### $\omega$ -JOINTLY METRIZABLE SPACES

#### M. A. AL SHUMRANI

ABSTRACT. A topological space X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable if for every countable collection of metrizable subspaces of X, there exists a metric on X which metrizes every member of this collection. Although the Sorgenfrey line is not jointly partially metrizable [3], we prove that it is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

We show that if X is a regular first countable  $T_1$ -space such that X is the union of two subspaces one of which is separable and metrizable, and the other is closed and discrete, then X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subspaces of X. We say that X is *jointly metrizable on*  $\mathcal{F}$ , or  $\mathcal{F}$ -metrizable, if there is a metric d on the set X such that d metrizes all subspaces of X which belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is, the restriction of d to A generates the subspace topology on A, for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  (see [1, 2, 3]). In particular, X is *jointly partially metrizable*, or a JPM-space, if there is a metric d on X which metrizes all metrizable subspaces of X.

**Theorem 1.1.** [3] Every regular first countable jointly partially metrizable  $T_1$ -space X is metrizable.

**Proposition 1.2.** [3] If all metrizable subspaces of a space X are discrete, then X is jointly partially metrizable.

If X is a space with no non-trivial convergent sequences, then all metrizable subspaces of X are discrete, and hence, X is a JPM-space. Therefore, every extremally disconnected Hausdorff space is a JPM-space. In particular, the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta(\omega)$  of the discrete space  $\omega$  of natural numbers is jointly partially metrizable.

2.  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable spaces

We say that a topological space X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable if for every countable collection  $\{M_i : i = 1, 2, ...\}$  of metrizable subspaces of X, there exists a metric d on X which metrizes every  $M_i$  for i = 1, 2, ...

Clearly, every JPM-space is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

MISSOURI J. OF MATH. SCI., SPRING 2016

#### M. A. AL SHUMURANI

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose that X is a space and any union of countably many metrizable subspaces of X is metrizable. Then X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = \{M_i : i = 1, 2, ...\}$  be a countable family of metrizable subspaces of X. We show that there is a metric d on X which metrizes each  $M_i$  for i = 1, 2, ... Let  $A = \bigcup M_i$ . Then A is metrizable. Let  $d_A$  be the metric on A. We may assume that  $d_A(x, y) \leq 1$  for  $x, y \in A$ . We define a metric d on X as follows:  $d(x, y) = d_A(x, y)$  if  $x, y \in A$ ; otherwise, we put d(x, y) = 1 if x and y are distinct. It is clear that d is a metric on X which metrizes A and thus it metrizes every subspace  $M_i$  in  $\Gamma$ .  $\Box$ 

Observe that a subspace of the Sorgenfrey line is metrizable if and only if it is countable. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that the Sorgenfrey line is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable. On the other hand, the Sorgenfrey line is not jointly partially metrizable by Theorem 1.1, since it is first countable, Tychonoff, and not metrizable.

**Example 2.2.** Consider the space A(n), the Alexandroff compactification of any uncountable discrete space X [4, Example 3.5.14]. All subspaces of A(n) are of the form A(m) or D(m) with  $m \leq n$  and A(m) is metrizable if m is countable.

Let  $\Gamma = \{M_i : i = 1, 2, ...\}$  be a countable family consisting of metrizable subspaces of A(n). Let B be the union of the metrizable subspaces  $M_i$  of the form A(m). Then B is of the form A(m) with m countable and thus it is metrizable. Let e be a metric on B. We may assume that  $e(x, y) \leq 1$  for all  $x, y \in B$ . We define a metric d on A(n) as follows: d(x, y) = e(x, y) if  $x, y \in B$ ; otherwise, we put d(x, y) = 1 if x and y are distinct. We can show that d is a metric on A(n) which metrizes B, any subspace  $M_i$  of B and metrizes any discrete subspace D(m). Hence, A(n) is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

A natural question arises: under what conditions a space X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable?

Now we present the main result of this article which gives conditions under which a space X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that (X,T) is a regular first countable  $T_1$ -space such that  $X = A \cup B$ . If A is a closed discrete subspace and B is a separable metrizable subspace, then X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be any countable collection  $\{Y_i : i = 1, 2, ...\}$  of metrizable subspaces of X.

Let Y be any member of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $Y_B = Y \cap B$ , and let  $Y_c$  be the closure of  $Y_B$  in the metrizable space Y and  $Y_d = Y \setminus Y_c$ .

MISSOURI J. OF MATH. SCI., VOL. 28, NO. 1

#### $\omega$ -JOINTLY METRIZABLE SPACES

<u>Claim 1</u>.  $Y_c$  is a closed separable metrizable subspace of Y.

It is clear that  $Y_c$  is closed and metrizable. It remains to show that it is separable. Since *B* is separable metrizable, *B* is second countable. It follows that  $Y_B$  is second countable. Thus,  $Y_B$  is separable, that is, there exists a countable subset *W* of  $Y_B$  such that  $\overline{W} \supseteq Y_B$ . Therefore,  $\overline{W} \supseteq Y_c$ . Hence,  $Y_c$  is separable.

