

Fine Differentiability of Riesz Potentials

Yoshihiro MIZUTA

(Received May 20, 1978)

1. Introduction

In the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n , we are concerned with the differentiability properties of Riesz potential U_α^μ of order α , $0 < \alpha < n$, of a non-negative measure μ . The potential U_α^μ may fail to be differentiable at any point of R^n , since U_α^μ may take the value ∞ on a countable dense subset of R^n . We are therefore motivated to relax the requirement in the definition of differentiability; in fact, if we restrict the set of approach to x^0 , then we may be able to conclude

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} \frac{|U_\alpha^\mu(x) - U_\alpha^\mu(x^0) - L(x - x^0)|}{|x - x^0|} = 0,$$

where $L = L_{x^0}$ is a linear function. The following problems are proposed here:

- (i) Characterize the excluded set E in an appropriate manner.
- (ii) Evaluate the size of the set of all x^0 at which U_α^μ is not differentiable in such a sense.

Before finding answers to these problems, we fix some notation which will be used in this note. For a point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ and a multi-index $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, we define

$$x^\gamma = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n}, \quad (\partial/\partial x)^\gamma = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\gamma_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\gamma_n},$$

$$\gamma! = \gamma_1! \cdots \gamma_n!, \quad |\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n.$$

We denote by R_α the Riesz kernel of order α . Fix a point $x^0 \in R^n$ and set

$$K_m(x, y) = R_\alpha(x - y) - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} \frac{1}{\gamma!} (x - x^0)^\gamma \frac{\partial^\gamma R_\alpha}{\partial x^\gamma}(x^0 - y)$$

for a positive integer m .

A set E is said to be α -thin at x^0 either if $x^0 \notin \overline{E \setminus \{x^0\}}$ (the closure of $E \setminus \{x^0\}$) or if $x^0 \in \overline{E \setminus \{x^0\}}$ and there is a non-negative measure μ satisfying

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \in E \setminus \{x^0\}} U_\alpha^\mu(x) > U_\alpha^\mu(x^0).$$

Our first aim is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let μ be a non-negative measure such that

$$(1) \quad \int |x^0 - y|^{\alpha-m-n} d\mu(y) < \infty.$$

Then there exists a Borel set $E \subset R^n$ which is α -thin at x^0 and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0.$$

Next we consider the case when μ has a density in $L^p(R^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Letting G be an open set in R^n , we define the capacity

$$C_{\alpha,p}(E; G) = \inf \|g\|_p^p, \quad E \subset R^n,$$

where the infimum is taken over all non-negative measurable functions g such that g vanishes outside G and $U_\alpha^g(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in E$. A set $E \subset R^n$ is said to be (α, p) -thin at x^0 if

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty [2^{k(n-\alpha p)} C_{\alpha,p}(E_k; B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} < \infty, \quad \text{in case } \alpha p \leq n,$$

$$x^0 \notin \overline{E \setminus \{x^0\}}, \quad \text{in case } \alpha p > n,$$

where $E_k = E \cap B(x^0, 2^{-k+1}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k})$, $B(x^0, r)$ being the open ball with center at x^0 and radius r .

REMARK. In case $\alpha p < n$, E is (α, p) -thin at x^0 if and only if

$$\int_0^1 [r^{\alpha p - n} C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, r); B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

Thus our thinness in this case coincides with the thinness defined by Meyers [4]. For a proof, see the Appendix. We also refer to Adams and Meyers [1].

THEOREM 2. Let f be a non-negative function in $L^p(R^n)$ such that $\int |x^0 - y|^{\alpha-m-n} f(y) dy < \infty$ and

$$\int_0^1 \left[r^{(\alpha-m)p-n} \int_{B(x^0, r)} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a set $E \subset R^n$ which is (α, p) -thin at x^0 and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) f(y) dy = 0.$$

Our theorem corresponding to the case $m=0$ has been proved by Meyers [4; Theorem 3.1].

2. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1, we use the following elementary lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([2; Theorem 5.2]). *A set $E \subset R^n$ is α -thin at x^0 if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(n-\alpha)} C_{\alpha}(E_k) < \infty,$$

where $E_k = E \cap B(x^0, 2^{-k+1}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k})$ and C_{α} denotes the Riesz capacity of order α . This is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 r^{\alpha-n} C_{\alpha}(E \cap B(x^0, r)) \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let λ be a non-negative measure and set $A = \{x \in R^n; U_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(x) \geq 1\}$. Then $C_{\alpha}(A) \leq 2^{n-\alpha} \lambda(R^n)$.*

Lemma 2 follows readily from a maximum principle (cf. [2; Theorem 1.10]).

