

## ***Recursive Games with Infinitely Many Strategies***

Masayuki TAKAHASHI

(Received March 4, 1963)

In our previous paper [1] in which certain stochastic games were shown to be strictly determined, we have first defined a dummy game which may be considered a linearization of stochastic games, and then by using the principle of contraction we have shown the existence of the principal value vector thereof which turned out to be the value vector of the stochastic games. This paper is a continuation of the one [1] just cited, and by the same method as above we shall show that some recursive games (a recursive game is originated by H. Everett [2]) are strictly determined.

Throughout this paper we use the notations and some of the results which were obtained in [1].

First we begin with the definition of recursive games. Suppose we are given  $N$  positions  $1, 2, \dots, N$ . To each position  $k$  we consider a game

$$\Gamma_k = (A_k, B_k, g_k, \mathfrak{M}_k, \mathfrak{N}_k)$$

called a component game of the recursive game, which will be defined below. Let Players 1 and 2 choose a pair  $(a, b) \in A_k \times B_k$ . Then the transition probabilities  $p_{kl}(a, b)$  and the stop probabilities  $p_{k0}(a, b)$  are given. Here  $p_{kl}(a, b)$  denotes the probability with which the game  $\Gamma_k$  moves to the next one  $\Gamma_l$  and  $p_{k0}(a, b)$  denotes the probability with which the game stops at this position  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}_k$ ) be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $A_k$  (resp.  $B_k$ ) such that  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}_k$ ) contains one point set  $(a)$  for any  $a \in A$  (resp.  $(b)$  for any  $b \in B$ ), and  $\mathfrak{C}_k$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $A_k \times B_k$  which contains the Cartesian product  $\mathfrak{A}_k \times \mathfrak{B}_k$ . We assume that  $p_{kl}(a, b)$ ,  $p_{k0}(a, b)$  are bounded and  $\mathfrak{C}_k$ -measurable. The recursive game  $\Gamma$  is defined as the collection of all  $\Gamma_k, p_{kl}, p_{k0}, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , where we assume

- (i) the pay-offs  $g_k(a, b)$  are bounded and  $\mathfrak{C}_k$ -measurable over  $A_k \times B_k$  for every  $k$ ,
- (ii) payments can take place when and only when the game stops,
- (iii) strategy spaces  $(A_k, \delta^{gk}), (A_k, \delta^{p_{kl}})$  are precompact for every  $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

and

- (iv) the transition probabilities  $p_{kl}(a, b)$  are  $\mathfrak{C}_k$ -measurable over  $A_k \times B_k$  for every  $k, l$ , and the stop probabilities  $p_{k0}(a, b)$  are non-negative for every  $k$ .

Now we shall define dummy games associated with  $\Gamma$ . Suppose Player 1

(resp. Player 2) select mixed strategies  $\mu_j$  (resp.  $\nu_j$ ) in each component game  $\Gamma_j$  of the recursive game. We consider the infinite game  $\bar{\Gamma}_k$  beginning with  $\Gamma_k$  which has the expected value  $G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$  of the gains of Player 1:

$$(1) \quad G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = g_k p_{k0}(\mu_k, \nu_k) + \sum_{l_1=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) (g_{l_1} p_{l_1 0}(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{l_1})) \\ + \sum_{l_1=1}^N \sum_{l_2=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) p_{l_1 l_2}(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{l_1}) (g_{l_2} p_{l_2 0}(\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{l_2})) + \dots,$$

where

$$g_k p_{k0}(\mu_k, \nu_k) = \int_{B_k} \int_{A_k} g_k(a, b) p_{k0}(a, b) d\mu_k(a) d\nu_k(b).$$

The right hand series of (1) is absolutely convergent. For, let

$$|g_k(a, b)| \leq M,$$

then we have

$$|G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})| \leq M \{ p_{k0}(\mu_k, \nu_k) + \sum_{l_1=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) p_{l_1 0}(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{l_1}) \\ + \sum_{l_1=1}^N \sum_{l_2=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) p_{l_1 l_2}(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{l_1}) p_{l_2 0}(\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{l_2}) + \dots \}.$$

Now using the relations

$$\sum_{l=1}^N p_{kl} + p_{k0} = 1,$$

we have

$$M \{ p_{k0} + \sum_{l_1} p_{kl_1} p_{l_1 0} + \dots + \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1}} p_{kl_1} p_{l_1 l_2} \dots p_{l_n l_{n+1}} p_{l_{n+1} 0} \} \\ \leq M \{ p_{k0} + \sum_{l_1} p_{kl_1} p_{l_1 0} + \dots + \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n} p_{kl_1} p_{l_1 l_2} \dots p_{l_{n-1} l_n} \} = M.$$

