

Generalizations of Witt algebras over a field of characteristic zero

Naoki KAWAMOTO

(Received September 12, 1985)

Introduction

In this paper we investigate the structure of generalizations of Witt algebras over a field \mathbb{f} of characteristic zero, and consider a class of infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras over \mathbb{f} . Let I be a non-empty index set and G be an additive subgroup of $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{f}_i^+$, where \mathbb{f}_i^+ ($i \in I$) are copies of the additive group \mathbb{f} . Let $W(G, I)$ be the Lie algebra over \mathbb{f} with basis $\{w(a, i) \mid a \in G, i \in I\}$ and the multiplication

$$[w(a, i), w(b, j)] = a_j w(a + b, i) - b_i w(a + b, j),$$

where $i, j \in I$ and $a = (a_i)_{i \in I}$, $b = (b_i)_{i \in I} \in G$. The Lie algebra $W(G, I)$ is infinite-dimensional if $G \neq 0$.

We note that if the field \mathbb{f} is of characteristic $p > 0$, then $W(G, I)$ is isomorphic to the generalized Witt algebra defined by Kaplansky [3]. It is known that the generalized Witt algebra is simple if G is "total" and \mathbb{f} is of characteristic $p > 2$ [3] (see also Ree [5], Seligman [6], and Wilson [7]). It is also known that $W(G, I)$ is simple if $|I| = 1$, $G \neq 0$, and \mathbb{f} is of characteristic $\neq 2$ [2, p. 206].

The main results of this paper are as follows: If $G \neq 0$, then $W(G, I)$ is a direct sum of the unique maximal ideal R of $W(G, I)$ and a simple subalgebra S of $W(G, I)$, where S is isomorphic to $W(H, J)$ for some H and J (Theorem 3.1). If $G \neq 0$, then the following statements are equivalent: (i) $W(G, I)$ is simple; (ii) $R = 0$; (iii) the center of $W(G, I)$ is 0; (iv) G is "total" (Corollary 3.2). $W(G, I)$ is a finitely generated Lie algebra if and only if I is a finite set and G is a finitely generated group (Theorem 4.1). If $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_i$, then $W(G, I)$ is isomorphic to the derivation algebra of $\mathbb{f}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ (Proposition 4.2).

Part of this research was carried out at the University of Manchester. The author wishes to thank Professor B. Hartley for his encouragement and the members of the Department of Mathematics of the University for their hospitality.

1. Notation and preliminary results

Throughout this paper the ground field \mathbb{f} is of characteristic zero and Lie

algebras over \mathfrak{f} are not necessarily finite-dimensional. Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathfrak{f} . If L has no ideals except 0 and L , and if $L^2 \neq 0$, we call L *simple*. L is *perfect* if $L^2 = L$. If H is a subalgebra of L we write $H \leq L$, and if H is an ideal we write $H \triangleleft L$. Let $H \leq L$. Then $I_L(H)$ and $C_L(H)$ denote the idealizer and the centralizer of H in L , respectively. We write $\zeta(L)$ for the center of L . If S is a subset of L we let $\langle S \rangle$ denote the subalgebra of L generated by S . For n -fold products we use the notation: $[a, {}_0b] = a$, $[a, {}_{n+1}b] = [[a, {}_nb], b]$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $a, b \in L$. For a set A we denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of A . Notation and terminology not mentioned above may be found in [2].

We simply write W instead of $W(G, I)$ if there would be no confusion. Since I is supposed to be non-empty, W has basis elements $w(0, i)$ ($i \in I$), and hence $\dim W \geq 1$. For each $a \in G$ let W_a be the subspace of W spanned by $\{w(a, i) \mid i \in I\}$. Then it is clear that $W = \bigoplus_{a \in G} W_a$ and $[W_a, W_b] \subseteq W_{a+b}$ ($a, b \in G$). Hence W is a G -graded Lie algebra. Let H_a denote $H \cap W_a$ for a subalgebra H of W and $a \in G$.

Let W_0^* be the dual space of W_0 . Then we can identify W_0^* and $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{f}_i^+$ by the group isomorphism $\phi: \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{f}_i^+ \rightarrow W_0^*$ defined by $\phi(a)(w(0, i)) = a_i$ ($i \in I$) for each $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{f}_i^+$. Hence G is a subgroup of W_0^* . Let $a \in G$, $x \in W_0$ and $x = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i w(0, i)$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ for all but a finite number of indices i . Then $a(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i a(w(0, i)) = \sum_i \alpha_i a_i$. If $a \neq 0$, then $a_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in I$, and hence $a(x) = a_i \neq 0$ for $x = w(0, i) \in W_0$.

