

**HOMOTOPY EXTENSION THEOREM FOR A
FIBER-PRESERVING PIECEWISE LINEAR μ -HOMOTOPY**

Tran van Thuong

Missouri Southern State College

Abstract. In this paper, some nice extension properties of a PL R^∞ -manifold will be investigated. As a consequence, a homotopy extension theorem for a fiber-preserving piecewise linear μ -homotopy will be proved.

1. **Introduction and Definitions.** R^∞ -manifolds have been studied by Heisey and Sakai. In 1975, Heisey [2] proved that any R^∞ -manifold is a countable direct limit of finite dimensional compact metric spaces. In 1981, Heisey [3] introduced the notion of a piecewise linear R^∞ -structure and he defined the piecewise linear R^∞ -manifold; then he proved that any separable paracompact piecewise linear R^∞ -manifold may be regarded as a polyhedron in R^∞ .

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, we denote the image of f by $f(X)$. If $A \subset X$, then $f|_A : A \rightarrow Y$ will denote the restriction of f to A . The symbol $\pi_x : X \times Y \rightarrow X$, will represent the projection onto X . Given a homotopy $f : X \times I \rightarrow Y$, and $t \in I$, the function $f_t : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by $f_t(x) = f(x, t)$. If X is a space and $\{B_a | a \in A\}$ is a collection of subspaces of X , then X is said to have the weak topology generated by $\{B_a\}$ provided a set $U \subset X$ is open if and only if $U \cap B_a$ is open in B_a for all $a \in A$. Suppose for a sequence

of spaces $\{X_n | n \geq 1\}$, X_n is a subspace of X_{n+1} , we define the direct limit of the sequence, denoted $\text{dirlim } X_n$, to be the set $\cup X_n$ with the weak topology generated by the collection $\{X_n\}$. In particular, $R^\infty = \text{dirlim } R^n$, as we consider the line $R^1 \subset R^2 \subset \dots \subset R^n \subset \dots$. Here, we think of R^∞ as

$$\{(x_i) : \text{all but finitely many } x_i \text{ are } 0\},$$

and identify R^n with $R^n \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \dots \subset R^\infty$. Let a be one-point set in R^n , and A, B be subsets of R^n , we say that aB is a cone with vertex a , and base B (or simply that aB is a cone) if each point not equal to a is expressed uniquely as $\lambda a + \mu b$ with $b \in B$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda + \mu = 1$. A subset $P \subset R^n$ is called a polyhedron in the sense of Rourke – Sanderson [5] if for every point $x \in P$, there is a cone neighborhood xL , where L is compact. ∂L denotes the boundary of L . For this and other basic definitions and results from piecewise linear topology, see [5].

Following Heisey [3], we defined: A subset X of R^∞ is an R^∞ -polyhedron if for each compact polyhedron C in R^∞ , $C \cap X$ is a polyhedron in the usual sense of Rourke-Sanderson [5]. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two R^∞ -polyhedra is an R^∞ -piecewise linear (R^∞ -PL) if for each compact polyhedron $C \subset X$ and any choice of n such that $f(C) \subset Y \cap R^n$, then $f|_C : C \rightarrow Y \cap R^n$ is PL in the usual sense of Rourke-Sanderson [5]. For the convenience of notation, we will denote R^∞ -piecewise linear by R^∞ -PL. An R^∞ -manifold X is a Hausdorff topological space such that for each $x \in X$, there exists a homeomorphism f_x mapping some

open set containing x onto an open set in R^∞ . A piecewise linear R^∞ -atlas for a space M is a collection of pairs $\{(U_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}$ where $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of M by nonempty sets, $\delta_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \delta_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ is a homeomorphism onto an open subset of R^∞ , and where, if $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, $\phi_\beta \phi_\alpha^{-1} : \delta_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \phi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ is R^∞ -PL. A piecewise linear R^∞ -structure for M is a maximal PL R^∞ -atlas for M ; since any PL R^∞ -atlas for the space M is contained in a unique maximal PL R^∞ -atlas, a PL R^∞ -atlas for M determines a PL R^∞ -structure for M . A piecewise linear R^∞ -manifold (PL R^∞ -manifold) is a paracompact R^∞ -manifold with a PL R^∞ -structure which is defined by Heisey [3]. By Sakai [6], a PL R^∞ -manifold can be regarded as an open set in R^∞ . An R^∞ -polyhedron in a PL R^∞ -manifold is an R^∞ -polyhedron in R^∞ as we regard it as an open subset in R^∞ . Let Δ_n be an n -simplex, M and N be PL R^∞ -manifolds, we define: a map $f : \Delta_n \times M \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ is fiber – preserving (fp) if $\pi \Delta_n \circ f = \pi \Delta_n$. By Sakai [7], $M = \text{dirlim} M_n$ where each M_n is a compact polyhedral manifold in some $R^n \subset R^\infty$, that is, M_n is a compact polyhedron in R^n and a PL manifold; then we define a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is R^∞ -piecewise linear (R^∞ -PL) if $f|_{M_n}$ is piecewise linear in the sense of Rourke-Sanderson. An fp map f from an R^∞ -polyhedron P in $\Delta_n \times M \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ is called R^∞ -piecewise linear (R^∞ -PL) if $\pi_N \circ f : P \rightarrow N$ is R^∞ -PL. Two maps f and $g : M \rightarrow N$ are μ -close if given an open cover μ of N , and if for each $y \in M$, there is an open set $U \in \mu$ such that $\{f(y), g(y)\} \subset U$. Given any open cover μ of any space X , a homotopy $H : Y \times I \rightarrow X$ is an μ -homotopy if for each element $y \in Y$, the set $H(y \times I)$