Next, the following statements are easily verified.

<u>Claim 2</u>.  $Y_d$  is a closed discrete subspace of Y.

<u>Claim 3</u>.  $Y_c \cap Y_d = \emptyset$  and  $Y_c \cup Y_d = Y$ .

<u>Claim 4.</u>  $Y_c$  and  $Y_d$  are disjoint open subspaces of the space Y.

Now, let  $A_Y = Y_c \cap A$ .

<u>Claim 5</u>. The set  $A_Y$  is countable.

By Claim 1, the space  $Y_c$  is second countable. Thus,  $A_Y$  is second countable so that it is separable. But  $A_Y$  is a discrete space being a subspace of A. Hence,  $A_Y$  is countable.

Let C be a countable subset of A and let S be a base for the topology T of X. Let  $S_C = S \cup \{\{x\} : x \in A \setminus C\}$ . The family  $S_C$  is a base of some topology  $T_C$  on the set X.

<u>Claim 6</u>. The space  $(X, T_C)$  is metrizable.

It is clear that  $(X, T_C)$  is a  $T_1$ -space. We show that  $(X, T_C)$  is regular. Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in B$  or  $x \in C$  or  $x \in A \setminus C$ . If  $x \in B$  or  $x \in C$ , then given any neighborhood U of x, there exists a neighborhood V of x such that  $\overline{V} \subset U$  since (X, T) is regular. However, if  $x \in A \setminus C$ , then given any neighborhood U of x, for the neighborhood  $\{x\}$  we have  $\{x\} = \overline{\{x\}} \subset U$ . Hence,  $(X, T_C)$  is regular. Let  $V_1$  be a countable base for B. At every point  $x \in C$ , take a countable local base  $V_2^x$ . Let  $V_2 = \bigcup \{V_2^x : x \in C\}$ . Then  $V_2$  is countable. For  $A \setminus C$ , let  $V_3$  be the family consisting of all singletons  $\{x\}$  where  $x \in A \setminus C$ . Let  $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ . Then V is a  $\sigma$ -discrete base for the space  $(X, T_C)$ . Hence, the space  $(X, T_C)$  is metrizable by the Bing Metrization Theorem [4, Theorem 4.4.8].

MISSOURI J. OF MATH. SCI., SPRING 2016

<u>Claim 7</u>. Suppose that  $A_Y \subset C$ . Then the topology T of X induces on Y the same topology as the topology  $T_C$  does.

Let  $T_Y$  denote the topology of Y induced by T and let  $T_{C_Y}$  denote the topology of Y induced by  $T_C$ . From the definition of  $T_C$ , it follows that  $T_Y \subset T_{C_Y}$ . Now we show that  $T_{C_Y} \subset T_Y$ . Take any  $x \in A \setminus C$ ; then  $\{x\}$  is a basis element in  $T_{C_Y}$ . Then  $x \in Y_d$  and  $x \notin Y_c$ . There exists a basis element  $U \in T$  containing x such that  $(U \cap Y) \cap Y_B = \emptyset$ . That is,  $(U \cap Y) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \in U \cap Y \subset A$ . Then  $U \cap Y$  is an open discrete subspace of  $(Y, T_Y)$ . Hence,  $\{x\} \in T_Y$ . Therefore,  $T_{C_Y} \subset T_Y$ . Hence, the claim is proved.

Now let d be a metric on X that induces the topology  $T_C$  of X. Let  $C = \bigcup \{A_{Y_i} : i \in \omega\}$ . Then C is countable. Using Claim 7, we deduce that d is the metric on X which metrizes each metrizable subspace  $Y_i$  of  $\Gamma$ . Hence, X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.  $\Box$ 

The following are examples of  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable spaces.

**Example 2.4.** Let X be the Niemytzky plane [4, Example 1.2.4]. Then X is a first countable Tychonoff space and  $X = A \cup B$ , where A is the closed discrete bottom line and B is the separable metrizable open half plane. Therefore, X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable, by Theorem 2.3.

**Example 2.5.** Let X be the Mrowka space [4, Exercise 3.6.I]. Then X is a first countable Tychonoff space and  $X = A \cup B$ , where A is an uncountable closed discrete subspace of X and B is a countable open discrete subspace of X. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that X is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

**Example 2.6.** Consider the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Rational Sequence Topology [5, Example 65]. With this topology,  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of irrationals and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of rationals, is regular  $T_1$ -space and first countable.  $\mathbb{P}$  is a closed discrete subspace and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an open separable metrizable subspace. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Rational Sequence Topology is  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

We shall now give an example of a space which is not  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the Michael line [4, Example 5.1.32]. Let  $Y = \mathbb{P} \cup \{q\}$  be a subset of the real line where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of irrationals and q is a rational number. Then Y is a metrizable subspace of the real line and thus  $\{q\}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in Y. Using Exercise 5.5.2 in [4], we conclude that Y is a metrizable subspace of the Michael line.

