

# Singular behavior of the solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet heat equation in weighted $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces

Colette De Coster

Serge Nicaise

*Dedicated to Jan Van Casteren on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

## Abstract

We consider the heat equation on a polygonal domain  $\Omega$  of the plane in weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u &= h, & \text{in } \Omega \times ]0, T[, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(\cdot, 0) &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

Here  $h$  belongs to  $L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))$ , where  $L^p_\mu(\Omega) = \{v \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega) : r^\mu v \in L^p(\Omega)\}$ , with a real parameter  $\mu$  and  $r(x)$  the distance from  $x$  to the set of corners of  $\Omega$ . We give sufficient conditions on  $\mu$ ,  $p$  and  $\Omega$  that guarantee that problem (0.1) has a unique solution  $u \in L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))$  that admits a decomposition into a regular part in weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces and an explicit singular part.

## 1 Introduction

In this work we consider the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for the heat equation (0.1) on a polygonal domain  $\Omega$  of the plane. We give the singular decomposition of the solution of (0.1) in weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces with precise regularity information on the regular and singular parts. The classical Fourier transform techniques

---

Received by the editors August 2010.

Communicated by M. Sioen.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 35K15, 35B65.

*Key words and phrases* : heat equation, singular behavior, nonsmooth domains.

do not allow to handle such a general case. Hence we use the theory of sums of operators as in G. Da Prato and P. Grisvard [4] and G. Dore and A. Venni [7]. These results have been fruitfully used to prove the singular behavior of elliptic problems in non-Hilbertian Sobolev spaces in [10].

Although the analysis of the heat equation is well developed in weighted  $L^2$ -Sobolev spaces [9, 12, 11, 2] or in  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces [10], to the best of our knowledge such a singularity result does not exist in the framework of weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces. For maximal regularity type results in weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces, we refer to [4, 13, 16, 14, 15].

In [6], we have considered the same kind of results for the periodic-Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u &= g, & \text{in } \Omega \times ]-\pi, \pi[, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times ]-\pi, \pi[, \\ u(\cdot, -\pi) &= u(\cdot, \pi), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Some of the results presented there are useful in our context too.

The first step, which consists in the study of the Helmholtz equation

$$-\Delta u + zu = g, \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $z$  is a complex number, was performed in [5].

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we apply the approach of Da Prato-Grisvard [4] to obtain a decomposition but with non-optimal regularity informations. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the regularity of  $(\partial_t - \Delta)S$ , where  $S$  is the singular part of the solution obtained before. The use of the approach of Dore-Venni [7] and the results from section 3 allows to get the optimal regularity result in section 4.

In the whole paper the notation  $a \lesssim b$  means the existence of a positive constant  $C$ , which is independent of the quantities  $a, b$  (and eventually of the above parameter  $z$ ) under consideration such that  $a \leq Cb$ .

## 2 Application of Da Prato-Grisvard's approach [4]

Let us assume in the future that the assumptions of [6, Theorem 2.3] are satisfied, i.e.,

(H) Let  $p \geq 2$  and  $\Omega$  be a bounded polygonal domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e., its boundary is the union of a finite number of line segments. Denote by  $S_j, j = 1, \dots, J$ , the vertices of  $\partial\Omega$  enumerated clockwise and, for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$ , let  $\psi_j$  be the interior angle of  $\Omega$  at the vertex  $S_j$  and  $\lambda_j = \frac{\pi}{\psi_j}$ .

For all  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , let  $\mu_j > -\lambda_j$  satisfy  $2 - \frac{2}{p} - \mu_j \neq k\lambda_j$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , and

$$\mu_j < \frac{2p-2}{p} \text{ if } p > 2; \quad \mu_j \leq 1 \text{ if } p = 2; \quad |\mu_j| < \frac{2\sqrt{p-1}}{p}\lambda_j. \quad (2.1)$$