LEMMA 3. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|K_m(x, y)| \leq C|x - x^0|^m |y - x^0|^{\alpha-m-n}$$

whenever $|x - y| \geq |x - x^0|/2$.

PROOF. In the case where $|x - y| \geq |x - x^0|/2$ and $|x^0 - y| \leq 2|x - x^0|$, it suffices to evaluate each term of K_m separately. In the case where $|x^0 - y| > 2|x - x^0|$, we apply the mean value theorem for the function

$$f(t) = |x^0 - y + t(x - x^0)|, \quad t > 0,$$

and obtain the desired result.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Lemma 3, we can apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| \geq |x-x^0|/2} K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0.$$

For each integer k , we set

$$a_k = \int_{2^{-k-1} \leq |y-x^0| < 2^{-k+2}} |y - x^0|^{\alpha-m-n} d\mu(y).$$

Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$ by our assumption, and hence we can find a sequence $\{b_k\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k < \infty$. Consider the set

$$E^{(k)} = \left\{ x \in R^n; 2^{-k} \leq |x - x^0| < 2^{-k+1}, \right. \\ \left. \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) \geq 2^{-km} b_k^{-1} \right\}.$$

Then from Lemma 2 it follows that

$$C_\alpha(E^{(k)}) \leq 2^{n-\alpha} 2^{km} b_k \int_{2^{-k-1} \leq |y-x^0| < 2^{-k+2}} d\mu(y) \\ \leq 2^{2m+3(n-\alpha)} 2^{-k(n-\alpha)} a_k b_k,$$

so that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{k(n-\alpha)} C_\alpha(E^{(k)}) < \infty$. If we set $E = \cup_{k=1}^\infty E^{(k)}$, then we see from Lemma 1 that E is α -thin at x^0 . Moreover,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) = 0,$$

which yields

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0.$$

Thus we obtain $\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0$.

COROLLARY. *Let $1 < \alpha < n$ and let μ be a non-negative measure such that $U_\alpha^\mu(x^0) < \infty$ and $U_{\alpha-1}^\mu(x^0) < \infty$. Then there is a Borel set $E \subset \partial B(O, 1)$ such that $C_\alpha(E) = 0$ and*

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{U_\alpha^\mu(x^0 + r\xi) - U_\alpha^\mu(x^0)}{r} = (n-\alpha) \int (y - x^0, \xi) |y - x^0|^{\alpha-2-n} d\mu(y)$$

for every $\xi \in \partial B(O, 1) \setminus E$, where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the usual inner product in R^n .

For this, we have only to note the following lemma which can be proved by Lemma 1.

LEMMA 4. *For a set $A \subset R^n$, denote by A^\sim the set of all points $z \in \partial B(x^0, 1)$ such that $x^0 + r(z - x^0) \in A$ for some $r > 0$. If E is α -thin at x^0 , then $C_\alpha(\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (E \cap B(x^0, k^{-1}))^\sim) = 0$.*

REMARK 1. We say that U_α^μ is α -finely m times differentiable at x^0 if the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds. If $0 < m < \alpha$ and $U_\alpha^\mu \neq \infty$, then U_α^μ is α -finely m times differentiable on R^n except possibly for a set A with $C_{\alpha-m}(A) = 0$; in fact, $A = \{x \in R^n; U_{\alpha-m}^\mu(x) = \infty\}$.

REMARK 2. In Theorem 1, Condition (1) is needed. For example, we can

find a non-negative measure μ such that $U_\alpha^\mu(O) < \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow O, x \in E} |x|^{-1} [U_\alpha^\mu(x) - U_\alpha^\mu(O)] = -\infty$ for some E which is not α -thin at O . It is easy to see that this U_α^μ is not α -finely differentiable at O .

To construct such μ , let

$$A = \{y = (y', y_n) \in R^{n-1} \times R^1; |y'| < y_n/2, |y| < 1\},$$

$$B = \{y = (y', y_n) \in R^{n-1} \times R^1; (y', -y_n) \in A\},$$

and consider the potential

$$u(x) = \int_B |x - y|^{\alpha-n} |y|^{-\alpha+1/2} dy.$$

Then $u(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in R^n$. We shall prove $\lim_{x \rightarrow O, x \in A} |x|^{-1} [u(O) - u(x)] = \infty$. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|y|^{\alpha-n} - |x - y|^{\alpha-n} \geq C|x| \cdot |y|^{\alpha-1-n}$$

whenever $x \in A, y \in B$ and $|y| > 2|x|$. Noting that $|x - y| > |y|$ whenever $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{-1} [u(O) - u(x)] &\geq C \int_{\{y \in B; |y| > 2|x|\}} |y|^{\alpha-1-n} |y|^{-\alpha+1/2} dy \\ &\longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow O, x \in A. \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of Lemma 1, one sees easily that A is not α -thin at O .

