

A variation formula for harmonic modules and its application to several complex variables

Dedicated to Professor Fumiyuki MAEDA on his 60th birthday

Andrew BROWDER and Hiroshi YAMAGUCHI

(Received October 2, 1992)

(Revised February 4, 1993)

Introduction

Let R be a compact or noncompact Riemann surface and let γ be a cycle in R . Then there exists a unique square integrable harmonic differential σ in R such that $\int_{\gamma} \omega = (\omega, * \sigma)_R (= \iint_R \omega \wedge \sigma)$ for all C^2 square integrable closed differentials ω in R . We call σ the reproducing differential for (R, γ) . The norm $\lambda = \|\sigma\|_R^2$ is called the harmonic module for (R, γ) . L. V. Ahlfors [2] noted their significance in the theory of functions of one complex variable. In this paper we shall show their usefulness in that of several complex variables.

To a complex parameter t in a disk B , we let correspond a covering surface $R(t)$ over the z -plane C with C^∞ smooth boundary $\partial R(t)$ and with branch points $\xi_i(t)$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$), where q does not depend on $t \in B$. Assume that $\partial R(t)$ varies C^∞ smoothly with the parameter $t \in B$ and that $\xi_i(t)$ is a holomorphic function on B . Thus $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, R(t))$ is a ramified Riemann domain over $B \times C$. We simply denote $\partial \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, \partial R(t))$, and write $\mathcal{R} : t \rightarrow R(t)$, $t \in B$. Now let $\gamma(t)$ be a cycle in $R(t)$ which varies continuously with $t \in B$ in \mathcal{R} . As a Riemann surface, each $R(t)$ with $\gamma(t)$ carries the reproducing differential $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ and the harmonic module $\lambda(t)$ for $(R(t), \gamma(t))$. We put $\Omega(t, z) = \sigma(t, z) + i * \sigma(t, z) = f(t, z) dz$ for $z \in R(t)$ and $\|\Omega\|(t, z) = |f(t, z)|$. In [15] and [16] we showed that: *If \mathcal{R} is pseudoconvex over $B \times C$, then $\frac{\partial^2 \lambda(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \geq \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{R(t)}^2$ for $t \in B$. Furthermore, the equality holds for all $t \in B$, if and only if \mathcal{R} is Levi flat.* In this paper, for any $\mathcal{R} : t \rightarrow R(t)$, $t \in B$, we shall prove a variation formula for $\lambda(t)$ of the second order, which deduces the above result in the pseudoconvex or Levi flat case. Precisely, let $\varphi(t, z)$ be a C^2 defining function of \mathcal{R} , and put, for $(t, z) \in \partial \mathcal{R}$.

$$k_2(t, z) = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \right\} + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \right\} \left/ \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|^3 \right.$$

which is called the Levi curvature of ∂R at (t, z) . Then, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \lambda(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial R(t)} k_2(t, z) \|\Omega\|^2(t, z) |dz| + \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{R(t)}^2.$$

By a triple (\mathcal{M}, π, B) , we mean that \mathcal{M} is a connected 2-dimensional complex manifold, B a region in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and π a holomorphic mapping from \mathcal{M} onto B such that each $\pi^{-1}(t)$, $t \in B$ is a 1-dimensional irreducible non-singular analytic set in \mathcal{M} . We put $M(t) = \pi^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in B$, which is a compact or noncompact Riemann surface. A triple $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ is said to be *topologically trivial*, if there exist a Riemann surface R and a topological mapping T from \mathcal{M} onto $B \times R$ such that $\pi_B \circ T = \pi$ where π_B is the projection from $B \times R$ to B . If R is of (topological) type (g, n) , that is, R is of genus g ($0 \leq g \leq \infty$) and has n ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$) ideal boundary components, then \mathfrak{M} is said to be of *type* (g, n) . If g and n are finite, \mathfrak{M} is said to be of *finite type*. Otherwise, \mathfrak{M} is said to be of *infinite type*. A triple \mathfrak{M} is said to be *holomorphically trivial*, if we can take a biholomorphic mapping T from \mathcal{M} onto $B \times R$ such that $\pi_B \circ T = \pi$. A triple \mathfrak{M} is said to be of *locally Stein*, if for any $t_0 \in B$, there exists a disk B_0 in B centered at t_0 such that $\pi^{-1}(B_0)$ is a Stein manifold. As usual a holomorphic mapping α from B into \mathcal{M} such that $\pi \circ \alpha = (\text{identity})$ is called a *holomorphic section of \mathcal{M} defined on B* .

As an application of the variation formula, we shall show

THEOREM. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, C)$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite or infinite type (g, n) . Then we have the following results (I) ~ (IV):*

(I) *If $n = 0$, then \mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial.*

Let $n \geq 1$ and assume that \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein. Then

(II) *\mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial except for the following three cases (i), (ii) and (iii):*

(i) *$(g, n) = (0, 1)$ and $M(t_0)$ for some $t_0 \in C$ is conformally equivalent to a unit disk,*

(ii) *$(g, n) = (0, 2)$ and $M(t_0)$ for some $t_0 \in C$ is conformally equivalent to a punctured unit disk,*

(iii) *\mathfrak{M} is of infinite type.*

(III) *In case (i), \mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial, provided that there exist at least two holomorphic sections of \mathcal{M} defined on C (which may intersect each other).*

(IV) *In cases (ii) and (iii), the same is true, provided that there exists at least one holomorphic section of \mathcal{M} defined on C .*

Assertion (I) is proved by a combination of some classical theorems for compact Riemann surfaces. (We shall give its brief proof at the end of this

paper.) We recall that any noncompact Riemann surface S of finite type (g, n) is conformally equivalent to the interior R of a compact Riemann surface R^\wedge of genus g excluded n' ($0 \leq n' \leq n$) simply connected domains $\{D_i\}$ with C^∞ smooth boundary ∂D_i and $n - n'$ points $\{P_j\}$, namely, $R = R^\wedge - \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n' \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-n'}} \{D_i \cup \partial D_i \cup P_j\}$. Then we say that S has n' *non-degenerating*, and $n - n'$ *degenerating ideal boundary components*. The special case in (II) such that all ideal boundary components of each $\pi^{-1}(t)$, $t \in C$ are degenerating, is immediately reduced to (I) by Theorem 2 in Nishino [11]. Thus the variation formula will be essentially used in the proof of the general case in (II) such that $\pi^{-1}(t_0)$ for some $t_0 \in C$ has at least one non-degenerating ideal boundary component, and in the proofs of (III) and (IV).

The authors thank Professor Masakazu Shiba for very useful comments, by which the original manuscript was largely revised.

1. Harmonic modules

Let R be a compact or noncompact Riemann surface. Following Ahlfors and Sario [3] we define

- $\Gamma(R)$ = the Hilbert space of square integrable differentials in R ;
- $\Gamma_c^2(R)$ = the space of square integrable closed differentials of class C^2 in R ;
- $\Gamma_h(R)$ = the space of square integrable harmonic differentials in R .

Let γ be a cycle in R . Then there exists a unique $\sigma_\gamma \in \Gamma_h(R)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_\gamma \omega = (\omega, * \sigma_\gamma)_R \text{ for all } \omega \in \Gamma_c^2(R).$$

The harmonic differential σ_γ is called the *reproducing differential* (or briefly, *r-diff.*) for (R, γ) . The norm $\lambda_\gamma = \|\sigma_\gamma\|_R^2$ is called the *harmonic module* (or, *h-mod.*) for (R, γ) . It is well-known that, for any cycle δ in R ,

$$(1.2) \quad \int_\delta \sigma_\gamma = \gamma \times \delta \quad (\text{intersection number}).$$

Assume that R is a compact bordered Riemann surface of type (g, n) . That is, R is of genus g and ∂R consists of n smooth curves $\{C_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) of class C^∞ in a larger Riemann surface $R^\wedge \supset R$. We put $\ell = 2g + n - 1$. As a canonical homology base of $R \cup \partial R$, we can take ℓ smooth curves on $R \cup \partial R$: $\{A_j, B_j, C_i\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq g; 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$) such that $A_i \times B_j = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker's delta) and $A_i \times A_j = B_i \times B_j = 0$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq g$). Let γ be a cycle in R . Then σ_γ is constructed as follows:

Case 1. $\gamma \sim C_i (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$. We consider the harmonic function $u_i(z)$ in R with boundary values 1 on C_i and 0 on $(\partial R) - C_i$. Then $\sigma_\gamma = du_i$ in R .

Case 2. $\gamma \sim A_i$. We cut R along A_i , so that $\partial(R - A_i) = (\partial R) + A_i^+ - A_i^-$. We form a harmonic function $v_i(z)$ on $R - A_i$ such that $v_i(z) = 0$ on ∂R and such that $v_i(z)$ is harmonically extended across A_i^+ and A_i^- to be functions $v_i^+(z)$ and $v_i^-(z)$ with $v_i^+(z) = v_i^-(z) - 1$ for $z \in U_i$ where U_i is an annulus around A_i . Then $\sigma_\gamma = dv_i$ in R .

Case 3. $\gamma \sim B_i$. By replacing A_i and $v_i(z)$ by B_i and $w_i(z)$ such that $w_i^+(z) = w_i^-(z) + 1$ for $z \in U_i$ where U_i is an annulus around B_i , we have $\sigma_\gamma = dw_i$ in R .

General Case. $\gamma \sim \sum_{i=1}^g [a_i A_i + b_i B_i] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k C_k$, (a_i, b_i, c_k are integers). If we set $u_\gamma(z) = \sum [a_i v_i(z) + b_i w_i(z)] + \sum c_k u_k(z)$, then $u_\gamma(z)$ is a harmonic function in $R - \bigcup_{i=1}^g (A_i \cup B_i)$ such that (1) $du_\gamma = \sigma_\gamma$ in R ; (2) $u_\gamma(z) = 0$ on C_n .

Such $u_\gamma(z)$ being unique, we say that $u_\gamma(z)$ is the *normalized Abelian integral* for (R, γ) . We note that

$$(1.3) \quad u_\gamma(z) = \text{const. } c_k \text{ on each contour } C_k \ (1 \leq k \leq n - 1).$$

In particular, $\sigma_\gamma(z)$ is of class C^ω up to ∂D .

New let γ be a Jordan curve in R . Two cases occur:

Case (i). γ is a dividing cycle. Namely, R is divided into two domains R' and R'' by γ where the orientation of γ is negative (resp. positive) with respect to R' (resp. R'').

Case (ii). γ is a non-dividing cycle, so that $R - \gamma$ is connected.

In both cases, for a fixed point $a \in R - \gamma$, we consider the Green function $g(a, z)$ for $\Delta g = 0$ of R with (logarithmic) pole at a . We set

$$(1.4) \quad I(a) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_\gamma *dg(a, z)$$

Then we have

PROPOSITION 1.1. In Case (i), $u_\gamma(a) = I(a) + 1$ for $a \in R'$; $= I(a)$ for $a \in R''$, while, in Case (ii), $u_\gamma(a) = I(a)$ for $a \in R - \gamma$.

PROOF. Stokes' formula implies that

$$\int_{(\partial R) - \gamma - \delta_\epsilon(a)} u_\gamma(z) * dg(a, z) = \int_{(\partial R) - \gamma - \delta_\epsilon(a)} g(a, z) * du_\gamma(z)$$

where $\delta_\varepsilon(a)$ is the circle of center a and radius $\varepsilon > 0$. By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain Proposition 1.1. \square

REMARK 1.1. In §4, we shall treat the case when R consists of a countable number of Riemann surfaces $\{R_j\}$ ($1 \leq j < \infty$) and when $\gamma \subset R$ consists of cycles γ_i in R_i ($1 \leq i \leq k; k < \infty$). By relation (1.1) we define the r -diff. σ_γ and the h -mod. λ_γ for (R, γ) . Clearly, $\sigma_\gamma = \sigma_{\gamma_j}$ in $R_j(1 \leq j \leq k); = 0$ in $R_j(k + 1 \leq j < \infty)$ and $\lambda_\gamma = \lambda_{\gamma_1} + \dots + \lambda_{\gamma_k}$, where σ_{γ_j} and λ_{γ_j} denote those for (R_j, γ_j) .

