

## Automorphism groups of vector groups over a field of positive characteristic

Takefumi TANAKA and Hitoshi KANETA

(Received January 13, 2008)

(Revised June 16, 2008)

**ABSTRACT.** We define elementary automorphisms of the  $n$ -dimensional vector group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic and show that they generate the automorphism group of the vector group. We also give a necessary and sufficient computational condition for a  $d$ -tuple of  $p$ -polynomials to be a component of an  $n$ -tuple of  $p$ -polynomials defining an automorphism of the vector group.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field and  $p$  its characteristic. Let  $\bar{k}$  denote an algebraic closure of  $k$ . We consider the direct product  $\bar{k}^n$  of the additive group  $\bar{k}$  to be an algebraic group, which is denoted by  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . We call  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  an  $n$ -dimensional vector group. Let  $\text{End } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  and  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  denote the  $k$ -endomorphism ring and the  $k$ -automorphism group of the algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  respectively. For every ring  $R$ , let  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices with components in  $R$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\lambda_i = (\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{in})$  be a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{G}_a$  to  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ , where  $\lambda_{ii} = 1 \in \text{End } \mathbf{G}_a$  and  $\lambda_{ij} = 0 \in \text{End } \mathbf{G}_a$  for  $j \neq i$ . Let  $\pi_i : \mathbf{G}_a^n \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a$  be the projections. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \circ \pi_i$  is the identity on  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . Let  $\phi$  be a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  to  $\mathbf{G}_a^d$ . Then we may write  $\phi = (\pi_1 \circ \phi, \dots, \pi_d \circ \phi)$ , and we have

$$\pi_i \circ \phi = \pi_i \circ \phi \circ \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \circ \pi_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\pi_i \circ \phi \circ \lambda_j) \circ \pi_j \quad (1)$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Let  $\phi_{ij} = \pi_i \circ \phi \circ \lambda_j$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $n = d$ , then a mapping  $\phi \mapsto (\phi_{ij})$  is a ring isomorphism from  $\text{End } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  onto  $M_n(\text{End } \mathbf{G}_a)$ .

Suppose that  $p = 0$ . Then every  $k$ -endomorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  is given by a linear polynomial [2, Proposition 12.2], so that  $\text{End } \mathbf{G}_a$  is isomorphic to  $k$ . Denote by  $\text{GL}_n(k)$  the unit group  $M_n(k)^*$  of the ring  $M_n(k)$ . Then we have

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L10, 14R10.

*Key words and phrases.* Automorphism group, Algebraic group, Vector group.

End  $\mathbf{G}_a^n = \mathbf{M}_n(k)$  and  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n = \text{GL}_n(k)$ . Suppose  $p > 0$ . We call a polynomial of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r \geq 0} c_{ir} T_i^{p^r} \tag{2}$$

with  $c_{ir} \in k$  a  $p$ -polynomial in  $n$  variables. Every  $k$ -endomorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  is given by a  $p$ -polynomial in one variable [2, Proposition 12.2] (in the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed, [1, VII, §20.3, Lemma A]). Hence every homomorphism  $\psi : \mathbf{G}_a^n \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a^d$  is given by a  $d$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)$  of  $p$ -polynomials in  $n$  variables, namely  $\psi(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_d(x))$  for any  $x \in \mathbf{G}_a^n$ . In particular,  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GL}_1(k)$ . However  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  is larger than  $\text{GL}_n(k)$ .

From now on, we assume that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . In this paper, first, we give a subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  such that the subgroup and  $\text{GL}_n(k)$  generate  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  (Theorem 1). Second, we say that a  $d$ -tuple  $\psi_1 = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  of  $p$ -polynomials is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  if there exists an  $(n - d)$ -tuple  $\psi_2 = (f_{d+1}, \dots, f_n)$  of  $p$ -polynomials such that  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (f_1, \dots, f_d, f_{d+1}, \dots, f_n)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $d$ -tuple of  $p$ -polynomials to be a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  (Theorem 2).

