

Homotopy commutativity of the loop space of a finite CW -complex

Hideyuki KACHI

(Received July 14, 1989)

0. Introduction

As a generalization of a topological group in the homotopy theory, an H -space (or a Hopf space) is defined to be a topological space Y with a base point $*$ admitting a continuous multiplication $\mu: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $*$ acts as a two sided homotopy unit, that is, the restrictions $\mu|Y \times *$ and $\mu|* \times Y$ are both homotopic (preserving $*$) to the identity map $\text{id}_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$; and an H -space $Y = (Y, \mu)$ is said to be homotopy associative when the two maps $\mu(\mu \times \text{id}_Y)$ and $\mu(\text{id}_Y \times \mu)$ of $Y \times Y \times Y$ to Y are homotopic. The loop space ΩX of a based space X admitting the usual loop multiplication is another important example; and in the homotopy theory, ΩX can be regarded as a topological group G when $X = B_G$ is the classifying space of G .

Moreover, as a generalization of a topological abelian group, an H -space $Y = (Y, \mu)$ is said to be homotopy commutative when $\mu: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is homotopic to μT for the homeomorphism T on $Y \times Y$ commuting coordinates. A compact connected Lie group G is homotopy commutative if and only if G is abelian, that is, G is a torus, the product of some copies of the circle group S^1 , by Araki-James-Thomas [2]. Moreover Hubbuck[13] proved that if a connected finite CW -complex Y is a homotopy commutative H -space, then Y has the homotopy type of a torus.

In this paper, we are concerned with the homotopy commutativity of the loop space ΩX of a connected, simply connected finite CW -complex X . It is easy to see that

- (i) If X itself is an H -space, then ΩX is homotopy commutative.

But the converse is not true for the complex projective 3-space $CP(3)$. In fact, Stasheff [21; Th.1.18] proved that $\Omega CP(3)$ is homotopy commutative; but $CP(3)$ is not an H -space which is seen by Borel's theorem on the cohomology ring of an H -space.

We note also that X is an H -space if and only if ΩX is strongly homotopy commutative in the sense of Sugawara [23].

Now the purpose of this paper is to prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let X be the suspension $X = \Sigma A$ of a connected finite CW -*

complex A . Then the loop space ΩX is homotopy commutative only if X is an H -space, that is, only if X is contractible or is homotopy equivalent to the n -sphere S^n for $n = 3, 7$.

THEOREM 2. Let X be the mapping cone $C(\alpha) = S^k \cup_{\alpha} e^n$ of $\alpha \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ ($k, n \geq 2$). Then $\Omega C(\alpha)$ is homotopy commutative if and only if $C(\alpha)$ is contractible, that is, $k = n - 1$ and $\pm \alpha = [\text{id}_{S^k}] = \iota_k \in \pi_k(S^k)$.

Let E_{γ} denote the k -sphere bundle over S^n with characteristic class $\gamma \in \pi_{n-1}(O_{k+1})$ ($k, n \geq 2$), which is the CW -complex of the form

$$E_{\gamma} = S^k \cup_{\alpha} e^n \cup e^{n+k} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = q_{\star}(\gamma) \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k) \quad ([15]),$$

where O_k is the orthogonal group of transformations on the real k -space and $q: O_{k+1} \rightarrow O_{k+1}/O_k = S^k$ is the projection. Also, in addition to the generator $\iota_n \in \pi_n(S^n) \cong Z$, consider those $\eta_i \in \pi_{i+1}(S^i) \cong Z$ ($i = 2$), $\cong Z_2$ ($i \geq 3$) and $\omega \in \pi_6(S^3) \cong Z_{12}$.

THEOREM 3. ΩE_{γ} of the bundle E_{γ} is not homotopy commutative, except for the following cases (1) \sim (4):

- (1) E_{γ} is an H -space, that is, it is homotopy equivalent to $S^3 \times S^3$, $S^3 \times S^7$, $S^7 \times S^7$ ($\alpha = 0$), S^7 ($\alpha = \pm \iota_3$), $SU(3)$ ($\alpha = \eta_3$), or $\alpha = \pm a\omega$ for $a = 1, 3, 4, 5$.
- (2) $\alpha = \pm \eta_2$, that is, E_{γ} is homotopy equivalent to $CP(3)$.
- (3) $(k, n) = (3, 4)$, $(3, \text{odd})$ and $(7, \text{odd})$, and E_{γ} is not an H -space.
- (4) k and n are odd, $k + 2 \leq n \leq 2k - 1$ ($k \neq 3, 7$), the order of α is even and the Whitehead product $[\iota_k, \iota_k]$ is in the image of $\alpha_{\star}: \pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$.

In Theorem 3, the case (1) is determined by Zabrodsky [25]. As is stated above, ΩE_{γ} is homotopy commutative in the first two cases; but the author cannot determine whether so is or not in the last two cases.

In case when X is not simply connected, we note only the following

PROPOSITION 4. When $X = S^n/Z_m$ is the real projective space ($n \geq 2, m = 2$) or the lens space ($n: \text{odd} \geq 3, m \geq 3$), ΩX is homotopy commutative if and only if $n = 3$ or 7 ; and X is an H -space if and only if $n = 3, 7$ and $m = 2$.

Our method to prove the above theorems is based on the following results which may be well known:

(ii) (cf. Arkowitz [3].) If ΩX is homotopy commutative, then all Whitehead products on X vanish.

(iii) (cf. [4], [11], [18].) For any set P of primes, the loop space ΩX_P of the P -localization X_P of X is homotopy commutative if so is ΩX .

We also use Adams' theorem which states that S^n is an H -space if and only if $n = 1, 3$ or 7 . For the properties of the homotopy groups of spheres and the calculation of several Whitehead products, we use Toda's book [24] and so on.

The author would like to thank Professor M. Sugawara for help and encouragement and to thank T. Matumoto, T. Ohkawa and Y. Hemmi who gave him many useful suggestions and hospitality.

1. Preliminaries

In this paper, all topological spaces will be assumed to have a base point $*$ and to have the homotopy type of connected CW-complexes, and all maps and homotopies to preserve $*$. We denote by $\pi(X, Y)$ the homotopy set of all homotopy classes $[f]$ of maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ (preserving $*$), and f and $[f]$ are denoted frequently by the same letter.

A space $X = (X, \mu_X)$ is an H -space with multiplication $\mu = \mu_X: X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $\mu|X \times * \simeq \text{id} \simeq \mu|* \times X$, and is *homotopy associative* (resp. *commutative*) if

$$\mu(\mu \times \text{id}) \simeq \mu(\text{id} \times \mu): X \times X \times X \rightarrow X \quad (\text{resp. } \mu \simeq \mu T: X \times X \rightarrow X).$$

(Here \simeq means 'homotopic (preserving $*$)', $\text{id} = \text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$ is the identity map, and $T: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $T(x, y) = (y, x)$, is a commuting map.) A map $f: X = (X, \mu_X) \rightarrow Y = (Y, \mu_Y)$ between H -spaces is an H -map if $f\mu_X \simeq \mu_Y(f \times f)$.

