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## §1. Introduction

In his paper [20], H. Toda introduced the elements $\beta_{s}, 1 \leqq s \leqq p-1$, in the $p$-primary component of the stable homotopy of spheres for an odd prime $p$, and L. Smith [18] extended them to an infinite family $\left\{\beta_{s}\right\}_{s \geqq 1}$, in case $p \geqq 5$. Later, with the development and plentiful knowledge of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence based on the Brown-Peterson homology $B P$ such as [5], it is clarified that these $\beta$-elements are detected in $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{2}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)$, the second line of the $E_{2}$-term of the spectral sequence, which consists of an extensive family of elements $\beta_{s / r, i}$ with suitable triple indices including $\beta_{s}=\beta_{s / 1,1}$ (cf. (4.1)). The construction of the homotopy elements $\beta_{s}$ is immediate from the one of the 4 -cell complex called $V(1)$ and appropriate stable self-maps of $V(1)$ [18], and in this way, $\mathbf{L}$. Smith [19], R. Zahler [23] and the first author [9], [11], [12] constructed homotopy elements which correspond with the generalized $\beta$ 's in Ext ${ }^{2}$ including

$$
\beta_{s p / r}(s \geqq 1,1 \leqq r<p), \quad \beta_{s p / p}(s \geqq 2), \quad \beta_{s p^{2} / p, 2}(s \geqq 2),
$$

where $\beta_{s p / r, 1}=\beta_{s p / r}$ and some of these were called $\varepsilon$ 's and $\rho$ 's in earlier literatures (see (2.4), (2.5)).

The purpose of this paper is to study the products $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / r}$ with $r \leqq p$ and $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}$ in $\pi_{*}^{S}$, the stable homotopy ring of spheres, in case $p \geqq 5$. In particular, we shall study whether they are trivial or not. In this direction, H. Toda [21] obtained a formula of $\beta_{s} \beta_{t}$ extending the earlier work of N . Yamamoto [22] and including the relation $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p}=0$ which is the case $r=1$ of ours.

Theorem A. Let $p$ be a prime $\geqq 5$, and $r, s, t$ be positive integers with $r \leqq p$ and $r \leqq p-1$ if $t=1$. Then the element $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / r}$ in $\pi_{*}^{S}$ is trivial, if one of the following holds:
(i) $r \leqq p-2$.
(ii) $r=p-1$ and $s \not \equiv-1 \bmod p$.
(iii) $r=p-1, p$ and $t \equiv 0 \bmod p$.

The next cases we have to investigate are (iv) $r=p-1, s \equiv-1 \bmod p$ and $t \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$; and (v) $r=p$ and $t \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. For the case (iv), we obtain a weak
result that the products are trivial in the $E_{2}$-term Ext ${ }^{4}$ (§6). In contrast with these cases, the products are shown to be nontrivial for the case (v) with a minor restriction of $s$, by investigating their images in the cohomology of the Morava stabilizer algebra, in a similar method as in [17].

Theorem B. Let $p$ be a prime $\geqq 5$. If $s \neq 0,1 \bmod p$ and $t \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$ with $t \geqq 2$, then the elements $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p}$ and $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}$ in $\pi_{*}^{S}$ are nontrivial.

In §2, we prepare some lemmas by using the relations in the track groups $[M, M]_{*}$ and $[V(1), V(1)]_{*}$, where $M$ is the $\bmod p$ Moore spectrum and $V(1)$ is the spectrum constructed in [18], and we prove Theorem A in §3. We give in $\S 4$ the representation of the $\beta$-elements in the $E_{2}$-term of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, and prove Theorem B in $\S 5$ by computing the restriction of them in the cohomology of the Morava stabilizer algebra. Finally in §6, we give some relations concerning the products of two $\beta$-elements in the $E_{2}$-term of the spectral sequence.

The authors would like to thank Professor W. S. Wilson who advices them the method to show Theorem B, and Professor M. Sugawara for his suggestion of combination of the authors and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

## § 2. The $\beta$-elements and some lemmas

Throughout this paper, let $p$ be a prime $\geqq 5$ and $q=2(p-1)$.
Let $S$ be the sphere spectrum, and define the $\bmod p$ Moore spectrum $M$ and the spectra $X(r)$ for $r \geqq 1(X(1)=V(1)$ in [18]) by the cofiber sequences

$$
\begin{gather*}
S \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma S \text {, where } p \text { is the map of degree } p,  \tag{2.1}\\
\Sigma^{r q} M \xrightarrow{\alpha^{r}} M \xrightarrow{i_{r}} X(r) \xrightarrow{\pi_{r}} \Sigma^{r q+1} M \quad(q=2(p-1)), \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha: \Sigma^{q} M \rightarrow M$ is the map with $\pi \alpha i=\alpha_{1}$, the element of Hopf invariant 1 , (cf. [9]). Then we have the maps

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma^{q} X(r) \xrightarrow{A} X(r+1) \xrightarrow{B} X(r) \quad(r \geqq 1) \quad \text { with }  \tag{2.3}\\
& A i_{r}=i_{r+1} \alpha, \quad \pi_{r}=\pi_{r+1} A, \quad i_{r}=B i_{r+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{r} B=\alpha \pi_{r+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, consider the maps

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta(=\bar{\psi} \text { in }[18]): \Sigma^{(p+1) q} X(1) \longrightarrow X(1), \\
& R(r): \Sigma^{p(p+1) q} X(r) \longrightarrow X(r) \quad(1 \leqq r<p) \quad \text { with } \quad R(1)=\beta^{p},  \tag{2.4}\\
& R(p)^{(s)}: \Sigma^{s p(p+1) q} X(p) \longrightarrow X(p) \quad(s \geqq 2),
\end{align*}
$$

given in [21], [9], [11], respectively. Then the $\beta$-elements in the stable homotopy $\pi_{*}^{S}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\beta_{s}=\pi \pi_{1} \beta^{s} i_{1} i \quad(s \geqq 1), \quad \beta_{s p / r}=\pi \pi_{r} R(r)\right)^{s} i_{r} i \quad(1 \leqq r<p, s \geqq 1),  \tag{2.5}\\
& \beta_{s p / p}=\pi \pi_{p} R(p)^{(s)} i_{p} i \quad(s \geqq 2)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{s p / 1}=\beta_{s p}$. We notice that these elements are denoted by $\psi_{s}$ in [18], $\rho_{s, p-r}$ in [9], $\rho_{s, 0}$ in [11], respectively.