We shall apply the results from [4] (see also [6, Theorem 2.1]) on the space

$$E = L^p(I; L_{\vec{\mu}}^p(\Omega)) \text{ with } L_{\vec{\mu}}^p(\Omega) = \{f \in L_{loc}^p(\Omega) \mid wf \in L^p(\Omega)\},$$

where  $I = [0, T]$ ,  $w(x) \simeq r(x)^{\mu_j}$  near  $S_j$ ,  $w(x) \simeq 1$  far from the corners and with the operators

$$A : D(A) \subset E \rightarrow E : u \mapsto -\Delta u, \quad \text{with} \\ D(A) = L^p(I; D(\Delta_{p, \bar{\mu}})) \text{ where } D(\Delta_{p, \bar{\mu}}) = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \Delta u \in L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega)\},$$

and

$$B_T : D(B_T) \subset E \rightarrow E : u \mapsto \partial_t u, \quad \text{with} \\ D(B_T) = W_{\text{left}}^{1,p}(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega)) = \{u \in E \mid \partial_t u \in E, u(\cdot, 0) = 0\}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Under assumptions (H), the operator  $A + B_T$  has an inverse closure i.e., for all  $g \in L^p(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))$ , there exists a unique strong solution  $u \in L^p(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))$  of  $(A + B_T)u = g$  i.e. there exists  $(u_n)_n \subset D(A) \cap D(B_T)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $Au_n + B_T u_n \rightarrow g$ . Moreover we have*

$$u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (A + zI)^{-1} (zI - B_T)^{-1} g \, dz, \tag{2.2}$$

with  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined for example by  $\gamma(s) = |s| e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta)}$  for  $s \leq 0$ ,  $\gamma(s) = |s| e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta)}$  for  $s > 0$ , with  $\delta \in ]0, \theta_A - \frac{\pi}{2}[$  and  $\theta_A \in ]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$  given by [6, Theorem 2.3].

*Proof.* The proof follows the lines of [6, Proposition 3.1] with minor changes concerning  $B_T$ : a simple calculation proves that  $\rho(B_T) = \mathbb{C}$  and, in the verification that, for all  $\theta_B < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that, for all  $\mu \in S_{B_T} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(\mu)| \leq \theta_B\}$ ,  $\|(B_T + \mu I)^{-1}\| \leq M|\mu|^{-1}$ , denoting  $v = w^p |u|^{p-2} \bar{u}$ , we have to replace

$$\frac{p}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v \partial_t u \, dt dx + \overline{\int_{\Omega} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v \partial_t u \, dt dx} \right) = 0,$$

valid in the periodic case, by

$$\frac{p}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T v \partial_t u \, dt dx + \overline{\int_{\Omega} \int_0^T v \partial_t u \, dt dx} \right) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x, T)|^p w(x)^p \, dx.$$

The remainder of the proof follows in the same way as in [6, Proposition 3.1]. ■

**Remark 2.1** As in [6, Remark 3.1], we obtain also

$$(1 + |z|) \|(zI - B_T)^{-1} g\|_{L^p(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))}.$$

As it is clear that, for each  $t$ , we have

$$[(A + zI)^{-1} h](t) = (-\Delta + zI)^{-1} (h(t)),$$

we can use the decomposition in regular and singular parts of the solution of the Helmholtz equation (1.1) obtained in [6] (see [6, (2.4)]) and rewrite (2.2) as

$$u = u_R + \sum_{j=1}^J \eta_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}: 0 < \lambda'_j = k\lambda_j < 2 - \frac{2}{p} - \mu_j} u_{\lambda'_j}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$u_R = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} R(z) (zI - B_T)^{-1} g \, dz, \quad u_{\lambda'_j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_T)^{-1} g \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, z} \, dz, \tag{2.4}$$

with  $R(z) : L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow V_{\bar{\mu}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$  the operator which gives the regular part of the solution of (1.1),  $T_{\lambda'_j}(z) : L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : g \mapsto c_{\lambda'_j}(z) = \langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), g \rangle$  the one which gives the singular coefficient of the solution of (1.1);  $\eta_j$  is a radial cut-off function such that  $\eta_j \equiv 1$  in a small ball centered at  $S_j$  and  $\eta_j \equiv 0$  outside a larger ball;  $P_{j,\lambda'_j}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_{j,\lambda'_j}-1} \frac{s^i}{i!}$  with  $l_{j,\lambda'_j} > 2 - \mu_j - \frac{2}{p} - \lambda'_j$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j,z}(r, \theta) = P_{j,\lambda'_j}(r\sqrt{z})e^{-r\sqrt{z}}r^{\lambda'_j} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta)$ .