REMARK 3. Let E be a set which is α -thin at x^0 . Then there exists a non-negative measure μ such that $\int |x^0 - y|^{\alpha-m-n} d\mu(y) < \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \in E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = \infty$.

To prove this fact, take sequences $\{a_k\}, \{b_k\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = \infty$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(n-\alpha)} a_k [C_\alpha(E_k) + b_k] < \infty, \quad E_k = E \cap B(x^0, 2^{-k+1}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k}).$$

For each k we can find a non-negative measure μ_k with support in $B(x^0, 2^{-k+2}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k-1})$ such that $\mu_k(R^n) \leq C_\alpha(E_k) + b_k$ and $U_\alpha^{\mu_k}(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in E_k$. Set $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-km} a_k \mu_k$. Then $\int |x^0 - y|^{\alpha-m-n} d\mu(y) < \infty$. This gives:

$$(2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x^0 - y|^{\alpha-i-n} d\mu(y) = 0$$

for $i=0, 1, \dots, m$;

$$(3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-x^0|/2 \leq |x-y| < 5|x-x^0|} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) = 0;$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| \geq |x-x^0|/2} K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \in E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < 5|x-x^0|} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m} a_k U_\alpha^{\mu_k}(x) = \infty,$$

which together with (3) implies

$$(5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \in E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) = \infty.$$

By (2), (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \in E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = \infty.$$

REMARK 4. Let m and μ be as in Theorem 1. If, in addition, there are constants $C > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq Cr^{n+m-\alpha}$ for all $x \in B(x^0, r_0)$ and all $r > 0$ with $r < r_0$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0,$$

i.e., U_α^μ is m times differentiable at x^0 .

For this it suffices to prove

$$(6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) = 0.$$

Set $a(r) = \int_{|y-x^0| < r} |y-x^0|^{\alpha-m-n} d\mu(y)$ for $r > 0$. Then $a(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \downarrow 0$ and

$$\mu(B(x^0, r)) \leq a(r)r^{n+m-\alpha} \quad \text{for } r > 0.$$

Hence for $b > 0$ and $r = |x - x^0|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{br \leq |x-y| < r/2} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) &\leq (br)^{\alpha-n} \mu(B(x^0, 2r)) \\ &\leq 2^{n+m-\alpha} a(2r) b^{\alpha-n} r^m. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| < br} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(y) &= \int_0^{br} \mu(B(x, t)) d(-t^{\alpha-n}) \\ &\leq (n-\alpha) C m^{-1} b^m r^m \end{aligned}$$

if $|x-x^0| < r_0$ and $br < r_0$. Taking $b = a(2r)^{1/2(n-\alpha)}$, we obtain (6).

3. Proof of Theorem 2

First we shall be concerned with the case $\alpha p \leq n$. In the proof of Theorem 1, we have shown that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x-x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| \geq |x-x^0|/2} K_m(x, y) f(y) dy = 0.$$

Let $a(r)$ be a non-increasing positive function of $r > 0$ such that $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} a(r) = \infty$, $a(r) \leq 2a(2r)$ and

$$\int_0^1 \left[r^{(\alpha-m)p-n} a(r) \int_{B(x^0, r)} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

Consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(k)} = \{ &x \in R^n; 2^{-k} \leq |x-x^0| < 2^{-k+1}, \\ &\int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) dy \geq 2^{-km} a(2^{-k})^{-1/p} \} \end{aligned}$$

for each positive integer k . By definition,

$$C_{\alpha, p}(E^{(k)}; B(x^0, 2)) \leq 2^{kmp} a(2^{-k}) \int_{2^{-k-1} < |y-x^0| < 2^{-k+2}} f(y)^p dy.$$

Setting $E = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} E^{(k)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [2^{k(n-\alpha p)} C_{\alpha, p}(E \cap B(x^0, 2^{-k+1}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k}); B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2^{k(n-\alpha p+mp)} a(2^{-k}) \int_{|y-x^0| < 2^{-k+2}} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/(p-1)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that E is (α, p) -thin at x^0 . We also derive

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \notin E} |x-x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} K_m(x, y) f(y) dy = 0$$

and thus obtain our theorem for $\alpha p \leq n$.