To study these elements, we use the following elements:
(2.7)([21])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta=i \pi, \quad \beta_{(s)}=\pi_{1} \beta^{s} i_{1} \quad(s \geqq 1),  \tag{2.6}\\
& \beta_{(s p / r)}=\pi_{r} R(r)^{s} i_{r} \quad(1 \leqq r<p, s \geqq 1) \quad \text { with } \quad \beta_{(s p / 1)}=\beta_{(s p)}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \beta_{(s p / p)}=\pi_{p} R(p)^{(s)} i_{p} \quad(s \geqq 2) \quad \text { in } \quad[M, M]_{*} ; \\
& \alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}, \quad \beta^{\prime}=\beta_{1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \alpha^{\prime \prime} \text { with } \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}=\alpha^{\prime} i_{1} \delta \text { in }[X(1), X(1)]_{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we see immediately that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{(t p / r)}=\beta_{(t p / p-1)^{\alpha^{p-1-r}}} \quad(t \geqq 1,1 \leqq r<p),  \tag{2.8}\\
& \beta_{(t p / r)}=\beta_{(t p / p)} \alpha^{p-r} \quad(t \geqq 2,1 \leqq r \leqq p),
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.3) and the relations
(2.9)([9; Th. C], [11; Th. CII]) $\quad A R(r)=R(r+1) A, B R(r+1)=R(r) B$

$$
(1 \leqq r<p-1), \quad A R(p-1)^{t}=R(p)^{(t)} A \quad(t \geqq 2) .
$$

Lemma 2.10. For integers $r \geqq 0$ and $s \geqq 1$, consider the elements

$$
B(r, s)=\left(\beta_{(1)} \delta\right)^{r} \beta_{(s)}, \quad C(r, s)=\alpha \delta B(r, s) \quad \text { in } \quad[M, M]_{*} .
$$

Then
(i) $B(r, s)=\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime} \beta^{s} i_{1}$ if $s \not \equiv-1 \bmod p . \quad$ (ii) $C(r, s)=-\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{s} i_{1}$.
(iii) $\delta C(r, s)=-\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime r} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{s} i_{1}$. (iv) $C(r, s) \delta=-\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime} \beta^{s} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}$.

Proof. The following relations are given in [21; Cor. 2.5, Lemma 3.1, (3.8), (3.9), (3.11), Th. 5.1, and (5.6)]:
(2.11) $\quad\left(\beta_{(1)} \delta+\delta \beta_{(1)}\right) \pi_{1}=\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime}, \quad i_{1} \delta \alpha \delta=-\alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}, \quad \alpha \delta \beta_{(s)}=\beta_{(s)} \delta \alpha \quad(s \geqq 1)$, $\alpha \delta \pi_{1}=-\pi_{1} \alpha^{\prime}, \quad \delta \alpha \delta \pi_{1}=-\pi_{1} \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \quad \delta^{2}=0 ; \quad \beta_{(r)} \beta_{(s)}=0$ if $r+s \not \equiv 0 \bmod p ;$ and $\beta^{\prime} \xi=\xi \beta^{\prime}$ for any $\xi \in[X(1), X(1)]_{*}$.

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\beta_{(1)} \delta\right)^{r} \beta_{(s)}=\left(\beta_{(1)} \delta+\delta \beta_{(1)}\right)^{r} \pi_{1} \beta^{s} i_{1}=\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime r} \beta^{s} i_{1} \quad \text { if } \quad 1+s \not \equiv 0 \bmod p, \quad \text { and } \\
& \alpha \delta\left(\beta_{(1)} \delta\right)^{r} \beta_{(s)}=\alpha \delta\left(\beta_{(1)} \delta+\delta \beta_{(1)}\right)^{r} \pi_{1} \beta^{s} i_{1}=\alpha \delta \pi_{1} \beta^{\prime r} \beta^{s} i_{1}=-\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime r} \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{s} i_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (i) and (ii) are proved. (iii) and (iv) follow from (ii) and (2.11). q.e.d.
Let $A_{*}$ be the subring of $[M, M]_{*}$ generated by $\delta$ and $\alpha$, and $I_{*}$ be the two sided ideal of $[M, M]_{*}$ generated by all indecomposable elements other than $\delta$ and $\alpha$. Then by the structure of $A_{*}$ which is given in [22; Th. III] (cf. [8; Th. 4.1]), we see that $[M, M]_{*}=A_{*} \oplus I_{*}$ and $\alpha_{*}: A_{*} \rightarrow A_{*+q}$ is an isomorphism in nonnegative dimensions. Hence we obtain the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow I_{n-q} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}} I_{n} \xrightarrow{\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}}[M, X(1)]_{n} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}} I_{n-q-1} \longrightarrow \cdots(n \geqq q+1) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the exact sequence $\quad \cdots \rightarrow[M, M]_{n-q} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}}[M, M]_{n} \xrightarrow{\left(i_{1}\right) *}[M, X(1)]_{n}$ $\xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{1}\right)}[M, M]_{n-q-1} \rightarrow \cdots$ associated to the cofiber sequence (2.2). The structures of $I_{n}$ are given by [8; Th. 0.1$]$ for $n<\left(p^{2}+3 p+1\right) q-6$. In particular, we have the following (2.14), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left(p^{2}+p+2\right) q-2, l=\left(p^{2}+2 p\right) q-2 \text { (we use these notations in } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$ the rest of this section).