is contained in some $U \in \mu$. A homotopy H is stationary on A or $\text{rel}A$ where $A \subset Y$ if we have $H(y \times I) = \{H(y, 0)\}$ for each $y \in A$. A homotopy $H : \Delta_n \times M \times I \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ is called R^∞ -piecewise linear fiber – preserving homotopy if H_0 and H_1 are R^∞ -PL fp maps where $H_0 : \Delta_n \times M \times 0 \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$, $H_1 : \Delta_n \times M \times 1 \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ and H_t is an R^∞ -PL for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Let μ, ν be families of subsets of X , $U \in \mu$, we define:

$$St(U, \nu) = \bigcup \{V \mid V \in \nu : U \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

$$St(\mu, \nu) = \bigcup \{St(U, \nu) : U \in \mu\}.$$

Inductively, we define:

$$St^{n+1}(\mu, \nu) = St(St^n(\mu, \nu)) \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

$\mu <^* \nu$ means that $St(\mu, \mu)$ is a refinement of ν . A closed subspace A of a space X is said to have the extension property in X with respect to a space Y , iff every map $f : A \rightarrow Y$ can be extended over X . The closed subspace A is said to have the neighborhood extension property in X with respect to Y , iff every map $f : A \rightarrow Y$ can be extended over some open subspace U of X which contains A . Here, the open subspace U of X may depend on the given map f .

Let C be any topological class of spaces, by an absolute extensor for the class C , (AE for the class C), we mean a space Y such that every closed subspace A of any space

X in the class C has the extension property in X with respect to Y . Similarly, by an absolute neighborhood extensor for the class C (ANE for the class C), we mean a space Y such that every closed subspace A of any space X in the class C has the neighborhood extension property in X with respect to Y .

2. Extension of PL maps.

Proposition 2.1. Let M, N be PL R^∞ -manifolds. Let $A \subset B$ be two compact subpolyhedra of $\Delta_n \times N$. Let μ be an open cover of $\Delta_n \times M$ and $g : B \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$ be a fp continuous map where $g|_A$ is a fp R^∞ -PL map, then f is μ -homotopic to g (fp) rel A .

Proof. By Sakai [6], we may regard M as an open set in R^∞ . From compactness of $g(B)$, we have $g(B) \subset \Delta_n \times (M \cap R^p)$ for some $p > 0$. Consider a finite subcover of $g(B)$: $\{V_i \times U_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,q}$ where V_i is a basis open set in Δ_n , U_i is a basis open set in M and $V_i \times U_i$ is contained in some open set of μ . Since each U_i is a basis open set in R^∞ , we may assume that

$$U_j = (-\epsilon_1^j, \epsilon_1^j) \times \cdots \times (-\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^j) \times \cdots.$$

For each $j > 0$, let $\epsilon_{p+j} = \min\{\epsilon_{p+j}^1, \dots, \epsilon_{p+j}^q\}$. Observe that $M \cap R^p$ is a subset of $(M \cap R^p) \times (-\epsilon_{p+1}, \epsilon_{p+1}) \cdots$. Now let $m = 2(n + \dim B)$ and

$$\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_{p+1}, \epsilon_{p+2}, \dots, \epsilon_{p+m}\}.$$

Consider $g : B \rightarrow \Delta_n \times (M \cap R^p) \subset \Delta_n \times (M \cap R^p) \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^m \subset \Delta_n \times M$. Let h be an

R^∞ -PL embedding from B into $\partial(-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2})^m$. Let V be an open set containing A so that $\text{Cl } V \subset B$, then by Heisey [3] and Henderson [1], there is an R^∞ -PL map $\Psi : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that $\Psi^{-1}(0) = A$ and $\Psi(B \setminus V) = 1$. First, we write $h(x) = (h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots)$, then we define $f : B \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$ by the following way: we write $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots)$ where $f_i(x) = \max\{-\Psi(x), \min\{\Psi(x), h_i(x)\}\}$ for $x \in B$; then f is the desired fp R^∞ -PL map. Note that by the way we construct the embedding h , we have $\{f(x), g(x)\} \subset V_i \times U_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q$. Therefore, by the convexity of $V_i \times U_i$, we can define a fp R^∞ -PL homotopy $H(x, t) = tf(x) + (1-t)g(x)$, and H is the desired homotopy.

