w*-topology. By Mazur's theorem, one can assume that  $a \cdot b_{\lambda} - b_{\lambda} \cdot a \to 0$ , with respect to the norm topology, as we desired.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Let I be a closed ideal of A such that  $\phi|_I \neq 0$ . If A is  $\phi$ -biprojective, then I is  $\phi|_I$ -biprojective.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho: A \to A \otimes_p A$  be an A-module morphism such that  $\phi \circ \pi \circ \rho = \phi$ . Suppose that  $i_0 \in I$  is such that  $\phi(i_0) = 1$ . Define  $\eta: A \otimes_p A \to I \otimes_p I$  by  $\eta(a \otimes b) = ai_0 \otimes i_0 b$  for every a and b in A. Since  $\eta$  is an A-module morphism,  $\eta \circ \rho: A \to I \otimes_p I$  is an A-module morphism. Define  $\hat{\rho} = \eta \circ \rho|_I$  which is an I-module morphism. It is easy to see that  $\phi \circ \pi \circ \hat{\rho}(i) = \phi(i)$  for every  $i \in I$ . Then the proof is complete.

Similarly, one can see that the above lemma is also true for the  $\phi$ -biflat case.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . If  $A^{**}$  is  $\tilde{\phi}$ -biprojective, then A is  $\phi$ -biflat.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho: A^{**} \to A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**}$  be an  $A^{**}$ -module morphism such that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{A^{**}} \circ \rho = \phi$ . Define  $\rho_0 = \rho|_A: A \to A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**}$ . There exists a bounded linear map  $\psi: A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**} \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that for  $a,b \in A$  and  $m \in A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**}$ , the following holds;

- (i)  $\psi(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi(m) \cdot a = \psi(m \cdot a)$ ,  $a \cdot \psi(m) = \psi(a \cdot m)$ ,
- (iii)  $\pi_A^{**}(\psi(m)) = \pi_{A^{**}}(m)$ ,

see [6, Lemma 1-7]. Clearly one can see that  $\psi \circ \rho_0$  is an A-module morphism and  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \psi \circ \rho_0 = \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{A^{**}} \circ \rho_0 = \phi$ , the proof is complete.

The analogous result of [16, Proposition 2-4] holds for  $\phi$ -biprojectivity.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let A and B be Banach algebras and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ ,  $\psi \in \Delta(B)$ . Suppose that A and B are  $\phi$ -biprojective and  $\psi$ -biprojective, respectively. Then  $A \otimes_p B$  is  $\phi \otimes \psi$ -biprojective.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_0: A \to A \otimes_p A$  and  $\rho_1: B \to B \otimes_p B$  be such that  $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho_0 = \phi$  and  $\psi \circ \pi_B \circ \rho_1 = \psi$ . Define  $\theta: (A \otimes_p A) \otimes_p (B \otimes_p B) \to (A \otimes_p B) \otimes_p (A \otimes_p B)$  by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2) \otimes (b_1 \otimes b_2) \mapsto (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes (a_2 \otimes b_2),$$

where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Set  $\rho = \theta \circ (\rho_0 \otimes \rho_1)$ , for  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ , we have

$$\pi_{A\otimes_p B}\circ\theta(a_1\otimes a_2\otimes b_1\otimes b_2)=\pi_{A\otimes_p B}(a_1\otimes b_1\otimes a_2\otimes b_2)=\pi_A(a_1\otimes a_2)\pi_B(b_1\otimes b_2),$$

then clearly one can show that  $\pi_{A \otimes_p B} \circ \theta = \pi_A \otimes \pi_B$ . Hence,  $\pi_{A \otimes_p B} \circ \theta(\rho_0(a) \otimes \rho_1(b)) = \pi_A \circ \rho_0(a) \otimes \pi_B \circ \rho_1(b)$  and it is easy to see that

$$\phi \otimes \psi \circ \pi_{A \otimes_{p} B} \circ \theta(\rho_0 \otimes \rho_1)(a \otimes b) = \phi \otimes \psi(a \otimes b),$$

the proof is complete.

We now prove a partial converse to Proposition 3.6.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let A and B be Banach algebras,  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$  and  $\psi \in \Delta(B)$ . Suppose that A is unital with unit  $e_A$  and B containing a non-zero idempotent  $x_0$  such that  $\psi(x_0) = 1$ . If  $A \otimes_p B$  is  $\phi \otimes \psi$ -biprojective, then A is  $\phi$ -biprojective.