For each $a \in G$ let $t_a: W \rightarrow W$ be the linear automorphism of W defined by

$$t_a(w(b, i)) = w(a + b, i) \quad (b \in G, i \in I).$$

Then clearly $W_a = t_a(W_0)$ and $W = \bigoplus_{a \in G} t_a(W_0)$. We begin with the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $a, b \in G$ and $x, y \in W_0$. Then*

- (i) $[t_a(x), t_b(y)] = a(y)t_{a+b}(x) - b(x)t_{a+b}(y)$.
- (ii) $[t_a(x), y] = a(y)t_a(x)$.
- (iii) *If $a \neq 0$ then $t_a(x) = a(z)^{-1}[t_a(x), z]$ for some $z \in W_0$.*

PROOF. (i) Let $t_a(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i w(a, i)$, $t_b(y) = \sum_{j \in I} \beta_j w(b, j)$, where α_i and β_j are zero for all but finite sets of $i \in I$ and $j \in I$. Then $[t_a(x), t_b(y)] = \sum_{i, j} \alpha_i \beta_j \cdot [w(a, i), w(b, j)] = (\sum_j \alpha_j \beta_j) (\sum_i \alpha_i w(a + b, i)) - (\sum_i \beta_i \alpha_i) (\sum_j \beta_j w(a + b, j)) = a(y)t_{a+b}(x) - b(x)t_{a+b}(y)$.

(ii) follows from (i) by letting $b = 0$, and (iii) follows from (ii) since $a(z) \neq 0$ for some $z \in W_0$.

It is clear that W_0 is an abelian subalgebra of W . Furthermore, we have the following

LEMMA 1.2. (i) $\zeta(W) \subseteq W_0 = I_W(W_0)$.

(ii) $\zeta(W) = \{x \in W_0 \mid a(x) = 0 \text{ for any } a \in G\}$.

PROOF. (i) Let $x \in I_W(W_0)$. Then $x = \sum_{a \in G} x_a$, where $x_a \in W_a$. By Lemma 1.1 (ii) we have

$$\sum_{a \in G} a(y)x_a = [x, y] \in W_0 \quad (y \in W_0).$$

Hence $a(y)x_a = 0$ if $a \neq 0$. However, if $a \neq 0$ then $a(y) \neq 0$ for some $y \in W_0$, whence $x_a = 0$ for any $a \neq 0$. Thus $x = x_0 \in W_0$ and $I_W(W_0) = W_0$. Clearly $\zeta(W) \subseteq C_W(W_0) \subseteq I_W(W_0) = W_0$.

(ii) Since $\zeta(W) \subseteq W_0$ by (i), it follows immediately from Lemma 1.1 (ii) that $x \in \zeta(W)$ if and only if $x \in W_0$ and $a(x) = 0$ for any $a \in G$.

Note that W_0 is a Cartan subalgebra of W by Lemma 1.2 (i). Let $x \in W_0$. Then $[t_a(y), x] = a(x)t_a(y)$ for any $a \in G$ and $y \in W_0$. Hence $G \setminus \{0\}$ is the set of roots of W relative to W_0 , and $W = W_0 \oplus (\oplus_{a \in G \setminus \{0\}} W_a)$ is a root space decomposition.

LEMMA 1.3. *Let H be an ideal of W . Then $H = W$ if $W_a \subseteq H$ for some $a \in G$.*

PROOF. If $G = 0$, then clearly $W = W_0 = H$. So we assume that $G \neq 0$. If $W_0 \subseteq H$ and b is a non-zero element of G , then there exists $x \in W_0$ such that

$$t_b(y) = b(x)^{-1}[t_b(y), x] \in H \quad (y \in W_0)$$

by Lemma 1.1 (iii). Hence $W_b \subseteq H$ ($0 \neq b \in G$) and $H = W$. If $W_a \subseteq H$ for some $a \neq 0$, then $a(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in W_0$, and hence for any $y \in W_0$

$$y = a(x)^{-1}[t_a(x), t_{-a}(y) - \frac{1}{2}a(y)a(x)^{-1}t_{-a}(x)] \in H$$

since $t_a(x) \in W_a$. Thus $W_0 \subseteq H$ and by the argument above we have $W = H$.