Recall that  $V_{\bar{\mu}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$  is defined as the closure of  $\mathcal{C}_S^\infty(\Omega) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \mid S_j \notin \text{supp } v\}$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{V_{\bar{\mu}}^{2,p}(\Omega)} = \left( \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 2} \int_{\Omega} |D^\gamma u(x)|^p w^p(x) r^{(|\gamma|-k)p}(x) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

For more details, see [6, end of Section 2].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let the assumptions (H) be satisfied and denote  $\sigma_j := 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu_j + \lambda'_j}{2}$ . Then for all  $s \in ]0, \min(1 - \sigma_j, 1/p)[$ , for all  $g \in W^{s,p}(I, L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))$ , there exist  $q_{\lambda'_j} \in W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I)$  and  $E_{\lambda'_j}$  such that  $u_{\lambda'_j}$  defined by (2.4) can be written as*

$$u_{\lambda'_j} = (E_{\lambda'_j} *_t q_{\lambda'_j}) r^{\lambda'_j} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta). \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover we have

$$q_{\lambda'_j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_T)^{-1}g \rangle dz, \tag{2.6}$$

$$E_{\lambda'_j}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\zeta t} P_{j,\lambda'_j}(r\sqrt{i\zeta}) e^{-r\sqrt{i\zeta}} d\zeta,$$

and the operator  $U : W^{s,p}(I, L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega)) \rightarrow W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) : g \mapsto q_{\lambda'_j}$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Recall that for all  $f \in L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega)$ , the mapping  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), f \rangle$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{A} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(z)| < \theta_A\}$  and continuous on  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  (see [6]).

*Step 1: Extension.* Let us consider the extension of  $g$  to  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$\tilde{g}(x, t) = g(x, t) \text{ if } t \in [0, T], \quad \tilde{g}(x, t) = 0 \text{ if } t \notin [0, T],$$

and denote by  $\tilde{u}_z = (zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g}$ , the solution of

$$z\tilde{u} - \partial_t \tilde{u} = \tilde{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Observe that, by uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem, we have  $\tilde{u}_z|_{[0,T] \times \Omega} = (zI - B_T)^{-1}g$ . Moreover we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_z(x, t) &= 0, && \text{if } t < 0, \\ &= - \int_0^t e^{z(t-s)} g(x, s) ds, && \text{if } t \in [0, T], \\ &= -e^{zt} \int_0^T e^{-zs} g(x, s) ds, && \text{if } t > T. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function

$$\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g} \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j,z}(r, \theta) dz. \tag{2.7}$$

Observe that  $\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}|_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = u_{\lambda'_j}$  and that, for  $t > T$ ,  $z = \rho e^{\pm i\theta_0}$  with  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$ , using [6, (2.6)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_\infty)^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, z}(r, \theta) \right| &\lesssim \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_\infty)^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\lesssim |e^{z(t-T)}| \|T_{\lambda'_j}(z)\|_{(L^p_\mu(\Omega))'} \left\| \int_0^T e^{z(T-s)} g(x, s) ds \right\|_{L^p_\mu(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim e^{-\rho|\cos \theta_0|(t-T)} \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} \left( \int_0^T e^{-q\rho|\cos \theta_0|(T-s)} ds \right)^{1/q} \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))} \\ &\lesssim e^{-\rho|\cos \theta_0|(t-T)} \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $0 < t < 2T$  and  $|z| = \rho$  we have, by Remark 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_\infty)^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, z}(r, \theta) \right| &\lesssim \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_\infty)^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_{2T})^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: For all  $x \in \Omega$ , the function  $\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and hence admits a partial Fourier transform in  $t$ . For all  $t > 2T$  by the previous considerations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, t)| &\lesssim \left| \int_{\gamma_\infty} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_\infty)^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, z}(r, \theta) dz \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho|\cos \theta_0|(t-T)} d\rho \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))} \lesssim \frac{1}{t - T} \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t < 2T$  we use a similar argument using here the last estimate of Step 1. This shows that, for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and we can take its partial Fourier transform in  $t$ .

Step 3: The partial Fourier transform in  $t$  of  $\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, \cdot)$  satisfies, for all  $\xi \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j})(x, \xi) = - \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi), \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi) \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, i\xi}(x).$$

As  $\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , using [17, Cor 1, p.154], we know that

$$\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j})(x, \xi) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-k}^k e^{-it\xi} \tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, t) dt.$$