2. Smooth variations

Let B be a disk: $= \{t \in C \mid |t| < r\}$ and consider an *unramified* covering domain \mathcal{D} spread over $B \times C$. We simply say that \mathcal{D} is a *domain over* $B \times C$. Given $t \in B$, we set $D(t) = \{z \mid (t, z) \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Then $D(t)$ consists of an at most countable number of covering Riemann surfaces over C without branch points. We call $D(t)$ the *fiber of* \mathcal{D} *at* t . \mathcal{D} may be regarded as a variation of Riemann surfaces $D(t)$ with the complex parameter $t \in B$. We write $\mathcal{D}: t \rightarrow D(t), t \in B$. The following condition is imposed on \mathcal{D} :

CONDITION 2.1. There exist another domain \mathcal{D}^\sim over $B \times C$ and a real-valued C^∞ function $\varphi(t, z)$ in \mathcal{D}^\sim such that

- (1) $\mathcal{D}^\sim \supset \mathcal{D}$ and $D^\sim(t) \supset D(t) \neq \emptyset$ for any $t \in B$; We denote by $\partial\mathcal{D}$ the boundary of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{D}^\sim , and by $\partial D(t)$ the boundary of $D(t)$ in $D^\sim(t)$;
- (2) $\mathcal{D} = \{(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}^\sim \mid \varphi(t, z) < 0\}$; $\partial\mathcal{D} = \{(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}^\sim \mid \varphi(t, z) = 0\}$;
- (3) For any fixed $t \in B$, $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} \neq 0$ for any $z \in \partial D(t)$.

When Condition 2.1 is satisfied, we say that \mathcal{D} is a C^∞ *smooth variation*, and that the pair $(\mathcal{D}^\sim, \varphi)$ *defines* \mathcal{D} . Note that $\partial\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, \partial D(t))$. We put, for $(t, z) \in \partial\mathcal{D}$.

$$(2.1) \quad k_2(t, z) = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{t}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t \partial \bar{z}} \right\} + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \right\} \left/ \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|^3 \right.,$$

which is independent of the choice of the pair $(\mathcal{D}^\sim, \varphi)$. $k_2(t, z)$ is called the *Levi curvature of* $\partial\mathcal{D}$ *at* (t, z) (cf. Levenberg and Yamaguchi [6]). By (3) of Condition 2.1, there exists a compact bordered Riemann surface S and a C^∞ diffeomorphism $T: (t, z) \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, \psi(t, z))$ of $\mathcal{D} \cup \partial\mathcal{D}$ onto $B \times \bar{S}$ such that $\psi(t, \overline{D(t)}) = \bar{S}$.

3. Variation formulas

Let \mathcal{D} be a domain over $B \times C$ with Condition 2.1. We keep the notations ψ and S at the end of §2. Let S be of type (g, n) . Let γ be a cycle

in S , and put $\gamma(t) = \psi^{-1}(t, \gamma)$ for $t \in B$. Then $\gamma(t)$ is a cycle in $D(t)$ which varies continuously with $t \in B$ in \mathcal{D} . For any fixed $t \in B$, we have the r -diff. $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ and the h -mod. $\lambda(t)$ for $(D(t), \gamma(t))$. If we put $\sigma(t, z) = a(t, z)dx + b(t, z)dy$, then $a(t, z)$ and $b(t, z)$ are harmonic functions for $z \in D(t)$ and of class C^2 with respect to $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D} \cup \partial\mathcal{D}$ from (1.3).

DEFINITION 3.1. For $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D} \cup \partial\mathcal{D}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial t}(t, z) &= \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}(t, z)dx + \frac{\partial b}{\partial t}(t, z)dy; \\ \frac{\partial^2\sigma}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}}(t, z) &= \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}}(t, z)dx + \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}}(t, z)dy. \end{aligned}$$

They are harmonic differentials in each $D(t)$, $t \in B$. We consider the normalized Abelian integral $u(t, z)$ for $(D(t), \gamma(t))$. Then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, z)$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}}(t, z)$ are *single-valued* for $z \in \overline{D(t)}$. Indeed, we shall prove this in the case $\gamma \sim A_i$, for example. Let $t_0 \in B$. We can find a disk B_0 of center t_0 such that $A_i(t_0) \subset D(t)$ for all $t \in B_0$ and $A_i(t_0) \sim A_i(t)$ in $D(t)$. Since $u^+(t, z) = u^-(t, z) - 1$ for $z \in U_1$ and $t \in B_0$ where $U_1 \supset A_i(t_0)$, we have $\partial u^+/\partial t = \partial u^-/\partial t$ and $\partial^2 u^+/\partial t\partial\bar{t} = \partial^2 u^-/\partial t\partial\bar{t}$ for all $(t, z) \in B_0 \times U_1$, which proves our claim. We thus have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial t}(t, z) = d\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, z)\right); \quad \frac{\partial^2\sigma}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}}(t, z) = d\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}}(t, z)\right)$$

for $z \in \overline{D(t)}$. Given $t \in B$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(t, z) &= \sigma(t, z) + i * \sigma(t, z) = f(t, z)dz; \quad \|\Omega\|(t, z) = |f(t, z)|; \\ \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, z) &= \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, z) + i * \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\partial f/\partial\bar{t})(t, z)$ as well as $f(t, z)$ is a holomorphic function for $z \in D(t)$, and is of class C^2 up to $\partial D(t)$. Clearly, $\Omega(t, z) = 2\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(t, z)$ and $\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, z) = 2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}\partial z}(t, z)dz$. We shall show the variation formulas of the h -mod. $\lambda(t)$ for $(D(t), \gamma(t))$.

THEOREM 3.1. For $t \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \frac{\partial\lambda(t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega(t, \cdot), \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, \cdot)\right)_{D(t)}; \\ (2) \quad \frac{\partial\lambda^2(t)}{\partial t\partial\bar{t}} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial D(t)} k_2(t, z)\|\Omega\|^2(t, z)ds_z + \left\|\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\bar{t}}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{D(t)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where ds_z denotes the Euclidean line element of $\partial D(t)$.

PROOF. It suffices to prove these at $t = 0$. First, we prove (1) and

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}(0) = \int_{\partial D(0)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, z) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z) ds_z;$$

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}(0) = \int_{\partial D(0)} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}(0, z) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0) ds_z.$$

In fact, $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}(0, z)$ is harmonic in $D(0)$, and is of class C^2 up to $\partial D(0)$. Since $\gamma(t) \sim \gamma(0)$ in $D(t)$ for any t close to 0, we have

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}(0) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\gamma(0)} * \sigma(t, \cdot) \right)_{t=0} = \left(* \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}(0, \cdot), * \sigma(0, \cdot) \right)_{D(0)}.$$

Since the last term is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \left(\Omega(0, \cdot), \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{t}}(0, \cdot) \right)_{D(0)}$ from (1.2), we get

(1). By (1.3), we have $* \sigma(0, z) = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(0, z) dz = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z) ds_z$ along $\partial D(0)$. Here $\partial/\partial n_z$ denotes the outer normal derivative. It follows from (3.1) that

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}(0) = \int \int_{D(0)} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}(0, z) \wedge * \sigma(0, z) = \int_{\partial D(0)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, z) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z) ds_z,$$

which proves (3.2). Analogously, we have (3.3).

Next we shall prove that, for any $z \in \partial D(0) (= \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k(0))$ with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(0, z) \neq 0$,

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}(0, z) = \frac{1}{2} k_2(0, z) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z}}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}} \right\} (0, z).$$

In fact, let $z_o \in C_k(0)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(0, z_o) \neq 0$. In case $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z_o) \geq 0$, (1.3) implies that the function $\pm(u(t, z) - c_k)$ is a defining function of $\partial \mathcal{D}$ near $(0, z_o)$. Hence

$$k_2(0, z_o) = \pm \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \right\} + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \right\} / \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|^3,$$

where the right hand side is evaluated at $(0, z_o)$. Since $u(0, z)$ is harmonic on $D(0)$ and continuous on $\overline{D(0)}$, and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z_o) = \pm 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(0, z_o) \right|$, we obtain

(3.4). If we substitute (3.4) for (3.3), then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial \bar{t} \partial \bar{t}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D(0)} k_2(0, z) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_z}(0, z) \right)^2 ds_z + 4 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{i} \int_{\partial D(0)} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \right) (0, z) dz \right\}.$$

Since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, z)$ is a single-valued harmonic function for $z \in D(0)$, it follows by Stokes' formula that the second term of the right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{i} \iint_{D(0)} d \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z} \right) (0, z) dz \right) \right\} \\ &= 4 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{i} \iint_{D(0)} \left| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial z}(0, z) \right|^2 d\bar{z} \wedge dz \right\} = \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{t}}(0, z) \right\|_{D(0)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) of Theorem 3.1 is proved. \square

In the Introduction we defined a triple $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$. We call π a *projection*. We put $M(t) = \pi^{-1}(t)$, $t \in B$, and call it the *fiber* of \mathcal{M} at t . We write $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, M(t))$. For an open set $B_o \subset B$, we put $\mathcal{M}_{B_o} = \pi^{-1}(B_o)$, and define $\mathfrak{M}_{B_o} = (\mathcal{M}_{B_o}, \pi|_{\mathcal{M}_{B_o}}, B_o)$, which is called a *subtriple of \mathfrak{M} on B_o* . Let $p_o \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\pi(p_o) = t_o$. Then we can take local coordinates $B_o \times U_o$ where $B_o = \{|t - t_o| < r_o\}$ and $U_o = \{|z| < \rho_o\}$ of a neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of p_o such that p_o corresponds to $(t_o, 0)$, and $M(t) \cap \mathcal{U}$ to $\{t\} \times U_o$. We call $(t, z) \in B_o \times U_o$ *π -local coordinates at p_o* .

DEFINITION 3.2. A triple $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ is said to have C^∞ (resp. C^ω) *smooth boundary*, if there exists a larger triple $\mathfrak{M}^\sim = (\mathcal{M}^\sim, \pi^\sim, B)$ and a real-valued C^∞ (resp. C^ω) function $\varphi(p)$ on \mathcal{M}^\sim such that

- (1) $\mathcal{M}^\sim \supset \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi^\sim = \pi$ on \mathcal{M} , and $M^\sim(t) \supset \supset M(t)$ for all $t \in B$. We denote by $\partial \mathcal{M}$ the boundary of \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{M}^\sim ;
- (2) $\mathcal{M} = \{p \in \mathcal{M}^\sim \mid \varphi(p) < 0\}$, $\partial \mathcal{M} = \{p \in \mathcal{M}^\sim \mid \varphi(p) = 0\}$ and $(\partial \varphi / \partial z)(p_o) \neq 0$ at any $p_o \in \partial \mathcal{M}$ in \mathcal{M}^\sim where (t, z) is π^\sim -local coordinates at p_o .

We say that the pair $(\mathfrak{M}^\sim, \varphi)$ *defines \mathfrak{M} with C^∞ (resp. C^ω) smooth boundary*. In the rest of this section we assume that a triple \mathfrak{M} have a C^∞ smooth boundary. Let $p_o \in \partial \mathcal{M}$ in \mathcal{M}^\sim , and let $(t, z) \in B_o \times U_o$ be π^\sim -local coordinates at p_o . Using $\varphi(t, z)$ in $B_o \times U_o$, we define $k_2(t, z)$ on $(\partial \mathcal{M}) \cap (B_o \times U_o)$ by (2.1). By simple calculation we see that $k_2(t, z)/|dz|$ is independent of the choice of the pair $(\mathcal{M}^\sim, \varphi)$ and of π^\sim -local coordinates (t, z) at p_o , and so is $k_2(t, z) > 0$ or $= 0$.