Now we have the following

PROPOSITION 1.4. (i) *W is abelian if and only if $G = 0$.*

(ii) *W is non-abelian and perfect if and only if $G \neq 0$.*

PROOF. If $G = 0$, then clearly $W^2 = 0$. So let $G \neq 0$ and a be a non-zero element of G . Then by Lemma 1.1 (iii) there exists $x \in W_0$ such that

$$t_a(y) = a(x)^{-1}[t_a(y), x] \in W^2 \quad (y \in W_0).$$

Hence $W_a \subseteq W^2$, and so $W = W^2$ by Lemma 1.3. Thus W is non-abelian and perfect. The 'only if' parts are obvious.

COROLLARY 1.5. *$\zeta(W) \neq W_0$ if and only if $G \neq 0$.*

PROOF. If $G=0$ then $W_0 \subseteq \zeta(W) \subseteq W_0$ by the proposition and Lemma 1.2 (i). Thus $\zeta(W)=W_0$. Conversely if $\zeta(W) \supseteq W_0$, then $\zeta(W)=W$ by Lemma 1.3, i.e. W is abelian. Hence $G=0$ by the proposition.

REMARK 1.6. If $|I|=1$ and $G=\mathbf{Z}$, then W is simple and satisfies the maximal condition for subalgebras (see [1], [2], and [4]). But if $|I|>1$, then in general W has non-trivial ideals, and does not satisfy the maximal condition for subalgebras. For example let $I=\{1, 2\}$ and $G=\langle a \rangle \leq \mathfrak{k}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{k}^+$, where $a=(1, 0)$. Then $G \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ and W has a basis $\{w(na, i) \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}, i=1, 2\}$ over \mathfrak{k} . Let H be the subspace of W spanned by $\{w(na, 2) \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Then it is easy to see that H is an infinite-dimensional abelian ideal of W .

2. Ideals of $W(G, I)$

In this section we show that W has a radical. We begin with the following

LEMMA 2.1. *Every ideal of W is G -homogeneous.*

PROOF. Let H be a non-zero ideal of W . Let x be a non-zero element of H and $x = \sum_{a \in G} x_a$, where $x_a \in W_a$. Set $A(x) = \{a \in G \mid x_a \neq 0\}$. Clearly $A(x)$ is a finite set. We show by induction on $|A(x)|$ that $x_a \in H$ for any $a \in A(x)$, and we conclude that $H = \bigoplus_{a \in G} H_a$. If $|A(x)|=1$ the result is obvious. Suppose that $|A(x)|>1$. Let $a, b \in A(x)$ and $a \neq b$. Then there is y in W_0 such that $a(y) \neq b(y)$. Let $n = |A(x)|$ and $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\} = \{a(y) \mid a \in A(x)\}$, where $c_r \neq c_s$ whenever $r \neq s$. For each $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, set

$$A_r(x) = \{a \in A(x) \mid a(y) = c_r\}, \quad x_r = \sum_{a \in A_r(x)} x_a.$$

Then $x = \sum_{r=1}^n x_r \in H$. Since $H \triangleleft W$, we have $\sum_{r=1}^n c_r x_r = [x, y] \in H$. Hence it follows by the second induction on m that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{r=1}^n c_r^m x_r = [x, {}_m y] \in H \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1).$$

Now the coefficients c_r^m make an $n \times n$ matrix (c_r^m) , and $\det(c_r^m)$ is a Vandermonde determinant, which is non-zero. Consequently from $(*)$ we have

$$x_r = \sum_{a \in A_r(x)} x_a \in H \quad (r = 1, \dots, n).$$

Since $|A(x_r)| = |A_r(x)| < n$, we have $x_a \in H$ for $a \in A_r(x)$ by the inductive hypothesis. Therefore $x_a \in H$ for any $a \in A(x)$.

We give a criterion for an ideal of W to be proper.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let H be an ideal of W , and let $G \neq 0$. Then*

(i) *$H \neq 0$ if and only if $H_0 \neq 0$.*

(ii) $H \neq W$ if and only if $H_0 \subseteq \zeta(W)$.