*Proof.* Let *A* and *B* be Banach algebras. Then  $A \otimes_p B$  becomes a Banach *A*-module with the actions given by

$$a_1 \cdot (a_2 \otimes b) = a_1 a_2 \otimes b, \quad a_2 \otimes b \cdot a_1 = a_2 a_1 \otimes b, \quad (a_1, a_2 \in A, b \in B).$$

Suppose that  $A \otimes_p B$  is  $\phi \otimes \psi$ -biprojective. Then there exists a bounded  $A \otimes_p B$ -module morphism  $\rho_1 : A \otimes_p B \to (A \otimes_p B) \otimes_p (A \otimes_p B)$  such that  $(\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \pi_{A \otimes_p B} \circ \rho_1 = \phi \otimes \psi$ . By the above considerations, we have

$$\rho_1(a_1 a_2 \otimes x_0) = \rho_1((a_1 \otimes x_0) \otimes (a_2 \otimes x_0)) = a_1 \otimes x_0 \cdot \rho_1(a_2 \otimes x_0) \\
= a_1 \cdot (e_A \otimes x_0) \rho_1(a_2 \otimes x_0) \\
= a_1 \rho_1(a_2 \otimes b_0).$$

Similarly one can show that  $\rho_1(a_2a_1 \otimes x_0) = \rho_1(a_2 \otimes x_0) \cdot a_1$ .

Define  $T: (A \otimes_p B) \otimes_p (A \otimes_p B) \to A \otimes_p A$  by  $T((a \otimes b) \otimes (c \otimes d)) = \psi(bd)a \otimes c$ , where  $a, c \in A$  and  $b, d \in B$ . Clearly T is a bounded linear operator and  $\pi_A \circ T = (id_A \otimes \psi) \circ \pi_{A \otimes_p B}$  and also  $\phi \circ (id_A \otimes \psi) = \phi \otimes \psi$ , where  $id_A \otimes \psi(a \otimes b) = \psi(b)a$  for  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

Obviously the map  $\rho: A \to A \otimes_p A$  defined by  $\rho(a) = T \circ \rho_1(a \otimes x_0)$  is a bounded *A*-module morphism. Since  $\psi(x_0) = 1$ , we have

$$\phi \circ \pi_{A} \circ T \circ \rho(a) = \phi \circ \pi_{A} \circ T \circ \rho_{1}(a \otimes x_{0}) = \phi \circ (id_{A} \otimes \psi) \circ \pi_{A \otimes_{p} B} \circ \rho_{1}(a \otimes x_{0})$$
$$= (\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \pi_{A \otimes_{p} B} \circ \rho_{1}(a \otimes x_{0})$$
$$= \phi(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$  and this completes the proof.

# 4 Application to group algebras and Fourier algebras

Let G be a locally compact group and let  $\hat{G}$  be its dual group, which consists of all non-zero continuous homomorphism  $\zeta : G \to \mathbb{T}$ . It is well-known that  $\Delta(L^1(G)) = \{\phi_{\zeta} : \zeta \in \hat{G}\}$ , where  $\phi_{\zeta}(f) = \int_G \overline{\zeta(x)} f(x) dx$  and dx is a left Haar measure on G, for more details, see [8, Theorem 23-7].

**Lemma 4.1.** For a locally compact group G,  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biflat if and only if G is amenable.

*Proof.* Let  $L^1(G)$  be  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biflat. Since  $L^1(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity, then by Proposition 3.3  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -Johnson amenable, hence by Proposition 2.2  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -amenable. Therefore by [1, Corollary 3-4] G is amenable.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let G be an infinite abelian discrete group. Then  $\ell^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biflat, but it is not  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biprojective.

*Proof.* Let G be an infinite abelian discrete group and let  $\ell^1(G)$  be  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biprojective. Since  $\ell^1(G)$  is unital, Lemma 3.2 implies that  $\ell^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -Johnson contractible. Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we can show that  $\ell^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -contractible, now by applying [15, Theorem 6-1] we see that G is compact which is a contradiction, so  $\ell^1(G)$  is not  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biprojective. But since an abelian group G is amenable, its group algebra  $\ell^1(G)$  is amenable and so is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -Johnson amenable. Thus by Lemma 3.1  $\ell^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biflat.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be a compact group and  $\phi_{\zeta} \in \Delta(L^1(G))$ . Then  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is  $\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}$ -biprojective. If converse holds, then G is amenable.