Now let a cycle $\gamma(t)$ in $M(t)$ vary continuously in \mathcal{M} with $t \in B$. We consider the r -diff. $\sigma(t, z)$ and the h -mod. $\lambda(t)$ for $(M(t), \gamma(t))$. We put $\Omega(t, z) = \sigma(t, z) + i * \sigma(t, z) = f(t, z) dz$ on $M(t)$. Let $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and $(t, z) \in B_o \times U_o$ be π -local coordinates at p . Then $(\partial f / \partial \bar{t}) dz$ does not depend on the choice of π -local coordinates. It follow that, for a fixed $t \in B$, $(\partial f / \partial \bar{t})(t, z) dz$ defines

a holomorphic differential on $M(t)$. We denote it by $(\partial\Omega/\partial\bar{t})(t, z)$. Since $\|\Omega\|(t, z)|dz|$ is invariant on $M(t) \cup \partial M(t)$, $k_2(t, z)\|\Omega\|(t, z)$ is a function on $\partial M(t)$. Then we have

THEOREM 3.2. *For a triple $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ with C^∞ smooth boundary, the same variation formulas (1) and (2) (where $D(t)$ and ds_z are replaced by $M(t)$ and $|dz|$) of Theorem 3.1 hold.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove these at $t = 0$. By Nishimura [9] there exists a disk B_o of center 0 such that the subtriple \mathfrak{M}_{B_o} of \mathfrak{M} on B_o is biholomorphically mapped onto an unramified domain $R = \bigcup_{t \in B_o} (t, R(t))$ over $B_o \times C$ with Condition 2.1 by a transformation $\Phi : (t, z) \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, \phi(t, z))$ where $\phi(t, M(t)) = R(t)$ for all $t \in B_o$. We put $\gamma^\wedge(t) = \phi(t, \gamma(t))$ in $R(t)$, and consider the r -diff. $\sigma^\wedge(t, w)$ and the h -mod. $\lambda^\wedge(t)$ for $(R(t), \gamma^\wedge(t))$. We apply Theorem 3.1 to R and $\lambda^\wedge(t)$, so that formulas (1) and (2) for $\lambda^\wedge(t)$ hold. Since all five terms appeared in (1) and (2) are invariant under the transformation Φ , we have Theorem 3.2. \square

We note that the variation formula for $\lambda(t)$ of the second order stated in the Introduction is a special case of (2) of Theorem 3.2. We recall the definition of pseudoconvexity for (\mathcal{M}, π, B) : Let $p \in \partial\mathcal{M}$ and let (t, z) be π -local coordinates at p in \mathcal{M}^\sim . If $k_2(t, z) \geq 0$ at p , then p is called a *pseudoconvex boundary point* of \mathcal{M} . If $\partial\mathcal{M}$ consists of all pseudoconvex boundary points, \mathcal{M} is said to be *pseudoconvex*. If $k_2(t, z) = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{M}$, \mathcal{M} is said to be *Levi flat*. By Theorem 3.2, we have

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let (\mathcal{M}, π, B) be a triple with C^∞ smooth boundary. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is pseudoconvex. Then, (1) $\frac{\partial^2 \lambda(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \geq \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{M(t)}^2$ for $t \in B$. The equality holds for all $t \in B$, if and only if \mathcal{M} is Levi flat; (2) If $\lambda(t)$ is a harmonic function on B , then \mathcal{M} is Levi flat and $\Omega(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$. Conversely, if $\Omega(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\lambda(t)$ is a constant on B .*

4. Differentiability condition

In order to study the case of an infinitely many sheeted ramified domain over $B \times C$, we need a differentiability condition for $\sigma(t, z)$. Let \mathcal{D} be an unramified covering domain over $B \times C$ which satisfies

CONDITION 4.1. There exist another unramified domain \mathcal{D}^\sim and a C^∞ plurisubharmonic function $\varphi(t, z)$ in \mathcal{D}^\sim such that

- (1) $\mathcal{D}^\sim \supset \mathcal{D}$; $D(t)^\sim \supset D(t) \neq \emptyset$ for any $t \in B$; We denote by $\partial\mathcal{D}$ the boundary of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{D}^\sim , and by $\partial D(t)$ the boundary of $D(t)$ in $D^\sim(t)$;
- (2) $\mathcal{D} = \{(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}^\sim \mid \varphi(t, z) < 0\}$; $\partial\mathcal{D} = \{(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}^\sim \mid \varphi(t, z) = 0\}$;
- (3) $\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}\right)(t, z) \neq 0$ for any $(t, z) \in \partial\mathcal{D}$;
- (4) The subset $L = \left\{(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}^\sim \mid \varphi(t, z) = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}(t, z) = 0\right\}$ consists of a finite number of real 1-dimensional C^∞ smooth arcs (which may intersect each other) in \mathcal{D}^\sim . We denote by ℓ the projection of L to B .

By real analyticity of $\varphi(t, z)$ in \mathcal{D}^\sim , (i) ℓ consist of a finite number of real 1-dimensional C^∞ smooth arcs; (ii) $\partial D(t)$ for $t \in \ell$ has a finite number of singular points; (iii) $\partial\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, \partial D(t))$. In general, the variation $\mathcal{D} : t \rightarrow D(t)$, $t \in B$ is no longer even topologically trivial.

Fix $t \in B$ and $a \in D(t)$. We denote by $g(t, a, z)$ the Green function for $(D(t), a)$. Precisely, let $D_1(t)$ be a connected component of $D(t)$ containing a , and denote by $g_1(t, a, z)$ the Green function of $D_1(t)$ with pole at a . Then $g(t, a, z) = g_1(t, a, z)$ for $z \in D_1(t)$; $= 0$ for $z \in D(t) - D_1(t)$. We put

$$A(t, a) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \left(g(t, a, z) - \log \frac{1}{|z - a|} \right)$$

which is called the *Robin constant for $(D(t), a)$* . In [17] it was shown that under Condition 4.1,

$$(4.1) \quad g(t, a, z) \text{ is continuous for } (t, a, z) \in \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D(t), D(t)) \text{ with } a \neq z.$$

Moreover, $\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(t, a)$ exists and is continuous for $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}$.

By the same method we can prove

LEMMA 4.1. Under Condition 4.1, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, a, z)$ exists and is continuous for

$(t, a, z) \in \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D(t), D(t))$ with $a \neq z$. Furthermore, if we set $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, a, a) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(t, a)$, then $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, a, z)$ is continuous even at $a = z$.

Now we assume

CONDITION 4.2. To each $t \in B$ we let correspond a cycle $\gamma(t)$ in $D(t)$ in a continuous way in \mathcal{D} .

Precisely speaking, for any $t_0 \in B$ and any product neighborhood $B_0 \times G$ of $(t_0, \gamma(t_0))$ in \mathcal{D} , we can find a disk $B_1 \subset B_0$ of center t_0 such that $\gamma(t) \subset G$

for all $t \in B_1$ and $\gamma(t) \sim \gamma(t_0)$ in G . Therefore, the following situations may occur: $\gamma(t)$ for some $t \in B_0$ is a dividing cycle in $D(t)$, while $\gamma(t)$ for other $t \in B_0$ is a non-dividing cycle in $D(t)$; the number of components of $\gamma(t)$ varies with $t \in B_0$. For each $t \in B$, we denote by $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ and $\lambda(t)$ the r -diff. and h -mod. for $(D(t), \gamma(t))$. Then we have

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{D} satisfies Conditions 4.1 and 4.2. Then, (1) $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}(t, z)$ exists and is continuous for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}$; (2) $\lambda(t)$ is of class C^1 in B .*

PROOF. Let $t_0 \in B$ and write $\gamma(t_0) = \gamma_0$. It suffices to prove the case when γ_0 is a smooth curve. By Condition 4.2 we find a neighborhood $B_0 \times G$ of (t_0, γ_0) in \mathcal{D} such that $\gamma(t) \sim \gamma_0$ in G . We thus assume $\gamma(t) = \gamma_0$ for $t \in B_0$. We denote by $D_1(t)$ the connected component of $D(t)$ containing γ_0 . Two cases occur:

Case (i). γ_0 is a dividing cycle in $D_1(t)$. Then $D_1(t)$ is divided into two domains $D'_1(t)$ and $D''_1(t)$ such that $D_1(t) - \gamma_0 \sim D'_1(t) \cup D''_1(t)$; $\partial D'_1(t) = C'_1(t) - \gamma_0$; $\partial D''_1(t) = C''_2(t) + \gamma_0$ where $\partial D_1(t) = C'_1(t) + C''_2(t)$.

Case (ii). γ_0 is a non-dividing cycle in $D_1(t)$.

In both cases we take a point $a \in D(t) - \gamma_0$ and consider the integral defined by (1.4): $I(t, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_0} *dg(t, a, z)$. By (4.1), $I(t, a)$ is continuous for $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0} - (B_0 \times \gamma_0)$. Since $(\partial g / \partial t)(t, a, z)$ is separately harmonic for a and z , Lemma 4.1 implies that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_0} *d\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, a, z)\right)$ exists and is continuous for $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0} - (B_0 \times \gamma_0)$. Since the integrand of the right hand side is a harmonic differential for $z \in D(t)$ (even at $z = a$), the integral is invariant under replacing γ_0 by another curve $\gamma \sim \gamma_0$ in G . It follows that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a)$ defines a continuous function for all $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0}$ and that, for any fixed $t \in B_0$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a)$ is harmonic for $a \in D(t)$.

Now let $u(t, z)$ be the normalized Abelian integral for $(D(t), \gamma_0)$ such that $\sigma(t, z) = du(t, z)$ for $z \in D(t)$. By Remark 1.1, we have $\sigma(t, z) = 0$ in $D(t) - D_1(t)$ and $u(t, z) = 0$ on $D(t) - D_1(t)$. Proposition 1.1 implies that, for $t \in B_0$ in Case (i), $u(t, z) = I(t, a) + 1$ for $a \in D'_1(t)$; $= I(t, a)$ for $a \in D''_1(t)$; $= 0$ for $a \in D(t) - D_1(t)$, while, for $t \in B_0$ in Case (ii), $u(t, a) = I(t, a)$ for $a \in D_1(t) - \gamma_0$; $= 0$ for $a \in D(t) - D_1(t)$. In both cases, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, a)$ exists and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, a) = \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a)$ for $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0} -$

$(B_o \times \gamma_o)$. Again moving γ_o a little in G , we have $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, a) = \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a)$ for all $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_o}$. By (3.1), $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}(t, a) = d\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a)\right)$ exists and is continuous for $(t, a) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_o}$, which proves (1) of Theorem 4.1. Since $\frac{\partial \lambda(t)}{\partial t} = \int_{\gamma_o} * \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}(t, z)$, (2) follows from (1). □

COROLLARY 4.1. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 4.1, either $1/\lambda(t) (> 0)$ is a C^1 superharmonic function on B , or $1/\lambda(t) \equiv +\infty$ on B .*

PROOF. We denote by B' (resp. B'') = $\{t \in B \mid \lambda(t) > 0$ (resp. = 0) $\}$. Note that $t \in B''$ iff $\gamma(t) \sim 0$. By Theorem 4.1, B' is open in B . Since \mathcal{D} is unramified over $B \times C$, B'' is open in B . Consequently, $B = B'$ or B'' . We assume $B = B'$. Let $t_o \in B - \ell$ where ℓ was defined in (4) of Condition 4.1. We take a disk B_o centered at t_o such that $B_o \subset B - \ell$. Then, the triple $(\mathcal{D}_{B_o}, \pi, B_o)$ satisfies Condition 2.1. Since $\varphi(t, z)$ in Condition 4.1 is plurisubharmonic in \mathcal{D}^\sim , the domain \mathcal{D}_{B_o} over $B_o \times C$ is pseudoconvex.

By Corollary 3.1, $\partial^2 \lambda(t) / \partial t \partial \bar{t} \geq \|\partial \Omega / \partial \bar{t}\|_{D(t)}^2$ for $t \in B_o$. Applying Schwarz's inequality to (1) of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \lambda(t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Omega(t, \cdot)\|_{D(t)}^2 \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{D(t)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda(t) \frac{\partial^2 \lambda(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}.$$

Thus, $1/\lambda(t)$ is a C^2 superharmonic function in B_o , and hence in $B - \ell$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1, $1/\lambda(t)$ is of class C^1 on B . Since ℓ consists of real 1-dimensional smooth curves in B , it follows from Stokes' formula that $1/\lambda(t)$ is a C^1 superharmonic function on B . □

5. Approximation theorem

Let \mathcal{D} be a ramified domain over $B \times C$ which may be infinitely many sheeted. For $t \in B$, we denote by $(g(t), n(t))$ the topological type of the fiber $D(t)$, and put $\ell(t) = 2g(t) + n(t) - 1$. In general, $(g(t), n(t))$ depend on $t \in B$, and $\ell(t)$ may be $+\infty$. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of branch surfaces of \mathcal{D} , and, \mathcal{A} , the set of singular points of \mathcal{S} , so that \mathcal{A} consists of isolated points in \mathcal{D} . We put $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D(t))$; $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, S(t))$ and $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, A(t))$. We assume

CONDITION 5.1. \mathcal{S} contains no surfaces of the form $t = \text{const.}$, and $D(t) \neq \phi$ for any $t \in B$.