Namely the right hand series of (1) is absolutely convergent, and therefore convergent. Now it is clear that  $G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$  is a solution of the  $N$  equations in  $N$  unknowns  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N$ :

$$v_k = g_k p_{k0}(\mu_k, \nu_k) + \sum_{l=1}^N p_{kl}(\mu_k, \nu_k) v_l \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Put  $h_k(a, b, \bar{v}) = g_k(a, b) p_{k0}(a, b) + \sum_{l=1}^N p_{kl}(a, b) v_l,$

where

$$\bar{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N).$$

The game

$$(A_k, B_k, h_k(a, b, \vec{v}), \mathfrak{M}_k, \mathfrak{N}_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

is called a dummy game, and denoted by  $\Gamma_k^d(\vec{v})$ .

The following lemmas shall be needed for later purpose.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $\Gamma_1 = (A, B, K, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$  and  $\Gamma_2 = (A, B, H, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$  be two games. If the strategy spaces  $(A, \delta^K)$  and  $(A, \delta^H)$  are precompact, then  $(A, \delta^{K \cdot H})$  is also precompact.

**PROOF.** A space is precompact if and only if any sequence of  $A$  contains a Cauchy subsequence. Then the statement of our lemma is obvious from the inequality:

$$\delta^{K \cdot H}(a, a') \leq c_1 \delta^K(a, a') + c_2 \delta^H(a, a') \quad \text{for any } a, a' \in A,$$

where

$$c_1 \geq |H(a, b)|, \quad c_2 \geq |K(a, b)|.$$

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 we have

**LEMMA 2.** Let the strategy spaces  $(A, \delta^K)$  and  $(A, \delta^H)$  be precompact. Then the game  $(A, B, K \cdot H, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$  is strictly determined.

It is to be noticed that each dummy game  $\Gamma_k^d(\vec{v})$  is strictly determined by Lemma 2, and Lemma 2 in [1].

We shall consider the cases where there exists a positive number  $c$  with  $p_{k0} \geq c$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  (Case 1) or some  $p_{k0} = 0$  (Case 2). In Case 2 we shall impose further conditions which will be described below. We call Case 1 (resp. Case 2) normal (resp. semi-normal). If all  $p_{k0}$  vanish identically, then the recursive game is practically non-terminating, which amounts to meaningless.

It is our main purpose to prove that each  $\vec{v}^*$  is strictly determined, i.e.

$$\sup_{\mu} \inf_{\nu} G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) = \inf_{\nu} \sup_{\mu} G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu})$$

in both cases 1 and 2.

**CASE 1.** First we consider a principal value vector  $\vec{v}^*$  of dummy games  $\Gamma_k^d(\vec{v}^*)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ). It is defined as follows:

$$v_k^* = \sup_{\mu_k} \inf_{\nu_k} \int_{B_k} \int_{A_k} h_k(a, b, \vec{v}^*) d\mu_k(a) d\nu_k(b) = \inf_{\nu_k} \sup_{\mu_k} \int_{B_k} \int_{A_k} \dots,$$

where

$$h_k(a, b, \vec{v}^*) = g_k(a, b) p_{k0}(a, b) + \sum_{l=1}^N p_{kl_1}(a, b) v_{l_1}^* \quad \text{for every } k.$$

The existence of  $\vec{v}^*$  can be shown as follows:

Consider the value transformation  $T: \vec{v}^0 \rightarrow \vec{v}^1$  defined by

$$v_k^1 = \sup_{\mu_k} \inf_{\nu_k} \int_{B_k} \int_{A_k} h_k(a, b, \vec{v}^0) d\mu_k(a) d\nu_k(b) \quad \text{for } k=1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Define the norm of  $\vec{v}$  by  $\|\vec{v}\| = \max_k |v_k|$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \|T\vec{w} - T\vec{v}\| &= \max_k |\text{value of } \Gamma_k^d(\vec{w}) - \text{value of } \Gamma_k^d(\vec{v})| \\ &\leq \max_k [\sup_{a,b} |h_k(a, b, \vec{w}) - h_k(a, b, \vec{v})|] \\ &= \sup_{k,a,b} \left| \sum_{l=1}^N p_{kl}(a, b) (w_l - v_l) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{k,a,b} \left| \sum_{l=1}^N p_{kl}(a, b) \right| \max_l |w_l - v_l| \\ &\leq (1-c) \|\vec{w} - \vec{v}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the principle of contraction, there exists a unique  $\vec{v}^*$  which satisfies  $T\vec{v}^* = \vec{v}^*$ , the principal value vector  $\vec{v}^*$ .