PROOF. (i) Let $H \neq 0$. Then $H_a \neq 0$ for some $a \in G$ by Lemma 2.1. We may assume that $a \neq 0$ since if $a = 0$ it is trivial that $H_0 \neq 0$. Let $x \in H_a$ and $x \neq 0$. Then $x = t_a(y)$ for some $0 \neq y \in W_0$. If $a(y) \neq 0$, then by Lemma 1.1 (i) we have $y = \frac{1}{2}a(y)^{-1}[x, t_{-a}(y)] \in [H_a, L_{-a}] \subseteq H_0$. If $a(y) = 0$, since $a(z) \neq 0$ for some $z \in W_0$, $y = a(z)^{-1}[x, t_{-a}(z)] \in H_0$. In both cases we have $H_0 \neq 0$. The converse is trivial.

(ii) Let $H_0 \subseteq \zeta(W)$. Since $G \neq 0$, $\zeta(W) \subsetneq W_0$ by Corollary 1.5. Hence $H_0 \neq W_0$, and so $H \neq W$. Conversely, assume that $H_0 \not\subseteq \zeta(W)$. Let $x \in H_0 \setminus \zeta(W)$. Then $[t_a(y), x] = a(x)t_a(y) \neq 0$ for some $a \in G$, $t_a(y) \in W_a$, where $0 \neq y \in W_0$. Hence $a(x) \neq 0$, and so $t_a(z) = a(x)^{-1}[t_a(z), x] \in H$ for any $z \in W_0$, i.e. $W_a \subseteq H$. Therefore $H = W$ by Lemma 1.3.

Now we have the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $G \neq 0$. Then there exists a proper ideal R of W which satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $R_0 = \zeta(W)$ and $R_a = t_a(\zeta(W))$ for any $a \in G$.
- (ii) R is abelian.
- (iii) R contains every proper ideal of W .

PROOF. We set $R_a = t_a(\zeta(W))$ for each $a \in G$, and $R = \bigoplus_{a \in G} R_a$. By Corollary 1.5 we have $\zeta(W) \subsetneq W_0$, whence R is a proper subspace of W . Let $u \in R_a$ and $v \in W_b$. Then $u = t_a(x)$, $v = t_b(y)$ for some $x \in \zeta(W)$, $y \in W_0$. Since $b(x) = 0$ by Lemma 1.2 (ii), we have $[u, v] = a(y)t_{a+b}(x)$ by Lemma 1.1 (i). Hence $[u, v] \in R_{a+b}$ by definition of R , and so $R \triangleleft W$. Further, if $v \in R_b$, then $y \in \zeta(W)$ and $a(y) = 0$. Hence $[u, v] = 0$, i.e. R is abelian. Thus R is a proper ideal of W satisfying (i) and (ii).

Let $H = \bigoplus_{a \in G} H_a$ be a proper ideal of W . By Lemma 2.2 (ii), $H_0 \subseteq \zeta(W) = R_0$. If $H_a = 0$ for any $0 \neq a \in G$, then $H = H_0 \subseteq R_0 \subseteq R$. So let $H_a \neq 0$ for some $0 \neq a \in G$. If u is a non-zero element of H_a , then $u = t_a(x)$ for some $0 \neq x \in W_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, $a(y) \neq 0$ for some $0 \neq y \in W_0$. Now we have

$$(*) \quad a(y)x + a(x)y = [t_a(x), t_{-a}(y)] \in [H_a, W_{-a}] \subseteq H_0 \subseteq \zeta(W).$$

Hence $2a(x)a(y)t_a(x) = [t_a(x), a(y)x + a(x)y] = 0$, and so $a(x) = 0$. Therefore $a(y)x = [t_a(x), t_{-a}(y)] \in \zeta(W)$ from (*), and hence $x \in \zeta(W)$, i.e. $u \in R_a$. Thus $H_a \subseteq R_a$ and it follows that $H \subseteq R$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let $G \neq 0$. Then every proper ideal of W is abelian.

We call R of Theorem 2.3 the radical of W .

3. The structure of $W(G, I)$

In this section we give a structure theorem for $W(G, I)$, which is one of the main results of this paper.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $G \neq 0$, and let R be the radical of W . Then there exists a subalgebra S of W which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $W = R \oplus S$.
- (ii) S is simple.
- (iii) S is isomorphic to $W(H, J)$ for some H, J .

