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## 1. Introduction

We shall be concerned with the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the parabolic equation with oscillating coefficients
(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t}(x, t)-\left(a(t) \Delta u(x, t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \Delta u\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+c\left(x, t, u(x, t), u\left(x, \tau_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(x, \tau_{m}(t)\right)\right)=f(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \equiv G \times(0, \infty),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial G$ and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We assume throughout this paper that:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad a(t) \in \mathrm{C}([0, \infty) ;[0, \infty)), b_{i}(t) \in \mathrm{C}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathbf{R}^{1}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, k), f(x, t) \in$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbf{R}^{1}\right)$ and $c\left(x, t, \xi, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right) \in \mathrm{C}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^{1} \times \mathbf{R}^{m} ; \mathbf{R}^{1}\right) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad c\left(x, t, \xi, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right) \geq 0$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega, \xi \geq 0, \eta_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$, and $c\left(x, t, \xi, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right) \leq 0$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega, \xi \leq 0, \eta_{i} \leq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, m) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \quad \sigma_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ are nonnegative constants, $\tau_{i}(t) \in \mathrm{C}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathbf{R}^{1}\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{i}(t)=\infty(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$.

We consider two kinds of boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \quad u=\psi \quad \text { on } \quad \partial G \times(0, \infty), \\
& \left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=\tilde{\psi} \quad \text { on } \quad \partial G \times(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ are continuous functions on $\partial G \times[0, \infty)$ and $v$ denotes the unit exterior normal vector to $\partial G$.

There has been much current interest in studying the oscillation of solutions of parabolic equations with deviating arguments. We refer the reader to $[1,3,5]$ for linear parabolic equations, and to $[1,2,4,6-8]$ for nonlinear parabolic equations. Parabolic equations of neutral type were considered in the papers $[2,4,5,8]$. All of them, however, assume that the coefficients $b_{i}(t)$
are nonnegative in $[0, \infty)$.
The purpose of this paper is to present conditions which imply that every solution $u$ of some boundary value problems is oscillatory in $\Omega$ in the sense that $u$ has a zero in $G \times[t, \infty)$ for any $t>0$. We note that $b_{i}(t)(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ are not required to have a constant sign, that is, $b_{i}(t)$ are allowed to be oscillatory. In Section 2 we reduce the multi-dimensional oscillation problem to a one-dimensional problem for delay differential inequalities. Sufficient conditions are given in Section 3 that a delay differential inequality has no eventually positive solution. In Section 4 we derive oscillation criteria for the boundary value problems for (1) by combining the results obtained in Sections 2 and 3.

## 2. Reduction to a one-dimensional problem

The object of this section is to reduce the boundary value problems (1), $\left(\mathrm{B}_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ to functional differential inequalities with delays.

It is known that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta w+\lambda w=0 \\
& \text { in } \quad G \\
&=0 \text { on } \quad \partial G
\end{aligned}
$$

is positive and the corresponding eigenfunction $\Phi(x)$ is positive in $G$. Associated with every function $u \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega) \equiv \mathrm{C}^{2}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(t)=\int_{G} u(x, t) \Phi(x) d x, \quad t \geq 0 \\
& \tilde{U}(t)=\int_{G} u(x, t) d x, \quad t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The following notation will be used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(t)=\int_{G} f(x, t) \Phi(x) d x, \quad t \geq 0, \\
& \tilde{F}(t)=\int_{G} f(x, t) d x, \quad t \geq 0, \\
& \Psi(t)=\int_{\partial G} \psi(x, t) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial v}(x) d S, \quad t \geq 0, \\
& \tilde{\Psi}(t)=\int_{\partial G} \tilde{\psi}(x, t) d S, \quad t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Every solution $u \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ of the
problem (1), $\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ is oscillatory in $\Omega$ if the delay differential inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+\lambda_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \exp \left(\lambda_{1} \int_{t-\sigma_{i}}^{t} a(s) d s\right) y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right) \leq Q(t), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+\lambda_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \exp \left(\lambda_{1} \int_{t-\sigma_{i}}^{t} a(s) d s\right) y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right) \leq-Q(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are oscillatory at $t=\infty$ in the sense that neither (2) nor (3) has a solution which is eventually positive, where