Next we introduce the notion of  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies of the dummy games,  $\epsilon$  being any non-negative number. Let  $\vec{v}^*$  denote the principal value vector as before. For each  $k$ , any pair  $(\mu_k^\epsilon, \nu_k^\epsilon) \in \mathfrak{M}_k \times \mathfrak{N}_k$  is said to be  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies of Players 1 and 2 of the dummy game at the position  $k$ , when

$$h_k(\mu_k^\epsilon, \nu_k^\epsilon, \vec{v}^*) \geq v_k^* - \epsilon \quad \text{for any } \nu_k^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}_k,$$

and

$$h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k^\epsilon, \vec{v}^*) \leq v_k^* + \epsilon \quad \text{for any } \mu_k \in \mathfrak{M}_k.$$

In the case where  $\epsilon=0$ ,  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies are no more than optimal strategies. Then we have

LEMMA 3. Let  $0 \leq \epsilon < 1$ . Any complete set of  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies of Players 1 and 2 of dummy games  $\Gamma_k^d(\vec{v}^*)$  are  $\epsilon/c$ -optimal strategies of the original infinite game  $\vec{\Gamma}_k$  for every  $k=1, 2, \dots, N$ .

PROOF. Denote by  $G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu})$  the expected value of the gains of Player 1. Putting

$$v_l = g_l p_{l0}(\mu_l, \nu_l) + \sum_{i=1}^N p_{li}(\mu_l, \nu_l) v_{l_i}, \quad l=1, 2, \dots, N,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) - v_k^* &= g_k p_{k0}(\mu_k, \nu_k) + \sum_{i=1}^N p_{ki}(\mu_k, \nu_k) v_{l_i} - v_k^* \\ &= \bar{h}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) - \sum_{i=1}^N p_{ki}(\mu_k, \nu_k) v_{l_i}^* + \sum_{i=1}^N p_{ki}(\mu_k, \nu_k) v_{l_i} - v_k^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) - v_k^* + \sum_{l_1=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) (v_{l_1} - v_{l_1}^*) \\
&= h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) - v_k^* + \sum_{l_1=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) (h_{l_1}(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{l_1}, \vec{v}^*) - v_{l_1}^*) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l_1=1}^N \sum_{l_2=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) p_{l_1 l_2}(\mu_{l_1}, \nu_{l_1}) (h_{l_2}(\mu_{l_2}, \nu_{l_2}, \vec{v}^*) - v_{l_2}^*) + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, since

$$h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k^\epsilon, \vec{v}) - v_k^* \leq \epsilon,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}^\epsilon) - v_k^* &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon \sum_{l_1=1}^N p_{kl_1} + \epsilon \sum_{l_1=1}^N \sum_{l_2=1}^N p_{kl_1} p_{l_1 l_2} + \dots \\
&\leq \epsilon/c.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$G_k(\vec{\mu}^\epsilon, \vec{\nu}) \geq v_k^* - \epsilon/c,$$

and our lemma is proved.

**LEMMA 4.** For any positive  $\epsilon$ , there exist  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies of the dummy games.

**PROOF.** Let  $\epsilon$  be any positive number. We put  $\epsilon' = \epsilon c/2c + 1$ . We divide  $A_k$  (resp.  $B_k$ ) into non-empty measurable subsets  $A_{k,1}, A_{k,2}, \dots, A_{k,m_k}$  (resp.  $B_{k,1}, B_{k,2}, \dots, B_{k,n_k}$ ), where  $A_{k,i}$  (resp.  $B_{k,j}$ ) are smaller than  $\epsilon'$  in diameter in the metric  $\delta^{h_k(a,b,\vec{v}^*)}$ . Let  $\alpha_k = (a_{k,1}, a_{k,2}, \dots, a_{k,m_k})$  (resp.  $\beta_k = (b_{k,1}, b_{k,2}, \dots, b_{k,n_k})$ ) denote the finite subset of  $A_k$  (resp.  $B_k$ ) where  $a_{k,i}$  (resp.  $b_{k,j}$ ) is any point chosen from  $A_{k,i}$  (resp.  $B_{k,j}$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{M}'_k$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{N}'_k$ ) be the set of probability measures concentrated on  $\alpha_k$  (resp.  $\beta_k$ ). For any  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}'_k$  (resp.  $\nu \in \mathfrak{N}'_k$ ) we define  $\bar{\mu} \in \mathfrak{M}'_k$  (resp.  $\bar{\nu} \in \mathfrak{N}'_k$ ) as follows:

$$\bar{\mu}(a_{k,i}) = \mu(A_{k,i}) \quad (\text{resp. } \bar{\nu}(b_{k,j}) = \nu(B_{k,j})).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad &|h_k(\mu, \nu, \vec{v}^*) - h_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}, \vec{v}^*)| \leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{A_{k,i}} \int_{B_{k,j}} |h_k(a, b, \vec{v}^*) \\
&\quad - h_k(a_{k,i}, b_{k,j}, \vec{v}^*)| d\mu_k d\nu_k < \epsilon'
\end{aligned}$$