Hence by the above computations we have, for  $k > 2T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-k}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(\rho e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)\theta_0}), (\rho e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)\theta_0} I - B_\infty)^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, \rho e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)\theta_0}}(x) e^{-i\xi t} e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)\theta_0} \right| d\rho dt \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_0^{2T} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} d\rho dt + \int_{2T}^k \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} e^{-\rho|\cos \theta_0|(t-T)} d\rho dt \right) \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_0^{2T} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} d\rho dt + \int_{2T}^k \frac{1}{|\cos \theta_0|(t - T)} dt \right) \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; L^p_\mu(\Omega))} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j})(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), \mathcal{F}_t((zI - B_{\infty})^{-1}\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi) \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, z}(x) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), \frac{\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi)}{z - i\xi} \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, z}(x) dz. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows [6, Step 2 of the Proof of Proposition 3.2] observing that, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^p_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Omega)}^p &= \int_{\Omega} w^p(x) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi t} \tilde{g}(x, t) dt \right|^p dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} w^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{g}(x, t)| dt \right)^p dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} w^p(x) \left( \int_0^T |g(x, t)| dt \right)^p dx \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p(I; L^p_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Omega))}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: The operator  $U : W^{s,p}(I; L^p_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Omega)) \rightarrow W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) : g \mapsto q_{\lambda'_j}$  with  $q_{\lambda'_j}$  given by (2.6) is continuous. By the results of [8], as  $0 < s < 1/p$ , we know that

$$W^{s,p}(I; L^p_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Omega)) = \left\{ g \in E \mid \int_0^{\infty} \rho^{sp} \|B_T(B_T - \rho e^{\pm i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta)} I)^{-1} g\|_E^p \rho^{-1} d\rho < \infty \right\}.$$

We have a similar characterization of  $W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I)$  by considering the operator

$$N : D(N) \subset L^p(I) \rightarrow L^p(I) : u \mapsto \partial_t u \quad \text{with} \quad D(N) = \{u \in W^{1,p}(I) \mid u(0) = 0\}.$$

Hence if  $s + \sigma_j < 1/p$ , we have

$$W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) = \left\{ g \in L^p(I) \mid \int_0^{\infty} \tau^{(s+\sigma)p} \|N(N + \tau I)^{-1} g\|_{L^p(I)}^p \tau^{-1} d\tau < \infty \right\},$$

while if  $s + \sigma_j > 1/p$ , defining  $W_{\text{left}}^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) = \{g \in W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) \mid g(0) = 0\}$ , we have

$$W_{\text{left}}^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) = \left\{ g \in L^p(I) \mid \int_0^{\infty} \tau^{(s+\sigma)p} \|N(N + \tau I)^{-1} g\|_{L^p(I)}^p \tau^{-1} d\tau < \infty \right\}.$$

Claim 1: For  $\tau \geq 0$ , we have

$$N(N + \tau I)^{-1} q_{\lambda'_j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), B_T(zI - B_T)^{-1} g \right\rangle \frac{dz}{z + \tau}. \tag{2.8}$$

First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} N(N + \tau I)^{-1} q_{\lambda'_j} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), B_T(B_T + \tau I)^{-1}(zI - B_T)^{-1} g \right\rangle dz \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), B_{\infty}(B_{\infty} + \tau I)^{-1}(zI - B_{\infty})^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle dz \right) \Big|_{\Omega \times [0, T]}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that we can take the Fourier transform in  $t$  of

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), B_{\infty}(B_{\infty} + \tau I)^{-1}(zI - B_{\infty})^{-1} \tilde{g} \right\rangle dz.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_\infty(B_\infty + \tau I)^{-1}(zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g} &= (zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g} - \tau(B_\infty + \tau I)^{-1}(zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g} \\
 &=: \tilde{v}_z(x, t) - \tau \tilde{v}_{z\tau}(x, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for  $t > T$ , we have  $\tilde{v}_z(x, t) = -e^{z(t-T)} \int_0^T e^{z(T-s)} g(x, s) ds$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{v}_{z\tau}(x, t) &= - \int_0^T e^{-\tau(t-s)} \int_0^s e^{z(s-\sigma)} g(x, \sigma) d\sigma ds \\
 &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-\tau(t-s)} e^{z(s-T)} \int_0^T e^{z(T-\sigma)} g(x, \sigma) d\sigma ds \\
 &= - \int_0^T \frac{e^{z(t-\sigma)} - e^{-\tau(t-\sigma)}}{z + \tau} g(x, \sigma) d\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $\tau \geq 0$  and if  $t > 2T$  we have as above, using [6, (2.6)],