Let $(t_o, z_o) \in \mathcal{A}$. We find a bidisk $B_o \times K_o$ centered at (t_o, z_o) such that $[B_o \times (\partial K_o)] \cap \mathcal{S} = \phi$ and $\mathcal{S} \cap [\{t_o\} \times K_o] = (t_o, z_o)$. Each fiber $D(t) \cap K_o, t \in$

$B_0 - \{t_0\}$ consists of a finite number of components, each a sheeted surface over K_0 without relative boundary, say $d_i(t)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Note that m is independent of t . Let $t \rightarrow t_0$. Then some of these components, say $d_i(t)$ ($1 \leq i \leq k \leq m$), will be separated into several components $d_i^j(t_0)$ ($m + 1 \leq j \leq h_i$). Each $d_i^j(t_0)$ or $d_s(t_0)$ ($m + 1 \leq s \leq n$) is equivalent to the unit disk as Riemann surface.

Now we set $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D^*(t))$, so that \mathcal{D}^* is an unramified domain over $B \times C$, and each fiber $D^*(t)$, $t \in B$ consists of an at most countable number of unramified domains over C . We assume

CONDITION 5.2. \mathcal{D}^* is a Stein manifold;

CONDITION 5.3. To each $t \in B$ we let correspond a cycle $\gamma(t)$ in $D(t) - A(t)$ in a continuous way in $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$.

Fix $t_0 \in B$. We denote by $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ and $\lambda(t)$ the r -diff. and the h -mod. for $(D(t), \gamma(t))$. We set $\Omega(t, z) = \sigma(t, z) + i * \sigma(t, z)$ for $z \in D(t)$. Contrary to the case of compact bordered Riemann surfaces, it may happen that $\sigma(t, z) = 0$ on $D(t)$ and $\lambda(t) = 0$ for some $t \in B$ even when $\gamma(t)$ is not homologous to 0 in $D(t)$. (Precisely when $\gamma(t)$ is a dividing cycle on $D(t)$ such that the ideal boundary component of $D(t)$ determined by $\gamma(t)$ or $-\gamma(t)$ is of generalized capacity zero. See Marden and Rodin [8], for details.) The following theorem is useful in §§6 ~ 8.

THEOREM 5.1. Assume that \mathcal{D} satisfies Conditions 5.1 ~ 5.3. Then (1) $1/\lambda(t)$ (> 0) is a superharmonic function on B , which may be identically $+\infty$; (2) If $\lambda(t)$ is a harmonic function on B , then $\Omega(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$.

PROOF. Let $t_0 \in B$. By Condition 5.3 we find a cycle γ_0 near $\gamma(t_0)$ in $D^*(t_0)$ and a neighborhood $B_0 \times G \subset \subset \mathcal{D}^*$ of (t_0, γ_0) such that $\gamma(t) \sim \gamma_0$ in $D(t)$ for all $t \in B_0$. By Condition 5.2 there exists a C^ω plurisubharmonic function $\varphi(t, z)$ in \mathcal{D}^* such that, for any $\alpha < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}^* | t \in B_0 \text{ and } \varphi(t, z) < \alpha\} \subset \subset \mathcal{D}^* .$$

We take an α_0 such that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_0} \supset \supset B_0 \times G$. We can choose an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_n} = \bigcup_{t \in B_0} (t, D_{\alpha_n}(t))$ is an unramified domain over $B_0 \times C$ satisfying Condition 4.1. Note the $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^*(n \rightarrow \infty)$. We simply put $\alpha_n = n$. Each $(D_n(t), \gamma_0)$ carries the r -diff. $\sigma_n(t, \cdot)$ and the h -mod. $\lambda_n(t)$. By Corollary 4.1, $1/\lambda_n(t)$ (> 0) is either a C^1 superharmonic function on B_0 , or identically $+\infty$.

Now fix $t \in B_0$ and let $m > n$. Since $\sigma_m(t, \cdot) \in \Gamma_h(D_m(t))$ and $\gamma(t) \sim \gamma_0$ in $D_m(t)$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \|\sigma_m(t, \cdot)\|_{D_m(t)}^2 = \int_{\gamma_0} * \sigma_m(t, \cdot) = (\sigma_m(t, \cdot), \sigma_m(t, \cdot))_{D_m(t)},$$

so that $\|\sigma_m(t, \cdot) - \sigma_n(t, \cdot)\|_{D_n(t)}^2 \leq \lambda_n(t) - \lambda_m(t)$. Hence, the sequence $\{\sigma_n(t, \cdot)\}$ uniformly converges to a harmonic differential $\sigma^\wedge(t, \cdot)$ on any compact set in $D^*(t)$, and $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \|\sigma^\wedge(t, \cdot)\|_{D^*(t)}^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $D(t) - D^*(t) (\subset S(t))$ is an isolated set, $\sigma^\wedge(t, \cdot)$ is harmonically extended to $D(t)$, so that $\sigma^\wedge(t, \cdot) \in \Gamma_h(D(t))$ and $\sigma^\wedge(t, \cdot) = \sigma(t, \cdot)$ in $D(t)$. Hence, $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t)$ decreasingly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies (1) of Theorem 5.1.

To prove (2), assume that $\lambda(t)$ is a harmonic function on B . Then, by Dini's theorem, $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t)$ uniformly on B_o . We set, for any $t \in B_o$, $\Omega_n(t, z) = \sigma_n(t, z) + i * \sigma_n(t, z) = f_n(t, z) dz$ on $D_n(t)$; $\Omega(t, z) = \sigma(t, z) + i * \sigma(t, z) = f(t, z) dz$ on $D^*(t)$. If we extend $f_n(t, z)$ to be 0 on $D^*(t) - D_n(t)$, then $f_n(t, z) \rightarrow f(t, z)$ uniformly on any compact set on $D^*(t)$. We write $t = t_1 + it_2$ and $dV = dx dy dt_1 dt_2$ (the volume element of R^4) and $\mathcal{D}_{B_o}^* = \bigcup_{t \in B_o} (t, D^*(t))$. It follows from (5.1) and (1.2) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathcal{D}_{B_o}^*} |f_n(t, z) - f(t, z)|^2 dV \leq 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_o} (\lambda_n(t) - \lambda(t)) dt_1 dt_2 = 0.$$

We shall show

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, z) = 0 \text{ for } (t, z) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_o}^* \text{ in the sense of distributions.}$$

In fact, take any bidisk $B_1 \times V_1 \subset \subset \mathcal{D}_{B_o}^*$ and let $\phi(t, z) \in C_0^\infty(B_1 \times V_1)$. Since $f_n(t, z)$ is of class C^1 in \mathcal{D}_n from (1) of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$J \equiv \iint_{B_1 \times V_1} f \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{t}} dV = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{B_1 \times V_1} f_n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{t}} dV = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{B_1 \times V_1} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial \bar{t}} \phi dV.$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |J|^2 &\leq \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{B_1 \times V_1} \left| \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial \bar{t}} \right|^2 dV \right\} \left\{ \iint_{B_1 \times V_1} |\phi|^2 dV \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega_n}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{B_n(t)}^2 dt_1 dt_2 \right\} \left[\iint_{B_1 \times V_1} |\phi|^2 dV \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\ell_n = \{t \in B_o | \partial D_n(t) \text{ has at least one singular point}\}$, which consists of 1-dimensional C^ω smooth arcs. We set $B_o - \ell_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_o^{(k)}$ where $B_o^{(k)}$ is a connected component. Then, $\mathcal{D}_n : t \rightarrow D_n(t)$, $t \in B_o^{(k)}$ is a C^∞ smooth variation. Since \mathcal{D}_n is pseudoconvex, (1) of Corollary 3.1 implies $\partial^2 \lambda_n / \partial t \partial \bar{t} \geq \|\partial \Omega_n / \partial \bar{t}\|_{D_n(t)}^2$ in $B_o^{(k)}$, and hence in $B_o - \ell_n$. Let $\psi(t) \in C_0^\infty(B_o)$ such that $\psi(t) \geq 0$ in B_o and $\psi(t) = 1$ on B_1 . Then

$$\int_{B_1} \left\| \frac{\partial \Omega_n}{\partial \bar{t}}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{D_n(t)}^2 dt_1 dt_2 \leq \int \int_{B_0 - \ell_n} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_n(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \psi(t) dt_1 dt_2 \equiv K_n.$$

By (2) of Theorem 4.1, $\lambda_n(t)$ is of class C^1 on B_0 and of class C^2 on $B_0 - \ell_n$. Since ℓ_n consists of C^∞ smooth arcs, it follows by Stokes' formula that

$$K_n = \int \int_{B_0} \lambda_n(t) \frac{\partial^2 \psi(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} dt_1 dt_2 \rightarrow \int \int_{B_0} \lambda(t) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} dt_1 dt_2 = 0.$$

The last equality follows from the assumption $\partial^2 \lambda(t) / \partial t \partial \bar{t} = 0$ on B . Hence $J = 0$ and (5.2) is proved.

On the other hand, $f(t, z)$ is a holomorphic for $z \in D(t)$, so that $f(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0}^*$. In other words, $\Omega(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0}^*$. Since each $\Omega(t, z)$, $t \in B_0$ is holomorphic for z in $D(t) - \mathcal{A}$, $\Omega(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{D}_{B_0} - \mathcal{A}$. (2) of Theorem 5.1 is proved \square

By a *generalized triple* (\mathcal{M}, π, B) we mean that \mathcal{M} is a connected 2-dimensional complex space, B a region in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and π a holomorphic mapping from \mathcal{M} onto B such that each $\pi^{-1}(t)$, $t \in B$ consists of an at most countable number of 1-dimensional irreducible analytic sets. We denote by \mathcal{A} the set of singular points of \mathcal{M} . Assume that there exists a cycle $\gamma(t)$ in $M(t) - \mathcal{A}$ varying continuously with $t \in B$. We have the r -diff. $\sigma(t, z)$ and the h -mod. $\lambda(t)$ for $(M(t), \gamma(t))$. We put $\Omega(t, z) = \sigma(t, z) + i * \sigma(t, z)$ on $M(t)$. Then we have

COROLLARY 5.1. *If \mathcal{M} is a Stein space, then (1) and (2) (where \mathcal{D} is replaced by \mathcal{M}) of Theorem 5.1 hold.*

PROOF. By Bishop's theorem [4], \mathcal{M} is biholomorphically mapped onto a ramified domain \mathcal{D} over $B \times \mathbb{C}$ with Conditions 5.1 and 5.2 by a transformation $\Phi : (t, z) \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, \phi(t, z)) \in \mathcal{D}$. We put $\mathcal{A}^\wedge = \Phi(\mathcal{A})$ and $\gamma^\wedge(t) = \phi(t, \gamma(t))$. Then \mathcal{A}^\wedge is the set of singular points of \mathcal{D} and $\gamma^\wedge(t)$ varies continuously in $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}^\wedge$ with $t \in B$, that is, $\gamma^\wedge(t)$ satisfies Condition 5.3. Hence Theorem 5.1 is applied to \mathcal{D} and $\gamma^\wedge(t)$. Since $\lambda(t)$ and $\Omega(t, z)$ are invariant under the transformation Φ , we have Corollary 5.1. \square

6. Proof of (III) and (IV) in the Introduction

Given two triples $\mathfrak{M}_i = (\mathcal{M}_i, \pi_i, B)$ ($i = 1, 2$), we say that \mathfrak{M}_1 is *topologically* (resp. *holomorphically*) *equivalent* to \mathfrak{M}_2 , if there exists a topological (resp. biholomorphic) mapping T from \mathcal{M}_1 onto \mathcal{M}_2 such that $\pi_2 \circ T = \pi_1$. In the holomorphic case we write $\mathfrak{M}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{M}_2$. As defined in the Introduction, in the case when $\mathfrak{M}_2 = (B \times R, \pi_B, B)$, where R is a Riemann surface and π_B is the

first projection, we say that \mathfrak{M}_1 is *topologically* (resp. *holomorphically*) *trivial*. If R is of (topological) type (g, n) , \mathfrak{M}_1 is said to be of type (g, n) .