## 2. Generators of the automorphism group

Let  $\sigma : k \rightarrow k$  be a ring homomorphism. Let  $B^{(i)} = (\sigma^i(b_{st}))$  for  $B = (b_{st}) \in \mathbf{M}_n(k)$ , where  $\sigma^i$  means the iteration of  $\sigma$  with itself  $i$  times and  $\sigma^0$  is the identity. The set of formal power series  $\sum_{i \geq 0} A_i \sigma^i$  is a ring under the addition and multiplication defined as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \sigma^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \sigma^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (A_i + B_i) \sigma^i, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \sigma^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j \sigma^j = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=m} A_i B_j^{(i)} \right) \sigma^m. \tag{4}$$

Let  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[[\sigma]]$  denote this ring. It is immediate that  $A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \sigma^i$  belongs to the unit group  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[[\sigma]]^*$  if and only if  $A_0 \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[\sigma]$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[[\sigma]]$  that consists of formal power series  $\sum_{i \geq 0} A_i \sigma^i$  such that  $A_i = 0$  for all but finite  $i$ . Then  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[\sigma]$  is a subring of  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[[\sigma]]$ . We consider the case where  $\sigma$  is the Frobenius map  $F$ , namely  $F(t) = t^p$  for  $t \in k$ . There exists a ring isomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $\text{End } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  onto  $\mathbf{M}_n(k)[F]$  sending

an  $n$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of  $p$ -polynomials  $f_i(T) = \sum a_{ijr} T_j^{p^r}$  in  $n$  variables to  $\sum_r A_r F^r$ , where  $A_r$  is a matrix in  $M_n(k)$  whose  $ij$ -component is  $a_{ijr}$  for every  $r \geq 0$ . The inverse  $\Phi^{-1}$  of  $\Phi$  is given as follows:

$$\Phi^{-1}(A)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t A \quad \text{for } A \in M_n(k), \tag{5}$$

$$\Phi^{-1}(F)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (F(x_1), \dots, F(x_n)), \tag{6}$$

where  ${}^t A$  is the transpose of  $A$ . Hence we can identify the ring  $\text{End } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  with the subring  $M_n(k)[F]$  of  $M_n(k)[[F]]$ . Thus

$$\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n = \{A \in M_n(k)[[F]]^* \mid A, A^{-1} \in M_n(k)[F]\}. \tag{7}$$

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group of degree  $n$ . For  $\tau \in S_n$ , let  $\rho(\tau) = (\delta_{i\tau(j)}) \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ , where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta. Then  $\rho : S_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k)$  is an injective homomorphism. Let  $\hat{\tau} = \Phi^{-1}(\rho(\tau))$ . Then, for  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{G}_a^n$ ,

$$\hat{\tau}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau^{-1}(n)}). \tag{8}$$

Hence  $\hat{\tau}$  is regarded as an  $n$ -tuple  $(T_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \dots, T_{\tau^{-1}(n)})$  of  $p$ -polynomials in  $n$  variables.

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$A = A_0 - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i F^i \tag{9}$$

*be an endomorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ , where  $A_0 \in \text{GL}_n(k)$  is a diagonal matrix and  $A_i \in M_n(k)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . If  $A_i$  is nilpotent and upper (resp. lower) triangular for every  $i \geq 1$ , then  $A \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  and*

$$A^{-1} = A_0^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{mn} B_j F^j \tag{10}$$

*for some upper (resp. lower) triangular nilpotent matrices  $B_j$ .*

PROOF. Let

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_n. \tag{11}$$

Suppose that  $A_i$  is nilpotent and lower triangular for every  $i \geq 1$ . Then

$$\hat{\tau}^{-1} A \hat{\tau} = \rho(\tau)^{-1} A_0 \rho(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(\tau)^{-1} A_i \rho(\tau) F^i. \tag{12}$$