*Proof.* Since G is a compact group, then  $\hat{G} \subseteq L^1(G)$ . Suppose that  $\phi_{\zeta} \in \Delta(L^1(G))$  where  $\zeta \in \hat{G}$ . Then  $\phi_{\zeta}$  has an extension to  $L^1(G)^{**}$ , which denoted by  $\tilde{\phi_{\zeta}}$ . Let  $m = \zeta \otimes \zeta$ . It is clear that  $m \in L^1(G)^{**} \otimes_p L^1(G)^{**}$ . We claim that, m is a  $\tilde{\phi_{\zeta}}$ -Johnson contraction for  $L^1(G)^{**}$ . Let  $h \in L^1(G)^{**}$ . Then there exists a net  $(h_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq L^1(G)$  such that  $h_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^*} h$ . It is easy to verify that

$$h_{\alpha}\cdot\zeta\otimes\zeta=\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(h_{\alpha})\zeta\otimes\zeta=\zeta\otimes\zeta\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(h_{\alpha})=\zeta\otimes\zeta\cdot h_{\alpha}.$$

Since  $h_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^*} h$ ,

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(h_{\alpha})\zeta\otimes\zeta\to\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(h)\zeta\otimes\zeta$$

and

$$\zeta \otimes \zeta \tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(h_{\alpha}) \to \zeta \otimes \zeta \tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(h).$$

Hence, it is clear that  $\zeta \otimes \zeta \cdot h = h \cdot \zeta \otimes \zeta$  for  $h \in L^1(G)^{**}$ . Plainly one can show that  $\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}(\pi(\zeta \otimes \zeta)) = 1$ , then m is a  $\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}$ -Johnson contraction for  $L^1(G)^{**}$ , then  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -Johnson contractible, so by Lemma 3.2, it is  $\tilde{\phi}_{\zeta}$ -biprojective.

For converse, let  $L^1(G)^{**}$  be  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biprojective. Then by Lemma 3.5,  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_{\zeta}$ -biflat. Hence Lemma 4.1 implies the amenability of G.

Let A be a Banach algebra with norm  $||\cdot||_A$ . We recall that a Banach algebra B with norm  $||\cdot||_B$  is called an abstract Segal algebra with respect to A if

- (i) B is a dense left ideal in A,
- (ii) there exists M > 0 such that  $||b||_A \le M||b||_B$  for every  $b \in B$ ,
- (iii) there exists C>0 such that  $||ab||_B\leq C||a||_A||b||_B$  for every  $a\in A$  and  $b\in B$ .

Let G be a locally compact group and let A(G) be its Fourier algebra. Then  $\Delta(A(G))$  consists of all point evaluations  $\phi_x$   $(x \in G)$  defined by  $\phi_x(f) = f(x)$  for all  $f \in A(G)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let A(G) be the Fourier algebra on a locally compact group G and let SA(G) be an abstract Segal algebra with respect to A(G). Suppose that  $\phi_x \in \Delta(A(G))$  for some  $x \in G$ . Then SA(G) is  $\phi_x$ -biprojective if and only if G is a discrete group

*Proof.* Suppose that SA(G) is  $\phi_x$ -biprojective. Since SA(G) is a commutative Banach algebra, Lemma 3.2 implies that SA(G) is  $\phi_x$ -Johnson contractible. Hence, by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, SA(G) is  $\phi_x$ -contractible, then G is discrete, see [1, Theorem 3-5].

For the converse, use the same argument as in the proof of [1, Theorem 3-5].

**Corollary 1.** A(G) is  $\phi_x$ -biprojective for some  $x \in G$  if and only if G is a discrete group.

**Corollary 2.** Let G be any non-discrete locally compact group and  $\phi_x \in \Delta(A(G))$  for every  $x \in G$ . Then A(G) is  $\phi_x$ -biflat, but is not  $\phi_x$ -biprojective.

*Proof.* Let G be a locally compact group. By [13, Example 2-6] A(G) is left  $\phi_x$ -amenable for every  $x \in G$ . Since A(G) is commutative, then A(G) is right  $\phi_x$ -amenable. Hence by Proposition 2.2 A(G) is  $\phi_x$ -Johnson amenable. Then by Lemma 3.1 A(G) is  $\phi_x$ -biflat for every locally compact group G. But by the above corollary A(G) is not  $\phi_x$ -biprojective.

