



- $\mathcal{LN}$ : the class of locally nilpotent Lie algebras.
- $\mathcal{Ft}$ : the class of Lie algebras which are the sum of nilpotent ideals.
- $\mathcal{B}$ : the class of Lie algebras  $L$  such that  $x \in L$  implies  $\langle x \rangle \text{ si } L$ .
- $\mathcal{Gr}$ : the class of Lie algebras  $L$  such that  $x \in L$  implies  $\langle x \rangle \text{ asc } L$ .
- $\mathcal{E}$ : the class of Lie algebras  $L$  satisfying the condition that for every  $x, y \in L$  there exists a positive integer  $n = n(x, y)$  such that  $[x, {}_n y] = 0$ .

When  $L \in \mathcal{Ft}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{Gr}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ ),  $L$  is called a Fitting (resp. a Baer, a Gruenberg, an Engel) algebra.

It is to be noted that we defined  $\mathcal{Gr}$  for an arbitrary base field  $\Phi$ , though it is defined only for a field of characteristic zero in [1, Chap. 6].

Any notation not explained here may be found in [1].

### 3. The case of $\mathfrak{3}$

This case is the Lie-theoretic analogue of a result of Betten [2].

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $L \in \mathfrak{3}$  and  $I$  is a non-zero ideal of  $L$ , then  $I \cap \zeta_1(L) \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{\zeta_\beta(L) : 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha\}$  be the upper central series of  $L$  with  $L = \zeta_\alpha(L)$ . Denote by  $S$  the set of all ordinals  $\beta \leq \alpha$  for which  $I \cap \zeta_\beta(L) \neq 0$ . Clearly  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\gamma = \min S$ . It is easily seen that  $\gamma$  is neither 0 nor a limit ordinal. Hence  $I \cap \zeta_{\gamma-1}(L) = 0$  and  $I \cap \zeta_\gamma(L) \neq 0$ . So we have

$$[I \cap \zeta_\gamma(L), L] \subseteq I \cap \zeta_{\gamma-1}(L) = 0,$$

which means that  $0 \neq I \cap \zeta_\gamma(L) \leq \zeta_1(L)$ . Therefore  $I \cap \zeta_1(L) \neq 0$ .

If  $H$  is a subalgebra of a Lie algebra  $L$ , then the centralizer of  $H$  in  $L$  is  $C_L(H) = \{y \in L : [H, y] = 0\}$ . Evidently, if  $H \triangleleft L$  then  $C_L(H) \triangleleft L$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . If  $I/\zeta_1(I) \neq 0$  and  $L/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{3}$ , then  $I^2 \cap \zeta_1(L) \neq 0$  and in particular  $\zeta_1(L) \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 3.1 we have  $I/\zeta_1(I) \cap \zeta_1(L/\zeta_1(I)) \neq 0$ . Hence we can find  $x \in I \setminus \zeta_1(I)$  such that  $[x, L] \subseteq \zeta_1(I)$ . It is easy to see that  $\zeta_1(I) + \langle x \rangle \triangleleft L$ . From the remark above  $C_L(\zeta_1(I) + \langle x \rangle) \triangleleft L$  and therefore  $I \cap C_L(\zeta_1(I) + \langle x \rangle) \triangleleft L$ . We also have  $I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle) = I \cap C_L(\zeta_1(I) + \langle x \rangle)$ , whence  $I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle) \triangleleft L$ . Since  $x \in I$ , we have  $\zeta_1(I) \subseteq I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle)$ . By the assumption that  $L/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{3}$ , we have  $L/(I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle)) \in \mathfrak{Q3} = \mathfrak{3}$ . From the fact that  $x \notin \zeta_1(I)$  it follows that  $I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle) \not\subseteq I$ , i.e., that  $I/(I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle))$  is a non-zero ideal of  $L/(I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle))$ . By Lemma 3.1 we now obtain  $I/(I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle)) \cap \zeta_1(L/(I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle))) \neq 0$ . Hence there exists  $y \in I \setminus C_L(\langle x \rangle)$  such that  $[y, L] \subseteq I \cap C_L(\langle x \rangle)$ . Evidently  $[x, y] \neq 0$ . Owing to the Jacobi identity,

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y], L] &\subseteq [[x, L], y] + [x, [y, L]] \\ &\subseteq [\zeta_1(I), y] + [x, C_L(\langle x \rangle)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Namely  $[x, y] \in \zeta_1(L)$ . Therefore  $I^2 \cap \zeta_1(L) \neq 0$ . This proves the lemma.