Put $C_{i}=C(p-i, i+1)(i=1,2,3)$ and $B_{i}=B(p-i, 3)(i=1,2)$. Then
$I_{k-q-1}=\left\{\delta C_{1}, C_{1} \delta\right\}, I_{k-q}=\left\{C_{1}\right\}, I_{k-q+1}=\left\{\delta B_{2} \delta\right\}, I_{k-q+2}=\left\{\delta B_{2}, B_{2} \delta\right\} ;$
$I_{k}=\left\{\delta C_{2} \delta\right\}, I_{k+1}=\left\{\delta C_{2}, C_{2} \delta\right\}, I_{k+2}=\left\{C_{2}\right\} ; \quad I_{k+q+1}=0, I_{k+q+2}=\left\{\delta C_{3} \delta\right\} ;$
$I_{l-q}=0 ; \quad I_{l}=\left\{\delta \beta_{(p+1)}, \beta_{(p+1)} \delta\right\}, I_{l+1}=\left\{\beta_{(p+1)}\right\} ;$
$I_{l+q}=\left\{\alpha \delta \beta_{(p+1)}, \delta B_{1}, B_{1} \delta\right\}, I_{l+q+1}=\left\{B_{1}\right\}$.
The images of the elements in Lemma 2.10 by $\alpha_{*}$ in (2.12) are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*} C(r, s)=0, \quad \alpha_{*} \delta C(r, s)=0, \quad \alpha_{*}(\delta B(r, s))=C(r, s) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows immediately from the definitions and the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} \delta=(2 \alpha \delta-\delta \alpha) \alpha, \quad \alpha \beta_{(s)}=0 \quad(s \geqq 1) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have the following
Lemma 2.17. The homotopy group $[M, X(1)]_{n}$ is the $F_{p}$-vector space generated by
(i) $\quad \beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{2} i_{1}, \quad \beta^{\prime p-1} \beta^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}, \quad \beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{0} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1} \quad$ at $n=k$,
(ii) $\beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{2} i_{1}, \quad \beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{3} i_{1} \quad$ at $n=k+1$,
(iii) no base
(iv) $\beta^{\prime p-2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{3} i_{1} \delta$
(v) $\beta^{\prime p-2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{3} i_{1}, \quad \beta^{\prime p-2} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}, \quad \beta^{\prime p-3} \delta_{0} \beta^{4} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}$
(vi) $\beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} i_{1}, \quad \beta^{p+1} i_{1} \delta$
at $n=k+2$,
at $n=k+q+1$, at $n=k+q+2$,
at $n=k+(p-1) q+1$,
where $\delta_{0}=i_{1} \delta \pi_{1}, \delta_{1}=i_{1} \pi_{1}$ and $k=\left(p^{2}+p+2\right) q-2$ is the integer in (2.13).
Proof. Consider the sequence (2.12) for $n=k$ :

$$
[M, X(1)]_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}} I_{k-q} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}} I_{k} \xrightarrow{\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}}[M, X(1)]_{k} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}} I_{k-q-1} .
$$

By (2.14) and Lemma 2.10, $I_{k-q-1}=\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left\{\beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{2} i_{1}, \beta^{\prime p-1} \beta^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}\right\}$ and $I_{k-q}=$ $\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left\{\beta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{s} i_{1}\right\}$. Thus both $\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}$ 's in the above sequence are epimorphic and hence $\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}$ is monomorphic. Further $I_{k}=\left\{\delta \pi_{1} \beta^{\prime p-2} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}\right\}$ by (2.14) and Lemma 2.10, and $\left(\mathrm{i}_{1}\right)_{*}\left(\delta \pi_{1} \beta^{\prime p-2} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}\right)=\beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{0} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1}$ by the relation $\delta_{0} \beta^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime} \delta_{0}$ in (2.11) for $\xi=\delta_{0}$. Therefore (i) follows from the above sequence.
(ii)-(vi) follow similarly from (2.12), (2.14), (2.15) and Lemma 2.10. q.e.d.

We consider the exact sequence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cdots \longrightarrow[M, X(1)]_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\left(\alpha^{r}\right)^{*}}[M, X(1)]_{n+1+r q} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{r}\right)^{*}}  \tag{2.18}\\
{[X(r), X(1)]_{n} \xrightarrow{\left(i_{r}\right)^{*}}[M, X(1)]_{n} \longrightarrow \cdots}
\end{array}
$$

associated to the cofiber sequence (2.2).
Lemma 2.19. $[X(p-1), X(1)]_{k}$ is the $F_{p}$-vector space generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1}=\beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{2} B^{p-2}, \xi_{2}=\beta^{\prime p-1} \beta^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} B^{p-2}, \xi_{3}=\beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{0} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} B^{p-2}, \\
& \xi_{4}=\beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} i_{1} \pi_{p-1}, \xi_{5}=\beta^{p+1} i_{1} \delta \pi_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence (2.18) for $r=p-1$ and $n=k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[M, X(1)]_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\left(\alpha^{p-1}\right)^{*}} } & {[M, X(1)]_{k+(p-1) q+1} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{p-1}\right)^{*}} } \\
& {[X(p-1), X(1)]_{k} \xrightarrow{\left(i_{p-1}\right)^{*}}[M, X(1)]_{k} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.17(i) and $i_{r}=B i_{r+1}$ in (2.3), $[M, X(1)]_{k}=\left(i_{p-1}\right)^{*}\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}$. Furthermore $\left(\alpha^{p-1}\right)^{*}=0$ by Lemma 2.17(ii) and $i_{1} \alpha=0$ in (2.2). Therefore $\left(\pi_{p-1}\right)^{*}$ $[M, X(1)]_{k+(p-1) q+1}=\left\{\xi_{4}, \xi_{5}\right\}$ by Lemma 2.17(vi). Thus the lemma holds. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.20. The elements

$$
\beta^{p+1} \delta_{0}=\beta \delta_{0} \beta^{p} \text { and } \beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \delta_{1} \text { in }[X(1), X(1)]_{l} \quad\left(l=\left(p^{2}+2 p\right) q-2\right)
$$

are nontrivial. Furthermore these are linearly independent.
Proof. We notice that the homomorphisms

$$
I_{l+q+1} \xrightarrow{\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}}[M, X(1)]_{l+q+1} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{*}}[X(1), X(1)]_{l}
$$

are monomorphic. In fact, $\alpha_{*}=0: I_{l+1} \rightarrow I_{l+q+1}$ in (2.12) for $n=l+q+1$ by (2.14) and (2.16), and hence $\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}$ is monomorphic. $\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}: I_{l+1} \rightarrow[M, X(1)]_{l+1}$ in (2.12) for $n=l+1$ is epimorphic by (2.14). Thus $\alpha^{*}=0:[M, X(1)]_{l+1} \rightarrow$ $[M, X(1)]_{l+q+1}$ in (2.18) for $r=1$ and $n=l$ by (2.14) and $\beta_{(p+1)} \alpha=0$, and hence $\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{*}$ is monomorphic.

Since $\beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \delta_{1}=\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{*}\left(i_{1}\right)_{*}(B(p-1,3))$ by Lemma 2.10 and (2.11), it is nontirivial by the above notice and (2.14).

Next consider the exact sequence

$$
I_{l-q} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{*}} I_{l} \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{*}}[X(1), M]_{l-q-1}
$$

which is obtained in the same way as (2.12) by using the isomorphism $\alpha^{*}: A_{*} \rightarrow$ $A_{*+q}$ instead of $\alpha_{*}$. Then (2.14) implies that $\pi_{1} \beta^{p+1} \delta_{0}=\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{*}\left(\beta_{(p+1)} \delta\right) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\pi_{1} \beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \delta_{1}=0$ by (2.11) and (2.2). Thus $\beta^{p+1} \delta_{0}$ and $\beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \delta_{1}$ are linearly independent. The relation $\beta^{p+1} \delta_{0}=\beta \delta_{0} \beta^{p}$ follows from [21; Prop. 4.7(iii)].
q.e.d.