## 5 Example

Remark 5.1. Our standard reference for the following examples is [3]. Consider the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}$ , with the semigroup operation  $m \wedge n = \min\{m, n\}$ , where m and n are in  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}))$  consists precisely of the all functions  $\phi_n : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}) \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\phi_n(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \alpha_i$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It has been shown that  $\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}$  is not a uniformly locally finite semigroup (see [16]).

*Example* 5.2. Let  $\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}$  be as in the Remark 5.1. Since  $\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}$  is not uniformly locally finite,  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  is neither biprojective nor biflat [16, Theorem 3-7]. But if we take  $\phi_1 \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}))$  and  $m = \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1$ , then we have  $\phi_1(\pi(m)) = \phi_1(\pi(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_1)) = \phi_1(\delta_1) = 1$  and  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$ , for every  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$ . Therefore  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  is a  $\phi_1$ -Johnson contractible Banach algebra. By Lemma 3.2,  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  is  $\phi_1$ -biprojective and hence  $\phi_1$ -biflat.

Example 5.3. Again let  $\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}$  be as in the Remark 5.1 and let  $\phi \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**})$ . Since  $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  see [3, Proposition 3-3-1],  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$  has a right unit E, which is a  $w^*$ -limit point of  $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $\phi(E) = 1$ ,  $\phi(\delta_n) \neq 0$  for sufficiently large n, hence  $\phi|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})} \neq \{0\}$ . So  $\phi|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})}$  is a character on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$ , by Remark 5.1 it has a form  $\phi_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but every character  $\phi_n$  on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  has an unique extension  $\tilde{\phi_n}$  on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$ , that is, for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\phi = \tilde{\phi_n}$ .

Now if  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$  is amenable, then by [6, Theorem 1-8]  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  is amenable, so by [4, Theorem 2]  $\mathbb{N}_{\wedge}$  has a finite number of idempotents, which is impossible. Thus  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$  is not amenable but we claim that it is  $\tilde{\phi}_1$ -Johnson contractible. To see this, let  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$ . Then there exists a net  $(a_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})$  such that  $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^*} a$ . Hence,

$$a \cdot \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1 = w^* - \lim a_{\alpha} \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1 = \lim \phi_1(a_{\alpha}) \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1 = \tilde{\phi_1}(a) \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1$$

and similarly  $\delta_1 \otimes \delta_1 \cdot a = \tilde{\phi}_1(a)\delta_1 \otimes \delta_1$ . Moreover  $\tilde{\phi}_1(\pi^{**}(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_1)) = \phi_1(\delta_1) = 1$ , so  $m = \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1 \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**} \otimes_p \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$  is a  $\tilde{\phi}_1$ -Johnson contraction for  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$ , that is,  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$  is  $\tilde{\phi}_1$ -Johnson contractible. So by Lemma 3.2 it is  $\tilde{\phi}_1$ -biprojective. In the general case, for every n > 1, take  $m = (\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}) \otimes (\delta_n - \delta_{n-1})$ , it is easy to see that m is a  $\tilde{\phi}_n$ -Johnson contraction for  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.2 for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\wedge})^{**}$  is  $\tilde{\phi}_n$ -biprojective.

Remark 5.4. Consider the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}_{\vee}$ , with semigroup operation  $m \vee n = \max\{m,n\}$ , where m and n are in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The character space  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee}))$  precisely consists of the all functions  $\phi_n : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee}) \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\phi_n(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

*Example* 5.5. Let  $\mathbb{N}_{\vee}$  be as in the Remark 5.4 and let  $\phi_n \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee}))$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We claim that  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  is  $\phi_n$ -biflat, for every n in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . To see this, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $m = (\delta_n - \delta_{n+1}) \otimes (\delta_n - \delta_{n+1})$ , then it is easy to see that  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\tilde{\phi_n}(\pi(m)) = 1$ , where  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$ . In the case  $n = \infty$ , set  $m = w^* - \lim \delta_k \otimes \delta_k$ , then by the  $w^*$ -continuity of  $\pi^{**}$ , we have

$$\tilde{\phi_{\infty}}(\pi^{**}(m)) = \tilde{\phi_{\infty}}(\pi^{**}(w^* - \lim \delta_k \otimes \delta_k)) 
= \tilde{\phi_{\infty}}(w^* - \lim \pi^{**}(\delta_k \otimes \delta_k)) 
= \tilde{\phi_{\infty}}(w^* - \lim \delta_k) = \lim \phi_{\infty}(\delta_k) = 1.$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i$  in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$ , pick  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < \epsilon$ . Then for  $k \geq n_0$ , we have

$$||(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i) \otimes \delta_k - \delta_k \otimes (\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i)|| \leq 2 \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < 2\epsilon.$$