Besides,  $\rho(\tau)^{-1}A_0\rho(\tau)$  is diagonal, and all  $\rho(\tau)^{-1}A_i\rho(\tau)$  are upper triangular and nilpotent. Hence we may assume that  $A_i$  is upper triangular and nilpotent for every  $i \geq 1$ . Since  $A_0 \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ , we have  $A \in \text{M}_n(k)[[F]]^*$ . Let

$$B = A^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j F^j. \tag{13}$$

It suffices to show that there exists an integer  $j_0 > 0$  such that  $B_j = O$  for any  $j > j_0$ . Let  $B_j = O$  for  $j < 0$ . Then the condition  $AB = E_n$  implies

$$B_0 = A_0^{-1}, \tag{14}$$

$$A_0 B_j = A_1 B_{j-1}^{(1)} + \cdots + A_m B_{j-m}^{(m)} \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \tag{15}$$

We can show that  $B_j$  is nilpotent and upper triangular for every  $i \geq 1$  by induction, and that  $B_{lm+1}, \dots, B_{(l+1)m}$  are the sums of at most  $m$  products of at least  $l + 1$  upper triangular nilpotent matrices by induction on  $l$ . Thus  $B_j = O$  if  $j > mn$ . □

Let

$$P_u^n = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m A_i F^i \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq m < \infty, A_0 \in \text{GL}_n(k) \text{ is diagonal and} \\ A_1, \dots, A_m \text{ are nilpotent and upper triangular} \end{array} \right\}, \tag{16}$$

$$P_l^n = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m A_i F^i \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq m < \infty, A_0 \in \text{GL}_n(k) \text{ is diagonal and} \\ A_1, \dots, A_m \text{ are nilpotent and lower triangular} \end{array} \right\}, \tag{17}$$

where  $F^0$  is the identity. From Lemma 1 and the argument in its proof, we obtain the following result:

**COROLLARY 1.**  *$P_u^n$  and  $P_l^n$  are subgroups of  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$ . Furthermore, if  $\tau \in S_n$  is given by (11), then  $P_u^n = \hat{\tau}^{-1} P_l^n \hat{\tau}$ .*

**DEFINITION 1.** When  $n \geq 2$ , we call  $A \in \text{GL}_n(k) \cup P_l^n$  an *elementary automorphism of the vector group  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$* .

We recall the discussion in [1, §20.4]. Let  $f$  be a non-zero  $p$ -polynomial of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r \geq 0} c_{ir} T_i^{p^r}$ , which is regarded as a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  to  $\mathbf{G}_a$ . We define the principal part  $\mathcal{P}(f)$ ,  $\text{Nv } f$  and  $\text{Deg } f$  of the polynomial  $f$  as follows. Let  $f_i(T_i) = \sum_{r \geq 0} c_{ir} T_i^{p^r}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $f_i \neq 0$ , let  $r(i)$  be the integer that satisfies  $p^{r(i)} = \text{deg } f_i$  and  $c(i)$  the leading coefficient of  $f_i$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $f_i = 0$ , let  $r(i) = 0$  and  $c(i) = 0$ . Then let

$$\mathcal{P}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n c(i)T_i^{p^{r(i)}}, \tag{18}$$

$$\text{Nv}(f) = \#\{i \mid f_i \neq 0\}, \tag{19}$$

and 
$$\text{Deg}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n r(i). \tag{20}$$

It is clear that  $f$  is a linear polynomial if  $\text{Deg}(f) = 0$ . Assume that  $\text{Nv}(f) \geq 2$  and  $\text{Deg}(f) > 0$ . First, we consider the case where

$$r(1) \geq \dots \geq r(n). \tag{21}$$

Then, by assumption, there exist  $1 \leq m < m' \leq n$  such that  $c(m) \neq 0$  and  $c(m') \neq 0$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, we can choose an element  $a \in k^n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(f)(a) = 0$  with  $a_1 = \dots = a_{m-1} = 0$  and  $a_m \neq 0$ , and define the  $p$ -polynomials  $g_j$  as follows:

$$g_i(T) = T_i \quad \text{for } i < m, \tag{22}$$

$$g_m(T) = a_m T_m, \tag{23}$$

and 
$$g_j(T) = T_j + a_j T_m^{p^{r(m)-r(j)}} \quad \text{for } j > m. \tag{24}$$