Remark. We can show that $[X(1), X(1)]_{l}=\left\{\beta^{p+1} \delta_{0}, \beta^{p} \delta_{0} \beta, \beta^{p-1} \delta_{0} \beta^{2}\right.$, $\left.\beta^{\prime} \beta^{p}, \beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \delta_{1}\right\}$ by more computations. But we do not use here this stronger form.

Lemma 2.21. Put $\xi=\beta i_{1} \delta \pi_{p-1} R(p-1) \in[X(p-1), X(1)]_{k}$. Then

$$
\xi=\xi_{1}+x \xi_{3}+\xi_{5} \quad \text { for some } \quad x \in F_{p} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.19, we may put $\xi=\sum_{n=1}^{5} x_{n} \xi_{n}\left(x_{n} \in F_{p}\right)$. We recall the relation
(2.22)([8; Prop. 6.9]) $\quad \beta_{(1)} \delta \varepsilon=-\delta C(p-1,2), \quad$ where $\quad \varepsilon=\beta_{(p / p-1)}([9])$.

Then $\pi_{1} \xi i_{p-1}=-\delta C(p-1,2) \in I_{k-q-1}$. On the other hand, $\pi_{1} \xi_{1} i_{p-1}=$ $-\delta C(p-1,2)$ and $\pi_{1} \xi_{2} i_{p-1}=-C(p-1,2) \delta$ by Lemma 2.10. Also we see that $\pi_{1} \xi_{n} i_{p-1}=0(n=3,4,5)$ by the relations $\pi_{1} \delta_{0}=\pi_{p-1} i_{p-1}=0$ in (2.2). Therefore $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=0$ by (2.14).

Now $\xi A^{p-2}=\beta \delta_{0} \beta^{p}$ by (2.9) and (2.3). On the other hand, $\xi_{n} A^{p-2}=0$ $(n=1,2,3)$ since $B^{p-2} A^{p-2}=0$ by [9; Lemma 1.5]. Furthermore, $\xi_{4} A^{p-2}=$ $\beta^{\prime p-1} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \delta_{1}$ and $\xi_{5} A^{p-2}=\beta^{p+1} \delta_{0}$ by (2.3). Thus we have $x_{4}=0$ and $x_{5}=1$ by Lemma 2.20.
q.e.d.

We see easily the following lemma by using Lemma 2.17 , the exact sequence (2.18) for $r=1$ and $n=k$ or $k+1$, and the relation $i_{1} \alpha=0$ in (2.2).

Lemma 2.23. $[X(1), X(1)]_{k}=\left\{\lambda_{1}=\beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{2}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\beta^{\prime p-1} \beta^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right.$,

$$
\left.\lambda_{3}=\beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{0} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \quad \lambda_{4}=\beta^{\prime p-2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{3} \delta_{0}\right\}
$$

$[X(1), X(1)]_{k+1}=\left\{\mu_{1}=\beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{3}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(i_{1}\right)^{*}$
$\operatorname{Ker}\left(i_{1}\right)^{*}=\left\{\mu_{3}=\beta^{\prime p-2} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{3} \delta_{1}, \quad \mu_{4}=\beta^{\prime p-2} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \delta_{1}, \quad \mu_{5}=\beta^{\prime p-3} \delta_{0} \beta^{4} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \delta_{1}\right\}$.
Lemma 2.24. $\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right) \beta=-2 \beta^{\prime p-1}\left(\beta \alpha^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta\right) \beta$ in $[X(1), X(1)]_{k}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.23, we put $\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right) \beta=\sum_{n=1}^{4} y_{n} \lambda_{n}$. By noting that $\beta_{p / p-1}=\varepsilon_{1}$ (cf. [8; (5.17)]), we have
(2.25)([8; (6.2)', (3.3), Prop. 6.9]) $\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{M}=\varepsilon \delta+\delta \varepsilon$,

$$
\varepsilon \delta \beta_{(1)}=C(p-1,2) \delta, \quad \varepsilon \beta_{(s)}=-C(p-1, s+1) \quad(s \geqq 1) .
$$

Further we see that $\pi_{1}\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right)=\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \pi_{1}$ by [21; Th. 2.4, Cor. 2.5]. Thus

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right) \beta i_{1}=C(p-1,2) \delta-\delta C(p-1,2)
$$

On the other hand, $\pi_{1} \lambda_{1} i_{1}=-\delta C(p-1,2)$ and $\pi_{1} \lambda_{2} i_{1}=-C(p-1,2) \delta$ by Lemma 2.10, and $\pi_{1} \lambda_{3} i_{1}=\pi_{1} \lambda_{4} i_{1}=0$ by (2.2). Therefore $y_{1}=1$ and $y_{2}=-1$, i.e. $\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right) \beta=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+y_{3} \lambda_{3}+y_{4} \lambda_{4}$.

To study $y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$, recall the homomorphism $\theta:[X, Y]_{n} \rightarrow[X, Y]_{n+1}$ defined in [21] and the following

$$
(2.26)\left([21 ; \text { Th. 4.1, Th. 2.2] }) \quad \theta\left(\delta_{0}\right)=-\delta_{1}, \theta\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}, \theta\left(1_{X(1)}\right)=\theta(\beta)=\theta\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=0 ;\right.
$$

$$
\theta\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\theta(\gamma) \gamma^{\prime}+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} \gamma} \gamma \theta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \quad \theta\left(\gamma \wedge \gamma^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} \gamma} \gamma \wedge \theta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \text { for any } \gamma \text { and } \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

Also recall
(2.27)([21; (3.9), (4.3), (4.4)]) $\quad \beta \alpha^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \beta, \alpha^{\prime} \delta_{0}=\alpha^{\prime \prime} \delta_{1}, \delta_{0} \alpha^{\prime}=\delta_{1} \alpha^{\prime \prime}$.

Then $\theta\left(\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right) \beta\right)=0$ by (2.26). By (2.26), (2.27) and the definitions of $\lambda_{n}$ and $\mu_{n}$, we have $\theta\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)=0, \theta\left(\lambda_{3}\right)=\mu_{2}-\beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ and $\theta\left(\lambda_{4}\right)=-\mu_{3}+\mu_{4}$. Here $\left(i_{1}\right)^{*}\left(\beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{1} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ by (2.11) and (2.2). Thus $\theta\left(\lambda_{3}\right)$ and $\theta\left(\lambda_{4}\right)$ are linearly independent by Lemma 2.23, and we have $y_{3}=y_{4}=0$.