The *loop space* ΩX of a connected space X is the space of all loops $\omega: (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (X, *)$ ($I = [0, 1]$, $\partial I = \{0, 1\}$) with the compact open topology, whose base point is the constant map $*$. By the loop multiplication

$$\mu = \mu_{\Omega X}: \Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X, \quad \mu(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 \cdot \omega_2,$$

given by $(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2)(t) = \omega_1(2t)$ if $t \leq 1/2$, $= \omega_2(2t - 1)$ if $t \geq 1/2$, ΩX is a homotopy associative H -space; and ΩX is homotopy commutative if X is an H -space. Also $\tau: \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$, $\tau(\omega) = \omega^{-1}$, is given by $\omega^{-1}(t) = \omega(1 - t)$, which satisfies $\mu(\text{id} \times \tau) \simeq * \simeq \mu(\tau \times \text{id})$.

We note that ΩX has the homotopy type of a CW-complex by Milnor's theorem. Also, ΩX is connected if and only if X is simply connected. Hereafter, we are concerned with ΩX by assuming that X is simply connected unless otherwise stated.

For $f, g: A \rightarrow \Omega X$, we have $f \cdot g: A \rightarrow \Omega X$ given by $(f \cdot g)(a) = f(a) \cdot g(a)$; and the homotopy set $\pi(A, \Omega X)$ forms a group by $[f] \cdot [g] = [f \cdot g]$ so that we have the natural isomorphism

$$\Omega_0: \pi(\Sigma A, X) \cong \pi(A, \Omega X) \quad (\Sigma A = A \times I / (A \times \partial I \cup * \times I), \text{ the suspension})$$

by sending $f: \Sigma A \rightarrow X$ to its *adjoint* $\Omega_0 f = f': A \rightarrow \Omega X$, $f'(a)(t) = f(a, t)$ for $a \in A$ and $t \in I$.

Now consider the *commutator map*

$$\varphi: \Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X, \quad \varphi(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2) \cdot (\omega_1^{-1} \cdot \omega_2^{-1}).$$

Then $\varphi|_{\Omega X \vee \Omega X}$ is null homotopic, and there exists a map

$$\bar{\varphi}: \Omega X \wedge \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\varphi} \text{ pr} \simeq \varphi.$$

(Here $A \vee B = A \times * \cup * \times B$ is the *wedge*, $A \wedge B = A \times B / A \vee B$ is the *smash product*, and $\text{pr}: A \times B \rightarrow A \wedge B$ is the natural projection.)

DEFINITION 1.1. (1) The *Samelson product* of $f'_i: A_i \rightarrow \Omega X$ ($i = 1, 2$) is given by

$$\langle f'_1, f'_2 \rangle = \bar{\varphi}(f'_1 \wedge f'_2): A_1 \wedge A_2 \rightarrow \Omega X \wedge \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X.$$

(2) The *Whitehead product* of $f_i: \Sigma A_i \rightarrow X$ ($i = 1, 2$) is given by

$$[f_1, f_2] = \Omega_0^{-1} \langle \Omega_0 f_1, \Omega_0 f_2 \rangle: \Sigma(A_1 \wedge A_2) \rightarrow X,$$

by using the adjoint operator Ω_0 .

(3) The homotopy classes of these products give us the *Samelson product* $\langle [f'_1], [f'_2] \rangle$ and the *Whitehead product* $[[f_1], [f_2]]$ of homotopy classes.

For f_i in (2), consider $\bar{f}_i = f_i \text{ pr}: (CA_i, A_i) \rightarrow (X, *)$ and define the map

$$h: A_1 * A_2 = CA_1 \times A_2 \cup A_1 \times CA_2 \rightarrow X$$

by $h|_{CA_1 \times A_2} = \bar{f}_1 \text{ pr}_1$ and $h|_{A_1 \times CA_2} = \bar{f}_2 \text{ pr}_2$. (Here $CA = A \times I / A \times 1 \cup * \times I$ ($A = A \times 0$) is the *cone*, $\text{pr}: CA \rightarrow \Sigma A = CA/A$ is the projection, $A_1 * A_2$ is the *reduced join* and $\text{pr}_i: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ is the projection to the i -th factor.) Then Arkowitz[3; Th.2.4] proved that

$$v[f_1, f_2] \simeq h: A_1 * A_2 \rightarrow X$$

for the projection $v: A_1 * A_2 \rightarrow \Sigma(A_1 \wedge A_2)$, where v is a homotopy equivalence; and for a homotopy inverse v^{-1} of v , $v^{-1}h$ is known to be the usual definition of the Whitehead product $[f_1, f_2]$.

To study the homotopy commutativity of ΩX , we use the following

PROPOSITION 1.2. (i) The loop space ΩX is homotopy commutative if and only if the commutator map $\varphi: \Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$ is null homotopic.

(ii) (Arkowitz[3; Prop.5.1].) Let A_i be a finite CW-complex. Then the Whitehead product $[f_1, f_2]$ vanishes for $f_i: \Sigma A_i \rightarrow X$ if and only if there exists a map $f: \Sigma A_1 \times \Sigma A_2 \rightarrow X$ of type (f_1, f_2) , that is, $f|_{\Sigma A_1 \times *} \simeq f_1$ and $f|_{* \times \Sigma A_2} \simeq f_2$.

(iv) (Stasheff [21; Th.1.10].) Let $d = \Omega_0^{-1} \text{id}_{\Omega X} : \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ be the adjoint of $\text{id}_{\Omega X}$. Then ΩX is homotopy commutative if and only if there exists a map $\psi : \Sigma \Omega X \times \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ of type (d, d) .

On the other hand, for a set P of primes, we consider the P -localizations

$$l = l_X : X \rightarrow X_P \quad \text{and} \quad f_P : X_P \rightarrow Y_P$$

of X and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (cf., e.g., [4], [11] and [18]), satisfying the following

LEMMA 1.3. (i) For each i , there exists a natural isomorphism $\pi_i(X_P) \cong \pi_i(X)_P$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(X) & = & \pi_i(X) \\ \downarrow l_* & & \downarrow l \\ \pi_i(X_P) & \cong & \pi_i(X)_P \end{array}$$

commutes where $l : G \rightarrow G_P$ is the P -localization of a group G .

(ii) We have the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ \downarrow l_X & & \downarrow l_Y & & \downarrow l_Z \\ X_P & \xrightarrow{f_P} & Y_P & \xrightarrow{g_P} & Z_P \end{array}$$

for a given upper sequence; and if the upper sequence is a fibration or a cofibration, then so is the lower one up to homotopy equivalence.

LEMMA 1.4. If ΩX is homotopy commutative, then so is ΩX_P .

PROOF. We see the following by [4] and [11]: If Y is an H -space, then so is Y_P and $l : Y \rightarrow Y_P$ is an H -map; and if Y is homotopy commutative, then so is Y_P . Moreover, the induced map $\Omega l : \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X_P$ of $l : X \rightarrow X_P$ is also the P -localization so that there exists a homotopy equivalence

$$r : (\Omega X)_P \rightarrow \Omega X_P \quad \text{with} \quad r l_{\Omega X} \simeq \Omega l$$

for $l_{\Omega X} : \Omega X \rightarrow (\Omega X)_P$ and r is an H -map since so is Ωl . These show the lemma.

REMARK 1.5. For a simply connected space X and a positive integer n , consider the space X_n obtained from X by attaching $(i+1)$ -cell to kill the homotopy groups $\pi_i(X)$ for $i \geq n$. If ΩX is homotopy commutative, then so is ΩX_n .