Then  $\phi(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x))$  is an elementary automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . Define  $r'(i)$  and  $c'(i)$  for  $f \circ \phi$  in the same manner as  $r(i)$  and  $c(i)$  for  $f$ , so that

$$\mathcal{P}(f \circ \phi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c'(j)T_j^{p^{r'(j)}}. \tag{25}$$

Then the degree of the polynomial  $f \circ \phi(T) = \sum_j f_j(g_j(T))$  in  $T_m$  is at most  $p^{r'(m)}$  and the coefficient of  $T_m^{p^{r'(m)}}$  is  $\mathcal{P}(f)(a) = 0$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{P}(f \circ \phi) = c'(m)T_m^{p^{r'(m)}} + \sum_{j \neq m} c(j)T_j^{p^{r'(j)}} \tag{26}$$

with  $r'(m) < r(m)$ . Therefore

$$\text{Nv}(f \circ \phi) \leq \text{Nv}(f), \tag{27}$$

and 
$$\text{Deg}(f \circ \phi) < \text{Deg}(f). \tag{28}$$

In the case where the inequality (21) does not hold, let  $\tau \in S_n$  be the permutation such that  $r(\tau(1)) \geq \dots \geq r(\tau(n))$ . Then, there exists an elementary automorphism  $\phi'$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  such that

$$\text{Nv}(f \circ \hat{\tau} \circ \phi') \leq \text{Nv}(f \circ \hat{\tau}) = \text{Nv } f, \tag{29}$$

$$\text{and } \text{Deg}(f \circ \hat{\tau} \circ \phi') < \text{Deg}(f \circ \hat{\tau}) = \text{Deg } f. \tag{30}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let  $f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a non-zero  $p$ -polynomial in  $n$  variables with  $n \geq 2$ . For every  $1 \leq v \leq n$ , there exist a finite number of elementary automorphisms  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l$  such that  $f \circ \phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_l$  is a  $p$ -polynomial in  $T_v$ . If the polynomial  $f$  is irreducible additionally, then there exists an elementary automorphism  $\phi_{l+1}$  such that  $f \circ \phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_l \circ \phi_{l+1}(T) = T_v$ .*

PROOF. Unless  $\text{Nv}(f) = 1$  or  $\text{Deg}(f) = 0$ , we can find a permutation  $\tau \in S_n$  and an elementary automorphism  $\phi'$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  described above such that  $\text{Nv}(f \circ \phi) \leq \text{Nv}(f)$  and  $\text{Deg}(f \circ \phi) < \text{Deg}(f)$ , where  $\phi = \hat{\tau} \circ \phi'$  is a composite of two elementary automorphisms. Thus there exist a finite number of automorphisms  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{l-1}$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  such that  $f' = f \circ \phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_{l-1}$  satisfies either  $\text{Nv}(f') = 1$  or  $\text{Deg}(f') = 0$ . If  $\text{Nv}(f') = 1$  and  $f'$  is a polynomial in  $T_j$ , let  $\phi_l$  be the transposition  $(j, v) \in S_n$  or the identity mapping according as  $j \neq v$  or  $j = v$ . If  $\text{Deg}(f') = 0$  and hence  $f' = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i T_i$ , then let  $\phi_l(x) = (\sum_j a_{1j} x_j, \dots, \sum_j a_{nj} x_j)$ , where  $(a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_n(k)$  is a matrix satisfying  $\sum_i b_i a_{ij} = \delta_{jv}$ . Then  $f \circ \phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_l$  is a  $p$ -polynomial in  $T_v$ .