By [21; Th. 4.3], $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{2}-\beta^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}=-2\left(\beta \alpha^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta\right) \beta$. Therefore $\left(\beta_{p / p-1} \wedge 1_{X(1)}\right) \beta$ $=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=-2 \beta^{\prime p-1}\left(\beta \alpha^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta\right) \beta$. q.e.d.

## §3. On the triviality

In this section we prove Theorem A by the following Propositions 3.1, 3.4 and 3.9 .

Proposition 3.1. $\quad \beta_{s} \beta_{t p / r}=0$ for $s \geqq 1, t \geqq 1$ and $1 \leqq r \leqq p-2$.
Proof. Assume that $t \geqq 1$ (resp. $t \geqq 2$ ) if $1 \leqq r \leqq p-3$ (resp. $r=p-2$ ), and put $b=\beta_{(t p / p-1)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\beta_{(t p / p)}\right)$ and $u=p-1-r($ resp. $p-r)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / r}=\beta_{t p / r} \beta_{s}=\pi b \alpha^{u} \delta \beta_{(s)} i(\text { by (2.6) and (2.8)) }=0(\text { by }(2.16)) \text {. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To study the product $\beta_{s} \beta_{p / p-2}$, we recall the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \delta \beta_{(s)}=-\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \beta_{(s)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is shown by using [21; Th. 2.4, (3.8)] and (2.16). Now $\beta_{s} \beta_{p / p-2}=$ $\pi \beta_{(p / p-1)} \alpha \delta \beta_{(s)} i$ by (2.6) and (2.8). Thus, by (3.3), (2.25), Lemma 2.10 and $\alpha_{1}^{2}=0$, we see that $\beta_{s} \beta_{p / p-2}=\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}^{p-1} \beta_{s+1}=0$.

Proposition 3.4. For positive integers $s$ and $t$,

$$
\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } s \not \equiv-1 \bmod p \text { or } t \equiv 0 \bmod p \\ t \beta_{s+(t-1) p} \beta_{p / p-1} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Notice that $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}=\pi \pi_{1} \beta^{s-1} \xi R(p-1)^{t-1} i_{p-1} i$ where $\xi$ is the element in Lemma 2.21. Put $\kappa_{n}=\pi \pi_{1} \beta^{s-1} \xi_{n} R(p-1)^{t-1} i_{p-1} i$. Then $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}=$ $\kappa_{1}+x \kappa_{3}+\kappa_{5}$ by Lemma 2.21.

Now we have the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p}=\beta^{(t-1) p} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using [21; Prop. 4.7(ii)], and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime} i_{1} i=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi \pi_{1} \alpha^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2.11) and (2.1). Hence $\kappa_{3}=\pi \pi_{1} \beta^{s-1} \beta^{\prime p-2} \delta_{0} \beta^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p} i_{1} i$ (by (2.3) and $B R(r+1)=R(r) B$ in (2.9)) $=0$ (by (3.5) and (3.6)). By definition, $\kappa_{5}=\beta_{s+p} \beta_{(t-1) p / p}$ where $\beta_{0 / p-1}=0$ when $t=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}=\kappa_{1}+\beta_{s+p} \beta_{(t-1) p / p-1} \quad \text { with } \quad \beta_{0 / p-1}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To study $\kappa_{1}$ in case $s \not \equiv-1 \bmod p$, we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s+1) \beta^{s-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p+2}=(s-1) \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p+s+1}+2 \beta^{(t-1) p+s+1} \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is shown by using [21; Prop. 4.7(ii)]. By (2.3) and (2.9), we have $\kappa_{1}=\pi \pi_{1} \beta^{s-1} \beta^{\prime p-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p+2} i_{1} i$, which is 0 by (3.8) and (3.6). Thus $\kappa_{1}=0$ in (3.7) and we have $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}=0$ by the repeated use of (3.7).

By Lemma 2.24 and (3.6), we see that $\beta_{p / p-1} \beta_{n}=-2 \pi \pi_{1} \beta^{\prime p-1} \beta \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{n} i_{1} i$ for any positive integer $n$. Furthermore $\pi \pi_{1} \beta^{s-1} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p+2}=(s-1) \pi \pi_{1} \beta \alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{(t-1) p+s}$ by $\left[21\right.$; Prop. 4.7 (ii)] and (3.6). Therefore we obtain $\kappa_{1}=-((s-1) / 2) \beta_{p / p-1}$. $\beta_{(t-1) p+s}$. Thus by (3.7),

$$
\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}=\beta_{(t-1) p+s} \beta_{p / p-1}+\beta_{s+p} \beta_{(t-1) p / p-1} \text { when } s \equiv-1 \bmod p .
$$

By the repeated use of this equality, we see the equality

$$
\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p-1}=t \beta_{s+(t-1) p} \beta_{p / p-1} \quad \text { when } \quad s \equiv-1 \bmod p,
$$

which is zero if $t \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
q.e.d.

Before proving Theorem A in case $r=p$ and $t \equiv 0 \bmod p$, we have to remark the definition of the homotopy element $\beta_{t p^{2} / p}$. In general, there would be various $\beta$-elements in $\pi_{*}^{S}$ which correspond with a given $\beta$-element in $\operatorname{Ext}^{2}$ of the same name, and, precisely speaking, Theorem A should be stated with appropriate choice of the $\beta$-elements in $\pi_{*}^{S}$ although the definition (2.5) would be canonical in the sense that it determines the elements uniquely in case $r \leqq p-1$ [ 9 ; Remark on p. 105]. To have Theorem A in case $r=p$ and $t \equiv 0 \bmod p$, however, we have to adopt other definitions of $\beta_{t p^{2} / p}$ already known. The element $\beta_{t p^{2} / p}$ may be defined from the element $R^{\prime}(p)$ in $\left[9 ; \mathrm{Th} . \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right]$ in a similar way to (2.5), and this element might be different from the one defined in (2.5). Unfortunately we could not make a discussion on their difference as in [9; Remark on p. 105], because it needs precise information on the stable homotopy of spheres beyond the known limit of computation. In case $t \geqq 2$, there would be one more definition of $\beta_{t p^{2} / p}$, that is, $\beta_{t p^{2} / p}=p \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}$, where $\beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}$ is the element in [12]. This might be different from the one in (2.5) as well. Then we have

Proposition 3.9. For the element $\beta_{t p^{2} / p}$ defined in either way of above, $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p}=0$ for $s \geqq 1$ and $t \geqq 1(t \geqq 2$ in the latter definition $)$.