Proposition 2.2. Let $f : \Delta_n \times M \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ be a fp map, M and N are PL R^∞ -manifolds, A a closed R^∞ -subpolyhedron of $\Delta_n \times M$ and $f|_A : A \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ is a fp R^∞ -PL map, then there is a fp R^∞ -PL map $g : \Delta_n \times M \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ such that $g|_A = f|_A$.

Proof. By Heisey [3], we regard M as a closed PL submanifold of R^∞ . By Sakai [7], we write $M = \text{dirlim} M_n$ where each M_n is a compact polyhedral manifold in some $R^n \subset R^\infty$, and $M_n \subset M_{n+1}$. Similarly, write $N = \text{dirlim} N_n$ where each N_n is a compact polyhedral manifold in some $R^n \subset R^\infty$. It follows that $\Delta_n \times M = \text{dirlim}(\Delta_n \times M_n)$ and $\Delta_n \times N = \text{dirlim}(\Delta_n \times N_n)$. Let $A_i = A \cap (\Delta_n \times M_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then A_i is a compact R^∞ -polyhedron and $A = \text{dirlim} A_i$. Now, we consider $f|_{\Delta_n \times M_1}$ where $f|_{\Delta_n \times M_1} : \Delta_n \times M_1 \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ and $f|_{A_1}$ is R^∞ -PL, we may apply Proposition 2.1 to obtain a fp R^∞ -PL map $g_1 : \Delta_n \times M_1 \rightarrow g(\Delta_n \times M_1) \subset \Delta_n \times N_j$ such that $g_1|_{A_1} = f|_{A_1}$.

Now, extend g_1 to a fp R^∞ -PL map \tilde{g}_1 as follows:

$$\tilde{g}_1(x) = f(x) \text{ if } x \in A_2 .$$

$$= g_1(x) \text{ if } x \in \Delta_n \times M_1 .$$

By Hu [4], there is an extension of \tilde{g}_1 to \tilde{g}_2 where $\tilde{g}_2 : \Delta_n \times M_2 \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ such that

$\tilde{g}_2|_{(\Delta_n \times M_1) \cup A_2} = \tilde{g}_1$. Applying Proposition 2.1 again, we may obtain a fp R^∞ -PL map

$g_2 : \Delta_n \times M_2 \rightarrow g_2(\Delta_n \times M_2) \subset \Delta_n \times N_k \subset \Delta_n \times N$ such that $g_2|_{(\Delta_n \times M_1) \cup A_2} = \tilde{g}_1$.

Continuing this process, we obtain a family of fp R^∞ -PL maps $\{g_n\}$ where $g_n : \Delta_n \times M_n \rightarrow$

$\Delta_n \times N$ such that $g_n|_{(\Delta_n \times M_{n-1}) \cup A_n} = \tilde{g}_{n-1}$. Therefore $\{g_n\}$ induces a fp R^∞ -PL map

g where $g : \Delta_n \times M \rightarrow \Delta_n \times N$ such that $g|_A = f|_A$.

Proposition 2.3. Let M, N be PL R^∞ -manifolds, A a closed R^∞ -polyhedron of M , μ an open cover of N . Let h be a homotopy of M to N such that $h|_{A \times I} : A \times I \rightarrow N$, is a μ -homotopy; then there is a PL map $\Psi : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\Psi^{-1}(1) \supset A$ and $\{h(m \times [0, \Psi(m)])\} < \mu$.

Proof. Consider M as an open set in R^∞ . Now, for each $t \in I$, $x \in A$, we have an open neighborhood V_t of x such that $h(V_t \times W_t) \subset U_x$. But $\{W_t : T \in I\}$ is an open cover of I and I is compact; there are finite numbers $t_1, \dots, t_n \in I$ such that

$$I = \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{t_i} .$$

Now, put

$$V_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{t_i} , \quad \text{and} \quad W = \bigcup_{a \in A} V_a ,$$

then $h|W \times I$ is a μ -homotopy. By Heisey [3], there is a PL map $\Psi : M \rightarrow I$ such that $\Psi|A = 1$ and $\Psi|M - W = 0$; then Ψ satisfies the conditions of the Proposition.