Then clearly

$$\left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right) \otimes \delta_k - \delta_k \otimes \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right) \xrightarrow{w^*} 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Now consider

$$a \cdot m - m \cdot a = w^* - \lim(a\delta_k \otimes \delta_k - \delta_k \otimes \delta_k a)$$

$$= w^* - \lim(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i \delta_k\right) \otimes \delta_k - \delta_k \otimes \left(\delta_k \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right)\right)$$

$$= w^* - \lim(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \delta_i \delta_k\right) \otimes \delta_k + \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i \delta_k\right) \otimes \delta_k$$

$$- \delta_k \otimes \left(\delta_k \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \delta_i\right) - \delta_k \otimes \left(\delta_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right)\right)$$

$$= w^* - \lim(\phi_k(a)\delta_k \otimes \delta_k + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i \otimes \delta_k$$

$$- \delta_k \otimes \delta_k \phi_k(a) - \delta_k \otimes \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right).$$

$$= w^* - \lim(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i) \otimes \delta_k - \delta_k \otimes \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right).$$

$$= w^* - \lim(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i) \otimes \delta_k - \delta_k \otimes \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_i\right).$$

Then by (5.1) and (5.2), we have  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$ . Therefore  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  is  $\phi_n$ -Johnson amenable for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Hence by Lemma 3.1  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  is  $\phi_n$ -biflat for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Moreover, let  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  be biflat. Then since  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  is unital with unit  $\delta_1$ , so by [17, Exercise 4-3-15]  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  is amenable. Hence by [4, Theorem 2]  $\mathbb{N}_{\vee}$  has a finite number of idempotents which is impossible. Hence  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_{\vee})$  is not a biflat Banach algebra.

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to the referee for useful comments which improved the manuscript and for pointing out a number of misprints.

### References

- [1] M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr Isfahani and M. Nemati, *Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc, **82** (2010) 274-281.
- [2] H. G. Dales, A.T.-M. Lau and D. Strauss, *Banach algebras on semigroups and on their compactifications* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **205**, (2010).
- [3] H. G. Dales and R. J. Loy, *Approximate amenability of semigroup algebras and Segal algebras*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 474 (2010).
- [4] J. Duncan and A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability for discrete convolution semigroup algebras*, Math. Scand. **66** (1990) 141-146.
- [5] H. R. Ebrahimi Vishki and A. R. Khoddami, *Character inner amenability of certain Banach algebras*, Colloq. Math. **122** (2011) 225-232.
- [6] F. Ghahramani, R. J. Loy and G. A. Willis, *Amenability and weak amenability of second conjugate Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1489-1497.
- [7] F. Ghahramani and Y. Zhang, *Pseudo-amenable and pseudo-contractible Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **142** (2007) 111-123.
- [8] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1963).
- [9] Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **193** (2009) 53-78.
- [10] A. Jabbari, T. Mehdi Abad and M. Zaman Abadi, *On φ-inner amenable Banach algebras*, Colloq. Math. vol **122** (2011) 1-10.
- [11] B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972).
- [12] —, Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 685-698.

- [13] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, *On φ-amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **144** (2008) 85-96.
- [14] M. S. Monfared, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **144** (2008) 697-706.
- [15] R. Nasr Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, *Character contractibility of Banach algebras and homological properties of Banach modules*, Studia Math. **202** (3) (2011) 205-225.
- [16] P. Ramsden, *Biflatness of semigroup algebras*. Semigroup Forum **79**, (2009) 515-530.
- [17] V. Runde, Lectures on amenability, (Springer, New York, 2002).
- [18] Y. Zhang; Nilpotent ideals in a class of Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (11) (1999), 3237-3242.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, 424 Hafez Avenue, 15914 Tehran, Iran. email: amir.sahami@aut.ac.ir, arpabbas@aut.ac.ir