By making use of Lemma 3.2, we shall prove the following

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . If  $I$  is nilpotent and  $L/I^2$  is hypercentral, then  $L$  is hypercentral.*

**PROOF.** We use induction on the nilpotency class  $k$  of  $I$ . If  $k=1$ , then  $I^2=0$  and the assertion is trivial. Let  $k>1$  and assume that the assertion is true for  $k-1$ . Let  $I \in \mathfrak{N}_k$  and  $I \notin \mathfrak{N}_1$ . Then  $I/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{N}_{k-1}$  and

$$(L/\zeta_1(I))/(I/\zeta_1(I))^2 \simeq L/(I^2 + \zeta_1(I)) \simeq (L/I^2)/((I^2 + \zeta_1(I))/I^2) \in \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{3} = \mathfrak{3}.$$

By induction hypothesis it follows that  $L/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{3}$ . Since  $I \notin \mathfrak{N}_1$ ,  $I/\zeta_1(I)$  is a non-zero ideal of  $L/\zeta_1(I)$ . Hence by Lemma 3.2 we have  $\zeta_1(L) \neq 0$ . Now, suppose that  $L \neq \zeta_*(L)$ . Since  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{N}_k$ -ideal of  $L$ ,  $(I + \zeta_*(L))/\zeta_*(L)$  is also an  $\mathfrak{N}_k$ -ideal of  $L/\zeta_*(L)$  and

$$(L/\zeta_*(L))/((I + \zeta_*(L))/\zeta_*(L))^2 \simeq (L/I^2)/((I^2 + \zeta_*(L))/I^2) \in \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{3} = \mathfrak{3}.$$

Since  $L/\zeta_*(L) \notin \mathfrak{3}$ ,  $(I + \zeta_*(L))/\zeta_*(L) \notin \mathfrak{N}_1$ . By the fact shown above, we have  $\zeta_1(L/\zeta_*(L)) \neq 0$ , which contradicts the definition of  $\zeta_*(L)$ . Therefore we conclude that  $L = \zeta_*(L)$ . Thus the proof is complete.

#### 4. The cases of $\mathfrak{C}$ and $L\mathfrak{N}$

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . If  $I$  is nilpotent and  $L/I^2$  is Engel, then  $L$  is Engel.*

**PROOF.** We use induction on the nilpotency class  $k$  of  $I$ . If  $k=1$ , then the assertion is trivial. Let  $k>1$  and suppose that the assertion is true for  $k-1$ . Let  $I \in \mathfrak{N}_k$ . Then  $I/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{N}_{k-1}$  and

$$(L/\zeta_1(I))/(I/\zeta_1(I))^2 \simeq (L/I^2)/((I^2 + \zeta_1(I))/I^2) \in \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}.$$

By induction hypothesis we have  $L/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{E}$ .

Now we claim that  $I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{r}(L)$ . In fact, let  $x, y \in I$  and  $z \in L$ . Since  $L/\zeta_1(I) \in \mathfrak{E}$ , we can find positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $[x, {}_m z] \in \zeta_1(I)$  and  $[y, {}_n z] \in \zeta_1(I)$ . By the Jacobi identity,

$$[[x, y],_{m+n}z] = \sum_{i+j=m+n} \binom{m+n}{i} [[x, i]z], [y, jz]] = 0.$$

Hence  $[x, y] \in \mathfrak{r}(L)$ . Therefore  $I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{r}(L)$ , as claimed.

Let  $v, w \in L$ . Since  $L/I^2 \in \mathfrak{C}$ , there exists a positive integer  $p$  such that  $[v, {}_p w] \in I^2$ . Since  $I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{r}(L)$ , we can find a positive integer  $q$  such that  $[[v, {}_p w], {}_q w] = 0$ . It follows that  $[v, {}_{p+q} w] = 0$ . Hence  $L \in \mathfrak{C}$ . This completes the proof.

Although  $L\mathfrak{N}$  is not  $\mathfrak{B}$ -closed in general, it is known [1, p. 336] that with respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$   $L\mathfrak{N}$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -closed. Namely, we have

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $L \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . If  $I$  and  $L/I$  are locally nilpotent, then  $L$  is locally nilpotent.*

Now we have the following theorem as a consequence of Theorem 4.1:

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . If  $I$  is nilpotent and  $L/I^2$  is locally nilpotent, then  $L$  is locally nilpotent.*

**PROOF.** Since  $L\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ , it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $L \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Hence by Lemma 4.1 we have  $L \in L\mathfrak{N}$ .