THEOREM 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, C)$ be a topologically trivial triple of type $(0, 1)$. Assume that (a) \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein; (b) $M(t_0)$ for some $t_0 \in C$ is conformally equivalent to the unit disk $D = \{|w| < 1\}$; (c) There exist at least two holomorphic sections $\alpha_i: t \rightarrow \alpha_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) of \mathcal{M} defined on C . Then \mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial: $\mathfrak{M} \simeq (C \times D, \pi_C, C)$.*

PROOF. By (c) we draw a Jordan curve $\gamma(t)$ on each $M(t)$, $t \in C$ rounding $\alpha_1(t)$ and $\alpha_2(t)$ positively such that $\gamma(t)$ varies continuously with the parameter $t \in C$ in \mathcal{M} . We consider the double sheeted domain \mathcal{M}^\wedge over \mathcal{M} with branch surfaces α_1 and α_2 and without relative boundary. Let $J: \mathcal{M}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the canonical projection. We put $\pi^\wedge = \pi \circ J$ and $\pi^{\wedge^{-1}}(t) = M^\wedge(t)$, so that $\mathcal{M}^\wedge = \bigcup_{t \in C} (t, M^\wedge(t))$ and $M^\wedge(t)$ is the double sheeted surface over $M(t)$ with branch points $\alpha_1(t)$ and $\alpha_2(t)$ and without relative boundary. Denote by $J_t: M^\wedge(t) \rightarrow M(t)$ the restriction J to $M^\wedge(t)$. We find two disjoint curves $\gamma_i(t) \subset M^\wedge(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) over $\gamma(t)$, which vary continuously with $t \in C$ in \mathcal{M}^\wedge . For any $t \in C$, we consider the r -diff. $\sigma(t, z^\wedge)$ and the h -mod. $\lambda(t)$ for $(M^\wedge(t), \gamma_1(t))$. We write $\Omega(t, z^\wedge) = \sigma(t, z^\wedge) + i * \sigma(t, z^\wedge)$ on $M^\wedge(t)$. Fix $t_0 \in C$. By (a), we find a disk $B \subset C$ of center t_0 such that \mathcal{M}_B^\wedge is a Stein space. By Corollary 5.1, $1/\lambda(t)$ is a superharmonic function on B . Consequently, $1/\lambda(t)$ is a non-negative superharmonic function on C , so that it is a constant $1/c$ on C , namely, $0 \leq \lambda(t) = c < \infty$ for all $t \in C$. Theorem in [14, p. 84] says that, under conditions (a) and (b), all $M(t)$ for $t \in C - K$, where K is a closed set of logarithmic capacity 0: $\text{Cap } K = 0$ in C , are conformally equivalent to the unit disk D . If we take a point $t_0 \in C - K$ such that $\alpha_1(t_0) \neq \alpha_2(t_0)$, then $0 < \lambda(t_0) = c < \infty$. It conversely follows that $\alpha_1(t) \neq \alpha_2(t)$ for all $t \in C$, and that each $M(t)$, $t \in C$ is conformal to D . By (2) of Corollary 5.1, $\Omega(t, z^\wedge)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z^\wedge) \in \mathcal{M}^\wedge$.

Fix $t \in C$ and let $z^\wedge \in M^\wedge(t)$. We put $\phi(t, z^\wedge) = \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{c} \int_{\ell(t)} \Omega(t, z^\wedge) \right\}$, where $\ell(t)$ is an arc connecting $\alpha_1(t)$ and z^\wedge in $M^\wedge(t)$. From the theory of one complex variable, we have (i) $M^\wedge(t)$ is conformally equivalent to the annulus $A = \{1/r < |W| < r\}$ by $W = \phi(t, z^\wedge)$ such that $r = e^{\pi/c}$; $\phi(t, \alpha_1(t)) = 1$ and $\phi(t, \alpha_2(t)) = -1$; (ii) for $z \in M(t)$, we take two points $z_i^\wedge \in M^\wedge(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $J_t(z_i^\wedge) = z$. If we put $W_i = \phi(t, z_i^\wedge)$, then $W_1 W_2 = 1$; (iii) if we consider the identification $I: W_1 \sim W_2$ by $W_1 W_2 = 1$ on A , then the quotient space A/\sim is conformally equivalent to the unit disk D . It follows that, for each $t \in C$, $w = I \circ \phi(t, J_t^{-1}(z)) (= \psi(t, z))$ is well-defined and one to one conformal mapping from $M(t)$ onto D . Since $\Omega(t, z^\wedge)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z^\wedge) \in$

\mathcal{M}^\wedge , $\psi(t, z)$ holomorphically depends on $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{M} \simeq (\mathbb{C} \times D, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$ by $\Psi: (t, z) \rightarrow (t, \Psi(t, z))$. \square

REMARK 6.1. The idea of constructing a double covering \mathcal{M}^\wedge of \mathcal{M} is useful to prove the Picard theorem: *If an entire function $f(t)$ on \mathbb{C} attains neither 0 nor 1, then $f(t)$ is a constant.* Indeed, for each $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we construct a double covering $D(t)$ over P^1 with 4 distinct branch points $\{0, 1, f(t), \infty\}$. $D(t)$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. We can draw a non-trivial cycle $\gamma(t)$ in $D(t)$ such that $\gamma(t)$ varies continuously with $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and consider the h -mod. $\lambda(t)$ for $(D(t), \gamma(t))$. If we put $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{C}} (t, D(t))$, then \mathcal{D} and $\gamma(t)$ satisfy Conditions 5.1 ~ 5.3. By (1) of Theorem 5.1, $1/\lambda(t)$ is a positive superharmonic function on \mathbb{C} , so that $\lambda(t)$ is a constant on \mathbb{C} , and hence $f(t)$ is a constant on \mathbb{C} .

COROLLARY 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, \mathbb{C})$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite or infinite type (g, n) . Assume that (a) $n \geq 1$ and \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein; (b) There exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the universal covering surface $M^\sim(t_0)$ of $M(t_0)$ is conformally equivalent to the unit disk D ; (c) There exists at least one holomorphic section $\alpha: t \rightarrow \alpha(t)$ of \mathcal{M} defined on \mathbb{C} . Then \mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial: $\mathfrak{M} \simeq (\mathbb{C} \times R, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$.*

PROOF. For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ we construct the universal covering surface $M^\sim(t)$ of $M(t)$ starting from the point $\alpha(t)$. We denote by $G(t) = \{f_n(t, z)\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ the cover transformation group of $M^\sim(t)$, so that $M^\sim(t)/G(t) = M(t)$. Since \mathfrak{M} is topologically trivial, we canonically obtain the topologically trivial triple $\mathfrak{M}^\sim = (\mathcal{M}^\sim, \pi, \mathbb{C})$ of type $(0, 1)$ and a holomorphic cover transformation group $\mathcal{G}^\sim = \{T_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ of \mathcal{M}^\sim such that (i) $\mathcal{M}^\sim/\mathcal{G}^\sim = \mathcal{M}$; (ii) $\mathcal{M}^\sim = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{C}} (t, M^\sim(t))$ with $\pi^{\sim -1}(t) = M^\sim(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$; (iii) each $T_n \in \mathcal{G}^\sim$ satisfies $\pi^\sim \circ T_n = \pi^\sim$ in \mathcal{M}^\sim and the restriction of T_n to each $M^\sim(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is identical with $f_n(t, z)$. We note that $f_n(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$. Since \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein, so is \mathfrak{M}^\sim . By condition (c), \mathfrak{M}^\sim has infinitely many holomorphic sections $\alpha_n^- = T_n \circ \alpha$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) defined on \mathbb{C} . By (b), Theorem 6.1 implies that $\mathfrak{M}^\sim \simeq (\mathbb{C} \times D, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$. We denote by $L_n(t, z)$ the cover transformation of D corresponding to $f_n(t, z)$ of $M^\sim(t)$. Since $L_n(t, z)$ is of the form $L_n(t, z) = e^{i\theta_n} (z - a_n(t)) / (1 - \overline{a_n(t)}z)$ and since $L_n(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times D$, $L_n(t, z)$ does not depend on $t \in \mathbb{C}$: $L_n(t, z) = L_n(z)$. If we put $D/\{L_n(z)\}_{n=0,1,\dots} = R$, then $\mathfrak{M} \cong (\mathbb{C} \times R, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$. \square

By the proof, we note that the holomorphic section α of \mathcal{M} corresponds to a constant section of $\mathbb{C} \times R$. Statement (III) in the Introduction is Theorem 6.1, and (IV) easily follows Corollary 6.1.

In the theory of function algebra, it has been studied when an analytic multivalued function \mathcal{E} in $B \times C$ contains a 1-dimensional analytic set (cf.

Wermer [9, Theorem 1]). It is known that \mathcal{E} is a pseudoconcave set in $B \times C$ in the theory of several complex variables, and the converse is true (see Aupetit [1, Chap. VII]). We put $E(t) = \{z \in C \mid (t, z) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ for each $t \in B$. By applying the usual normal family method to Theorem 6.1 we immediately have the following result concerning this subject:

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be a nonempty pseudoconcave set in C^2 of two complex variables (t, z) such that each $E(t)$, $t \in C$ is bounded in C and $P^1 - E(t)$ is simply connected. Assume that there exists a meromorphic function $f(t)$ on C such that $f(t) \notin E(t)$ for $t \in C$. Then we find an entire function $g(t)$ such that $g(t) \in E(t)$ for $t \in C$.*

We often use the following

NOTATION 6.1. Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite type (g, n) with $n \geq 1$. We thus have a topological mapping

$$(N_1) \quad T: (t, z) \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, \varphi(t, z)) \in B \times S \text{ with } \pi_B \circ T = \pi,$$

where S is a Riemann surface of genus g and with n boundary components. For any $t \in B$ and any $K \subset S$, we define $K(t) = \varphi^{-1}(t, K) \subset M(t)$. Hence, given cycle γ in S , $\gamma(t)$ defines a cycle in $M(t)$ which varies continuously with $t \in B$ in \mathcal{M} . For each $t \in B$, we denote by $\sigma_\gamma(t, z)$ and $\lambda_\gamma(t)$ the r -diff. and h -mod. for $(M(t), \gamma(t))$, and write $\Omega_\gamma(t, z) = \sigma_\gamma(t, z) + \sqrt{-1} * \sigma_\gamma(t, z)$ for $z \in M(t)$. We put $\partial S = C_1^\sim + \cdots + C_n^\sim$. We can draw n smooth cycles C_i in S such that C_i and C_i^\sim surround annulus domain E_i of S in the manner that

$$(N_2) \quad \partial E_i = C_i^\sim - C_i \text{ and } E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset (i \neq j).$$

We say that E_i is an *end of S with boundary component C_i^\sim* . So, each $E_i(t)$, $t \in B$ defines a noncompact region in $M(t)$ such that $E_i(t)$ has a relative boundary $\partial E_i(t) = C_i(t)$ and an ideal boundary component of $M(t)$, which we denote by $C_i^\sim(t)$. $E_i(t)$ is called an *end of $M(t)$ with ideal boundary component $C_i^\sim(t)$* . We write $\delta E_i(t) = C_i^\sim(t) - C_i(t)$, and $C_i(t) \sim C_i^\sim(t)$ in $M(t)$. In case when $\gamma = C_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$, we simply put

$$(N_3) \quad \sigma_{C_i}(t, z) = \sigma_i(t, z); \quad \lambda_{C_i}(t) = \lambda_i(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{C_i}(t, z) = \Omega_i(t, z).$$

As stated in the Introduction, each ideal boundary component $C_i^\sim(t)$ of $M(t)$ is either degenerating (to a point) or non-degenerating. We put

$$(N_4) \quad K_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{t \in B \mid M(t) \text{ has at least one degenerating ideal boundary component}\}.$$

Under these notations we have

LEMMA 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{W} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite type (g, n) , and of locally Stein. If $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{W}} > 0$, then we find a topologically trivial triple $(\mathcal{M}^\sim, \pi^\sim, B)$ of type $(g, n - 1)$ and a holomorphic section α of \mathcal{M}^\sim defined on B such that $\mathfrak{W} \simeq (\mathcal{M}^\sim - \alpha(B), \pi^\sim, B)$.*