$$
Q(t)=\exp \left(\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{t} a(s) d s\right)\left(F(t)-a(t) \Psi(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \Psi\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a solution $u$ of the problem (1), ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) which is nonoscillatory in $\Omega$. First we assume that $u>0$ in $G \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{0}>0$. There exists a number $T>t_{0}$ such that $u\left(x, \tau_{i}(t)\right)>0$ in $G \times[T, \infty)(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$. The hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ implies that

$$
c\left(x, t, u(x, t), u\left(x, \tau_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(x, \tau_{m}(t)\right)\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad G \times[T, \infty)
$$

and hence
(4)

$$
u_{t}(x, t)-\left[a(t) \Delta u(x, t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \Delta u\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right)\right] \leq f(x, t) \quad \text { in } \quad G \times[T, \infty)
$$

Multiplying (4) by $\Phi(x)$ and integrating over $G$ yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{G} u \Phi d x-a(t) \int_{G} \Delta u(x, t) \Phi d x-\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \int_{G} \Delta u\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right) \Phi d x  \tag{5}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{G} f(x, t) \Phi d x, \quad t \geq T
\end{align*}
$$

From Green's formula it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{G} \Delta u(x, t) \Phi d x & =\int_{\partial G}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} \Phi-u \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial v}\right) d S+\int_{G} u \Delta \Phi d x  \tag{6}\\
& =-\int_{\partial G} \psi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial v} d S-\lambda_{1} \int_{G} u \Phi d x \\
& =-\Psi(t)-\lambda_{1} U(t), \quad t \geq T
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} \Delta u\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right) \Phi d x=-\Psi\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)-\lambda_{1} U\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right), \quad t \geq T \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5)-(7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{\prime}(t)+\lambda_{1} a(t) U(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{1} b_{i}(t) U\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \quad \leq F(t)-a(t) \Psi(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \Psi\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right), \quad t \geq T,
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+\lambda_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \exp \left(\lambda_{1} \int_{t-\sigma_{i}}^{t} a(s) d s\right) y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right) \leq Q(t), \quad t \geq T, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y(t)=\exp \left(\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{t} a(s) d s\right) U(t)
$$

Hence, $y(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (9), which contradicts the hypothesis. If $u<0$ in $G \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), v \equiv-u$ satisfies the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{t}(x, t)-\left[a(t) \Delta v(x, t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \Delta v\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right)\right] \leq-f(x, t) \text { in } G \times[T, \infty), \\
& v=-\psi \quad \text { on } \quad \partial G \times(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding as in the case where $u>0$, we are led to a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Assume, moreover, that the following hypothesis holds:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ there is a number $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $c\left(x, t, \xi, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right) \geq p(t) \eta_{j}$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega, \xi \geq 0, \eta_{i} \geq 0(i \neq j)$, and $c\left(x, t, \xi, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right) \leq p(t) \eta_{j}$ for $(x, t) \in$ $\Omega, \xi \leq 0, \eta_{i} \leq 0(i \neq j)$.

Let $\tau_{j}(t)=t-\tau_{j}$, where $\tau_{j}$ is a nonnegative constant. Every solution $u \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ of the problem (1), ( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ) is oscillatory in $\Omega$ if the delay differential inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(t)+p(t) y\left(t-\tau_{j}\right) \leq \tilde{Q}(t),  \tag{10}\\
& y^{\prime}(t)+p(t) y\left(t-\tau_{j}\right) \leq-\widetilde{Q}(t) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

are oscillatory at $t=\infty$, where

$$
\tilde{Q}(t)=\tilde{F}(t)+a(t) \widetilde{\Psi}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that there is a solution $u$ of the problem (1), ( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ) which
has no zero in $G \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{0}>0$. First we assume tht $u>0$ in $G \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then we see that $u\left(x, \tau_{i}(t)\right)>0$ in $G \times[T, \infty)(i \neq j)$ for some $T>t_{0}$. It follows from the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ that

$$
c\left(x, t, u(x, t), u\left(x, \tau_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(x, \tau_{m}(t)\right)\right) \geq p(t) u\left(x, t-\tau_{j}\right) \quad \text { in } \quad G \times[T, \infty)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}(x, t)-\left[a(t) \Delta u(x, t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \Delta u\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right)\right]+p(t) u\left(x, t-\tau_{j}\right) \leq f(x, t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $G \times[T, \infty)$. Integrating (12) over $G$ and using Green's formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{G} u d x-\left[a(t) \int_{\partial G} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x, t) d S+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \int_{\partial G} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\left(x, t-\sigma_{i}\right) d S\right]  \tag{13}\\
&+p(t) \int_{G} u\left(x, t-\tau_{j}\right) d x \leq \int_{G} f(x, t) d x, \quad t \geq T
\end{align*}
$$