Proof. In case of the first new definition, the proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.1, by taking $b=\rho^{\prime}(t p)$ and $u=p-2$ in (3.2). In case of the second new definition, it is obvious because $p \beta_{s}=0$.
q.e.d.

## $\S$ 4. The $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-elements in the $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$-term of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence

Let $B P$ be the Brown-Peterson spectrum at a prime $p \geqq 5$. Then $B P_{*}=$ $\boldsymbol{Z}_{(p)}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots\right]$ and $B P_{*} B P=B P_{*}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots\right]$, where $\operatorname{deg} v_{i}=\operatorname{deg} t_{i}=2\left(p^{i}-1\right)$ for
$i \geqq 1$. The $E_{2}$-term of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence converging to the stable homotopy $\pi_{*}^{S}$ is the cohomology $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)$ of the Hopf algebroid ( $B P_{*}, B P_{*} B P$ ), (cf. [1], [2], [4], [7], [13]).

Now we recall the definition of the $\beta$-elements in this $E_{2}$-term given in [5; §2]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{s p^{n} / r, i+1}=\delta \delta^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{s} / p^{i+1} v_{1}^{r}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{2, *}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \beta_{s p^{n} / r}=\beta_{s p^{n} / r, 1}, \quad \beta_{s p^{n}}=\beta_{s p^{n} / 1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \geqq 0, s \geqq 1, r \geqq 1$ and $i \geqq 0$ are integers with

$$
n \geqq i, p \nmid s, r \leqq p^{n} \text { if } s=1, \text { and } p^{i} \mid r \leqq a_{n-i}\left(a_{0}=1, a_{k}=p^{k}+p^{k-1}-1(k \geqq 1)\right) .
$$

Let $x_{i} \in v_{2}^{-1} B P_{*}(i \geqq 0)$ be the elements defined in [5; (2.4)]. Then

$$
x_{n}^{s} / p^{i+1} v_{1}^{r} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{0, *}\left(A, A /\left(p^{\infty}, v_{1}^{\infty}\right)\right) \quad\left(A=B P_{*}, \Gamma=B P_{*} B P\right)
$$

and we obtain the elements in (4.1) by the boundary homomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{0, *}\left(A, A /\left(p^{\infty}, v_{1}^{\infty}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}, *\left(A, A /\left(p^{\infty}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{2}, *(A, A) .
$$

For the $B P$-homology of the spectra $M$ in (2.1) and $X(r)$ in (2.2), we see the following by definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B P_{*}(M)=B P_{*} /(p), \quad B P_{*}(X(r))=B P_{*} /\left(p, v_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& \quad \alpha_{*}=v_{1}, \quad \beta_{*}=v_{2}, \quad R(r)_{*}=v_{2}^{p}, \quad\left(R(p)^{(s)}\right)_{*}=v_{2}^{s p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, R(r)$ and $R(p)^{(s)}$ are the maps in (2.4). Therefore, by using the Geometric Boundary Theorem [3], we see the following
(4.2) The elements $\beta_{s}(s \geqq 1)$ and $\beta_{s p / r}(s \geqq 1$ for $1 \leqq r<p$, and $s \geqq 2$ for $r=p)$ in (4.1) converge to the elements $\beta_{s}$ and $\beta_{\text {sp/r }}$ in (2.5), respectively, (cf. [5; §2]).

## Furthermore,

(4.3) The elements $\beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}(t \geqq 2)$ converge to the elements $\beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}$ given in [12; Def. 5.1].

The $E_{2}$-term $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P_{*} B P}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)$ is the homology of the cobar complex ( $\left.\Omega^{*} B P_{*}, d\right)$ (cf. [4]). We can represent the elements of (4.1) in the cobar complex by the following

Lemma 4.4. The elements of (4.1) can be expressed in the cobar complex $\Omega^{2} B P_{*}=B P_{*} B P \otimes_{B P_{*}} B P_{*} B P$ as follows:
(i) $\beta_{s p^{2} / p, 2}=-s v_{2}^{s p^{2}-2} t_{2} \otimes t_{1}+s v_{2}^{s p^{2}-2} t_{1} \otimes\left(t_{2}-t_{1}^{p+1}\right)-s v_{2}^{s p^{2}-1} t_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}+\cdots$
(ii) $\quad \beta_{s p^{k} / a_{k}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}s(s-1) v_{2}^{s-2} t_{2} \otimes t_{1}^{p}+\binom{s}{2} v_{2}^{s-2} t_{1} \otimes t_{1}^{2 p} & \\ -\sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{s}{p}\binom{p}{l} v_{2}^{s-1} t_{1}^{l} \otimes t_{1}^{p-l}+\cdots & (k=0), \\ -s v_{2}^{s p-2} t_{2} \otimes t_{1}+s v_{2}^{s p-2} t_{1} \otimes\left(t_{2}-t_{1}^{p+1}\right) & \\ -s v_{2}^{(s p-1) p^{k-1} t_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}+\cdots} & -s v_{2}^{s p-1} t_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}+\cdots \\ (k=1), \\ & (k \geqq 2) .\end{array}\right.$
(iii) $\quad \beta_{s p^{k} / a_{k}-1}= \begin{cases}s v_{2}^{s p-1} t_{1} \otimes t_{1}+\cdots & (k=1), \\ 2 s v_{2}^{\left(s p^{-1}\right) p^{k-1}} t_{1} \otimes t_{1}+\cdots & (k \geqq 2) .\end{cases}$
(iv) The other $\beta$-elements belong to $\left(p, v_{1}\right) \Omega^{2} B P_{*}$.

Here $\zeta_{2}=v_{2}^{-1} t_{2}+v_{2}^{-p}\left(t_{2}^{p}-t_{1}^{p+p}\right)-v_{2}^{-p-1} v_{3} t_{1}^{p} \in v_{2}^{-1} B P_{*} B P$, and $\cdots$ denotes an element in $\left(p, v_{1}\right) \Omega^{2} B P_{*}$.