## 5. The cases of $\mathfrak{Ft}$ , $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{C}$

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . If  $I$  and  $L/I^2$  are nilpotent, then  $L$  is nilpotent.*

**PROOF.** See [3, Theorem 2] (or [1, Proposition 7.1.1 (c)]).

**LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra.*

(1) *If  $I$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $L$  and  $H$  is a nilpotent subideal of  $L$ , then  $I+H$  is a nilpotent subideal of  $L$ .*

(2) *If  $I$  is a hypercentral ideal of  $L$  and  $H$  is an ascendant hypercentral subalgebra of  $L$ , then  $I+H$  is an ascendant hypercentral subalgebra of  $L$ .*

**PROOF.** (1) Obviously  $I+H \leq L$ . Let  $I \in \mathfrak{N}_c$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{N}_d$  and  $H \triangleleft^n L$ . Put  $m = d + c(n+d) + 1$ . Then  $(I+H)^m$  is the sum of all  $[W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m]$  with  $W_i = I$  or  $H$ . Since  $I \triangleleft L$  and  $I \in \mathfrak{N}_c$ , we may suppose that  $I$  appears in  $[W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m]$  at most  $c$  times. Noting that

$$[L, {}_{n+d}H] = [[L, {}_nH], {}_dH] \subseteq [H, {}_dH] = 0,$$

we see that  $[W_1, \dots, W_m] = 0$ . Hence  $(I+H)^m = 0$ .

(2) See [4, Proposition 3].

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $I$  be a nilpotent ideal of  $L$ .*

- (1) If  $L/I^2$  is Fitting, then  $L$  is Fitting.
- (2) If  $L/I^2$  is Baer, then  $L$  is Baer.
- (3) If  $L/I^2$  is Gruenberg, then  $L$  is Gruenberg.

PROOF. (1) By the definition of  $\mathfrak{Ft}$ ,

$$L/I^2 = \sum \{J/I^2 : J \triangleleft L, J/I^2 \in \mathfrak{N}\}.$$

By Fitting's Theorem (see [1, Theorem 1.2.5])

$$(J + I)/I^2 = J/I^2 + I/I^2 \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 we have  $J + I \in \mathfrak{N}$  and therefore  $J \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Consequently

$$L = \sum \{H : H \triangleleft L, H \in \mathfrak{N}\}.$$

Therefore  $L \in \mathfrak{Ft}$ .

(2) Let  $x \in L$ . Then

$$(\langle x \rangle + I^2)/I^2 \text{ si } L/I^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\langle x \rangle + I)/I^2 = (\langle x \rangle + I^2)/I^2 + I/I^2.$$

By Lemma 5.2 (1) we have  $(\langle x \rangle + I)/I^2 \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $\langle x \rangle + I$  si  $L$ . Using Lemma 5.1 we obtain  $\langle x \rangle + I \in \mathfrak{N}$ , and hence  $\langle x \rangle$  si  $\langle x \rangle + I$ . Therefore we have  $\langle x \rangle$  si  $L$ . Thus  $L \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

(3) Let  $x \in L$ . Then

$$(\langle x \rangle + I^2)/I^2 \text{ asc } L/I^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\langle x \rangle + I)/I^2 = (\langle x \rangle + I^2)/I^2 + I/I^2.$$

By Lemma 5.2 (2) we have  $(\langle x \rangle + I)/I^2 \in \mathfrak{J}$  and  $\langle x \rangle + I$  asc  $L$ . Using Theorem 3.1 we obtain  $\langle x \rangle + I \in \mathfrak{J}$ . It follows that  $\langle x \rangle$  asc  $\langle x \rangle + I$ , and therefore  $\langle x \rangle$  asc  $L$ . Thus  $L \in \mathfrak{Gt}$ .

### 6. Remarks

All classes observed in the above theorems are subclasses of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Let  $P$  be a vector space over  $\Phi$  with basis  $e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots$  and regard  $P$  as an abelian Lie algebra. Let  $z$  be the identity transformation of  $P$  and let  $L$  be a split extension of  $P$  by  $\langle z \rangle$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be any class in the theorems. Then clearly  $P \in \mathfrak{X}, P \triangleleft L$  and  $L/P \in \mathfrak{X}$ . But since  $[e_i, {}_n z] = e_i$  for any positive integer  $n$ ,  $L \notin \mathfrak{E}$ , and therefore  $L \notin \mathfrak{X}$ . This tells us that any class in the above theorems is not  $\mathfrak{B}$ -closed.

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor S. Tôgô for his encouragement.

**References**

- [1] R. K. Amayo and I. N. Stewart, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1974.
- [2] A. Betten, *Hinreichende Kriterien für die Hyperzentralität einer Gruppe*, *Arch. Math.*, **20** (1969), 471–480.
- [3] C.-Y. Chao, *Some characterizations of nilpotent Lie algebras*, *Math. Z.*, **103** (1968), 40–42.
- [4] T. Ikeda and Y. Kashiwagi, *Some properties of hypercentral Lie algebras*, to appear in this journal.
- [5] D. J. S. Robinson, *A property of the lower central series of a group*, *Math. Z.*, **107** (1968), 225–231.
- [6] D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups I*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*