PROOF. Let $\phi: W_0 \rightarrow W_0/R_0$ be the natural map. Then $\{\phi(w(0, i)) \mid i \in I\}$ spans W_0/R_0 , which is non-zero by Corollary 1.5. Hence there exists a non-empty subset J of I such that $\{\phi(w(0, j)) \mid j \in J\}$ is a basis of W_0/R_0 . Let S_0 be the subspace of W_0 spanned by $\{w(0, j) \mid j \in J\}$. Then clearly $W_0 = R_0 \oplus S_0$. Let $S_a = t_a(S_0)$ for each $a \in G$, and let $S = \bigoplus_{a \in G} S_a$. Then $W_a = t_a(R_0) \oplus t_a(S_0) = R_a \oplus S_a$, whence

$$W = \bigoplus_{a \in G} W_a = R \oplus S.$$

We claim that S is a simple subalgebra of W . Let $u \in S_a, v \in S_b$. Then $u = t_a(x), v = t_b(y)$ for some $x, y \in S_0$, and $[u, v] = a(y)t_{a+b}(x) - b(x)t_{a+b}(y) \in S_{a+b}$ by Lemma 1.1 (i). Hence $S \leq W$. Clearly $S \simeq W/R$, and so S has no proper ideals by Theorem 2.3. Furthermore, since $\dim S_a \geq 1$ for each $a \in G$, S is not abelian. Thus S is simple, as claimed.

Now we show (iii). Let $\psi: S_0 \rightarrow W_0$ be the inclusion map, and $\psi^*: W_0^* \rightarrow S_0^*$ be the dual map of ψ . We fix bases $\{w(0, i) \mid i \in I\}$ of W_0 and $\{w(0, j) \mid j \in J\}$ of S_0 . Then we can identify W_0^*, S_0^* with $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{F}_i^+, \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j^+$, respectively. For any $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in G$ we have

$$\psi^*(a)(w(0, j)) = a(w(0, j)) = a_j \quad (j \in J),$$

and so $\psi^*(a) = (a_j)_{j \in J}$. We claim that $\psi^*|_G$ is injective. Let $\psi^*(a) = 0$, where $a \in G$. Then $a(x) = 0$ for any $x \in S_0$. On the other hand $a(y) = 0$ for any $y \in \zeta(W) = R_0$ by Lemma 1.2 (ii). Thus $a(z) = 0$ for any $z \in R_0 \oplus S_0 = W_0$, i.e. $a = 0$ as claimed. Therefore $\psi^*|_G: G \rightarrow \psi^*(G)$ is a group isomorphism, and $\psi^*(G) \leq \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j^+$. Let $H = \psi^*(G)$. It is easy to see that the linear map $\rho: S \rightarrow W(H, J)$ defined by $\rho(w(a, j)) = w(\psi^*(a), j)$ ($a \in G, j \in I$) is an isomorphism.

Let the field \mathbb{F} be of characteristic $p > 0$. Then an additive subgroup G of $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{F}_i^+$ is called total by Kaplansky [3] if the only element $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$, where $\alpha_i = 0$ for all but a finite set of i , such that $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i = 0$ for any $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in G$ is the zero-element. It is known that if characteristic $p > 2$ and G is total then $W(G, I)$ is

simple as remarked in the introduction.

We use the same terminology for a field of characteristic zero. Then we have the following

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $G \neq 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *W is simple.*
- (ii) *The radical R of W is zero.*
- (iii) *The center $\zeta(W)$ of W is zero.*
- (iv) *G is total.*

PROOF. Clearly (i) \Rightarrow (iii), (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) by Theorem 2.3 (i), and (ii) \Rightarrow (i) by the above theorem.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ for all but a finite set of i , and let $x = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i w(0, i)$ in W_0 . We consider that $G \leq W_0^*$ as before. Then $a(x) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i$ for any $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in G$. Hence G is total if and only if $\{x \in W_0 \mid a(x) = 0 \text{ for any } a \in G\} = 0$, which is equivalent to $\zeta(W) = 0$ by Lemma 1.2 (ii).