Next we treat the case $\alpha p > n$. For this purpose it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x - y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) dy = 0.$$

Let $b(r) = \int_0^{2r} \left[s^{(\alpha-m)p-n} \int_{B(x^0, s)} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/(p-1)} s^{-1} ds, r > 0$. Then $\int_{B(x^0, r)} f(y)^p dy \leq \text{const. } b(r)^{p-1} r^{n-(\alpha-m)p}$. Consequently, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain for $p' = p/(p-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & |x - x^0|^{-m} \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x - y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) dy \\ & \leq |x - x^0|^{-m} \left\{ \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} |x - y|^{p'(\alpha-n)} dy \right\}^{1/p'} \left\{ \int_{|x-y| < |x-x^0|/2} f(y)^p dy \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \leq \text{const. } b(2|x - x^0|)^{1/p'} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow x^0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete.

REMARK 1. Let f be a non-negative function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \int |x - y|^{\alpha-m-n} f(y) dy = \infty \right\}, \\ E_2 &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \int_0^1 \left[r^{(\alpha-m)p-n} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < m < \alpha$ and $U_\alpha^f \neq \infty$, then $C_{\alpha-m, p}(E_1 \cap B(O, a); B(O, 2a)) = 0$ for every $a > 0$, which is equivalent to $B_{\alpha-m, p}(E_1) = 0$. Here $B_{\alpha-m, p}$ denotes the Bessel capacity of index $(\alpha - m, p)$ (cf. [3]). We also have $B_{\alpha-m, p}(E_2) = 0$ on account of [4; Theorem 2.1]. By these facts and Theorem 2, we may state that the potential U_α^f is (α, p) -finely m times differentiable $B_{\alpha-m, p}$ -q.e. on \mathbb{R}^n if $U_\alpha^f \neq \infty$.

REMARK 2. Let $\alpha p \leq n$ and let E be a set satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty [2^{k(n-\alpha p)} C_{\alpha, p}(E_k; G_k)]^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $E_k = E \cap B(x^0, 2^{-k+1}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k})$ and $G_k = B(x^0, 2^{-k+2}) \setminus \overline{B(x^0, 2^{-k-1})}$. (This is stronger than the condition that E is (α, p) -thin at x^0 .) Then there is a non-negative function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the following properties:

$$(7) \quad \int |x^0 - y|^{\alpha-m-n} f(y) dy < \infty;$$

$$(8) \quad \int_0^\infty \left[r^{(\alpha-m)p-n} \int_{B(x^0, r)} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/p} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty;$$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x^0, x \in E} |x - x^0|^{-m} \int K_m(x, y) f(y) dy = \infty.$$

To construct such f , take sequences $\{a_k\}, \{b_k\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = \infty$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [2^{k(n-\alpha p)} a_k^p \{C_{\alpha,p}(E_k; G_k) + b_k\}]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Then for each k , there exists a non-negative function f_k such that $f_k = 0$ outside G_k , $\|f_k\|_p^p < C_{\alpha,p}(E_k; G_k) + b_k$ and $U_{\alpha}^{f_k} \geq 1$ on E_k . Consider the function $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-mk} a_k f_k$. Then (7) is fulfilled and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2^{k(n-\alpha p + mp)} \int_{B(x^0, 2^{-k})} f(y)^p dy \right]^{1/p} < \infty,$$

which yields (8). As in the proof of Remark 3 in § 2, f is seen to satisfy (9).

4. Appendix

We shall show below that in case $\alpha p < n$, a set $E \subset R^n$ is (α, p) -thin at x^0 if and only if

$$\int_0^1 [r^{\alpha p - n} C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, r); B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 [r^{\alpha p - n} B_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, r))]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

If $p > 2$, then $1/(p-1) < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [2^{j(n-\alpha p)} C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(2^{-j+1}); B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-\alpha p)/(p-1)} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} [C_{\alpha,p}(E_k; B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \\ & \leq \text{const.} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [2^{k(n-\alpha p)} C_{\alpha,p}(E_k; B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_k = E \cap B(x^0, 2^{-k+1}) \setminus B(x^0, 2^{-k})$. If $p \leq 2$, then we have

$$C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, r); B(x^0, 2)) \leq \int_0^r C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, 2s) \setminus B(x^0, s/2); B(x^0, 2)) \frac{ds}{s},$$

so that the inequality of Hardy (cf. [5; Appendices, A.4]) gives

$$\int_0^1 [r^{2p-n} C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, r); B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r}$$

$$\leq \text{const.} \int_0^1 [s^{2p-n} C_{\alpha,p}(E \cap B(x^0, 2s) \setminus B(x^0, s/2); B(x^0, 2))]^{1/(p-1)} \frac{ds}{s}.$$

These arguments readily yield the required assertion.

References

- [1] D. R. Adams and N. G. Meyers, Thinness and Wiener criteria for non-linear potentials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22** (1972), 169–197.
- [2] N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [3] N. G. Meyers, A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes, *Math. Scand.* **26** (1970), 255–292.
- [4] N. G. Meyers, Continuity properties of potentials, *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 157–166.
- [5] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*