Proof. By the congruences in [5; Lemma 6.8] and the one $\eta_{R} x_{k+i}^{s} \equiv$ $\eta_{R} x_{k}^{s p^{i}} \bmod \left(p^{i+1}, v_{1}^{2+a_{k}}\right)$ in [5; p. 499] ( $\eta_{R}$ is the right unit), and by using the fact that if $d x \equiv y \bmod (p, a)$, then

$$
d x^{s p^{i}} \equiv s p^{i} x^{s p^{i-1}} y \bmod \left(p^{i+1}, p^{i} a, p^{i-1} a^{p}, \ldots, a^{p^{i}}\right)
$$

we have easily the following equality in the cobar complex $\Omega^{1} B P_{*} /\left(p^{\infty}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{\prime}\left(x_{i+k}^{s} / p^{i+1} v_{1}^{p_{m}^{i m}}\right)=d x_{i+k}^{s} / p^{i+1} v_{1}^{p^{i} m}=d x_{k}^{s p^{i} / p^{i+1} v_{1}^{p^{i} m}}  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
s v_{2}^{s-1} t_{1}^{p} / p+\binom{s}{2} v_{2}^{s-2} v_{1} t_{1}^{2 p} / p+v_{1}^{2} X / p & (i=k=0), \\
s v_{2}^{s p^{i+1}-1} v_{1}^{p-p^{i} m}\left(t_{1}+v_{1}\left(v_{2}^{-1}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}^{p+1}\right)-\zeta_{2}\right)\right) / p & \\
+\sum_{l=0}^{i} v_{1}^{p 1(2+p)-p^{i} m} X_{l} / p^{l+1} & (0 \leqq i \leqq k=1), \\
s v_{2}^{\left(s p^{i+1}-1\right) p^{k-1} v_{1}^{a_{k}-p^{i} m}\left(2 t_{1}-v_{1} \varphi_{2}^{k-1}\right) / p} & \\
+\sum_{l=1}^{i} v_{1}^{p l\left(2+a_{k}\right)-p^{i} m} X_{l} / p^{l+1} & (k \leqq 2,0 \leqq i \leqq k),
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 \leqq p^{i} m \leqq a_{k}$ and $X$ and $X_{l}$ are suitable elements in $B P_{*} B P$.
Let $V \in B P_{*}$ and $T \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{(p)}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right]$ be any elements. Then by the definition of the differential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(V T)=\eta_{R}(V) \otimes T-V \Delta T+V T \otimes 1 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega^{2} B P_{*} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta: B P_{*} B P \rightarrow B P_{*} B P \otimes_{B P_{*}} B P_{*} B P$ is the diagonal map. Therefore, for any $l, r \geqq 0$ with $p^{\prime} \mid r$, we see that
(4.7) $\delta\left(v_{1}^{r} V T / p^{l+1}\right) \equiv p^{r-l-1} t_{1}^{r} \eta_{R}(V) \otimes T \bmod \left(p, v_{1}\right) \Omega^{2} B P_{*}+\operatorname{Im} d$ in $\Omega^{2} B P_{*}$,
by using the equality $\eta_{R} v_{1}=v_{1}+p t_{1}$. Further we notice that
(4.8) ([5; Lemma 3.19]) $\quad \zeta_{2}^{p}$ is homologous to $\zeta_{2}$.
(i)-(iii) are obtained by (4.5-8) and the equalities $\eta_{R} v_{1}=v_{1}+p t_{1}, \eta_{R} v_{2} \equiv$ $v_{2}+p t_{2} \bmod \left(p^{2}, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Delta t_{1}=t_{1} \otimes 1+1 \otimes t_{1}$ (cf. [5; §1]), and (iv) follows from (4.5-7).
q.e.d.

## §5. Reduction to the Morava stabilizer algebra

We make $F_{p}$ into a $B P_{*}$-module by sending $v_{i}\left(i \geqq 0, i \neq 2 ; v_{0}=p\right)$ to 0 and $v_{2}$ to 1 , and define $S(2)_{*}=F_{p} \otimes_{B P_{*}} B P_{*} B P \otimes_{B P *} F_{p}$ whose dual is called the Morava stabilizer algebra (cf. [15]).

Consider the reduction map

$$
r:\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*} B P\right) \longrightarrow\left(F_{p}, S(2)_{*}\right)
$$

of Hopf algebroids. Then we have the ring map

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{*}: \operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{*}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{S(2) *}^{*}\left(F_{p}, F_{p}\right), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second ring is given as follows:
(5.2) ([16; Th. 3.2]) For $p \geqq 5, \operatorname{Ext}_{S_{(2) *}^{*}}^{*}\left(F_{p}, F_{p}\right)$ is the tensor product of $E\left(\zeta_{2}\right)$ with the subalgebra with basis $\left\{1, h_{1,0}, h_{1,1}, g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{0} h_{1,1}\right\}$ where $g_{i}=$ $\left\langle h_{1, i}, h_{1, i+1}, h_{1, i}\right\rangle$ (the Massey product); and $h_{1,0} g_{1}=g_{0} h_{1,1}, h_{1,0} g_{0}=h_{1,1} g_{1}=0$, $h_{1,0} h_{1,1}=h_{1,0}^{2}=h_{1,1}^{2}=0$ and $g_{i}^{2}=g_{0} g_{1}=0$.

By the definition of the Massey product, we see the following
(5.3) In the cobar complex for the Hopf algebra $\left(F_{p}, S(2)_{*}\right)$, the generators in (5.2) are expressed as follows: $h_{1,0}=\left\{t_{1}\right\}, h_{1,1}=\left\{t_{1}^{p}\right\}, \zeta_{2}=\left\{t_{2}+t_{2}^{p}-t_{1}^{p+1}\right\}$, $g_{0}=\left\{t_{1} \otimes t_{2}^{p}+t_{2} \otimes t_{1}\right\}$ and $g_{1}=\left\{t_{1}^{p} \otimes t_{2}+t_{2}^{p} \otimes t_{1}^{p}\right\}$.

Lemma 5.4. The images of the $\beta$-elements in (4.1) by the map $r^{*}$ in (5.1) are given as follows:
(i) $r^{*} \beta_{s p^{2} / p, 2}=-s g_{0}$.
(ii) $r^{*} \beta_{s p^{k} / a_{k}}= \begin{cases}\binom{s}{2} \zeta_{2} h_{1,1}-\binom{s+1}{2} g_{1} & (k=0), \\ -s g_{0} & (k=1), \\ s \zeta_{2} h_{1,0} & (k \geqq 2) .\end{cases}$
(iii) $r^{*} \beta_{s p^{k} / r, i+1}=0$ for the other $\beta$-elements in (4.1).