2. Proof of Theorem 1 and a note on the non-simply connected case

We prove Theorem 1 in the introduction which says in particular that ΩS^n of the n -sphere S^n ($n \geq 2$) is homotopy commutative only if $n = 3, 7$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Assume that ΩX of $X = \Sigma A$ is homotopy commutative. Then the Whitehead product $[\text{id}_X, \text{id}_X] \in \pi(\Sigma(A \wedge A), X)$ is defined and vanishes by Proposition 1.2 (ii). Hence there is a map $\mu: X \times X \rightarrow X$ of type $(\text{id}_X, \text{id}_X)$ by Proposition 1.2 (iii), which means that X is an H -space by definition. Therefore $X = \Sigma A$ is S^3 or S^7 by West[26].

In the rest of this section, we note on the case that X is not simply connected. In this case, we consider the universal covering

$$p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X = \tilde{X}/\pi, \quad \pi = p^{-1}(*) = \pi_1(X).$$

Here, π is the covering transformation group identified with $p^{-1}(*)$ and also with the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$, by identifying $\alpha \in \pi$ with $\alpha = \alpha(*) \in p^{-1}(*)$ ($1(*) = *$ for the unit 1 of π) and with $\alpha = [pl_\alpha] \in \pi_1(X)$ by a fixed path $l_\alpha: (I; 0, 1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}; *, \alpha)$ ($l_1 = *$).

LEMMA 2.1. *If ΩX is homotopy commutative, then so is $\Omega \tilde{X}$ and π is abelian.*

PROOF. Consider the space $L\tilde{X}$ of all paths $l: I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and its subspace $L(\tilde{X}; A, B) = \{l \in L\tilde{X} \mid l(0) \in A, l(1) \in B\}$. Then, p induces the homeomorphism

$$p_\alpha: L(\tilde{X}; *, \alpha) \approx (\Omega X)_\alpha = \{\omega \in \Omega X \mid [\omega] = \alpha\}, \quad p_\alpha(l) = pl,$$

by the unique lifting property. Here $(\Omega X)_\alpha$ is the path component of ΩX containing pl_α ; hence so is $(\Omega X)_1 \ni *$, and $(\Omega X)_1$ is an H -space by the loop multiplication. Also, $p_1: \Omega \tilde{X} = L(\tilde{X}; *, *) \approx (\Omega X)_1$ is an H -map. Thus, if ΩX is homotopy commutative, then so are $(\Omega X)_1$ and $\Omega \tilde{X}$.

LEMMA 2.2. (i) *We have the homotopy equivalence*

$$\varphi: \Omega \tilde{X} \times \pi \rightarrow \Omega X$$

given by $\varphi(\tilde{\omega}, \alpha) = p\tilde{\omega} \cdot pl_\alpha$ for $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega \tilde{X}$, $\alpha \in \pi$.

(ii) *Assume that \tilde{X} is an H -space with multiplication $\mu: \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\mu(\alpha, x) = \alpha(x)$ for $\alpha \in \pi$. Then φ is an H -map; hence the converse of Lemma 2.1 is also valid.*

PROOF. In the path space $L\tilde{X}$, we have l^{-1} and the path multiplication $l \cdot l'$ when $l(1) = l'(0)$, as usual.

(i) A homotopy inverse of φ is obtained by sending $\omega \in \Omega X$ to $(\tilde{\omega} \cdot l_\alpha^{-1}, \alpha) \in \Omega \tilde{X} \times \pi$, where $\alpha = [\omega]$, $\tilde{\omega} = p_\alpha^{-1}(\omega)$, and p_α is the homeomorphism in the above proof.

(ii) We have to show that the two maps of $\Omega \tilde{X} \times \Omega \tilde{X} \times \pi \times \pi$ to ΩX sending $(\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ to $(p\tilde{\omega}_1 \cdot pl_{\alpha_1}) \cdot (p\tilde{\omega}_2 \cdot pl_{\alpha_2})$ and $p(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cdot \tilde{\omega}_2) \cdot pl_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$, respectively, are homotopic. Here, $pl_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ and $pl_{\alpha_1} \cdot pl_{\alpha_2}$ are in the same path component $L(\tilde{X}; *, \alpha_1 \alpha_2)$. Therefore, by the homotopy associativity of the path multiplication, it is sufficient to show that

$$\varphi \simeq \varphi' : \Omega \tilde{X} \times \pi \rightarrow \Omega X, \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi'(\tilde{\omega}, \alpha) = pl_\alpha \cdot p\tilde{\omega}.$$

Now, μ in the assumption gives us the map $\mu : L\tilde{X} \times L\tilde{X} \rightarrow L\tilde{X}$ given by $\mu(l, l')(t) = \mu(l(t), l'(t))$. Then, $\mu(l_\alpha, \tilde{\omega})$ can be deformed continuously to $\mu(l_\alpha \cdot *_\alpha, *_\alpha \cdot \tilde{\omega}) = \mu(l_\alpha, *) \cdot \mu(*_\alpha, \tilde{\omega})$ ($*_\alpha$ is the constant path to α) and also to $\mu(* \cdot l_\alpha, \tilde{\omega} \cdot *) = \mu(*, \tilde{\omega}) \cdot \mu(l_\alpha, *)$, and so are these to $l_\alpha \cdot \alpha \tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\omega} \cdot l_\alpha$, respectively, because $\mu|_{\tilde{X} \times *} \simeq \text{id}$ and $\mu(\alpha, x) = \alpha(x)$ by assumption. Since $p(l_\alpha \cdot \alpha \tilde{\omega}) = pl_\alpha \cdot p\tilde{\omega} = \varphi'(\tilde{\omega}, \alpha)$ and $p(\tilde{\omega} \cdot l_\alpha) = \varphi(\tilde{\omega}, \alpha)$, these show that $\varphi \simeq \varphi'$.

By these lemmas, we can prove Proposition 4 in the introduction.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4. In this case, we have the universal covering $S^n \rightarrow S^n/Z_m = X$. If ΩX is homotopy commutative, then so is ΩS^n by Lemma 2.1; hence $n = 3$ or 7 by Theorem 1. Conversely, if $n = 3$ or 7 , then the multiplication μ of quaternions or Cayley numbers on S^n satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.2 (ii), which shows that ΩX is homotopy commutative. S^n/Z_m ($n = 3, 7$) is an H -space when $m = 2$ by the multiplication induced from μ on S^n of above, and is not an H -space when $m \geq 3$ by Browder [8] and [9].

3. The case when X has two cells

For the homotopy group $\pi_m(S^n)$ ($n \geq 2$), we use the following results (see Adams[1], James[14], Serre[20] and Toda[24]):

- (3.1) (i) $\pi_m(S^n) = 0$ if $m < n$.
- (ii) $\pi_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ generated by $\iota_n = [\text{id}]$.
- (iii) $\pi_m(S^n)$ ($m > n$) is finite except for the case that $m = 2n - 1$ and n is even.
- (iv) If n is even, then $\pi_{2n-1}(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus F_n$. Here,

$$F_n = \text{Im} [\Sigma : \pi_{2n-2}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)] = \{\alpha | H(\alpha) = 0\}$$

(Σ is the suspension homomorphism and $H(\alpha)$ is the Hopf invariant of α) is finite and $F_2 = 0$. Also the infinite cyclic part \mathbb{Z} is generated by α_n such that

$$H(\alpha_n) = \pm 1 \quad \text{for } n = 2, 4, 8, \quad \text{and} \quad H(\alpha_n) = \pm 2 \quad \text{otherwise};$$

and $H(\alpha) = \pm 1$ when α is the Hopf class η_2, ν_4 or σ_8 , and $H([i_n, i_n]) = \pm 2$ for the Whitehead product $[i_n, i_n] \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$.