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), B_\infty(B_\infty + \tau I)^{-1}(zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g} \right\rangle dz \right| \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{t-T} \|g\|_{L^p(I; L^p_\mu(\Omega))} + \left| \int_\gamma \frac{\tau |e^{z(t-T)}|}{|z + \tau|} \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), \int_0^T e^{z(T-\sigma)} g(x, \sigma) d\sigma \right\rangle \right| dz \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_\gamma \frac{\tau |e^{-\tau(t-T)}|}{|z + \tau|} \left| \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), \int_0^T e^{-\tau(T-\sigma)} g(x, \sigma) d\sigma \right\rangle \right| dz \right| \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{t-T} + \left| \int_\gamma \frac{\tau}{|z + \tau|} |e^{z(t-T)}| dz \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left| \tau e^{-\tau(t-T)} \int_\gamma \frac{1}{(|z + \tau|)(1 + |z|^{\sigma_j})} dz \right| \right) \|g\|_{L^p(I; L^p_\mu(\Omega))} \\
 &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{t-T} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta_0} \frac{1}{|\cos \theta_0|} \frac{1}{t-T} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \tau e^{-\tau(t-T)} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{1 + \rho^{\sigma_j}} \frac{1}{\rho \sin \theta_0} d\rho + \frac{e^{-\tau(t-T)}}{\sin \theta_0} \right) \|g\|_{L^p(I; L^p_\mu(\Omega))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that this function belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^p_\mu(\Omega))$  and we can take its Fourier transform in  $t$ . By Cauchy theorem, we obtain, as in [6], that its Fourier transform in  $t$  is given by

$$- \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(i\zeta), \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \zeta) \right\rangle \frac{i\zeta}{i\zeta + \tau}. \tag{2.9}$$

In the same way, we can take the Fourier transform in  $t$  of the right-hand side of (2.8) since, for  $t > 2T$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), B_\infty(zI - B_\infty)^{-1}\tilde{g}(x, t) \right\rangle \frac{dz}{z + \tau} \right| \\
 &= \left| -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma z e^{z(t-T)} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), \int_0^T e^{z(T-s)} g(x, s) ds \right\rangle \frac{dz}{z + \tau} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t-T}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Cauchy theorem, as in [6], its Fourier transform in  $t$  is given by (2.9).

As the Fourier transform of the two functions coincide, the two functions are equal.

*Claim 2:* For  $0 < s < \min(1 - \sigma_j, 1/p)$ , the operator  $U : W^{s,p}(I; L^p_\mu(\Omega)) \rightarrow W^{s+\sigma_j,p}(I) : g \mapsto q_{\lambda'_j}$  is continuous. The proof is the same as the corresponding one in [6].

Conclusion. By Step 3, we have, for all  $\xi \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j})(x, \xi) = - \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi), \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi) \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, i\xi}(x). \tag{2.10}$$

Let

$$\tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), (zI - B_{\infty})^{-1} \tilde{g}(\cdot, t) \right\rangle dz. \tag{2.11}$$

As previously we can take its Fourier transform and we see, applying again the Cauchy theorem as above, that its Fourier transform is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j})(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(z), \frac{\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi)}{z - i\xi} \right\rangle dz = - \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi), \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi) \right\rangle.$$

Consider the function  $E_{\lambda'_j}(x, t)$  which has as Fourier transform in  $t$

$$\mathcal{F}_t(E_{\lambda'_j})(x, \xi) = P_{j, \lambda'_j}(r\sqrt{i\xi}) e^{-r\sqrt{i\xi}}.$$

As  $P_{j, \lambda'_j}(r\sqrt{i\xi}) e^{-r\sqrt{i\xi}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and by [18, p.113],  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{S}'$ , we have also by [18, Thm-Def 3.3, p.114] that  $E_{\lambda'_j}(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Now observe that by [18, p.112],  $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{D}'$ . As  $\tilde{q}_{\lambda_j} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , there exists a sequence  $(q_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $q_n \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{\lambda_j}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By [18, Thm 6.3, p.120] or [17, Thm 6, p.160], as  $\mathcal{F}_t(E_{\lambda'_j})$  is bounded, we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_t(E_{\lambda'_j} * q_n) = \mathcal{F}_t(E_{\lambda'_j}) \mathcal{F}_t(q_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_t(E_{\lambda'_j}) \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{q}_{\lambda_j}), \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence, we have  $E_{\lambda'_j} * q_n \rightarrow E_{\lambda'_j} * \tilde{q}_{\lambda_j}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , which proves that