If we denote by  $T'$  the value transformation of

$$\Gamma'_k = (A_k, B_k, h_k(a, b, \vec{v}), \mathfrak{M}'_k, \mathfrak{N}'_k),$$

then it follows from (3) that

$$(4) \quad \|\vec{v}^* - T'\vec{v}^*\| \leq \epsilon'.$$

Let  $\check{v}^*$  be the principal value vector of  $\Gamma_k^d$  for  $k=1, 2, \dots, N$ . Then by (2) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T'^j \check{v}^* - T'^{j+1} \check{v}^*\| &\leq (1-c) \|T'^{j-1} \check{v}^* - T'^j \check{v}^*\| \\ &\leq (1-c)^j \|\check{v}^* - T' \check{v}^*\| \\ &\leq \epsilon' (1-c)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|\check{v}^* - \check{v}'^*\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\check{v}^* - T'^n \check{v}^*\| \\ &\leq \epsilon' + \epsilon' (1-c) + \epsilon' (1-c)^2 + \dots \\ &= \epsilon' / c. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are finite there exists optimal strategies  $\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{\nu}^\epsilon$  of the game  $(A_k, B_k, h_k(a, b, \check{v}'^*), \mathfrak{M}'_k, \mathfrak{N}'_k)$ .

Therefore

$$(5) \quad h_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{\nu}, \check{v}'^*) \geq v_k'^* \geq v_k^* - \epsilon' / c,$$

and

$$(6) \quad h_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}^\epsilon, \check{v}'^*) \leq v_k'^* \leq v_k^* + \epsilon' / c.$$

Then for any  $\nu \in \mathfrak{N}_k$ , by using the equation

$$h_k(\mu, \nu, \check{v}) = g_k p_{k0}(\mu, \nu) + \sum_{l_1=1}^N p_{kl_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) v_{l_1},$$

we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} h_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \nu, \check{v}^*) &= h_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{\nu}, \check{v}'^*) + h_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \nu - \bar{\nu}, \check{v}^*) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l_1=1}^N (v_{l_1}^* - v_{l_1}'^*) p_{kl_1}(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{\nu}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8) \quad |h_k(\bar{\mu}, \nu - \bar{\nu}, \check{v}^*)| < \epsilon',$$

and

$$(9) \quad \left| \sum_{l_1=1}^N (v_{l_1}^* - v_{l_1}'^*) p_{kl_1}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \right| < \epsilon'.$$

On account of (5), (7), (8), and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \nu, \check{v}^*) &\geq v_k'^* - \epsilon' - \epsilon' \\ &\geq v_k^* - \epsilon' / c - 2\epsilon' \\ &= v_k^* - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$h_k(\mu, \bar{v}^\epsilon, \bar{v}^*) \leq v_k^* + \epsilon,$$

and our lemma is proved.

**THEOREM 1.** If  $p_{k0} \geq c > 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , then the recursive game  $\Gamma = \{\bar{\Gamma}_k, k=1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is strictly determined, and the value of  $\bar{\Gamma}_k$  is equal to  $v_k^*$ , the  $k$ -th component of the principal value vector of the associated dummy games.

**PROOF.** By Lemmas 3 and 4, we see that there exist  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies  $\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{v}^\epsilon$  of  $\bar{\Gamma}_k$  for any positive  $\epsilon$ , that is,

$$G_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{v}) \geq v_k^* - \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}^\epsilon) \leq v_k^* + \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\inf_v G_k(\bar{\mu}^\epsilon, \bar{v}) \geq v_k^* - \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon$  is any positive number, so we have

$$(10) \quad \sup_\mu \inf_v G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}) \geq v_k^*.$$

Similarly we have

$$(11) \quad \inf_v \sup_\mu G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}) \leq v_k^*.$$

On the other hand it is easy to see that

$$(12) \quad \sup_\mu \inf_v G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}) \leq \inf_v \sup_\mu G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}).$$

Therefore the inequalities (10), (11), together with the inequality (12) imply

$$v_k^* = \sup_\mu \inf_v G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}) = \inf_v \sup_\mu G_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{v}).$$

Thus the proof is completed.