PROOF. Take $t_o \in B$ such that, for any disk B_o of center t_o , $\text{Cap}(B_o \cap K_{\mathfrak{W}}) > 0$. Let $p_o \in M(t_o)$ and let $B_o \times U_o$ be a π -local coordinates at p_o . We put $M'(t) = M(t) - U_o$ and consider a triple $\mathfrak{W}' = (\mathcal{M}', \pi', B_o)$ where $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M} - B_o \times U_o (\subset \mathcal{M})$ and $\pi'^{-1}(t) = M'(t)$ for $t \in B_o$. \mathfrak{W}' is a topologically trivial triple of type $(g, n + 1)$. We can take the cycle $C_1(t) (\sim C_i^\sim(t)) \subset M'(t)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then we have the h -mod. $\mu_i(t)$ for $(M'(t), C_i(t))$ for $t \in B$. It is clear that $\mu_i(t) = 0$, if and only if $C_i^\sim(t)$ is a degenerating ideal boundary component of $M(t)$. Since each $1/\mu_i(t) (> 0)$ is superharmonic on B_o and since $\sum_{i=1}^n 1/\mu_i(t) = +\infty$ on $B_o \cap K_{\mathfrak{W}}$, it follows that one of them, say $1/\mu_1(t)$, is identically $+\infty$ on B_o , and hence on B . Thus, the ideal boundary component $C_1^\sim(t)$ of any $M(t)$, $t \in B$ is degenerating. It follows from Fundamental Theorem in Nishino [10] combined with §3 in [13] that, for any $t_o \in B$, we find a disk B_o of center t_o and an end $E'_1 (\subset E_1)$ of S with ideal boundary C_1^\sim such that, if we put $\mathcal{E}'_1 = T^{-1}(B_o \times E'_1) (\subset \mathcal{M})$, then the triple $(\mathcal{E}'_1, \pi, B_o)$ is holomorphically equivalent to a triple $(\mathcal{G}_1, \pi_1, B_o)$ of type $(0, 2)$ such that each fiber $G_1(t)$, $t \in B_o$ is a Jordan domain punctured at 0 which corresponds to $C_1^\sim(t)$. Thus the lemma is proved. \square

We can now prove that, *under the condition: $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{W}} > 0$, statement (II) in the Introduction is true.*

In fact, let $\mathfrak{W} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, C)$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite type (g, n) with $n \geq 1$, and of locally Stein. Assume that $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{W}} > 0$. Then Corollary 6.1 combined with Lemma 6.1 readily implies that \mathfrak{W} is holomorphically trivial, if (i) $n \geq 2$ and $M(t_o)$ for some $t_o \in C$ has at least one non-degenerating ideal boundary component, or (ii) $2g + n - 1 \geq 3$. The other case is: \mathfrak{W} is of type $(0, 1)$, $(0, 2)$ or $(1, 1)$ such that all ideal boundary components of each $M(t)$, $t \in C$ are degenerating. This case is reduced to (I) by Nishino [11]. \square

Statement (II) under $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{W}} = 0$ remains to be proved. In order to study this in §8, we prepare local properties in §7.

7. Local properties

Let (\mathcal{M}, π, B) be a triple. Let $f_i(t, z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be a meromorphic function for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that, for any fixed $t \in B$,

(7.1) $f_i(t, z)$ is non-constant for $z \in M(t)$.

We consider the transformation

$$T_i : (t, z) \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (t, w_i) = (t, f_i(t, z)) \in B \times P^1,$$

and denote by $\mathcal{D}_i = T_i(\mathcal{M})$. Thus \mathcal{D}_i is a ramified domain over $B \times P^1$, and $T_2 \circ T_1^{-1}$ is a biholomorphic mapping from \mathcal{D}_1 onto \mathcal{D}_2 . We write

(7.2) $T_2 \circ T_1^{-1} : (t, w_1) \rightarrow (t, w_2) = (t, \Phi(t, w_1))$,

where $\Phi(t, w_1) = f_2(t, f_1^{-1}(t, w_1))$ is a meromorphic function on \mathcal{D}_1 such that, for each $t \in B$, $\Phi(t, w_1)$ is non-constant for $w_1 \in D_1(t)$. We put

$$\mathcal{S}_i = \{\text{all irreducible components of the branch surfaces of } \mathcal{D}_i\}.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_i$ and take a non-singular point (t_o, w_o) of σ . Then, σ near (t_o, w_o) in \mathcal{D}_i is written in the form $\sigma : w_i = \xi(t)$ with $\xi(t_o) = w_o$, where $\xi(t)$ is a meromorphic function for t . In the case when $\xi(t)$ is constant (resp. non-constant) for t , we say that the component σ in \mathcal{S}_i is *constant* (resp. *non-constant*) for t . We put

$$\mathcal{S}'_i (\text{resp. } \mathcal{S}''_i) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_i \mid \sigma \text{ is constant (resp. non-constant) for } t\}.$$

We consider the following subset Σ in $B \times P^2$:

$$\Sigma = \{(t, w_1, w_2) \in B \times P^2 \mid w_i = f_i(t, z) \text{ for } (t, z) \in \mathcal{M}\},$$

which is a 2-dimensional irreducible analytic set in $B \times P^2$ (not always closed in $B \times P^2$). We call Σ the *graph of \mathcal{M} by (f_1, f_2)* . We say that Σ *realizes \mathcal{M}* , if Σ and \mathcal{M} are one to one except for an at most countable 1-dimensional analytic sets. Then we have

LEMMA 7.1. *Assume that there exists a 3-dimensional C^ω set $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, L(t))$ in an open set $\mathcal{G} (\subset \mathcal{M})$ such that (a) each $L(t)$, $t \in B$ is a 1-dimensional C^ω non-singular arc in $M(t)$; (b) $\text{Im} \{f_i(t, z)\} = 0$ for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{L}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then the following results (1) and (2) hold: (1) If $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{S}''_1$ exists, then $T_2 \circ T_1^{-1}(\sigma_1)$ ($= \sigma_2$) belongs to \mathcal{S}''_2 , and the order of ramification of \mathcal{D}_1 along σ_1 is equal to that of \mathcal{D}_2 along σ_2 ; (2) If Σ (defined above) realizes \mathcal{M} , then \mathcal{S}''_i ($i = 1, 2$) is empty.*

PROOF. We first show that

(7.3) $\Phi(t, w_1)$ of (7.2) does not depend on $t \in B$.

In fact, by (7.1), (a) and (b), we find a point $q_o \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\pi(q_o) = t_o$ (we put $t_o = 0$) and π -local coordinates $B_o \times U_o = (\{ |t| < r_o \} \times \{ |z| < \rho_o \})$ of \mathcal{M} at q_o such that (i) each arc $L(t)$, $t \in B_o$ divides U_o into two regions; (ii) the function

$f_i(t, z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is holomorphic in $B_o \times U_o$; (iii) for any fixed $t \in B_o$, $f_i(t, z)$ is univalent on U_o ; (iv) $\text{Im} \{f_i(t, z)\} = 0$ for all $z \in L(t) \cap U_o$, $t \in B_o$. Now we put $(a_1, a_2) = (f_1(q_o), f_2(q_o)) \in C^2$, where $\text{Im} a_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then, $T_i: (t, z) \rightarrow (t, f_i(t, z))$ is a biholomorphic mapping from $B_o \times U_o$ onto a (schlicht) neighborhood \mathcal{V}_i of $(0, a_i)$ in $B_o \times C$, so that \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 are biholomorphic by $T_2 \circ T_1^{-1}: (t, w_1) \rightarrow (t, w_2) = ((t, \Phi(t, w_1)))$. We have

$$w_2 = \Phi(t, w_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(t)(w - a_1)^n \text{ with } c_0(0) = a_2$$

in a bidisk $B_1 \times V_1$ ($\subset \mathcal{V}_1$) of center $(0, a_1)$. Each $c_n(t)$ is holomorphic on B_1 . By (iv), $\text{Im} \{\Phi(t, w_1)\} = 0$ for all $(t, w_1) \in B_1 \times V_1$ with $\text{Im} w_1 = 0$. Hence $c_n(t)$ is real-valued on B_1 , and $c_n(t) = \text{const. } c_n$ on B_1 . So, $\Phi(t, w_1)$ on $B_1 \times V_1$ does not depend on $t \in B_1$. Since \mathcal{D}_1 is connected, (7.3) follows by analytic continuation. In order to prove (1) of Lemma 7.1, let $\mathcal{D}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_1''$ and let $\ell - 1$ (≥ 2) be the order of ramification of \mathcal{D}_1 along \mathcal{D}_1 . We take a point $(t_o, a_1) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ such that \mathcal{D}_1 near (t_o, a_1) in \mathcal{D}_1 is of the form $\mathcal{D}_1: w_1 = \xi_1(t)$ for $t \in B_o$ with $\xi_1(t_o) = a_1$ where $\xi_1(t)$ is a non-constant holomorphic function in a disk B_o ($\subset \subset B$) of center t_o . We put $p_o = T_1^{-1}(t_o, a_1)$, $\tau = T_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{M}$, $a_2 = f_2(p_o)$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = T_2(\tau) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$ and $\mathcal{D}_2: w_2 = \xi_2(t)$ for $t \in B_o$. Then $\xi_2(t)$ is a meromorphic function on B_o with $\xi_2(t_o) = a_2$. For simplicity we assume that $\xi_2(t)$ is holomorphic on B_o . We take π -local coordinates $(t, z) \in B_o \times U_o$ at p_o such that τ corresponds to $B_o \times \{0\}$. Then, $f_i(t, z)$ near $(t_o, 0)$ is of the form

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1 &= f_1(t, z) = \xi_1(t) + b_\ell(t)z^\ell + b_{\ell+1}(t)z^{\ell+1} + \dots; \\ w_2 &= f_2(t, z) = \xi_2(t) + c_1(t)z + c_2(t)z^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where (t, z) runs in $B_o \times U_o$. Each coefficient $b_j(t)$, $c_j(t)$ is holomorphic in B_o and $b_\ell(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in B_o$. It is enough for (1) of Lemma 7.1 to show that (i) $\xi_2(t)$ is non-constant for $t \in B_o$; (ii) $c_1(t) = \dots = c_{\ell-1}(t) = 0$ on B_o and $c_\ell(t) \neq 0$ for some $t \in B_o$. To prove these, we consider a set

$$(7.5) \quad \sigma = \{(w_1, w_2) \in P^2 \mid w_i = f_i(t_o, z) \text{ for } z \in U_o\}.$$

If we take a small bidisk $V_1 \times V_2$ of center (a_1, a_2) , then $\sigma \cap (V_1 \times V_2)$ ($= \sigma_o$) is a closed 1-dimensional analytic set in $V_1 \times V_2$. By (7.1), we can write $\sigma_o = \{(w_1, w_2) \in V_1 \times V_2 \mid F(w_1, w_2) = 0\}$ where $F(w_1, w_2)$ is a holomorphic function on $V_1 \times V_2$ such that the set $A = \left\{ (w_1, w_2) \in \sigma_o \mid \frac{\partial F}{\partial w_1}(w_1, w_2) = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\partial F}{\partial w_2}(w_1, w_2) = 0 \right\}$ is a finite point set. We take a smaller bidisk $B_1 \times U_1$ ($\subset B_o \times U_o$) of center $(t_o, 0)$ such that $f_i(B_1, U_1) \subset V_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Consider the subgraph Σ_o of $\Sigma: \Sigma_o = \{(t, w_1, w_2) \in B_1 \times V_1 \times V_2 \mid w_i = f_i(t, z) \text{ for } (t, z) \in B_1 \times$

$U_1\}$. Then (7.3) implies that

$$(7.6) \quad \Sigma_o \subset B_o \times \sigma_o .$$

First, we put $z = 0$ in (7.4). Then we have $\{(t, \xi_1(t), \xi_2(t)) \in B_1 \times V_1 \times V_2 \mid t \in B_1\} \subset \Sigma_o \subset B_o \times \sigma_o$. Since $\xi_1(t)$ is non-const. on B_o and since A is a finite set, $\xi_2(t)$ is non-const. on B_o . (i) is proved. Next, we put $B'_1 = \{t \in B_1 \mid c_j(t) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j (1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1)\}$ and $B''_1 = \{t \in B_1 \mid c_\ell(t) = 0\}$. Fix $t \in B'_1 \cup B''_1$. Then (7.4), together with $b_\ell(t) \neq 0$, implies that $\{(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t)) \mid t \in B'_1 \cup B''_1\} \subset A$. Since $\xi_1(t)$ is non-const. on B_1 , the set $B'_1 \cup B''_1$ is also a finite point set. Hence, $B'_1 = \phi$. Since $b_\ell(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in B_1$, (7.6) implies $B''_1 = \phi$. (ii) is proved.