$$\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j} = (E_{\lambda'_j} *_t \tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j}) r^{\lambda'_j} \sin(\lambda'_j \theta)$$

and the result follows. ■

As in [6] we can extend the previous Proposition to  $g \in L^p(I, L^p_{\mu}(Ω))$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the assumptions (H) be satisfied and denote  $\sigma_j := 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu_j + \lambda'_j}{2}$ . Then for all  $g \in L^p(I, L^p_{\mu}(Ω))$ , the problem (0.1) has a unique strong solution  $u$  which can be written in the form*

$$u = u_R + \sum_{j=1}^J \eta_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}: 0 < \lambda'_j = k\lambda_j < 2 - \frac{2}{p} - \mu_j} u_{\lambda'_j},$$

where  $u_R$  (resp.  $u_{\lambda'_j}$ ) is given by (2.4) (resp. (2.5)) with  $q_{\lambda'_j} \in W^{\sigma_j, p}(I)$  and  $E_{\lambda'_j}$  given by (2.6). Moreover the mapping  $L^p(I, L^p_{\mu}(Ω)) \rightarrow W^{\sigma_j, p}(I) : g \mapsto q_{\lambda'_j}$  is continuous.

### 3 Regularity of $q_{\lambda'_j} \rightarrow (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)(\eta_j u_{\lambda'_j})$

In order to consider the regularity of  $u_R$  we observe that  $u_R$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u_R - \Delta u_R = g - \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}: 0 < \lambda'_j = k \lambda_j < 2 - \frac{2}{p} - \mu_j} (\partial_t(\eta_j u_{\lambda'_j}) - \Delta(\eta_j u_{\lambda'_j})). \tag{3.1}$$

Hence we need informations on the regularity of  $\partial_t(\eta_j u_{\lambda'_j}) - \Delta(\eta_j u_{\lambda'_j})$ . This is the aim of this section.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The kernel  $H$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$H(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{i\zeta} e^{-r\sqrt{i\zeta}} e^{i\zeta t} d\zeta \tag{3.2}$$

satisfies, for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial r^\ell} H(r, t) \right| \lesssim (|t| + r^2)^{-\frac{3+\ell}{2}}. \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the elementary solution of the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e.,

$$E(r, t) = \frac{M(t)}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $M(t) = 1$  if  $t > 0$  and  $M(t) = 0$  if  $t < 0$ . Recall that  $E$  is a tempered distribution. We easily check that the partial Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_t E$  in  $t$  of  $E$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_t E(r, \zeta) = \frac{e^{-|r|\sqrt{i\zeta}}}{2\sqrt{i\zeta}}.$$

As

$$\mathcal{F}_t \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} E \right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (\mathcal{F}_t E) = \frac{\sqrt{i\zeta}}{2} e^{-|r|\sqrt{i\zeta}} - \delta_0(r) = \mathcal{F}_t \left( \frac{H(|r|, t)}{2} - \delta_0(r)\delta_0(t) \right),$$

and since  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$  into itself, we deduce that

$$H(|r|, t) = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} E(r, t) + 2\delta_0(r)\delta_0(t).$$

Hence, for  $r > 0$ ,  $H(r, t) = 2 \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial r^2}(r, t)$  and we conclude as in [6]. ■

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under assumptions (H) and recalling that  $\sigma_j = 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu_j + \lambda'_j}{2}$ , the mapping  $q_{\lambda'_j} \rightarrow (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)(\eta_j u_{\lambda'_j})$  is continuous from  $W^{\sigma_j, p}(I)$  into  $L^p(I; L^p_{\mu}(\Omega))$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that, by [6, Remark 3.2],  $0 < \sigma_j < 1$ .

*Case 1:*  $P_{j, \lambda'_j} \equiv 1$  i.e.  $\lambda'_j + \mu_j - 1 + \frac{2}{p} > 0$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.2, consider the functions  $\tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j}$  given by (2.11) and  $\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}$  given by (2.7).