We give a sufficient condition for W to be simple.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If the subspace of $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{f}_i^+$ spanned by G contains the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{f}_i^+$, then W is simple.*

PROOF. For each $j \in I$ let $e^{(j)} = (\delta_{ji})_{i \in I}$, where δ_{ji} is the Kronecker delta. Then clearly $e^{(j)} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{f}_i^+$, and hence $e^{(j)} = \sum_r \alpha_r a_r$ for some finite sets $\{\alpha_r\} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}$, $\{a_r\} \subseteq G$. Let $x = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i w(0, i) \in \zeta(W)$, where $\beta_i = 0$ for all but a finite set of i . Then we have $e^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i e^{(j)}(w(0, i)) = \beta_j$. But $e^{(j)}(x) = \sum_r \alpha_r a_r(x) = 0$ by Lemma 1.2 (ii). Thus $\beta_j = 0$ for any $j \in I$, i.e. $x = 0$. Hence $\zeta(W) = 0$, and therefore W is simple by Corollary 3.2.

4. Finitely generated Lie algebras

In this section we consider finitely generated Lie algebras.

THEOREM 4.1. *W is finitely generated if and only if I is finite and G is finitely generated.*

PROOF. Let $W = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, where n is a positive integer. Then there exists a finite set of basis elements $\{w(a_r, i_r) \mid r = 1, \dots, m\}$ such that x_1, \dots, x_n are spanned by $\{w(a_r, i_r) \mid r = 1, \dots, m\}$. Hence $L = \langle w(a_r, i_r) \mid r = 1, \dots, m \rangle$, so that for any $a \in G, i \in I$,

$$w(a, i) = \sum_{1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_h \leq m} \alpha_{r_1, \dots, r_h} [w(a_{r_1}, i_{r_1}), \dots, w(a_{r_h}, i_{r_h})],$$

where $\alpha_{r_1, \dots, r_h} \in \mathfrak{f}$. It is easy to see that

$$[w(a_{r_1}, i_{r_1}), \dots, w(a_{r_n}, i_{r_n})] = \sum_{s=1}^m \beta_s w(a_{r_1} + \dots + a_{r_n}, i_s),$$

for some $\beta_s \in \mathbb{f}$. Thus $a = a_{r_1} + \dots + a_{r_n}$ for some $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $i = i_s$ for some $s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Therefore I is finite and $G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$.

Conversely, suppose that $|I| = n$ and G is finitely generated. If $G = 0$ then W is finite-dimensional since $\{w(0, i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of W . So assume that $G \neq 0$. Since G is torsion-free, G is a free abelian group of finite rank. Let $G = \bigoplus_{h=1}^m \langle a^{(h)} \rangle$, where m is the rank of G , and let

$$F = \langle w(-2a^{(h)}, i), w(3a^{(h)}, i) \mid 1 \leq h \leq m, i \in I \rangle.$$

Clearly F is finitely generated. We show by induction on m that $W = F$.

Let $m = 1$. Then $G = \langle a^{(1)} \rangle$. Since $a^{(1)} = (a_i^{(1)})_{i \in I} \neq 0$, there is $j \in I$ such that $a_j^{(1)} \neq 0$. Since $[w(ra^{(1)}, j), w(sa^{(1)}, j)] = (r-s)a_j^{(1)}w((r+s)a^{(1)}, j)$, it is not hard to see that $\{w(ra^{(1)}, j) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \langle w(-2a^{(1)}, j), w(3a^{(1)}, j) \rangle \subseteq F$. Hence for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \neq j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(ra^{(1)}, i) &= (2a_j^{(1)})^{-1}([w((r+2)a^{(1)}, j), w(-2a^{(1)}, i)] \\ &\quad - (r+2)a_i^{(1)}w(ra^{(1)}, j)) \in F, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\{w(ra^{(1)}, i) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq F$, where $i \in I$ and $i \neq j$. Thus $W(G, I) = F$.