We shall prove (2) of Lemma 7.1 by contradiction. Assume that there exists an $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{S}'_1$ with order of ramification $\ell - 1 (\geq 1)$. Using the above notations we have

$$\Sigma_o = \{(t, \xi_1(t) + b_\ell(t)z^\ell + \cdots, \xi_2(t) + c_\ell(t)z^\ell + \cdots) \mid (t, z) \in B_1 \times U_1\} \subset B_o \times \sigma_o .$$

Since $\Sigma \supset \Sigma_o$ and $\mathcal{M} \supset B_1 \times U_1$, this contradicts the hypothesis. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. (1) of Lemma 7.1 was proved in [16] by calculation. The above intuitive proof by use of the graph is due to Professor Tetsuo Ueda.

Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite type (g, n) . We use $(N_1) \sim (N_4)$ in Notation 6.1 for this \mathfrak{M} . Then we have

LEMMA 7.2. *Assume that (a) \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein; (b) $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$; (c) $n \geq 2$ and at least one of $\{\lambda_i(t)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, say $\lambda_1(t)$, is a constant k_1 in B . Then, for any $t_o \in B$, we find a disk B_o centered at t_o such that the subtriple \mathfrak{M}_{B_o} of \mathfrak{M} on B_o is holomorphically equivalent to a triple $\mathfrak{M}' = (\mathcal{M}', \pi', B_o)$ with C^ω smooth boundary: $\mathfrak{M}_{B_o} \simeq \mathfrak{M}'$.*

PROOF. By (b), there exists $t^* \in B$ such that the fiber $M(t^*)$ is conformally equivalent to the interior R_o of a compact bordered Riemann surface \bar{R}_o of genus g with n C^ω smooth boundary contours $\{C_{i_o}\}$ by a conformal mapping

$$(7.7) \quad \xi: z \in M(t^*) \rightarrow w = \xi(z) \in R_o .$$

We let correspond $C_i \sim(t^*)$ to C_{i_o} ($1 \leq i \leq n$) by ξ . We have $\lambda_1(t^*) = k_1 > 0$. By (2) of Corollary 5.1, $\Omega_1(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\Omega_1(t, z) \neq 0$ on $M(t)$ for any $t \in B$. We shall prove

$$(7.8) \quad \int_{C_i(t)} \Omega_1(t, z) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-1} c_1 & (i = 1) & \text{where } c_1 > 0, \\ \sqrt{-1} c_i & (2 \leq i \leq n) & \text{where } c_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

In fact, the integral $I_i(t) = \int_{C_i(t)} \Omega_1(t, z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a holomorphic function

for $t \in B$. By (1.2), we have $\text{Re} \{I_i(t)\} = C_1(t) \times C_i(t) = 0$, so that $I_i(t)$ is a constant $\sqrt{-1} c_i$. If we consider the harmonic function $U(w)$ on R_o with boundary values 1 on C_{1o} and 0 on C_{io} ($2 \leq i \leq n$), then we have $\sigma_1(t^*, z) = dU \circ \xi(z)$ on $M(t^*)$, and $c_i = \int_{C_{io}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial n_z} ds_z$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Hence, $c_1 = \lambda_1(t^*) = k_1 > 0$, while $c_i < 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$). (7.8) is proved.

Since $c_i \neq 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) in (7.8), we see that each ideal boundary components of all $M(t)$, $t \in B$ is non-degenerating. Hence, for any $t \in B$, we find a harmonic function $u(t, z)$ on $M(t)$ such that $\sigma_1(t, z) = du(t, z)$ and

$$(7.9) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow C_i^-(t)} u(t, z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

where $u(t^*, z) = U \circ \xi(z)$ in $M(t^*)$. Let t_1 be any point of B . Take a small disk B_1 of center t_1 and a holomorphic section $\alpha: t \rightarrow \alpha(t)$ of \mathcal{M}_{B_1} defined on B_1 . For simplicity we write $t_1 = 0$; $B_1 = B$ and $\mathcal{M}_{B_1} = \mathcal{M}$. We put $E_i = T^{-1}(B \times E_i) = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, E_i(t))$, where E_i is defined in (N_2) in Notation 6.1. Besides the section α of \mathcal{M} on B , we draw holomorphic sections α_i such that $\alpha_i(t) \in E_i(t)$ for all $t \in B$. For any fixed $t \in B$, we connects $\alpha(t)$ and $\alpha_i(t)$ by an arc $\ell_i(t)$ in $M(t)$ such that $\ell_i(t)$ varies continuously in \mathcal{M} with $t \in B$. We consider the function on E_i :

$$(7.10) \quad f_i(t, z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{c_i} \left(\int_{\ell_i(t)} \Omega_1(t, z) + \int_{\alpha_i(t)}^z \Omega_1(t, z) \right) \right\}$$

where a path in the second integration lies in $E_i(t)$. We put $H(t) = u(t, \alpha(t))$. Then $|f_i(t, z)| = \exp \{ (2\pi/c_i)(u(t, z) - H(t)) \}$. We put

$$r_i(t) = \begin{cases} \exp \{ (2\pi/c_1)(1 - H(t)) \} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \exp \{ (-2\pi/c_i)H(t) \} & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

By (7.8), each $f_i(t, z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a single-valued holomorphic function for $(t, z) \in E_i$. By (7.9), $f_i(t, z)$, $t \in B$ is univalent in $E_i(t)$ (if necessary, take a smaller end $E_i(t)$ with ideal boundary component $C_i^-(t)$). Hence the mapping

$$T_i: (t, z) \in E_i \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, f_i(t, z)) \in B \times C$$

is a holomorphic injection. We put $\mathcal{D}_i = T_i(E_i) = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D_i(t))$, where $D_i(t) = f_i(t, E_i(t))$. It follows that $D_i(t)$, $t \in B$ is a double connected region in C whose outer boundary component (which corresponds to $C_i^-(t)$) is given by the circle $|w| = r_i(t)$. It thus suffices for Lemma 7.2 to verify that $H(t)$ is a C^ω function on B .

In fact, by condition (a), \mathcal{D}_i is pseudoconvex at all outer boundary points. By measuring the outer radius from the origin $w = 0$ of each $D_i(t)$, we see

from Hartogs' theorem that all $r_i(t)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are logarithmic superharmonic function on B , so that $H(t)$ is harmonic, and hence C^ω on B . \square

REMARK 7.1. Under the same conditions as in Lemma 7.2, we assume that $(g, n) = (0, 2)$. Then, for any $t_o \in B$, we find a disk B_o centered at t_o such that the subtriple \mathfrak{M}_{B_o} of \mathfrak{M} on B_o is holomorphically trivial: $\mathfrak{M}_{B_o} \simeq (B_o \times R_o, \pi_{B_o}, B_o)$ where $R_o = (\{1 < |w| < r_o\})$ and $r_o = e^{2\pi/k_1}$.

PROOF. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 7.2. When $(g, n) = (0, 2)$, we have $\sigma_1(t, z) = -\sigma_2(t, z)$ on $M(t)$ and $C_1(t) = -C_2(t)$ for $t \in B$. Moreover, the function $w = f_1(t, z)$ of (7.10) for $i = 1$ conformally maps each $M(t)$, $t \in B$ onto the annulus

$$D(t) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid e^{-(2\pi/c_1)H(t)} < |w| < e^{(2\pi/c_1)(1-H(t))}\}.$$

Since $H(t)$ is harmonic on B , we can find a holomorphic function $g(t)$ on B such that $\log |g(t)| = (2\pi/c_1)H(t)$ on B . Since $c_1 = k_1$, \mathcal{M} is biholomorphic to $B \times R_o$ by the transformation $T_1 \sim : (t, z) \rightarrow (t, W) = (t, g(t)f_1(t, z))$. Remark 7.1 is valid. \square

Now let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, B)$ be a triple with C^ω smooth boundary. Then, \mathfrak{M} is topologically trivial of finite type (g, n) with $n \geq 1$. We use Notation 6.1. We assume $\ell = 2g - n - 1 \geq 2$. Take ℓ independent cycles γ_i ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) in S . For $t \in B$, we have a cycle $\gamma_i(t)$ in $M(t)$ which varies continuously with $t \in B$ in \mathcal{M} . Assume that

$$(7.11) \quad \Omega_{\gamma_1}(t, z) \text{ and } \Omega_{\gamma_2}(t, z) \text{ are holomorphic for } (t, z) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then the ratio $\psi(t, z) = \Omega_{\gamma_1}(t, z)/\Omega_{\gamma_2}(t, z)$ is a meromorphic function for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\psi(t, z)$ is non-constant on each $M(t)$, $t \in B$. We consider the mapping

$$\Psi: (t, z) \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, \psi(t, z)) \in B \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

and put

$$(7.12) \quad \Psi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D(t)).$$

Then \mathcal{D} is an (at most $2\ell - 2$) sheeted Riemann domain over $B \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with some branch surfaces \mathcal{S} such that $(\mathcal{M}, \pi, B) \simeq (\mathcal{D}, \pi_B, B)$ by Ψ where $\pi_B^{-1}(t) = D(t)$. As in Lemma 7.1, we put $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}' \cup \mathcal{S}''$ and $\mathcal{N} = \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}'') \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Under these notations we shall prove

LEMMA 7.3. *Let (\mathcal{M}, π, B) be a triple with C^ω smooth boundary, where B is a disk centered at 0. Assume that $\ell \geq 2$ and (7.11). We construct \mathcal{D} by (7.12). Then (1) If $\mathcal{S}'' = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{D} = B \times D(0)$; (2) If $\mathcal{S}'' \neq \emptyset$, then any $\Omega_{\gamma_j}(t, z)$*

holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ is zero on \mathcal{N} ; (3) If all $\Omega_{y_j}(t, z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) are holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{D} = B \times D(0)$.

PROOF. By (2) of Corollary 3.1, $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is Levi flat. By (1.3), we have $\text{Im} \{\psi(t, z)\} = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{M}$. Since $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is C^ω smooth, $\psi(t, z)$ is meromorphic for (t, z) beyond $\partial\mathcal{M}$. Levi's theorem implies that, for a given $Q \in \partial\mathcal{M}(0)$, we find a unique holomorphic section $\beta: t \in B \rightarrow \beta(t, Q) \in \partial\mathcal{M}$ such that $\beta(0, Q) = Q$. It follows that, for $t \in B$,

$$\partial\mathcal{M}(t) = \{\beta(t, Q) | Q \in \partial\mathcal{M}(0)\}; \quad \partial D(t) = \{\psi(t, \beta(t, Q)) | Q \in \partial\mathcal{M}(0)\}.$$