Taking account of $\left(B_{2}\right)$, we find that (13) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{U}^{\prime}(t)+p(t) \tilde{U}\left(t-\tau_{j}\right) & \leq \tilde{F}(t)+a(t) \tilde{\Psi}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}(t) \tilde{\Psi}\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right) \\
& =\tilde{Q}(t), \quad t \geq T,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\tilde{U}(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (13). This contradicts the hypothesis. In the case where $u<0$ in $G \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, the same arguments as in the case where $u>0$ lead us to a contradiction. The proof is complete.

## 3. Delay differential inequalities

We deal with the delay differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}(t) y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right) \leq q(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}$ is a positive number. It is assumed that $\sigma_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ are nonnegative constants, $q(t) \in \mathrm{C}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) ; \mathbf{R}^{1}\right), p_{i}(t) \in \mathrm{C}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) ; \mathbf{R}^{1}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ and

$$
p_{i}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}_{n, i},
$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{n, i}=\left(t_{n}-2 \sigma_{i}, t_{n}\right)$ and the sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is chosen so that $\left\{\mathrm{I}_{n, i}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint intervals for each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Theorem 3. Assume that there is a subsequence $\left\{t_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the properties that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k}=\infty, \\
& \int_{t_{n_{k}}-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n_{k}}} p_{j}(s) d s \geq 1, \\
& G\left(t_{n_{k}}\right) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{j}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}>0$ and

$$
G(t) \equiv \int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t} q(s) d s+\int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t} p_{j}(s)\left(\int_{s-\sigma_{j}}^{t-\sigma_{j}} q(r) d r\right) d s
$$

Then, (14) has no eventually positive solution.
Proof. Suppose that $y(t)$ is a solution of (14) which is positive on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Then $y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)>0$ on $\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{2}>t_{1}$. We note that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(t_{n}-2 \sigma_{i}\right)=\infty$, and hence there is an integer $N \in \mathrm{~N}$ such that $t_{n}-2 \sigma_{i}>t_{2}$ for any $n \geq N$. Letting $\xi_{n}=t_{n}-2 \sigma_{j}$, we find that $\left(\xi_{n}, t_{n}\right) \subset$ $\left(t_{n}-2 \sigma_{i}, t_{n}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$. Therefore, $p_{i}(t) \geq 0$ in $\left(\xi_{n}, t_{n}\right)$ and $y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)>0$ in $\left(\xi_{n}, t_{n}\right)$ for any $n \geq N$. Hence, it follows from (14) that

$$
y^{\prime}(t) \leq q(t) \quad \text { in } \quad\left(\xi_{n}, t_{n}\right) .
$$

By continuity we obtain

$$
y^{\prime}(t) \leq q(t) \quad \text { on } \quad\left[\xi_{n}, t_{n}\right] .
$$

For any $t \in\left[t_{n}-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}\right]$ we see that $\left[t-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}-\sigma_{j}\right] \subset\left[\xi_{n}, t_{n}\right]$, and therefore

$$
\int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}} y^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}} q(s) d s, \quad t \in\left[t_{n}-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}\right],
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right) \geq y\left(t_{n}-\sigma_{j}\right)-\int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}} q(s) d s, \quad t \in\left[t_{n}-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}\right] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t)+p_{j}(t) y\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right) & \leq y^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}(t) y\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \leq q(t), \quad t \in\left[t_{n}-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (15) with (16) yields

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+p_{j}(t) y\left(t_{n}-\sigma_{j}\right) \leq q(t)+p_{j}(t) \int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}} q(s) d s, \quad t \in\left[t_{n}-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}\right] .
$$