Theorem (Homotopy Extension Theorem). Let M and N be PL R^∞ -manifolds and μ an open cover of $\Delta_n \times M$. Let A be a closed R^∞ -subpolyhedron of $\Delta_n \times N$. Let $h : A \times I \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$ be an R^∞ -PL fp μ -homotopy such that $h_0 : A \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$, has a fp R^∞ -PL extension $f : \Delta_n \times N \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$. Then h extends to $\tilde{h} : \Delta_n \times N \times I \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$ which is an R^∞ -PL fp μ -homotopy with $\tilde{h}_0 = f$. Moreover, if Γ is a compact subpolyhedron of Δ_n and if h is stationary on $A \cap (\Gamma \times N)$, then \tilde{h} can be chosen to be stationary on $\Gamma \times N$.

Proof. Let $\overline{H} : ([\Delta_n \times N \times 0] \cup [A \cup \Gamma \times N]) \times I \rightarrow \Delta_n \times M$ be the map defined as follows:

$$\overline{H}(\lambda, x, t) = h(\lambda, x, t) \text{ if } (\lambda, x) \in A$$

$$f(\lambda, x) \text{ if } \lambda \in \Gamma .$$

$$f(\lambda, x) \text{ if } t = 0 .$$

Observe that R^∞ is an AE for the countable direct limits of compact (metric) spaces, and M can be embedded in R^∞ as an open set. It is easy to see that M is ANE for the countable direct limits of compacta. Note that $\Delta_n \times N = \text{dirlim}\{\Delta_n \times N_g\}$ where each N_g is a PL finite dimensional compact submanifold of N_{g+1} and each $\Delta_n \times N_g$ is compact; it follows that M is ANE for the class $\Delta_n \times N$ where N is a PL R^∞ -manifold. Now we consider

$\pi_M \circ \overline{H} : [A \cup \Gamma \times N] \times I \rightarrow M$. Since M is ANE for the direct limits of towers of compacta, there is an open set W in $\Delta_n \times N \times I$ containing $[A \cup \Gamma \times N] \times I$ such that $\pi_M \circ \overline{H}$ extends to W . Since I is compact, we may assume that $W = V \times I$ where $V \supset [A \cup \Gamma \times N]$ then $[A \cup \Gamma \times N]$ and $(\Delta_n \times N) \setminus V$ are disjoint closed subsets of the normal space $\Delta_n \times N$. By Urysohn's Lemma, there is a map $g : \Delta_n \times N \rightarrow I$ such that:

$$g(\lambda, x) = 1 \text{ if } (\lambda, x) \in [A \cup \Gamma \times N]$$

$$0 \text{ if } (\lambda, x) \in (\Delta_n \times N) \setminus V .$$

Now we extend $\pi_M \circ \overline{H}$ by $H(\lambda, x, t) = \pi_M \circ \overline{H}(\lambda, x, tg(\lambda, x))$. As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, there is an R^∞ -PL map $G : \Delta_n \times N \times I \rightarrow M$ such that $G|_{[\Delta_n \times N \times 0] \cup [A \cup \Gamma \times N] \times I} = H$. Now, we define: $\overline{h}(\lambda, x, t) = (\lambda, G(\lambda, x, t))$ for $(\lambda, x, t) \in \Delta_n \times N \times I$; then \overline{h} is an R^∞ -PL fp extension of h . Applying Proposition 2.3, there is a PL map $\phi : \Delta_n \times N \rightarrow I$ such that $\phi^{-1}(1) \supset [A \cup \Gamma \times N]$ and the family $\{\overline{h}(z \times [0, \phi(z)]) : z \in \Delta_n \times N\} < \mu$. Now defining $\tilde{h}(\lambda, x, t) = \overline{h}(\lambda, x, t\phi(\lambda, x))$ for $(\lambda, x, t) \in \Delta_n \times N \times I$; then \tilde{h} is the desired R^∞ -PL fp μ -homotopy.

References

1. D. W. Henderson, "A Simplicial Complex Whose Product with any ARN is a Simplicial Complex," *General Topology and its Applications*, (1973), 81–83.
2. R. E. Heisey, "Manifolds Modelled on R^∞ or Bounded Weak Topology," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 206 (1975), 295–312.
3. R. E. Heisey, "Embedding Piecewise R^∞ -manifolds into R^∞ ," *Topology Proc.*, 6 (1981), 317–328.

4. S. Hu, Theory of Retracts Detroit-Wayne State University Press, 1965.
5. C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, Introduction to Piecewise Linear Topology, *Ergebnisse Math, Grenzgebiete, Band 69*, Springer-Verlag, NY, 1972.
6. K. Sakai, “Each R^∞ -manifold has a Unique Piecewise Linear R^∞ -structure,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90 (1984), 616–618.
7. K. Sakai, Studies on Continua and Infinite – Dimensional Manifolds, RIMS Kokyuroku ■ 509, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, 51–57.