Let us take the Fourier transform in  $t$  of  $f(x, t) = \eta_j(r) (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta) \tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}(x, t)$ . We obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_t f(x, \zeta) = \eta_j(r) \mathcal{F}_t \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j} \right) = \eta_j(r) (i\zeta I - \Delta) \mathcal{F}_t (\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}).$$

As in Step 3 of the proof of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j})(x, \xi) = - \left\langle T_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi), \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi) \right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda'_j, i\xi}(x).$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (i\xi I - \Delta) \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{u}_{\lambda'_j}) &= -c_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi) (i\xi I - \Delta) (e^{-r\sqrt{i\xi}} r^{\lambda'_j} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta)) \\ &= -c_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi) \sqrt{i\xi} e^{-r\sqrt{i\xi}} r^{\lambda'_j-1} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta) (2\lambda'_j + 1), \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi) = \langle T_{\lambda'_j}(i\xi), \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{g})(\cdot, \xi) \rangle = -\mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j})(\xi)$ . Using the kernel  $H$  given by (3.2), as previously, we obtain that

$$f(x, t) = (H *_t \tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j})(r) (2\lambda'_j + 1) r^{\lambda'_j-1} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta) \eta_j(r).$$

As

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(r, s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\xi} H(r, t) dt \Big|_{\xi=0} = \mathcal{F}_t H(r, 0) = \sqrt{i\xi} e^{-r\sqrt{i\xi}} \Big|_{\xi=0} = 0,$$

we have

$$f(x, t) = (2\lambda'_j + 1) r^{\lambda'_j-1} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta) \eta_j(r) \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(r, s) [\tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j}(t - s) - \tilde{q}_{\lambda'_j}(t)] ds.$$

From this point on, the proof proceeds as in [6].

Case 2:  $\deg(P_{j, \lambda'_j}) = l_{j, \lambda'_j} - 1 \geq 1$ . This case is treated as in [6] using Lemma 3.1. ■

### 4 Application of Dore-Venni’s approach [7]

Now we are able to consider the regularity of  $u_R$  and to prove our main result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega$  be a bounded polygonal domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Denote by  $S_j, j = 1, \dots, J$ , the vertices of  $\partial\Omega$  enumerated clockwise and, for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$ , let  $\psi_j$  be the interior angle of  $\Omega$  at the vertex  $S_j$  and  $\lambda_j = \frac{\pi}{\psi_j}$ . For all  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , let  $\mu_j$  satisfies*

$$-\lambda_j < \mu_j < \frac{2p - 2}{p}, \quad |\mu_j| < \frac{2\sqrt{p - 1}}{p} \lambda_j,$$

and, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*, 2 - \frac{2}{p} - \mu_j \neq k\lambda_j$  and  $\mu_j + k\lambda_j \neq 1$ . For every  $g \in L^p(0, T; L^p_{\bar{\mu}}(\Omega))$ , there exists a unique solution  $u \in L^p(0, T; L^p_{\bar{\mu}}(\Omega))$  of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u &= g, & \text{in } \Omega \times ]0, T[, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(\cdot, 0) &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $u$  admits the decomposition

$$u = u_R + \sum_{j=1}^J \eta_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}: 0 < \lambda'_j = k\lambda_j < 2 - \frac{2}{p} - \mu_j} u_{\lambda'_j},$$

with

$$u_R \in L^p(I; V^{2,p}_{\bar{\mu}}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,p}(I; L^p_{\bar{\mu}}(\Omega)) \text{ and } u_{\lambda'_j} = (E_{\lambda'_j} *_t q_{\lambda'_j}) r^{\lambda'_j} \sin(\lambda'_j\theta),$$

where  $q_{\lambda'_j} \in W^{\sigma_{j, \lambda'_j}, p}(I)$  and  $E_{\lambda'_j}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi t} P_{j, \lambda'_j}(r\sqrt{i\xi}) e^{-r\sqrt{i\xi}} d\xi$ , with

$$\sigma_{j, \lambda'_j} = -\frac{\mu_j + \lambda'_j}{2} + 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

*Proof.* As in [6], we prove that  $u_R$  defined by (2.4) satisfies, for all  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$ ,

$$u_R \in L^p(I; (L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega), V_{\bar{\mu}}^{2,p}(\Omega))_{\theta}).$$

We now observe that  $u_R$  is a strong solution of (3.1) with a right-hand side in  $L^p(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))$  according to the previous results.

Then we apply Dore-Venni's approach [7] (see also Theorem 2.2 of [6]) with  $E = L^p(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} A : D(A) \subset E &\rightarrow E : u \mapsto -\Delta u, & \text{with } D(A) &= L^p(I; D(\Delta_{p, \bar{\mu}})), \\ B : D(B) \subset E &\rightarrow E : u \mapsto \partial_t u, & \text{with } D(B) &= W_{\text{left}}^{1,p}(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

The assumptions  $(H_3)$ ,  $(H_4)$ ,  $(H_5)$  of [6] can be verified as in [6]. To verify  $(H_6)$  we apply the following result of Coifman - Weiss (see [3] or for example [1]).