(v) For odd n , $\Sigma: \pi_{2n-2}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ is epimorphic, and $[i_n, i_n] \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ is 0 if $n = 3, 7$, and is of order 2 if $n \neq 3, 7$. Moreover $[i_n, i_n]$ ($n > 2$) is not contained in $2\pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ unless $n + 1$ is a power of 2.

Berstein-Ganea [6] introduced the numerical invariant of homotopy type, $\text{nil } \Omega X$, for any space X ; and in particular, $\text{nil } \Omega X \leq 1$ means that ΩX is homotopy commutative. Hereafter we use this notation frequently for the simplicity.

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 in the introduction for the mapping cone

$$C(\alpha) = S^k \cup_{\alpha} e^n \quad \text{of} \quad \alpha \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k) \quad (k, n \geq 2).$$

If $n - 1 < k$ or $\alpha = 0$, then $C(\alpha) \simeq S^k \vee S^n$. If $n - 1 = k$, then $\alpha = si_k = \Sigma(si_{k-1})$ and $C(\alpha) \simeq \Sigma C(si_{k-1})$. Thus, in these cases, $C(\alpha)$ is the suspension type, and Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 by noticing that $C(si_k)$ is contractible if and only if $s = \pm 1$.

Therefore, in the rest of this section, we assume that

$$n - 1 > k \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \neq 0.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If $\alpha \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ is of finite order, then $\text{nil } \Omega C(\alpha) > 1$.*

PROOF. Consider the \emptyset -localizations X_{\emptyset} of X and $\alpha_{\emptyset}: X_{\emptyset} \rightarrow Y_{\emptyset}$ of $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$, which are the localizations with respect to the empty set \emptyset . Then, Lemma 1.3 shows that $\alpha_{\emptyset}: S_{\emptyset}^{n-1} \rightarrow S_{\emptyset}^k$ is null homotopic by assumption, and that $C(\alpha)_{\emptyset} \simeq C(\alpha_{\emptyset})$. Therefore,

$$C(\alpha)_{\emptyset} \simeq C(\alpha_{\emptyset}) \simeq S_{\emptyset}^k \vee \Sigma S_{\emptyset}^{n-1} \simeq S_{\emptyset}^k \vee S_{\emptyset}^n \simeq (S^k \vee S^n)_{\emptyset}.$$

Consider the inclusions $i: S^k \rightarrow S^k \vee S^n$, $j: S^n \rightarrow S^k \vee S^n$. Then, $[i, j] \in \pi_{k+n-1}(S^k \vee S^n)$ is of infinite order, because $\partial: \pi_{k+n}(S^k \times S^n, S^k \vee S^n) (\cong \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_{k+n-1}(S^k \vee S^n)$ is monomorphic and $[i, j]$ is the ∂ -image of a generator. Therefore,

$$[li, lj] = l[i, j] \in \pi_{k+n-1}((S^k \vee S^n)_{\emptyset})$$

is non-trivial for the \emptyset -localization $l: X \rightarrow X_{\emptyset}$. Thus $\Omega(S^k \vee S^n)_{\emptyset}$ is not homotopy commutative by Proposition 1.2 (ii), and so is $\Omega C(\alpha)_{\emptyset}$, which implies the lemma by Lemma 1.4.

For a space X , an even integer $n \geq 2$ and a map $h: S^n \rightarrow X$, consider the induced homomorphism $h^*: H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(S^n)$ of the integral cohomology groups. Then:

PROPOSITION 3.3. Assume that a cohomology class $u \in H^*(X)$ is mapped by h^* to a generator of $H^*(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and that

$$[h, h] = 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2n-1}(X).$$

Then $u^2 \in H^{2n}(X)$ is of infinite order. Moreover, if

$$u^2 = tv \quad \text{for some } v \in H^{2n}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then $t = \pm 1$ or ± 2 .

PROOF. Consider the Whitehead product $\alpha = [l_n, l_n] \in \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$. Then, $h_*(\alpha) = [h, h] = 0$ in $\pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ by assumption. Therefore, there exists a map

$$\bar{h}: C = C(\alpha) = S^n \cup_{\alpha} e^{2n} \rightarrow X \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{h}i = h$$

for the inclusion $i: S^n \rightarrow C$. Consider the induced homomorphism

$$\bar{h}^*: H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(C).$$

Here $i^*: H^n(C) \cong H^n(S^n)$, $H^{2n}(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and generators $e_j \in H^j(C)$ for $j = n, 2n$ satisfy

$$e_n^2 = \pm H(\alpha)e_{2n} = \pm 2e_{2n} \quad \text{in } H^{2n}(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

by definition of the Hopf invariant. Therefore, $\bar{h}^*(u) = \pm e_n$ by assumption, and

$$\bar{h}^*(u^2) = (\bar{h}^*(u))^2 = e_n^2 = \pm 2e_{2n}.$$

Thus u^2 is of infinite order, since so is $\pm 2e_{2n}$. If $u^2 = tv$ for $v \in H^{2n}(X)$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\bar{h}^*(v) = se_{2n}$ for some integer s and

$$\pm 2e_{2n} = \bar{h}^*(u^2) = \bar{h}^*(tv) = tse_{2n};$$

hence $ts = \pm 2$ and $|t| = 1$ or 2 .

COROLLARY 3.4. Assume that k is even and the Hopf invariant $H(\alpha)$ of $\alpha \in \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ is not equal to ± 1 and ± 2 . Then $[i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(C(\alpha))$ for the inclusion $i: S^k \rightarrow C(\alpha)$.

PROOF. Assume that $[i, i] = 0$. Then the inclusion $i: S^k \rightarrow C(\alpha)$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 3.3. On the other hand, $H^*(C(\alpha))$ has a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{1, e_k, e_{2k}\}$ ($\deg e_j = j$) with a relation $e_k^2 = H(\alpha)e_{2k}$, where $H(\alpha) \neq \pm 1, \pm 2$, which contradicts the conclusion of Proposition 3.3.

LEMMA 3.5. Assume that $H(\alpha) = \pm 1$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ ($k = 2, 4, 8$). Then

$$[p, p] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_9(C(\alpha)) \quad \text{if} \quad k = 2,$$

where $p: S^5 \rightarrow C(\alpha) = C(\pm\eta_2) = CP(2)$ is the projection; and

$$[i\eta_k, i] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k}(C(\alpha)) \quad \text{if } k = 4, 8,$$

where $i: S^k \rightarrow C(\alpha)$ is the inclusion and $\eta_k \in \pi_{k+1}(S^k)$.

PROOF. By (3.1) (iv), we have the following two cases:

(a) $k = 2$ and $\alpha = \pm\eta_2$.

(b) $k = 4, 8$ and $\alpha = \pm h + \Sigma\beta$ ($h = v_4, \sigma_8$) for some $\beta \in \pi_{2k-2}(S^{k-1})$.

Case (a): Then $C(\alpha)$ is homotopy equivalent to the complex projective plane $CP(2)$. From the homotopy exact sequence associated with the fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^5 \xrightarrow{p} CP(2)$, we see that

$$p_*: \pi_j(S^5) \cong \pi_j(CP(2)) \quad \text{for } j \geq 3,$$

since $\pi_j(S^1) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Thus we have

$$[p_*\iota_5, p_*\iota_5] = p_*[\iota_5, \iota_5] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_9(CP(2)),$$

because $[\iota_5, \iota_5] \neq 0$ in $\pi_9(S^5)$ by (3.1) (v).

Case (b): From the theorem of Blakers-Massey, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\pi_{2k}(S^{2k-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \pi_{2k}(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{2k}(C(\alpha)),$$

where $i: S^n \rightarrow C(\alpha)$ is the inclusion.