<u>Claim</u>. If x is a real number and A is a subset of the Michael line such that  $x \in \overline{A}$ , then either  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{P}}$  or  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of rationals.

MISSOURI J. OF MATH. SCI., VOL. 28, NO. 1

Note that  $A = A \cap \mathbb{R} = A \cap (\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $A = (A \cap \mathbb{P}) \cup (A \cap \mathbb{Q})$ . Thus,  $\overline{A} = \overline{(A \cap \mathbb{P}) \cup (A \cap \mathbb{Q})} = \overline{(A \cap \mathbb{P}) \cup (A \cap \mathbb{Q})}$ . Hence, if  $x \in \overline{A}$ , then either  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{P}}$  or  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ .

Now we show that the Michael line is not an  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable. Assume the contrary. Consider the countable family of metrizable subspaces  $\Gamma = \{\mathbb{P} \cup \{q\} : q \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cup \{\mathbb{Q}\}$ . Then there exists a metric d on the Michael line metrizing each member of  $\Gamma$ . Next, we show that d metrizes the Michael line. Let x be any real number and A any subset of the Michael line. We shall show that  $x \in \overline{A}$  if and only if d(x, A) = 0.

First, assume that  $x \in \overline{A}$ . By the above Claim, either  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{P}}$  or  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ . Suppose that  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{P}}$ . Let  $C = (A \cap \mathbb{P}) \cup \{x\}$ . If either x is rational or irrational, then C is metrized by d since C is subset of  $\mathbb{P} \cup \{x\}$ . Thus,  $d(x, A \cap \mathbb{P}) = 0$ . Hence, d(x, A) = 0. Suppose that  $x \in \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $C = (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup \{x\}$ . Since  $\overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is closed, it follows that  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, C is metrized by d since C is subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,  $d(x, A \cap \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . Hence, d(x, A) = 0.

Conversely, assume that d(x, A) = 0. We shall show that  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Assume that  $x \notin \overline{A}$ . Then  $x \notin A$ . For each positive  $n \in \omega$ , fix  $a_n \in A$  such that  $d(x, a_n) < 1/n$ . Let  $B = \{a_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then B is an infinite subset of A and d(x, B) = 0. Note that  $B = (B \cap \mathbb{P}) \cup (B \cap \mathbb{Q})$  is infinite. Then either  $B \cap \mathbb{P}$  or  $B \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is an infinite subset of B. Assume that  $B \cap \mathbb{P}$  is an infinite subset of B. Then  $d(x, B \cap \mathbb{P}) = 0$ . Let  $C = (B \cap \mathbb{P}) \cup \{x\}$ . If either x is rational or irrational, C is metrized by d since C is subset of  $\mathbb{P} \cup \{x\}$ . Since  $B \cap \mathbb{P} \subset B \subset A$  and  $x \notin \overline{A}$ , it follows that  $x \notin \overline{B \cap \mathbb{P}}$ . Therefore,  $d(x, B \cap \mathbb{P}) > 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Assume that  $B \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is an infinite subset of B. Then  $d(x, B \cap \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . Let  $C = (B \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup \{x\}$ . If x is rational, then C is metrized by d since  $C \subset \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $B \cap \mathbb{Q} \subset B \subset A$ and  $x \notin \overline{A}$ , it follows that  $x \notin \overline{B \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ . Therefore,  $d(x, B \cap \mathbb{Q}) > 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in \overline{A}$ . However, if x is irrational, then x is isolated in the Michael line. Thus, x is isolated in every subspace of the Michael line containing x. Therefore,  $d(x, B \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in B \cap \mathbb{Q}\} > 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence,  $x \in \overline{A}$ .

#### References

- A. V. Arhangel'skii and M. A. Al Shumrani, Jointly partially metrizable spaces, C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., 65.6 (2012), 727–732.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii and M. A. Al Shumrani, Joint metrizability of spaces on families of subspaces, and a metrization theorem for compacta, Proceedings of International Conference on Topology and its Applications (ICTA2011, Islamabad, Pakistan, July 4-10, 2011), Cambridge Scientific Publishers, 2012, pp. 1–9.
- [3] A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. M. Choban, and M. A. Al Shumrani, Joint metrizability of subspaces and perfect mappings, Topology and its Applications, 169 (2014), 2–15.
- [4] R. Engelking, General Topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.

MISSOURI J. OF MATH. SCI., SPRING 2016

# M. A. AL SHUMURANI

[5] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach, Jr., Counterexamples in Topology, Dover Publications, Inc. New York, 1995.

MSC2010: 54A25, 54B05

Key words and phrases: JPM-space,  $\omega$ -jointly metrizable space

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA *E-mail address*: maalshmrani10kau.edu.sa

MISSOURI J. OF MATH. SCI., VOL. 28, NO. 1