For any fixed $Q \in \partial\mathcal{M}(0)$, the function $\psi(t, \beta(t, Q))$ is meromorphic for $t \in B$, so that it is a constant $\psi(0, Q)$ (because of $\text{Im} \psi = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{M}$). Hence $\partial D(t) = \partial D(0)$ for all $t \in B$, by which (1) of Lemma 7.3 follows. To prove (2), assume that $\mathcal{S}'' \neq \phi$ and $\Omega_{y_j}(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the ratio $\psi_j(t, z) = \Omega_{y_j}(t, z)/d\psi(t, z)$ is meromorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that, for any fixed $t \in B$, $\psi_j(t, z)$ is non-constant on $M(t)$ and $\text{Im} \{\psi_j(t, z)\} = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{M}$. We construct the mapping $\Psi_j: (t, z) \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (t, w_j) = (t, \psi_j(t, z)) \in B \times \mathbf{P}^1$, and put $\Psi_j(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D}_j = \bigcup_{t \in B} (t, D_j(t))$. Thus \mathcal{D}_j is a Riemann domain over $B \times \mathbf{P}^1$ with branch surfaces $\mathcal{S}_j (= \mathcal{S}'_j \cup \mathcal{S}''_j)$. Now, take any component $\mathcal{s} \in \mathcal{S}''$ and let $\ell - 1$ (≥ 1) be the order of ramification of \mathcal{D} along \mathcal{s} . We can apply Lemma 7.1 for $\mathcal{L} = \partial\mathcal{M}$; $f_1 = \psi$ and $f_2 = \psi_j$. We put $\tau = \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{s}) \subset \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{s}_j = \psi_j^{-1}(\mathcal{s}) \subset \mathcal{S}''_j$, $\tau: z = \beta(t)$ for $t \in B$, $\mathcal{s}: w = \eta(t)$ for $t \in B$, and $\mathcal{s}_j: w_j = \eta_j(t)$ for $t \in B$. By (1) of Lemma 7.1, ψ and ψ_j near $z = \beta(t)$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \psi(t, z) = \eta(t) + a_\ell(t)(z - \beta(t))^\ell + a_{\ell+1}(t)(z - \beta(t))^{\ell+1} + \dots; \\ w_j &= \psi_j(t, z) = \eta_j(t) + b_\ell(t)(z - \beta(t))^\ell + b_{\ell+1}(t)(z - \beta(t))^{\ell+1} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_\ell(t), b_\ell(t) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_j(t, z) &= \psi_j(t, z)d\psi(t, z) \\ &\equiv \{c_{\ell-1}(t)(z - \beta(t))^{\ell-1} + c_\ell(t)(z - \beta(t))^\ell + \dots\} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\ell-1}(t) = \ell \eta(t)a_\ell(t)$. Since $\ell \geq 2$, $\Omega_j(t, z)$ is zero on $\beta(t)$. We thus have (2) of Lemma 7.3. To prove (3), fix $t \in B$. Then, each $\Omega_i(t, z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) can be holomorphically extended to the double $M^*(t)$ of $M(t)$, which is a compact Riemann surface of genus ℓ . Consequently, $\bigcap_{i=1}^\ell \{z \in M^*(t) | \Omega_i(t, z) = 0\} = \phi$. Hence, (3) follows by (1) and (2). \square

8. Proof of (II) in the Introduction

We shall give the proof of statement (II) for the triple \mathfrak{M} with $Cap_{K_{\mathfrak{M}}} = 0$.

THEOREM 8.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \pi, C)$ be a topologically trivial triple of finite type (g, n) except for $(g, n) = (0, 1)$. If \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein and $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$, then \mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial.*

PROOF. Throughout the proof we use Notation 6.1 for our \mathfrak{M} for $B = C$. By $\text{Cap } K_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$, we take a point $t^* \in C$ which satisfies (7.7). The proof of Theorem 8.1 is divided into four short steps:

1st step. (1) For any nontrivial cycle γ in S (defined in (N_1)). $\lambda_\gamma(t)$ is constant on C ; (2) $\Omega_\gamma(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\Omega_\gamma(t, z) \neq 0$ on each $M(t)$, $t \in C$.

In fact, (1) of Corollary 5.1 implies that $1/\lambda_\gamma(t)$ (> 0) is a superharmonic function on C (which may be $\equiv +\infty$ on C). Thus, $\lambda_\gamma(t)$ is a constant c_γ (≥ 0) on C . It follows from (2) of Corollary 5.1 that $\Omega_\gamma(t, z)$ is holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $c_\gamma = \lambda_\gamma(t^*) > 0$, we see that $\Omega_\gamma(t, z) \neq 0$ on each $M(t)$, $t \in C$.

2nd step. Theorem 8.1 is true in the case $(g, n) = (0, 2)$.

In fact, we can take $\gamma = C_1$ in the 1st step. Then, $\lambda_1(t)$ (defined in (N_3)) is a constant $k_1 > 0$, so that Remark 7.1 is applied to our triple \mathfrak{M} . The rest of the proof of the 2nd step is standard: We choose a family of disks (B_i) ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) in C such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i = C$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{B_i}, \pi, B_i) \simeq (B_i \times R_o, \pi_{B_i}, R_o)$ by a holomorphic $T_i: (t, z) \in \mathcal{M}_{B_i} \rightarrow (t, w_i) = (t, f_i(t, z)) \in B_i \times S$. Here R_o was defined in Remark 7.1 (independent of $i = 1, 2, \dots$). Assume $B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ and fix $t \in B_i \cap B_j$. Then $w_j = f_j \circ f_i^{-1}(t, w_i) \equiv f_{ij}(t, w_i)$ gives a holomorphic automorphism of the annulus R_o . Since $f_{ij}(t, w_i)$ holomorphically depend on $t \in B_i \cap B_j$ and since $f_{ij}(t, C_1) \sim C_1$ in S , it follows that $w_j = f_{ij}(t, w_i) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_{ij}w_i}$, where θ_{ij} is a real constant on $B_i \cap B_j$. Since $\theta_{ij} + \theta_{jk} + \theta_{ki} \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ on $B_i \cap B_j \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$, we find a real constant θ_i on B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $\theta_{ij} \equiv \theta_i - \theta_j \pmod{2\pi}$ on $B_i \cap B_j$. Then, the mapping

$$(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}_{B_i} \rightarrow (t, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}f_i(t, z)) \in B_i \times R_o$$

is a well-defined holomorphic transformation from \mathcal{M} onto $C \times R_o$.

From now on we may assume that $\ell = 2g + n - 1 \geq 2$. Our triple \mathfrak{M} is not assumed to have a C^ω smooth boundary. However we make

3rd step. For any $t_o \in C$, there exists a disk B_o centered at t_o such that the subtriple \mathfrak{M}_{B_o} of \mathfrak{M} on B_o is holomorphically equivalent to a triple $\mathfrak{M}' = (\mathcal{M}', \pi', B_o)$ with C^ω smooth boundary: $\mathfrak{M}_{B_o} \simeq \mathfrak{M}'$.

In fact, we first assume that $n \geq 2$. Then we can take $\gamma = C_1$ in the 1st step, so that $\lambda_1(t)$ is constant $k_1 > 0$. From Lemma 7.2 we obtain the 3rd step for $n \geq 2$. We next assume that $n = 1$. Since $g \geq 1$, we can construct

a two-sheeted covering surface S_1 over S with neither relative boundary point nor branch point such that S_1 is of type $(g, 2)$. Since \mathfrak{M} is of locally Stein and is topologically equivalent to $(C \times S, \pi_C, C)$, we have the triple $\mathfrak{M}_1 = (\mathcal{M}_1, \pi_1, C)$ where \mathcal{M}_1 is a double covering of \mathcal{M} with neither branch surface nor relative boundary point such that \mathfrak{M}_1 is also of locally Stein and is topologically equivalent to $(C \times S_1, \pi_C, C)$. Since $n \geq 2$ for \mathfrak{M}_1 , the 3rd step is true for \mathfrak{M}_1 , and hence for \mathfrak{M} .

4th step. Theorem 8.1 holds.

In fact, we have $\ell (\geq 2)$ independent cycles $\{\gamma_j\}$ on S . By the 1st step, we make ℓ holomorphic $\Omega_{\gamma_j}(t, z)$ in \mathcal{M} . Then $\psi(t, z) = \Omega_{\gamma_2}(t, z)/\Omega_{\gamma_1}(t, z)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathcal{M} . We consider the mapping $\Psi: (t, z) \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (t, w) = (t, \psi(t, z)) \in B \times \mathbf{P}^1$, and put $\Psi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{t \in C} (t, D(t))$ like (7.12). Hence \mathcal{D} is a (at most $2\ell - 2$) sheeted Riemann domain over $C \times \mathbf{P}^1$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \pi, C) \simeq (\mathcal{D}, \pi_C, C)$ by Ψ , where $\pi_C^{-1}(t) = D(t) = \psi(t, M(t))$ for $t \in C$. It is enough for the 4th step to prove $\mathcal{D} = C \times D(0)$. By the 3rd step we find a family of disks B_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) of center t_j such that $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{B_j} \simeq \mathfrak{M}'_j = (\mathcal{M}'_j, \pi'_j, B_j)$, where \mathfrak{M}'_j has a C^ω smooth boundary. Note that, for any fixed $t \in C$, $\Omega_i(t, z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) is invariant under the holomorphic mappings for z . Since all $\Omega_j(t, z)$ ($1 \leq j \leq \ell$) are holomorphic for $(t, z) \in \mathcal{M}$, it follows from (3) of Lemma 7.3 that $\mathcal{D}_{B_j} = B_j \times D(t_j)$ for each j , where $\mathcal{D}_{B_j} = \pi_C^{-1}(B_j)$. Consequently, $D(t_j) = D(0)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, so that $\mathcal{D} = C \times D(0)$. \square

Proof of (I) in Introduction. Since \mathfrak{M} is topological trivial, we draw a canonical homology basis $\{A_i(t), B_i(t)\}_{i=1}^g$ of each compact Riemann surface $M(t)$ (of genus g independent of $t \in C$), where $A_i(t)$ and $B_i(t)$ vary continuously in \mathcal{M} with $t \in C$. For any i ($1 \leq i \leq g$), we have a unique analytic differential $\omega_i(t, \cdot)$ on $M(t)$ such that $\int_{A_j(t)} \omega_i(t, \cdot) = \delta_{ij}$ ($1 \leq j \leq g$). If we put $b_{ij}(t) = \int_{B_j(t)} \omega_i(t, \cdot)$, then $\text{Im} \{(b_{ij}(t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq g}\}$ is a positive definite matrix. Since \mathfrak{M} is a triple, each $b_{ij}(t)$ is a holomorphic function on C . Hence, $b_{ij}(t)$ must be a constant on C . By Torelli's theorem each $M(t)$ is thus conformal equivalent to $M(0)$. Then Fischer-Grauert's theorem [5] (even in the case when $M(t)$ is higher dimensional) implies that the triple \mathfrak{M} is locally holomorphically trivial. By the standard argument in the cohomology theory like the 2nd step in the proof of Theorem 8.1, we see that \mathfrak{M} is holomorphically trivial. \square

References

- [1] B. Aupetit, A primer on spectral theory, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [2] L. V. Ahlfors, Open Riemann surfaces and extremal problems on compact subregions. Comm. Math. Heiv., **24** (1950), 100-129.
- [3] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario, Riemann surfaces, Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey, 1960.
- [4] E. Bishop, Mappings of partially analytic spaces. Amer. J. Math., **83** (1961), 209-242.

- [5] W. Fischer and H. Grauert, Lokal-tiviale Familien kompakter komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Nach. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen II. Math. Phys. Kl.* (1965), 88–94.
- [6] N. Levenberg and H. Yamaguchi, The metric induced by the Robin function, *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.*, **92** (no. 448) (1991), 1–151.
- [7] E. E. Levi, Sulle ipersuperficie dello spacio a 4 dimensioni che passano essere frontiera del campo di esistenza di una funzione analitica di due variabili complesse, *Ann. Mat. pura appl.*, **18** (1911), 69–79.
- [8] A. Marden and B. Robin, Extremal and conjugate extremal distance of open Riemann surface with applications to circular and radial slit mappings, *Acta Math.*, **115** (1966), 237–269.
- [9] Y. Nishimura, Immersion analytique d'une famille de surface de Riemann ouvertes, *Publ. of R.I.M.S. of Kyoto Univ.*, **14** (1978), 643–654.
- [10] T. Nishino, Nouvelles recherches sur les fonctions entieres de plusieurs variables complexes (I), *J. of Kyoto Univ.*, **7** (1969), 112–168.
- [11] T. Nishino, Nouvelles recherches sur les fonctions entieres de plusieurs variables complexes (IV), *J. of Kyoto Univ.*, **10** (1973), 200–245.
- [12] J. Wermer, Polynomially convex hulls and anlycity, *Archiv for Matematik*, **20** (1982), 129–135.
- [13] H. Yamaguchi, Sur une uniformite des sirfaces des constantes de fonction entiere de deux variable complexes, *J. of Kyoto Univ.*, **15** (1975), 345–360.
- [14] H. Yamaguchi, Parabolicité d'une fonction entière, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **16** (1976), 71–92.
- [15] H. Yamaguchi, Famille holomorphe de surfaces de Riemann ouvertes, qui est une variété de Stein, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **16** (1976), 497–530.
- [16] H. Yamaguchi, Calcul des variations analytiques, *Japanese J. Math., New Series* **7** (no. 2) (1981), 319–377.
- [17] H. Yamaguchi, Variations of pseudoconvex domains over C^n , *Michigan Math. J.*, **36** (1989), 415–457.

*Department of Mathematics
Brown University
and
Faculty of Education
Shiga University*