Proof. By Lemma 4.4(i), (ii) and (5.3).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{*} \beta_{s p^{2} / p, 2}=\left\{-s t_{2} \otimes t_{1}+s t_{1} \otimes\left(t_{2}-t_{1}^{p+1}\right)-s t_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}\right\}=-s g_{0}, \\
& r^{*} \beta_{s p^{k} / a_{k}}= \begin{cases}\left\{-s t_{2} \otimes t_{1}+s t_{1} \otimes\left(t_{2}-t_{1}^{p+1}\right)-s t_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}\right\}=-s g_{0} & (k=1) \\
\left\{-s t_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}\right\}=-s h_{1,0} \zeta_{2} & (k \geqq 2)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.4(iii) and (iv),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{*} \beta_{s p^{k} / a_{k}-1}=\left\{s^{\prime} t_{1} \otimes t_{1}\right\}=\left\{d\left(s^{\prime} t_{1}^{2} / 2\right)\right\}=0 \quad\left(s^{\prime}=s \text { if } k=1, \text { and } s^{\prime}=2 s \text { if } k \geqq 2\right), \\
& r^{*} \beta_{s p^{k} / r, i+1}=0 \text { for the elements in (iv). }
\end{aligned}
$$

We turn now to $r^{*} \beta_{s}$. Using the equality in [16; Th. 1.2], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(t_{1}^{p} t_{2}\right)=-t_{1} \otimes t_{1}^{2 p}-2 t_{2} \otimes t_{1}^{p}+\zeta_{2} h_{1,1}-g_{1}  \tag{5.5}\\
& d\left(t_{3}^{p}\right)=-g_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{k} t_{1}^{p-k} \otimes t_{1}^{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

These and Lemma 4.4(ii) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{*} \beta_{s} & =\left\{s(s-1) t_{2} \otimes t_{1}^{p}+\binom{s}{2} t_{1} \otimes t_{1}^{2 p}-\sum_{l=1}^{p=1} \frac{s}{p}\binom{p}{l} t_{1}^{l} \otimes t_{1}^{p-l}\right\} \\
& =\binom{s}{2} \zeta_{2} h_{1,1}-\binom{s+1}{2} g_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

q.e.d.

The next theorem follows immediately from (5.2) and Lemma 5.4.
Theorem 5.6. Let $p$ be a prime $\geqq 5$ and $s, t$ be positive integers. Then the following (i) and (ii) hold in the $E_{2}$-term $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{4}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)$ of the AdamsNovikov spectral sequence:
(i) $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2} \neq 0$ if $s \not \equiv 0,1 \bmod p$ and $t \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
(ii) $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{k} / a_{k}} \neq 0$ if $k \geqq 2, s \neq 0,-1 \bmod p$ and $t \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem B.
Proof of Theorem B. By (4.2), (4.3) and Theorem 5.6(i), $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p / p} \beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}$ for $s, t \geqq 2$ in the $E_{2}$-term are the nontrivial permanent cycles. Furthermore they are not bounded because of the sparseness of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence.
q.e.d.

## §6. Concluding remarks

In the first place, we give more relations in Ext ${ }^{4}$. We notice that the $\beta$ elements in (4.1) can be defined also for $p=3$ and Lemma 4.4 holds.

Proposition 6.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $s, t$ be positive integers. Then the following (i)-(iii) hold in the $E_{2}$-term $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{4}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*}\right)$ of the AdamsNovikov spectral sequence:
(i) $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{k} / r}=0$ for $k \geqq 1$ and $1 \leqq r \leqq a_{k}-1$, and especially $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{\prime} p-1}=0$.
(ii) $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{2} / p, 2}=\beta_{s+t\left(p^{2}-p\right)} \beta_{t p / p}$.
(iii) $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{k} / a_{k}}=\beta_{s+(t p-1)\left(p^{k-1}-p\right)} \beta_{t p^{2} / a_{2}}$

$$
=(t / 2) \beta_{s+(t p-1) p^{k-1}-(2 p-1) p} \beta_{2 p^{2} / a_{2}} \text {, for } t, k \geqq 2 .
$$

Proof. Recall the Greek letter map $\eta$ [5]. Then by Lemma 4.4(iii), $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{k} / a_{k}-1}=s^{\prime} \eta\left(v_{2}^{s+(t p-1) p^{k-1}} t_{1} \otimes t_{1} / p v_{1}\right)$, where $s^{\prime}=s$ if $k=1$ and $s^{\prime}=2 s$ if $k \geqq 2$. On the other hand, $v_{2}^{s+(t p-1) p^{k-1}} t_{1} \otimes t_{1} / p v_{1}=0$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{B P * B P}^{2}\left(B P_{*}, B P_{*} /\left(p^{\infty}, v_{1}^{\infty}\right)\right)$ since this is bounded by $v_{2}^{s+(t p-1) p^{k-1}} t_{1}^{2} / 2 p v_{1}$. Therefore $\beta_{s} \beta_{t p^{k} / a_{k}-1}=0$.

The other relations follow similarly from Lemma 4.4. q.e.d.

Remark. By using Lemma 4.4(ii) for $k=0$, we can also prove Toda's relation ([21; Th. 5.3])

$$
u v \beta_{s} \beta_{t}=s t \beta_{u} \beta_{v} \quad(s+t=u+v) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathrm{Ext}^{4} .
$$

If the $\beta$-elements in the relations of the above proposition exist in $\pi_{*}^{S}$, then the same relations hold in $\pi_{*}^{S}$ modulo $F^{2 p+2}$, where $F^{n}$ is the filtration which defines the spectral sequence. In particular, since $F^{2 p+2}=0$ in dimension $\left(2 p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-2)-4[10]$, we have

Corollary 6.2. $\quad \beta_{p-1} \beta_{p / p-1}=0$ in $\pi_{*}^{S}$ for $p \geqq 5$.
Next we notice the following
Lemma 6.3. $\beta_{2} \beta_{2 p / p}=x\left\{k_{1,0} b_{11} a_{2}\right\}$ for some $x \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, where $p \geqq 5$ and $\left\{k_{1,0} b_{11} a_{2}\right\}$ is the element in $[6 ; p .324,(20)]$.

Proof. By [6; Th. 4.1], we see that the $\left(\left(2 p^{2}+3 p+1\right) q-4\right)$ stem of the stable homotopy $\pi_{*}^{S}$ is generated by one element $\left\{k_{1,0} b_{11} a_{2}\right\} . \quad \beta_{2} \beta_{2 p / p}$ is also nontrivial by Theorem B and belongs to this stem.
q.e.d.

By this lemma we can restate the problem in [6; p. 324] as follows:
Is $\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{2 p / p}$ trivial?
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