If  $f$  is irreducible, then  $f \circ \phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_l$  is an irreducible  $p$ -polynomial in  $T_v$ . Since an irreducible  $p$ -polynomial in one variable is linear, we have

$$f \circ \phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_l(T) = aT_v \tag{31}$$

for some  $a \in k^*$ . Hence let

$$\phi_{l+1}(T) = (T_1, \dots, T_{v-1}, a^{-1}T_v, T_{v+1}, \dots, T_n). \tag{32}$$

The following two Lemmas will be used later:

LEMMA 3. *If  $\phi(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$ , then  $f_i$  is irreducible for every  $i$ .*

PROOF. The map sending  $f \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  to  $f \circ \phi \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras whose inverse is  $f \mapsto f \circ \phi^{-1}$ . Now

$$\phi \circ \phi^{-1}(T) = (f_1 \circ \phi^{-1}(T), \dots, f_n \circ \phi^{-1}(T)) = (T_1, \dots, T_n). \tag{33}$$

Hence  $f_i$  is irreducible for every  $i$ .

LEMMA 4. *Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be objects of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  a morphism. Suppose that there exists the product  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that the projection  $\text{pr}_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is an epimorphism. Then,  $f$  is an isomorphism if*

and only if  $(\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f \circ \text{pr}_2)$  with components  $\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1$  and  $f \circ \text{pr}_2$  is an isomorphism, in which case  $(\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f \circ \text{pr}_2)^{-1} = (\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2)$ .

PROOF. Hom-sets  $\text{hom}(X_i, X_i)$  and  $\text{hom}(X_1 \times X_2, X_1 \times X_2)$  are monoids with respect to their compositions. We will show that  $\gamma : \text{hom}(X_2, X_2) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X_1 \times X_2, X_1 \times X_2)$  that sends  $f$  to  $(\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f \circ \text{pr}_2)$  is an injective monoid homomorphism. Since

$$(\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ (\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, g \circ \text{pr}_2) = (\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f \circ g \circ \text{pr}_2), \quad (34)$$

$\gamma$  is a monoid homomorphism. Since  $\text{pr}_2$  is an epimorphism,  $f \mapsto f \circ \text{pr}_2$  is an injective mapping from  $\text{hom}(X_2, X_2)$  to  $\text{hom}(X_1 \times X_2, X_2)$ . Moreover  $f' \mapsto (\text{id}_{X_1} \circ \text{pr}_1, f')$  is an injective mapping from  $\text{hom}(X_1 \times X_2, X_2)$  to  $\text{hom}(X_1 \times X_2, X_1 \times X_2)$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is injective.

For  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we write  $T^{(i)}$  and  $x^{(i)}$  for  $(T_i, \dots, T_n)$  and  $(x_i, \dots, x_n)$  respectively.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq d \leq n$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , a  $d$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)$  of  $p$ -polynomials in  $k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is said to be sweepable in  $T_i$  if  $f_j \in k[T^{(i)}]$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $f_1$  is irreducible.

In particular, a  $d$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)$  of  $p$ -polynomials is sweepable in  $T_1$  if and only if  $f_1$  is irreducible.

LEMMA 5. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq d \leq n$ . Suppose that  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  is sweepable in  $T_i$  for an integer  $1 \leq i < n$ . Then there exist a finite number of elementary automorphisms  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a^{n-i+1}$  and an elementary automorphism  $\eta$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a^d$  such that

$$\eta \circ f \circ \phi(T^{(i)}) = (T_i, h_2(T^{(i+1)}), \dots, h_d(T^{(i+1)})), \quad (35)$$

where  $\phi$  is the composite  $\phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_l$  and  $h_2, \dots, h_d$  are  $p$ -polynomials.

PROOF. An irreducible  $p$ -polynomial in one variable is linear. Hence, by Lemmas 2 and 3, there exists  $\phi \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-i+1}$  that is a composite of finite number of elementary automorphisms and that satisfies

$$f_1 \circ \phi(T^{(i)}) = T_i. \quad (36)$$

Then, for  $j > 1$ , we may write

$$f_j \circ \phi(T^{(i)}) = g_j(T_i) + h_j(T^{(i+1)}), \quad (37)$$

where  $g_j \in k[T_i]$  and  $h_j \in k[T^{(i+1)}]$  are  $p$ -polynomials. Define  $\eta \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^d$  by

$$\eta(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (x_1, x_2 - g_2(x_1), \dots, x_d - g_d(x_1)), \quad (38)$$