Integrating the above inequality on $\left[t_{n}-\sigma_{j}, t_{n}\right]$, we obtain

$$
y\left(t_{n}\right)-y\left(t_{n}-\sigma_{j}\right)+y\left(t_{n}-\sigma_{j}\right) \int_{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}} p_{j}(s) d s \leq \int_{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}}\left[q(s)+p_{j}(s) \int_{s-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}} q(r) d r\right] d s,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{n}\right)+y\left(t_{n}-\sigma_{j}\right)\left(\int_{t_{n}-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n}} p_{j}(s) d s-1\right) \leq G\left(t_{n}\right), \quad n \geq N . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k}=\infty$, there exists a $k_{0} \in \mathrm{~N}$ such that $n_{k}>N$ for any $k \geq k_{0}$. Letting $t_{n}=t_{n_{k}}\left(k \geq k_{0}\right)$ in (17), we conclude that the left hand side of (17) is positive and the right hand side of (17) is nonpositive. This contradiction establishes the theorem.

## 4. Oscillation of parabolic equations

We are now ready to state oscillation theorems for the boundary value problems (1), ( $\left.\mathrm{B}_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$.

Theorem 4. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold, and that the following hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ holds:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad b_{i}(t) \geq 0$ on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}_{n, i}$, where $\mathrm{I}_{n, i}$ are defined in Section 3.
Every solution $u \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ of the problem $(1),\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ is oscillatory in $\Omega$ if there is a subsequence $\left\{t_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the properties that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k}=\infty, \\
& \lambda_{1} \int_{t_{n_{k}}-\sigma_{j}}^{t_{n_{k}}} b_{j}(s) \exp \left(\lambda_{1} \int_{s-\sigma_{j}}^{s} a(r) d r\right) d s \geq 1, \\
& H_{1}\left(t_{n_{k}}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{j}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}>0$ and

$$
H_{1}(t) \equiv \int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t} Q(s) d s+\int_{t-\sigma_{j}}^{t} \lambda_{1} b_{j}(s) \exp \left(\lambda \int_{s-\sigma_{j}}^{s} a(r) d r\right)\left(\int_{s-\sigma_{j}}^{t-\sigma_{j}} Q(r) d r\right) d s .
$$

Proof. Theorem 3 implies that the delay differential inequalities (2) and (3) have no eventually positive solutions. Hence, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1 .

By combining Theorem 2 with Theorem 3, we can obtain the analogue of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Let $\tau_{j}(t)=t-\tau_{j}$, where $\tau_{j}$ is a positive constant. Assume, moreover, that:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \quad p(t) \geq 0$ on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}_{n}$, where $\mathrm{I}_{n}=\left(t_{n}-2 \tau_{j}, t_{n}\right)$ and $\left\{\mathrm{I}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint intervals.

Every solution $u \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ of the problem $(1),\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$ is oscillatory in $\Omega$ if there is a subsequence $\left\{t_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{k}=\infty \\
& \int_{t_{n_{k}}-\tau_{j}}^{t_{n_{k}}} p(s) d s \geq 1, \\
& H_{2}\left(t_{n_{k}}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H_{2}(t) \equiv \int_{t-\tau_{j}}^{t} \tilde{Q}(s) d s+\int_{t-\tau_{j}}^{t} p(s)\left(\int_{s-\tau_{j}}^{t-\tau_{j}} \tilde{Q}(r) d r\right) d s
$$

Remark 1. Let $g_{i}(s)(i \in\{0,1, \ldots, m\} \backslash\{j\})$ be continuous, odd functions in $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ which are nonnegative for $s>0$, and let

$$
\begin{align*}
& c\left(x, t, u(x, t), u\left(x, \tau_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(x, \tau_{m}(t)\right)\right)  \tag{18}\\
= & c_{0}(x, t) g_{0}(u(x, t))+p(t) u\left(x, t-\tau_{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1,2, \ldots, m} c_{i}(x, t) g_{i}\left(u\left(x, \tau_{i}(t)\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{i}(x, t) \in \mathrm{C}(\bar{\Omega}), c_{i}(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega(i \in\{0,1, \ldots, m\} \backslash\{j\})$. Then, $c(x, t, \xi$, $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}$ ) defined by (18) satisfies the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark 2. The hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ is satisfied if $b_{i}(t)=\cos i t, \sigma_{i}=\frac{\pi}{4 i}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, k)$ and $t_{n}=2 n \pi(n=1,2, \ldots)$. In the case where $b_{i}(t)=-\sin i t$, $\sigma_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2 i}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$ and $t_{n}=2 n \pi(n=1,2, \ldots)$, the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ is also satisfied.

