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In the Dirichlet space theory, contractions on the real line play an important role in connection with potential theoretic properties. A. Ancona [1] proved that contractions are continuous in Dirichlet space. Our aim in this note is to prove that the contractions considered in [3] are continuous in a certain functional Banach space.

Let $X$ be a locally compact space and $\xi$ be a positive (Radon) measure on $X$. For measurable functions $u$ and $v$ on $X$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \vee v=\max \{u, v\}, \quad u \wedge v=\min \{u, v\}, \\
& u^{+}=u \vee 0 \text { and } u^{-}=-(u \wedge 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathscr{X}=\mathscr{X}(X ; \xi)$ be a real reflexive Banach space whose elements are measurable functions on $X$. We denote by $\|u\|$ the norm of $u \in \mathscr{X}$, by $\mathscr{X}^{*}$ the dual space of $\mathscr{X}$, and by $\left\langle u^{*}, u\right\rangle$ the value of $u^{*} \in \mathscr{X}^{*}$ at $u \in \mathscr{X}$.

Throughout this note, let $\Phi$ be a strictly convex function on $\mathscr{X}$ such that
(i) $\Phi(u) \geqq 0$ for all $u \in \mathscr{X}$ and $\Phi(u)=0$ if and only if $u=0$;
(ii) if $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{X}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathscr{X}$;
(iii) $\Phi$ is bounded on each bounded subset of $\mathscr{X}$; and
(iv) $\Phi$ is differentiable in the sense of Gâteaux, i.e., there is an operator $G: \mathscr{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}^{*}$ such that for any $u, v \in \mathscr{X}$,

$$
\langle G u, v\rangle=\lim _{t \not 0} \frac{\Phi(u+t v)-\Phi(u)}{t} .
$$

The operator $G$ is called the gradient of $\Phi$ and denoted by $\nabla \Phi$.
We shall use the following elementary properties of $\Phi$ and $\nabla \Phi$ without proof:
( $\Phi_{1}$ ) Let $u \in \mathscr{X}$ and $u^{*} \in \mathscr{X}^{*}$. Then $u^{*}=\nabla \Phi(u)$ if and only if

$$
\left\langle u^{*}, v-u\right\rangle \leqq \Phi(v)-\Phi(u) \quad \text { for any } \quad v \in \mathscr{X}
$$

( $\Phi_{2}$ ) $\quad \nabla \Phi$ is bounded, i.e., it maps bounded sets in $\mathscr{X}$ to bounded sets in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$.
For a non-negative measurable function $g$ on $X$, we define an operator $T_{g}^{+}$by

$$
T_{g}^{+} u=u^{+} \wedge g \quad \text { for } \quad u \in \mathscr{X}
$$

The operator $T^{+}=T_{g}^{+}$with $g \equiv \infty$ will be called the positive contraction. We shall say that $T_{g}^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$ (with respect to $\Phi$ ) if $T_{g}^{+} u \in \mathscr{X}$ and

$$
\Phi\left(u+T_{g}^{+}(v-u)\right)+\Phi\left(v-T_{g}^{+}(v-u)\right) \leqq \Phi(u)+\Phi(v)
$$

for any $u, v \in \mathscr{X}$. If $T_{g}^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$, then it is continuous at $0 \in \mathscr{X}$. From this it follows that if the positive contraction $T^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathscr{X}$, then $u \wedge u_{n} \in \mathscr{X}$ and $u \wedge u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathscr{X}$.

Hereafter we assume that $\mathscr{X}$ is a functional space, i.e., the following axiom is satisfied (cf. [2]):

Axiom a. For any compact set $K \subset X$, there exists a positive constant $M$ such that

$$
\int_{K}|u| d \xi \leqq M\|u\| \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \mathscr{X}
$$

Lemma 1. If $T_{g}^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathscr{X}$, then $T_{g}^{+} u_{n} \rightarrow T_{g}^{+} u$ weakly in $\mathscr{X}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $T_{g}^{+}$is a bounded operator in $\mathscr{X}$, so that $\left\{T_{g}^{+} u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. By using Axiom a, we see, in the same way as [2; Lemma 2.1], that $T_{g}^{+} u_{n} \rightarrow T_{g}^{+} u$ weakly in $\mathscr{X}$.