which is desired.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  is generated by  $\text{GL}_n(k) \cup P_l^n$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$ , where  $f_i$  are  $p$ -polynomials in  $n$  variables. By Lemma 3, the  $n$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is sweepable in  $T_1$ . Hence, by Lemma 5, there exist elementary automorphisms  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_l, \eta$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  such that the composite  $\zeta = \eta \circ \phi \circ \psi$  satisfies

$$\zeta(x) = (x_1, f_2'(x'), \dots, f_n'(x')), \quad (39)$$

where  $\psi = \psi_1 \circ \dots \circ \psi_l$  and  $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $\zeta' = (f_2', \dots, f_n')$ . Then, it follows from Lemma 4 that  $\zeta'$  belongs to  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-1}$ .

If  $n = 2$ , then  $f_2'(T_2) = aT_2$  with  $a \in k^*$ , since  $f_2' \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a$ . Hence  $\phi = \eta^{-1} \circ A \circ \psi^{-1}$ , where  $A(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, ax_2)$ . Now that Theorem 1 holds when  $n = 2$ , we can proceed by induction on  $n$ . Assume that  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-1}$  is generated by  $\text{GL}_{n-1}(k) \cup P_l^{n-1}$ . Then  $\zeta' = \zeta_1' \circ \dots \circ \zeta_m'$ , where  $\zeta_i'$  are elementary automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}_a^{n-1}$ . Let  $\zeta_i(x) = (x_1, \zeta_i'(x'))$ . Then  $\zeta_i$  are elementary automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  and  $\zeta = \zeta_1 \circ \dots \circ \zeta_m$ . Since  $\zeta = \eta \circ \phi \circ \psi$ ,  $\phi$  is a composite of elementary automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ .  $\square$

### 3. Components of an automorphism

We say that a  $d$ -tuple  $\psi_1 = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  of  $p$ -polynomials is a *component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$*  if there exists an  $(n-d)$ -tuple  $\psi_2 = (f_{d+1}, \dots, f_n)$  such that  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (f_1, \dots, f_d, f_{d+1}, \dots, f_n)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ .

It is false that every homomorphism from  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  to  $\mathbf{G}_a^d$  is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $d$ -tuple of  $p$ -polynomials to be a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . Clearly an  $n$ -tuple  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of  $p$ -polynomials in  $n$  variables is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  if and only if  $f \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$ . The following theorem gives a computational criterion for the  $n$ -tuple  $f$  to belong to  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  in particular.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer,  $d$  an integer with  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$   $p$ -polynomials. A  $d$ -tuple  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $(f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(d)})$  of  $(d-i+1)$ -tuples  $f^{(i)}$  of  $p$ -polynomials in  $k[T^{(i)}]$  that satisfies the following:*

- (1)  $f^{(1)} = f$
- (2)  $f^{(i)}$  are sweepable in  $T_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$
- (3) There exist  $\phi_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-i+1}$  and  $\eta_i' \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{d-i+1}$  such that

$$\eta_i' \circ f^{(i)} \circ \phi_i(T^{(i)}) = (T_i, f^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)})) \quad (40)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

In particular, a single  $(f_1)$  is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  if and only if  $f_1$  is irreducible.

PROOF. We already know that if an  $n$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of  $p$ -polynomials defines an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ , then each  $f_i$  is irreducible by Lemma 3.

First, assume that  $f$  is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . Let  $h_i^{(1)} = f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exist  $p$ -polynomials  $h_{d+1}^{(1)}, \dots, h_n^{(1)}$  such that  $h^{(1)} = (h_1^{(1)}, \dots, h_n^{(1)}) \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$ . By Lemma 3,  $h^{(1)}$  is sweepable in  $T_1$ . Hence, by Lemma 5, there exists an automorphism  $\phi_1, \eta_1 \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  such that

$$\eta_1 \circ h^{(1)} \circ \phi_1(T) = (T_1, h_2^{(2)}(T^{(2)}), \dots, h_n^{(2)}(T^{(2)})). \quad (41)$$