Let η_{2k-1} be a generator of $\pi_{2k}(S^{2k-1}) \cong Z_2$. Also let h' be a generator of the cyclic group $\pi_{2k-2}(S^{k-1})$ ($k = 4, 8$), i.e., $h' = v'$ ($k = 4$) or σ' ($k = 8$) in [24]. Then, $\alpha = \pm h + \Sigma\beta = \pm h + b\Sigma h'$ for some integer b , and

$$\alpha_*(\eta_{2k-1}) = (\pm h + b\Sigma h')\eta_{2k-1} = \pm h\eta_{2k-1} + b(\Sigma h')\eta_{2k-1}$$

and $h\eta_{2k-1} \neq 0$ by [24; Prop.5.8 and 7.1]. On the other hand,

$$[\eta_k, \iota_k] = (\Sigma h')\eta_{2k-1} \quad \text{by [24; (5.11) and p.63].}$$

These show that $[\eta_k, \iota_k] \notin \text{Im } \alpha_* = \text{Ker } i_*$ in the above exact sequence.

Thus

$$[i\eta_k, i] = i_*[\eta_k, \iota_k] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k}(C(\alpha)).$$

By Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, $\text{nil } \Omega C(\alpha) > 1$ in these cases according to Proposition 1.2 (ii).

To consider the case that $H(\alpha) = \pm 2$, we prepare the following

PROPOSITION 3.6. Assume that the cohomology $H^*(X; k)$ with coefficient in a field k for $* < 3n$ has a k -basis

$$\{1, e_n, e_{2n}\} \quad (\deg e_j = j) \quad \text{with} \quad e_n^2 = 0,$$

and $e_n e_{2n} = 0$ in $H^{3n}(X; k)$, for some $n \geq 2$. Then, $\text{nil } \Omega X > 1$.

PROOF. In this proof, we omit the coefficient field k for the simplicity. Consider the projection $p: L = L(X; *, X) \rightarrow X$, $p(l) = l(1)$, the adjoint $d: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ of $\text{id}_{\Omega X}$ and the map $d': C\Omega X \rightarrow L$, $d'(\omega, t)(s) = \omega(ts)$. Then, $pd' = d \text{ pr}$ and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^j(X) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & H^j(L, \Omega X) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\delta^*} & H^{j-1}(\Omega X) \\ \downarrow d^* & & \downarrow d'^* & & \parallel \\ H^j(\Sigma \Omega X) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{pr}^*} & H^j(C\Omega X, \Omega X) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\delta^*} & H^{j-1}(\Omega X). \end{array}$$

By assumption and by studying the cohomology spectral sequence for the fibration $\Omega X \rightarrow L \rightarrow X$ (cf. [20]), we see that $H^*(\Omega X)$ for $* \leq 3n - 3$ has k -basis $\{1, \sigma(e_n), e', \sigma(e_{2n}), \sigma(e_n)e'\}$ ($\deg e' = 2n - 2$), where $\sigma = (\delta^*)^{-1}p^*$ is the suspension homomorphism. Thus, $H^*(\Sigma \Omega X)$ for $* \leq 3n - 2$ has k -basis

$$\{1, a_n, b_{2n-1}, a_{2n}, b_{3n-2}\} \quad (\deg c_j = j),$$

where the suspension isomorphism $\sigma^* = (\delta^*)^{-1} \text{pr}^*$ maps a_j to $\sigma(e_j)$, b_{2n-1} to e' , and b_{3n-2} to $\sigma(e_n)e'$. Hence, the above commutative diagram shows that

$$d^*(e_j) = a_j \quad \text{for} \quad j = n \text{ and } 2n.$$

Suppose that ΩX is homotopy commutative. Then, by the result of Stasheff stated in Proposition 1.2 (iv), there exists a map

$$\psi: \Sigma \Omega X \times \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$$

such that $\psi|_{\Sigma \Omega X \times *} \simeq d \simeq \psi|_{* \times \Sigma \Omega X}$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\psi^*: H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma \Omega X) \otimes H^*(\Sigma \Omega X)$$

induced by ψ . Then, by the above results on the cohomologies, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^*(e_n) &= d^*(e_n) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d^*(e_n) = a_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a_n, \\ \psi^*(e_{2n}) &= d^*(e_{2n}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d^*(e_{2n}) + g d^*(e_n) \otimes d^*(e_n) \\ &= a_{2n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a_{2n} + g a_n \otimes a_n \end{aligned}$$

for some $g \in k$, and so

$$0 = \psi^*(e_n e_{2n}) = \psi^*(e_n) \psi^*(e_{2n}) = a_n \otimes a_{2n} + a_{2n} \otimes a_n \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\text{nil } \Omega X > 1$.

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $H(\alpha) = \pm 2$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ (k : even), then $\text{nil } \Omega C(\alpha) > 1$.*

PROOF. $H^*(C(\alpha); Z_2)$ has a Z_2 -basis $\{1; e_k, e_{2k}\}$ ($\deg e_j = j$) and $e_k^2 = H(\alpha)e_{2k} = 0$ by assumption. Thus the result is a special case of Proposition 3.6.

Thus Theorem 2 is proved completely.

4. The case when X is a sphere bundle over a sphere

By Steenrod[22; 18.5], the k -sphere bundles over the n -sphere S^n with group O_{k+1} are classified, up to bundle equivalence, by equivalence classes of elements of $\pi_{n-1}(O_{k+1})$ under the operations of $\pi_0(O_{k+1})$. Hereafter, we denote by E_γ the k -sphere bundle over S^n which corresponds to the equivalence class of

$$\gamma \in \pi_{n-1}(O_{k+1}) \quad (k, n \geq 2),$$

which is called the characteristic class of E_γ , and by

$$p: E_\gamma \rightarrow S^n \quad \text{and} \quad i: S^k = p^{-1}(*) \rightarrow E_\gamma$$

the projection and the inclusion, respectively. Then, we have the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_m(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_m(E_\gamma) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_m(S^n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{m-1}(S^k) \longrightarrow \cdots;$$

and there holds the equality

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha = \Delta(i_n) = q_*(\gamma) \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$$

for the homomorphism $q_*: \pi_{n-1}(O_{k+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ induced by the natural projection $q: O_{k+1} \rightarrow O_{k+1}/O_k = S^k$. Also, for the boundary homomorphism Δ , we have the formula

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta(\Sigma\beta) = \Delta(i_n)\beta = \alpha\beta \quad \text{for any } \beta \in \pi_{m-1}(S^{n-1}).$$

In particular, (4.1) shows that E_γ admits a cross section if and only if $\alpha = 0$.

In the first place, we consider the case that

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \text{e.g.,} \quad n \leq k, \quad \text{or} \quad n = k + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k \text{ is even.}$$

In fact, $\pi_{n-1}(S^k) = 0$ if $n \leq k$, and $q_* = 0: \pi_k(O_{k+1}) \rightarrow \pi_k(S^k)$ if k is even by [22; 23.7].

PROPOSITION 4.3. Assume that a fibration (E, p, B) with fiber $F = p^{-1}(*)$ admits a cross section $s: B \rightarrow E$, and B and F are simply connected. Then:

- (i) $\mu(\Omega i \times \Omega s): \Omega F \times \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega E$ is a homotopy equivalence, where $i: F \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion and μ is the loop multiplication on ΩE .
- (ii) If ΩE is homotopy commutative, then so are ΩB and ΩF .