If  $-C$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous contraction semi-group in  $E$  which preserves the positivity then there exists  $K > 0$  such that, for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\|C^{is}\| \leq K(1 + |s|) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|s|}.$$

For what concerns the operator  $A$ , the argument is the same as in [6]. For what concerns  $B$ , we already know that  $-B$  is the generator of a  $C_0$  semigroup of contractions  $S$ . It remains to verify that  $S$  preserves the positivity. As usual it suffices to check that its resolvent preserves positivity: Namely for  $\lambda > 0$  consider the solution  $u \in D(B)$  of

$$\partial_t u + \lambda u = f \geq 0, \quad u(0) = 0.$$

Then  $u(x, t) = (B + \lambda I)^{-1} f = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} f(x, s) ds$  which is clearly non negative.

We conclude as in [6] that  $u_R \in L^p(I; V_{\bar{\mu}}^{2,p}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,p}(I; L_{\bar{\mu}}^p(\Omega))$ . ■

## References

- [1] **H. Amann**, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems*, Monographs in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1995.
- [2] **N.T. Anh and N.M. Hung**, *Asymptotic formulas for solutions of parameter-dependent elliptic boundary-value problems in domains with conical points*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2009 (2009), No. 125, 1-21.
- [3] **R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss**, *Transference methods in analysis*, C.B.M.S.-A.M.S. 31, 1976.
- [4] **G. Da Prato and P. Grisvard**, *Sommes d'opérateurs linéaires et équations différentielles opérationnelles*, J. Math. Pure Appl. 54 (1975), 305-387.
- [5] **C. De Coster and S. Nicaise**, *Singular behavior of the solution of the Helmholtz equation in weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces*, Adv. Differential Equations, 16 (2011), 165-198.

- [6] **C. De Coster and S. Nicaise**, *Singular behavior of the solution of the periodic-Dirichlet heat equation in weighted  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces*, Adv. Differential Equations, 16 (2011), 221-256.
- [7] **G. Dore and A. Venni**, *On the closedness of the sum of two closed operators*, Math. Z. 196 (1987), 189-201.
- [8] **P. Grisvard**, *Equations différentielles abstraites*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 2 (1969), 311-395.
- [9] **P. Grisvard**, *Edge behavior of the solution of an elliptic problem*, Math. Nachr. 132 (1987), 281-299.
- [10] **P. Grisvard**, *Singular behavior of elliptic problems in non hilbertian Sobolev spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 74 (1995), 3-33.
- [11] **V.A. Kozlov**, *Coefficients in the asymptotic solutions of the Cauchy boundary-value parabolic problems in domains with a conical point*, Sibirskii Mat. Zhurnal 29 (1988), 75-89.
- [12] **V.A. Kozlov and V.G. Maz'ya**, *Singularities of solutions of the first boundary value problem for the heat equation in domains with conical points. II*, Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) 31 (1987), 49-57.
- [13] **A.I. Nazarov**,  *$L_p$ -estimates for the solution to the Dirichlet problem and to the Neumann problem for the heat equation in a wedge with edge of arbitrary codimension*, J. Math. Sciences 106 (2001), 2989-3014.
- [14] **A.I. Nazarov**, *Dirichlet problem for quasilinear parabolic equations in domains with smooth closed edges*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 209 (2003), 115-141.
- [15] **J. Prüss and G. Simonett**,  *$H^\infty$ -Calculus for the sum of non-commuting operators*, Trans. A.M.S. 359 (2007), 3549-3565.
- [16] **V.A. Solonnikov**,  *$L_p$ -estimates for solutions of the heat equation in a dihedral angle*, Rend. Matematica, Serie VII, vol. 21, Roma (2001), 1-15.
- [17] **K. Yosida**, *Functional Analysis, Fourth Edition*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [18] **C. Zuily**, *Eléments de distributions et d'équations aux dérivées partielles*, Dunod, Paris, 2002.

Université de Valenciennes et du Hainaut Cambrésis  
LAMAV, FR CNRS 2956,  
Institut des Sciences et Techniques de Valenciennes  
F-59313 Valenciennes Cedex 9, France  
Colette.DeCoster,Serge.Nicaise@univ-valenciennes.fr