By Lemma 4,  $h^{(2)}(T^{(2)}) = (h_2^{(2)}(T^{(2)}), \dots, h_n^{(2)}(T^{(2)}))$  belongs to  $\text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-1}$ . Repeating the same argument, we see that there exist  $\phi_i, \eta_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-i+1}$  such that

$$\eta_i \circ h^{(i)} \circ \phi_i(T^{(i)}) = (T_i, h_{i+1}^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)}), \dots, h_n^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)})) \quad (42)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Write  $\eta_i = (\eta_{ii}, \dots, \eta_{in})$  and  $h^{(i)} = (h_i^{(i)}, \dots, h_n^{(i)})$ , and let  $\eta'_i = (\eta_{ii}, \dots, \eta_{id})$  and  $(h')^{(i)} = (h_i^{(i)}, \dots, h_d^{(i)})$ . Then  $(h')^{(i)}(T^{(i)})$  is sweepable in  $T_i$ . Moreover we have  $(h')^{(1)} = f^{(1)}$  and

$$\eta'_i \circ (h')^{(i)} \circ \phi_i(T^{(i)}) = (T_i, (h')^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)})), \quad (43)$$

since  $\eta_{ij}$  depend only on  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . Therefore we may take  $(h')^{(i)}$  as  $f^{(i)}$ .

Conversely, assume there exists  $\phi_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{n-i+1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{(i)} \circ \phi_i(T^{(i)}) \\ &= (T_i, g_{i+1}^{(i+1)}(T_i) + f_{i+1}^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)}), \dots, g_d^{(i+1)}(T_i) + f_d^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)})). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Define  $\eta'_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^{d-i+1}$  by

$$\eta'_i(x_i, \dots, x_d) = (x_i, x_{i+1} - g_{i+1}^{(i+1)}(x_i), \dots, x_d - g_d^{(i+1)}(x_i)). \quad (45)$$

Then we have  $\eta'_i \circ f^{(i)} \circ \phi_i(T^{(i)}) = (T_i, f^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)}))$ . Define  $\eta_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^d$  and  $\psi_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  as follows:

$$\eta_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \eta'_i(x_i, \dots, x_d)), \quad (46)$$

$$\psi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \phi_i(x^{(i)})). \quad (47)$$

We can show by induction on  $i$  that

$$\eta_i \circ \dots \circ \eta_1 \circ f^{(1)} \circ \psi_1 \circ \dots \circ \psi_i(T) = (T_1, \dots, T_i, f^{(i+1)}(T^{(i+1)})). \quad (48)$$

In particular,

$$\eta_d \circ \dots \circ \eta_1 \circ f^{(1)} \circ \psi_1 \circ \dots \circ \psi_d(T) = (T_1, \dots, T_d). \quad (49)$$

Let  $\eta = \eta_d \circ \cdots \circ \eta_1$ , and  $\psi = \psi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \psi_d$ . Then  $(\eta \circ f^{(1)} \circ \psi(T), T_{d+1}, \dots, T_n)$  defines the identity mapping on  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ . Let  $\xi(x) = (\eta^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_d), x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $\xi \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{G}_a^n$  and  $\xi(T) = (f^{(1)} \circ \psi(T), T_{d+1}, \dots, T_n)$ . Hence

$$\xi \circ \psi^{-1}(T) = (f^{(1)}(T), h_{d+1}(T), \dots, h_n(T)), \quad (50)$$

where  $\psi^{-1}(T) = (h_1(T), \dots, h_n(T))$ . Therefore  $f^{(1)}$  is a component of an automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$ .

### References

- [1] J. E. Humphreys: Linear Algebraic Groups, Springer, 1981.
- [2] M. Rosen: Number Theory in Function Fields, Springer, 2002.

*Takefumi Tanaka*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Graduate School of Engineering  
Osaka Prefecture University  
Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan  
E-mail: takefumi@ms.osakafu-u.ac.jp*

*Hitoshi Kaneta*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Graduate School of Engineering  
Osaka Prefecture University  
Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan  
E-mail: hkaneta@ms.osakafu-u.ac.jp*