PROOF. (i) We see that $\mu(\Omega i \times \Omega s)$ induces the isomorphisms of the homotopy groups, which implies (i) by J. H. C. Whitehead's theorem.

(ii) If ΩE is homotopy commutative, then we can see that $\mu(\Omega i \times \Omega s)$ is an H -map. By (i), $\Omega F \times \Omega B$ is also homotopy commutative and so are ΩB and ΩF .

COLLORARY 4.4. For the bundle E_γ with $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) = 0 \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$, ΩE_γ is not homotopy commutative unless $\{k, n\} \subset \{3, 7\}$.

PROOF. This follows from Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 1.

From now on, we consider E_γ for $\gamma \in \pi_{n-1}(O_{k+1})$ such that

$$n > k \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_*(\gamma) = \Delta(i_n) = \alpha \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{n-1}(S^k).$$

By James-Whitehead[15], the bundle E_γ admits a CW-structure

$$E_\gamma = S^k \cup_\alpha e^n \cup e^{n+k} = C(\alpha) \cup_\beta e^{n+k} = C(\beta).$$

Here $\beta: S^{n+k-1} \rightarrow C(\alpha)$ is the attaching map of the top cell e^{n+k} so that

$$\beta = \bar{\beta}|S^{n+k-1} \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\beta}: (V^{n+k}, S^{n+k-1}) \rightarrow (E_\gamma, C(\alpha)),$$

where $\bar{\beta}$ is the characteristic map for e^{n+k} . Also,

$$\alpha = \bar{\alpha}|S^{n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\alpha}: (V^n, S^{n-1}) \rightarrow (C(\alpha), S^{n-1})$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the one for e^n , and there holds the following

PROPOSITION 4.5 ([15]). (i) $S^k = p^{-1}(*)$ and $p|C(\alpha): (C(\alpha), S^k) \rightarrow (S^n, *)$ is a relative homeomorphism for the projection $p: E_\gamma \rightarrow S^n$.

- (ii) $j_*(\beta) = [\bar{\alpha}, i_k]$ for $j_*: \pi_{n+k-1}(C(\alpha)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+k-1}(C(\alpha), S^k)$,

where j is the inclusion and $[\bar{\alpha}, i_k]$ is the relative Whitehead product.

LEMMA 4.6. If $k < n - 1$, then $[\bar{\alpha}, i_k]$ and β are of infinite order.

PROOF. Consider the homotopy exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_m(E_\gamma, S^k) \rightarrow \pi_m(E_\gamma, C(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{\partial'} \pi_{m-1}(C(\alpha), S^k) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(E_\gamma, S^k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

of the triple $(E_\gamma, C(\alpha), S^k)$, where

$$\partial' = j_* \partial : \pi_m(E_\gamma, C(\alpha)) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(C(\alpha)) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(C(\alpha), S^k)$$

and $\pi_{n+k}(E_\gamma, C(\alpha)) \cong Z$ generated by $\bar{\beta}$. Then, $\pi_{n+k}(E_\gamma, S^k)$ is finite, because $p_* : \pi_m(E_\gamma, S^k) \cong \pi_m(S^n)$ and $n < n+k < 2n-1$ by assumption. Thus ∂' for $m = n+k$ is monomorphic; hence $\partial'(\bar{\beta}) = j_*(\beta) = [\bar{\alpha}, \iota_k]$ is of infinite order, and so is also β .

LEMMA 4.7. Assume that n or k is even, and that $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ is of finite order. Then,

$$[\rho, \rho] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2n-1}(E_\gamma) \quad \text{for some } \rho \in \pi_n(E_\gamma) \text{ if } n \text{ is even,}$$

and

$$[i, i] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma) \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even.}$$

PROOF. Consider the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_m(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_m(E_\gamma) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_m(S^n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{m-1}(S^k) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Assume that n is even and $s\alpha = 0$ in $\pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ for $s \neq 0$. Then, from (4.1) and the exactness,

$$\Delta(sl_n) = s\Delta(l_n) = s\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and so} \quad sl_n = p_*(\rho)$$

for some $\rho \in \pi_n(E_\gamma)$. Thus

$$p_*([\rho, \rho]) = [p_*(\rho), p_*(\rho)] = s^2[l_n, l_n] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$$

by (3.1) (iv). Thus $[\rho, \rho] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2n-1}(E_\gamma)$.

Assume that k is even. Then $[\iota_k, \iota_k] \in \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ is of infinite order by (3.1) (iv). On the other hand, the image of

$$\Delta : \pi_{2k}(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$$

is finite because $\pi_{2k}(S^n)$ is finite if $n \neq 2k$ by (3.1), and $\text{Im } \Delta$ is generated by $\Delta(l_n) = \alpha$ if $n = 2k$. Therefore $[\iota_k, \iota_k]$ is not contained in $\text{Im } \Delta$. Hence, by the above exact sequence, we have

$$[i, i] = i_*[\iota_k, \iota_k] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma).$$

Thus we have $\text{nil } \Omega E_\gamma > 1$ in case of Lemma 4.7 by Proposition 1.2 (ii).

LEMMA 4.8. Assume that $n = k + 1 \neq 4$ and $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \neq 0$ in $\pi_k(S^k)$. Then $\text{nil } \Omega E_\gamma > 1$.

PROOF. k is odd by assumption, as is noticed in front of Proposition 4.3.

Put $\alpha = s_{l_k}$ ($s \neq 0$), and consider the homotopy exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_m(C(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_m(C(\alpha), S^k) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{m-1}(S^k) \longrightarrow \pi_{m-1}(C(\alpha), S^k) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of the pair $(C(\alpha), S^k)$ for $C(\alpha) = S^k \cup_{\alpha} e^{k+1}$. Then, by Proposition 4.5 (ii) and [7] on the relation of the relative Whitehead product and the absolute one, we see that

$$0 = \partial j_*(\beta) = \partial([\bar{\alpha}, l_k]) = -[\partial \bar{\alpha}, l_k] = -[\alpha, l_k] = -s[l_k, l_k]$$

in $\pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$. Thus s is even if $k \neq 3, 7$ by (3.1) (v).

(a) The case that s is even: By Blakers-Massey [7], $\pi_{n+k-1}(C(\alpha), S^k)$ is the direct sum

$$\text{Im } [\bar{\alpha}_* : \pi_{n+k-1}(V^n, S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{n+k-1}(C(\alpha), S^k)] \oplus Z \quad (k = n - 1)$$

where Z is generated by $[\bar{\alpha}, l_k]$. Consider $\partial : \pi_{2k}(V^{k+1}, S^k) \cong \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$. Then, for any $\rho \in \pi_{2k}(V^{k+1}, S^k)$, we have

$$\partial(\bar{\alpha}_*(\rho)) = (\partial \bar{\alpha})_*(\partial \rho) = \alpha_*(\partial \rho) = (s_{l_k})_*(\partial \rho) = s \partial \rho$$

since $\partial \rho \in \pi_{2k-1}(S^k) = \Sigma \pi_{2k-2}(S^{k-1})$ by (3.1)(v). Thus,

$$\text{Im } [\partial : \pi_{2k}(C(\alpha), S^k) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)] = s \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$$

by the above direct sum decomposition, since $\partial([\bar{\alpha}, l_k]) = 0$ as is shown in the above. Since s is even, this and (3.1) (v) show that

$$[l_k, l_k] \notin \text{Im } \partial \quad \text{if } k + 1 \text{ is not a power of } 2.$$

On the other hand, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{2k}(S^{k+1}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \pi_{2k-1}(S^k) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma) \\ \uparrow p_* & & \uparrow \partial & & \\ \pi_{2k}(E_\gamma, S^k) & \xleftarrow{t_*} & \pi_{2k}(C(\alpha), S^k) & & \end{array}$$

where t is the inclusion map and t_* is epimorphic since $E_\gamma = C(\alpha) \cup e^{2k+1}$. Therefore $\text{Im } \Delta = \text{Im } \partial \not\supset [l_k, l_k]$ and

$$[i, i] = i_*[l_k, l_k] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$$

if $k + 1$ is not a power of 2.