Let $m > 1$, and let

$$H = \bigoplus_{h=1}^{m-1} \langle a^{(h)} \rangle, \quad K = \langle a^{(m)} \rangle.$$

Then $G = H \oplus K$. Inductively we may assume that $W(H, I) \subseteq F$, $W(K, I) \subseteq F$. Let x be a non-zero element of G . Then $x = y + z$ for some $y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \in H$, $z = (z_i)_{i \in I} \in K$. It is clear that $y \neq z$, whence $y_j \neq z_j$ for some $j \in I$. Hence

$$w(x, j) = (y_j - z_j)^{-1}[w(y, j), w(z, j)] \in [w(H, I), W(K, I)] \subseteq F.$$

Now either $y_j \neq 0$ or $z_j \neq 0$. If $y_j \neq 0$, then

$$w(x, i) = y_j^{-1}([w(y, i), w(z, j)] + z_i w(x, j)) \in F$$

for any $i \neq j$. If $z_j \neq 0$, then similarly $w(x, i) \in F$ for any $i \neq j$. Thus $\{w(x, i) \mid i \in I\} \subseteq F$ for $0 \neq x \in G$. It is clear that $\{w(0, i) \mid i \in I\} \subseteq W(H, I) \subseteq F$. Therefore $\{w(x, i) \mid x \in G, i \in I\} \subseteq F$, i.e. $W(G, I) = F$.

Finally we have the following

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, n a positive integer, and let $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}I_i$ with copies $\mathbb{Z}I_i$ of \mathbb{Z} . Then $W(G, I)$ is isomorphic to the derivation algebra of $\mathbb{f}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ in indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n .*

PROOF. Let $R = \mathbb{f}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$. For $x = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j \in R$ and $a \in G$ we

write $x^a = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_j}$, where $a = (a_j)_{j \in I}$. For $a \in G, i \in I$ we define a linear endomorphism $\delta(a, i): R \rightarrow R$ by

$$x^r \delta(a, i) = r_i x^{r+a} \quad (r = (r_j)_{j \in I} \in G).$$

Let $D = \{\delta(a, i) \mid a \in G, i \in I\}$. It is easy to see that $\delta(a, i)$ is a derivation of R . Straightforward calculation shows that

$$\delta(a, i)\delta(b, j) - \delta(b, j)\delta(a, i) = a_j \delta(a+b, i) - b_i \delta(a+b, j)$$

for any $\delta(a, i), \delta(b, j) \in D$, i.e.

$$[\delta(a, i), \delta(b, j)] = a_j \delta(a+b, i) - b_i \delta(a+b, j).$$

We claim that D spans $\text{Der } R$. Let δ be a derivation of R . Then for each $i \in I$ we have $x_i \delta = \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, i) x^a$, where $\alpha(a, i) \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $\alpha(a, i) = 0$ for all but a finite set of a . Let $e^{(i)} = (\delta_{ij})_{j \in I}$ with the Kronecker delta δ_{ij} , and let

$$\delta' = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, i) \delta(a - e^{(i)}, i).$$

Then $\delta' \in D$. Since $x_i \delta(a - e^{(j)}, j) = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$, we have

$$x_i \delta' = \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, i) x_i \delta(a - e^{(i)}, i) = \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, i) x^a = x_i \delta \quad (i \in I).$$

Clearly the value $x_i^{-1} \delta'$ is determined by $x_i \delta'$. Therefore $\delta' = \delta$, and hence D spans $\text{Der } R$, as claimed.

Furthermore, we show that D is linearly independent. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, i) \delta(a, i) = 0$, where $\alpha(a, i) \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $\alpha(a, i) = 0$ for all but a finite set of a . Then we have

$$x_j \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, i) \delta(a, i) = \sum_{a \in G} \alpha(a, j) x^{a+e^{(j)}} = 0 \quad (j \in I).$$

Hence $\alpha(a, j) = 0$ for any $a \in G, j \in I$.

Since $\text{Der } R$ has a basis D , it is clear that $\text{Der } R$ is isomorphic to $W(G, I)$, where $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i$ and $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, by the map $\delta(a, i) \mapsto w(a, i)$.

References

- [1] R. K. Amayo, A construction for algebras satisfying the maximal condition for subalgebras, *Compositio Math.* **31** (1975), 31-46.
- [2] R. K. Amayo and I. Stewart, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1974.
- [3] I. Kaplansky, Seminar on simple Lie algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **60** (1954), 470-471.
- [4] F. Kubo, On infinite-dimensional algebras satisfying the maximal condition for subalgebras, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **7** (1977), 287-289.
- [5] R. Ree, On generalized Witt algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1956), 510-546.

- [6] G. B. Seligman, Modular Lie algebras, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, 40, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [7] R. L. Wilson, Classification of generalized Witt algebras over algebraically closed fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971), 191–210.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*