Now we turn to

**CASE 2.** We assume that there exist positive numbers  $c, c'$  such that

$$(13) \quad p_{i0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{l=1}^h p_{il} < c' < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, h;$$

$$p_{i0} \geq c > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = h+1, h+2, \dots, N.$$

We shall show that Theorem 1 remains valid also when we assume (13) instead of  $p_{k0} \geq c > 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let for any  $\bar{v}$  we put

$$v'_j = \text{value of } \Gamma_j^d(\bar{v}) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

then by using (2) and (13) we have

$$(14) \quad |v'_j - w'_j| \leq \begin{cases} c' \max_{1 \leq j \leq h} |v_j - w_j| + \max_{h < l} |v_l - w_l| & \text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, h, \\ (1-c) \max_{h < l} |v_l - w_l| & \text{for } j=h+1, h+2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Choose a positive number  $\alpha$  in such a way that  $\alpha < 1 - c'$  and define the norm of  $\vec{v}$  by

$$\|\vec{v}\|' = \max \{ \alpha |v_1|, \alpha |v_2|, \dots, \alpha |v_h|, |v_{h+1}|, |v_{h+2}|, \dots, |v_N| \}.$$

Then by (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{v}' - \vec{w}'\|' &= \max \{ \alpha |v'_1 - w'_1|, \alpha |v'_2 - w'_2|, \dots, \alpha |v'_h - w'_h|, \\ &\quad |v'_{h+1} - w'_{h+1}|, |v'_{h+2} - w'_{h+2}|, \dots, |v'_N - w'_N| \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \alpha c' \max_{1 \leq j \leq h} |v_j - w_j| + \max_{h < l} |v_l - w_l|, (1-c) \max_{h < l} |v_l - w_l| \} \\ &\leq \max(c' + \alpha, 1 - c) \|\vec{v} - \vec{w}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently by the principle of contraction there exists a unique principal value vector  $\vec{v}^*$  of dummy games  $\Gamma_k^d(\vec{v}^*)$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, N$ ). Regarding  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies we have

LEMMA 5. Any complete set of  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies of Players 1 and 2 of dummy games  $\Gamma_k^d(\vec{v}^*)$  is  $\epsilon(1+1/c)$ -optimal strategies of each original infinite game  $\vec{\Gamma}_k$ .

PROOF. Denote by  $G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu})$  the expected value of the gains of Player 1. Putting

$$v_l = g_l p_{l0} + \sum_{i_1=1}^N p_{li_1} v_{i_1}, \quad l=1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where

$$p_{k0} = 0, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, h,$$

we have for  $k=1, 2, \dots, h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}) - v_k^* &= \sum_{i_1=1}^N p_{ki_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) v_{i_1} - v_k^* \\ &= h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}) - v_k^* \\ &= h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) - v_k^* + h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}) - h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) \\ &= h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) - v_k^* + \sum_{i_1=1}^N p_{ki_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) (v_{i_1} - v_{i_1}^*) \\ &= h_k(\mu_k, \nu_k, \vec{v}^*) - v_k^* + \sum_{i_1=1}^N p_{ki_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) (h_{i_1}(\mu_{i_1}, \nu_{i_1}, \vec{v}^*) - v_{i_1}^*) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1, i_2} p_{ki_1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) p_{i_1 i_2}(\mu_{i_1}, \nu_{i_2}) (h_{i_2}(\mu_{i_2}, \nu_{i_2}, \vec{v}^*) - v_{i_2}^*) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}^\epsilon) - v_k^* &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon \sum_{l_1} p_{kl_1} + \epsilon \sum_{l_1} \sum_{l_2} p_{kl_1} p_{l_1 l_2} + \dots \\ &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon(1-c) + \epsilon(1-c)^2 + \dots \\ &= \epsilon(1 + 1/c). \end{aligned}$$

And by Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}^\epsilon) - v_k^* &\leq \epsilon/c \\ &\leq \epsilon(1 + 1/c) \quad \text{for } k = h + 1, h + 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$G_k(\vec{\mu}^\epsilon, \vec{\nu}) \geq v_k^* - \epsilon(1 + 1/c),$$

and our lemma is proved.

On account of Lemmas 4 and 5 we can reach the same conclusion as Theorem 1.

### Reference

- [1] M. Takahashi, Stochastic games with infinitely many strategies. Journal of Science of the Hiroshima University Series A, 26 (1962).
- [2] H. Everett, Recursive games. Contributions to the Theory of Games, III. Annals of Mathematics Studies No. 39, Princeton, N. J., Princeton University Press, 1957, pp. 47-78.

*Faculty of General Education,  
Hiroshima University*