Now, consider the case that $k + 1 = n$ is a power of 2. This proof can be applicable in the case $k = 3, 7$ and $\alpha = s_{l_k}$ (s is even).

We consider the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_m(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_m(E_\gamma) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_m(S^{k+1}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{m-1}(S^k) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Then, for the generator $\eta_{k+1} = \Sigma^2 \eta_{k-1} \in \pi_{k+2}(S^{k+1}) \cong Z_2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\eta_{k+1}) &= \Delta(\iota_{k+1})\eta_k && \text{by (4.2)} \\ &= \alpha\eta_k = s\eta_k && \text{by (4.1)} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since s is even. Thus there exists an element $\rho \in \pi_{k+2}(E_\gamma)$ such that $\eta_{k+1} = p_*(\rho)$. Therefore, by Hilton[10],

$$p_*([\rho, \rho]) = [\eta_{k+1}, \eta_{k+1}] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k+3}(S^{k+1});$$

hence $[\rho, \rho] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k+3}(E_\gamma)$ and $\text{nil } \Omega E_\gamma > 1$.

(b) The case that $k = n - 1 = 7$, $\alpha = s\iota_7$ and s is odd: We consider the set P of primes p with $(p, s) = 1$. Then $2 \in P$ and the P -localization $\alpha_p: S_p^7 \rightarrow S_p^7$ is a homotopy equivalence. Thus $C(\alpha_p)$ has the homotopy type of a point $*$. Therefore $\beta_p \simeq *: S_p^{14} \rightarrow C(\alpha_p) \simeq C(\alpha)_p \simeq *$ and

$$(E_\gamma)_p \simeq C(\beta_p) \simeq S_p^{15}.$$

For the P -localization $l: S^m \rightarrow S_p^m$ of S^m , we note that

$$[l_m, l_m] = l[\iota_m, \iota_m] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2m-1}(S^m) \text{ if } m \neq 3, 7 \text{ and } 2 \in P.$$

In fact, this is shown by Lemma 1.3, since $2 \in P$ and the order of $[\iota_m, \iota_m]$ is 2 or infinite by (3.1).

Therefore $[l_{15}, l_{15}] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{29}(S_p^{15})$ in the above case, and ΩS_p^{15} is not homotopy commutative by Proposition 1.2 (ii), and so is $\Omega(E_\gamma)_p$, which implies the lemma by Lemma 1.4.

LEMMA 4.9. Assume that $n = 2k \geq 8$, k is even and $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \in \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ satisfies $H(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then $[i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$.

PROOF. Consider the case $H(\alpha) \neq \pm 1, \pm 2$. Then,

$$[i', i'] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2k-1}(C(\alpha))$$

for the inclusion $i': S^k \rightarrow C(\alpha)$, by Corollary 3.4. Also,

$$\pi_m(C(\alpha)) \cong \pi_m(E_\gamma) \quad \text{for } m \leq 3k - 2$$

by the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $C(\alpha) \rightarrow E_\gamma = C(\alpha) \cup e^{3k}$. Therefore $[i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$.

Now, we show that the assumption implies $H(\alpha) \neq \pm 1, \pm 2$.

By Barratt-Mahowald[5] and Krishnarao[17], we see that $\pi_{2k-1}(O_{k+1})$ for even $k \geq 10$ is the direct sum of a finite group and an infinite cyclic group

generated by θ and

$$q_*(\theta) = \lambda[l_k, l_k] + \theta' \quad \text{for } \lambda = \varepsilon(k)((k-1)!)/8 \geq 2$$

for the homomorphism $q_*: \pi_{2k-1}(O_{k+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ induced by the projection q , where $\theta' \in F_k$ in (3.1) (iv) and $\varepsilon(k) = 1$ or 2 according as $k/2$ is even or odd.

Consider the case $k = 4, 6, 8$. By [16] (cf. the table of $\pi_m(O_k)$ and $\pi_m(S^k)$ in [27; II, pp.1415–7]), we can see that $\pi_{2k-1}(O_{k+1})$ is the direct sum of a finite group and an infinite cyclic group generated by θ which satisfies

$$q_*(\theta) = \begin{cases} 6[l_4, l_4] + \theta' & \text{for } k = 4 \\ 2[l_6, l_6] & \text{for } k = 6 \\ (7!/4)[l_8, l_8] + \theta' & \text{for } k = 8 \end{cases}$$

where $\theta' \in F_k$. Therefore,

$$H(\alpha) \neq \pm 1, \pm 2 \quad \text{if} \quad H(\alpha) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k \text{ is even } \geq 4.$$

since $\alpha = q_*(\gamma)$; and the lemma is proved.

REMARK 4.10. Let X be a CW-complex obtained from $C(\alpha) = S^k \cup_\alpha e^n$ by attaching r -cells with $r \geq m$ for some m . Assume that $[\xi, \zeta] \neq 0$ in $C(\alpha)$ for $\xi \in \pi_a(C(\alpha))$ and $\zeta \in \pi_b(C(\alpha))$ and $a + b < m$. Then $[j\xi, j\zeta] \neq 0$ in X for the inclusion $j: C(\alpha) \rightarrow X$, because $j_*: \pi_s(C(\alpha)) \cong \pi_s(X)$ for $s < m$, and we see that $\text{nil } \Omega X > 1$.

LEMMA 4.11. Let E_γ be the bundle with $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ ($k < n - 1$).

- (i) If $n > 2k$ and $k \neq 3, 7$, then $[i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$.
- (ii) If the order of α is odd and $(k, n) \neq (3, 7)$, then $\text{nil } \Omega E_\gamma > 1$.

PROOF. (i) Consider the homotopy exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_{2k}(S^n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{2k-1}(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Then i_* is a monomorphism since $\pi_{2k}(S^n) = 0$. Thus $i_*[l_k, l_k] = [i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$.

(i) We consider the 2-localization $(E_\gamma)_2$ of E_γ . Then the 2-localization α_2 of α is null homotopic. Thus the fibration $S_2^k \rightarrow (E_\gamma)_2 \rightarrow S_2^n$ has a cross section. Therefore we have $\text{nil } \Omega E_\gamma > 1$ by Proposition 4.3 and 1.2 (ii), because $[l_m, l_m] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2m-1}(S_2^m)$ for $m \neq 3, 7$ as noted in the case (b) of the proof of Lemma 4.8.

LEMMA 4.12. Assume that $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ ($k < n - 1$) satisfies the following condition (1) or (2):

- (1) $[l_k, l_k]$ is not contained in the image of $\alpha_*: \pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ and $k \neq 3, 7$,
 (2) $\alpha = 2\alpha'$ for some $\alpha' \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$, $k+1$ is not a power of 2 and $k \geq 4$.
 Then $[i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$.

PROOF. In the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_{2k}(S^n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{2k-1}(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

we see by (4.2) and the suspension theorem that

$$\alpha_* = \Delta\Sigma: \pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1}) \cong \pi_{2k}(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$$

since $k < n-1$.