Remark 3. Our theorems hold true even if $a(t)$ is not necessarily nonnegative.

Example 1. We consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}(x, t)-\left[u_{x x}(x, t)+(-\sin t) u_{x x}\left(x, t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+(-\sin 2 t) u_{x x}\left(x, t-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right]  \tag{19}\\
+2 u\left(x, t-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\
=4 \sin 2 x \cdot \sin 2 t \cdot(1+\sin t+\cos 2 t), \quad(x, t) \in(0, \pi) \times(0, \infty), \\
u(0, t)=u(\pi, t)=0, \quad t>0 . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $n=1, G=(0, \pi), k=2, m=1, a(t)=1, b_{1}(t)=-\sin t, b_{2}(t)=-\sin 2 t$, $\sigma_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \sigma_{2}=\frac{\pi}{4}, \tau_{1}(t)=t-\frac{\pi}{4}$ and

$$
f(x, t)=4 \sin 2 x \cdot \sin 2 t \cdot(1+\sin t+\cos 2 t) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}(t)=-\sin t \geq 0 & \text { on } \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}_{n, 1}, \\
b_{2}(t)=-\sin 2 t \geq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}_{n, 2},
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{n, 1}=(2 n \pi-\pi, 2 n \pi)$ and $\mathrm{I}_{n, 2}=\left(2 n \pi-\frac{\pi}{2}, 2 n \pi\right)$, we find that the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ is satisfied. It is easily seen that $\lambda_{1}=1, \Phi(x)=\sin x, \Psi(t) \equiv 0$ in $(0, \infty)$ and $\sigma_{j}=\sigma_{2}=\min \left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}=\frac{\pi}{4}>0$. An easy computation shows that

$$
F(t)=\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x, t) \sin x d x=0, \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

Hence, we see that $Q(t) \equiv 0$ in $(0, \infty)$, and therefore $H_{1}(t) \equiv 0$ in $(0, \infty)$. For $t_{n}=2 n \pi(n=1,2, \ldots)$, we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{n}-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{t_{n}}(-\sin 2 s) e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} d s=\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \geq 1
$$

Hence, Theorem 4 implies that every solution $u \in \mathscr{D}((0, \pi) \times(0, \infty))$ of the problem (19), (20) is oscillatory in $(0, \pi) \times(0, \infty)$. One such solution is
$u=\sin 2 x \cdot \sin 2 t$.
Example 2. We consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}(x, t)- & {\left[u_{x x}(x, t)+\sin t \cdot u_{x x}\left(x, t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]+u(x, t-\pi)-\sin t \cdot u\left(x, t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) }  \tag{21}\\
& =\cos x \cdot \cos t,(x, t) \in(0, \pi) \times(0, \infty)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u_{x}(0, t)=u_{x}(\pi, t)=0, \quad t>0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $n=1, \quad G=(0, \pi), \quad k=1, \quad m=2, \quad a(t)=1, \quad b_{1}(t)=\sin t, \tau_{1}(t)=t-\pi$, $\tau_{2}(t)=t-\frac{\pi}{2}, \tau_{j}=\tau_{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}, p(t)=-\sin t$ and $f(x, t)=\cos x \cdot \cos t$. Since

$$
p(t)=-\sin t \geq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}_{n},
$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{n}=(2 n \pi-\pi, 2 n \pi)$, the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ is satisfied. We easily see that

$$
\int_{t_{n}-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{t_{n}}(-\sin s) d s=1 \quad \text { for } t_{n}=2 n \pi
$$

Since $\widetilde{\Psi}(t) \equiv 0$ in $(0, \infty)$, we observe that

$$
\tilde{Q}(t)=\tilde{F}(t)=\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos x \cdot \cos t d x=0, \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

and hence $H_{2}(t) \equiv 0$ in $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 5 that every solution $u \in \mathscr{D}((0, \pi) \times(0, \infty))$ of the problem (21), (22) is oscillatory in $(0, \pi) \times(0, \infty)$. For example, $u=\cos x \cdot \sin t$ is such a solution.
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