In the same way as [2; Proposition 2.1], we have the next lemma.
Lemma 2. The contraction $T_{g}^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$ if and only if $T_{g}^{+} u \in \mathscr{X}$ and

$$
\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u+T_{g}^{+} v\right)-\nabla \Phi(u), v-T_{g}^{+} v\right\rangle \geqq 0
$$

for any $u, v \in \mathscr{X}$.
Lemma 3. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{X}$ be a sequence converging to $u \in \mathscr{X}$ and set $v_{n}$ $=u \wedge u_{n}$. If $T^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$, then $T^{+} v_{n} \rightarrow T^{+} u$ in $\mathscr{X}$.

Proof. By $\left(\Phi_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right) & \leqq\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right), u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right), u-v_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right), u^{-}-v_{n}^{-}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathscr{X}$ and $\left\{\nabla \Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right)\right\}$is bounded in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$ by virtue of $\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$, the first term tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $v_{n}^{+} \wedge\left(v_{n}^{-}-u^{-}\right)=0,\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right)+w^{+}=u^{+}$and $w-w^{+}=u^{-}-v_{n}^{-}$, where $w=v_{n}+u^{-}$. Hence by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right), u^{-}-v_{n}^{-}\right\rangle \leqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u^{+}\right), u^{-}-v_{n}^{-}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

It follows that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(u^{+}-v_{n}^{+}\right) \leqq 0$, which implies that $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow u^{+}$in $\mathscr{X}$ on account of (ii).

Corollary. If $T^{+}$operates in $\mathscr{X}$, then $T^{+}$is continuous.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathscr{X}$ which converges to $u \in \mathscr{X}$. Then, by the above lemma we find that

$$
\left(u \vee u_{n}\right)^{+}=\left((-u) \wedge\left(-u_{n}\right)\right)^{+}-(-u) \wedge\left(-u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow(-u)^{+}-(-u)=u^{+}
$$

in $\mathscr{X}$. Hence we have again by Lemma 3 that

$$
u_{n}^{+}=\left(u \vee u_{n}\right)^{+}+\left(u \wedge u_{n}\right)^{+}-u^{+} \longrightarrow u^{+} \quad \text { in } \quad \mathscr{X},
$$

which means that $T^{+}$is continuous.
Now we are ready to prove our main result.
Theorem. If $T^{+}$and $T_{g}^{+}$operate in $\mathscr{X}$, then $T_{g}^{+}$is continuous.
Proof. Suppose $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathscr{X}$ and $u_{n} \wedge 0=0$ for each $n$. Set $w_{n}=u \vee u_{n}$. Then $w_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathscr{X}$, and hence $\left(w_{n}-u\right) \wedge g \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathscr{X}$ by the continuity of $T_{g}^{+}$at 0 . Using ( $\Phi_{1}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right) & \leqq\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right), u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right),\left(u \wedge g+\left(w_{n}-u\right) \wedge g\right)-w_{n} \wedge g\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right),\left(w_{n}-u\right) \wedge g\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{g}^{+}\left(u \wedge g+\left(w_{n}-u\right) \wedge g\right)=w_{n} \wedge g=T_{g}^{+} w_{n}$, Lemma 2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\nabla \Phi\left(u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right),\left(u \wedge g+\left(w_{n}-u\right) \wedge g\right)-w_{n} \wedge g\right\rangle \\
& \leqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\nabla \Phi(u \wedge g), u \wedge g+\left(w_{n}-u\right) \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the aid of Lemma 1. Hence $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(u \wedge g-w_{n} \wedge g\right) \leqq 0$, which implies that $w_{n} \wedge g \rightarrow u \wedge g$ in $\mathscr{X}$ by (ii). If we write

$$
u_{n} \wedge g=w_{n} \wedge g+(u \wedge g) \wedge u_{n}-u \wedge g
$$

then we see that $u_{n} \wedge g \rightarrow u \wedge g$ in $\mathscr{X}$ by using the fact that $v \wedge u_{n} \rightarrow v \wedge u$ in $\mathscr{X}$ for $v \in \mathscr{X}$ because $T^{+}$is continuous. Thus our theorem is proved.
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