Case (1): In this case, we have

$$\Delta(\pi_{2k}(S^n)) = \alpha_*(\pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1})) \not\supset [l_k, l_k].$$

Therefore $[i, i] = i_*[l_k, l_k] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$, by (3.1) (v) and the exactness.

Case (2): In this case, we have

$$\Delta(\pi_{2k}(S^n)) = \alpha_*(\pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1})) \subset 2\pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$$

since $\alpha = 2\alpha'$ and $\Sigma: \pi_{2k-2}(S^{n-2}) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1})$ is epimorphic. On the other hand, $[l_k, l_k] \notin 2\pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$, by (3.1) (v), since $k+1$ is not a power of 2. Thus we obtain $\Delta(\pi_{2k}(S^n)) \not\supset [l_k, l_k]$. Therefore $[i, i] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2k-1}(E_\gamma)$.

REMARK 4.13. $[l_k, l_k]$ does not lie in the image of $\alpha_*: \pi_{2k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S^k)$ for the following $\alpha \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$:

η_k for $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, η_{11} , η_{15} ; ν_k , ν_k^3 , μ_k , $\eta_k \varepsilon_{k+1}$ for $k = 11, 13$ and 15 ; ζ_k for $k = 13$ and 15 , (the notation are the ones in [24]).

LEMMA 4.14. Assume that $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n \neq 5$, and that $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \in \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$ satisfies

$$\alpha\eta_{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } \eta_{n-1} \in \pi_n(S^{n-1}) \cong Z_2, \quad \text{e.g., } \alpha \in 2\pi_{2n-1}(S^k).$$

Then $[\tilde{\eta}_n, \tilde{\eta}_n] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2n+1}(E_\gamma)$ for any $\tilde{\eta}_n \in \pi_{n+1}(E_\gamma)$.

PROOF. Consider the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(S^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{n+1}(E_\gamma) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{n+1}(S^n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_n(S^k) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

Then,

$$\Delta(\eta_n) = \Delta(\Sigma\eta_{n-1}) = \Delta(i_n)\eta_{n-1} \quad \text{by (4.2)}$$

$$= \alpha\eta_{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{by (4.1)}.$$

Thus, there exists an element $\tilde{\eta}_n \in \pi_{n+1}(E_\gamma)$ such that $p_*(\tilde{\eta}_n) = \eta_n$.

Therefore, by Hilton [10],

$$p_*([\tilde{\eta}_n, \tilde{\eta}_n]) = [\eta_n, \eta_n] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_{2n+1}(S^n);$$

hence $[\tilde{\eta}_n, \tilde{\eta}_n] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{2n+1}(E_\gamma)$.

LEMMA 4.15. *nil $\Omega X > 1$ for any 2-sphere bundle over S^4 such that $\alpha = q_*(\gamma) \neq \eta_2 \in \pi_3(S^2)$.*

PROOF. Let E_m denote the bundle E_γ with $\alpha = m\eta_2$. Then E_m has a CW-structure

$$E_m \simeq S^2 \cup_{m\eta_2} e^4 \cup e^6,$$

where $\eta_2: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ is the Hopf map.

From the homotopy exact sequence associated with the bundle E_m and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(E_m) &\cong Z && \text{generated by } i_*t_2 = i, \\ \pi_3(E_m) &\cong Z_m && \text{generated by } i_*\eta_2 = i\eta_2 \quad (=0 \text{ if } m = \pm 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $i: S^2 \rightarrow E_m$ is the inclusion.

Let $m \neq \pm 1, \pm 2$. Then

$$[i_*t_2, i_*t_2] = i_*[t_2, t_2] = i_*(2\eta_2) = 2i_*\eta_2 \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \pi_3(E_m).$$

When $m = \pm 2$, $[\tilde{\eta}_4, \tilde{\eta}_4] \neq 0$ in $\pi_9(E_m)$ by Lemma 4.14.

When $m = \pm 1$, E_m is homotopy equivalent to the complex projective space $CP(3)$. By Stasheff [21; Th.1.18], $\Omega CP(3)$ is homotopy commutative.

Therefore ΩE_m is homotopy commutative if and only if $m = \pm 1$.

Now, Theorem 3 in the introduction is proved by Corollary 4.4 and Lemmas 4.7–15.

References

- [1] J. F. Adams: On the non existence of elements of Hopf invariant one, *Ann. of Math.* **72**(1960), 20–104.
- [2] S. Araki, I. M. James and E. Thomas: Homotopy-abelian Lie groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66**(1960), 324–326.
- [3] M. Arkowitz: The generalized Whitehead product, *Pacific J. Math.* **12**(1962), 7–23.
- [4] ———: Localization and H -spaces, *Aarhus Univ. Lecture Notes* **44**(1976).
- [5] M. G. Barratt and M. E. Mahowald: The metastable homotopy of $O(n)$, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70**(1964), 758–760.
- [6] I. Bernstein and T. Ganea: Homotopical nilpotency, *Ill. J. Math.* **5**(1961), 99–130.

- [7] A. L. Blakers and W. S. Massey: Products in homotopy theory, *Ann. of Math.* **58**(1953), 295–324.
- [8] W. Browder: Fiberings of spaces and H -spaces which are rational homology spheres, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68**(1962), 202–203.
- [9] ———: Higher torsion in H -spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108**(1963), 353–375.
- [10] P. J. Hilton: A note on the P -homomorphism in homotopy groups of spheres, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **51**(1955), 230–233.
- [11] ———, G. Mislin and J. Roitberg: Localization of Nilpotent Groups and Spaces, *North Holland Math. Studies* **15**(1975).
- [12] ——— and J. H. C. Whitehead: Note on the Whitehead product, *Ann. of Math.* **58**(1953), 429–441.
- [13] J. R. Hubbuck: On homotopy commutative H -space, *Topology* **8**(1969), 119–126.
- [14] I. M. James: The Topology of Stiefel Manifold, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series* **24**(1976).
- [15] ——— and J. H. C. Whitehead: On the homotopy theory of sphere bundles over spheres, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **4**(1954), 196–218; **5**(1955), 148–166.
- [16] H. Kachi: On the homotopy groups of rotation groups R_n , *J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ.* **3**(1968), 13–33.
- [17] G. V. Krishnarao: Un stable homotopy of $O(n)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**(1967), 90–97.
- [18] M. Mimura, G. Nishida and H. Toda: Localization of CW -complexes and its applications, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **13**(1973), 611–627.
- [19] Y. Nomura: Note on some Whitehead products, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50**(1974), 48–52.
- [20] J.-P. Serre: Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés, *Ann. of Math.* **54**(1951), 425–505.
- [21] J. Stasheff: On homotopy abelian H -spaces, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **57**(1961), 734–745.
- [22] N. E. Steenrod: The Topology of Fiber Bundles, *Princeton Univ.* 1951.
- [23] M. Sugawara: On the homotopy commutativity of groups and loop spaces, *Mem. College Sci. Univ. Kyoto* **33**(1960), 257–269.
- [24] H. Toda: Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres, *Ann. Math. Studies* **49**(1962).
- [25] A. Zabrodsky: The classification of simply connected H -space with three cells I, II, *Math. Scand.* **30**(1972), 193–210; 211–222.
- [26] R. W. West: H -spaces which are co- H -spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31**(1972), 580–582.
- [27] *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics* by the Math. Soc. Japan, The MIT Press 1977.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Shinshu University*