

## A note on the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of neutral differential equations

Yūki NAITO

(Received May 12, 1994)

### 1. Introduction and the statement of results

In this note we consider the neutral differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d^n}{dt^n} [y(t) - p(t)y(t - \tau)] + f(t, y(\sigma(t))) = 0,$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and the following conditions are assumed:

- (a)  $p \in C[a, \infty)$ ,  $p(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq a > 0$  and  $\tau$  is a positive constant;
- (b)  $f \in C([a, \infty) \times R)$ , and

$$|f(t, u)| \leq F(t, |u|), \quad (t, u) \in [a, \infty) \times R,$$

for some continuous function  $F(t, u)$  on  $[a, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  which is nondecreasing in  $u$  for each fixed  $t \geq a$ ;

- (c)  $\sigma \in C[a, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ .

By a solution of (1.1) we mean a function  $y \in C[T_y, \infty)$  for some  $T_y \geq a$  such that  $y(t) - p(t)y(t - \tau)$  is  $n$ -times continuously differentiable on  $[T_y, \infty)$  and that (1.1) is satisfied for  $t \geq T_y$ . A solution of (1.1) is called nonoscillatory if it is eventually positive or eventually negative.

Recently there has been a lot of study concerning the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of neutral differential equations. For the case where  $p(t)$  is a constant coefficient we refer to [2, 4, 5, 9–12, 16, 18, 20, 21]. For the case where  $p(t)$  is a variable coefficient, we refer to [1, 3, 6–8, 13–15, 17, 19, 22]. Most of the existence results obtained so far, however, are established by imposing restrictive conditions on the variable coefficient  $p(t)$  in (1.1) such as

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq p(t) \leq p_0 < 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq a, \text{ where } p_0 \text{ is a constant.}$$

In this note we investigate the existence and asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of (1.1) with the variable coefficient  $p(t)$  satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \leq p_0 \leq p(t) \leq p_1 \quad \text{for } t \geq a, \text{ where } p_0 \text{ and } p_1 \text{ are constants.}$$

Our result is the following:

**THEOREM.** Assume that (1.3) is satisfied. Let  $k$  be an integer with  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Define  $u(t; k, p_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , by

$$(1.4) \quad u(t; k, p_i) = \begin{cases} t^k & \text{if } 0 \leq p_i < 1, \\ t^{k+1} & \text{if } p_i = 1, \\ p_i^{t/\tau} & \text{if } p_i > 1. \end{cases}$$

If

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^\infty s^{n-k-1} F(s, cu(\sigma(s); k, p_1)) ds < \infty$$

for some  $c > 0$ , then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $y$  satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{u(t; k, p_0)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{u(t; k, p_1)} < \infty.$$

Consider the case where the coefficient  $p(t)$  satisfies (1.2). We obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** Assume that (1.2) is satisfied. Let  $k$  be an integer with  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . If

$$\int_0^\infty s^{n-k-1} F(s, c[\sigma(s)]^k) ds < \infty$$

for some  $c > 0$ , then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $y$  satisfying

$$0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t^k} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t^k} < \infty.$$

**REMARK 1.** Similar results are obtained in [6, Theorem 3.1], [7, Theorem 1], [15, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2], [18, Theorems 2 and 4] and [20, Theorem 2].

Next we consider the case  $p(t) \equiv p_0$ , where  $p_0$  is a positive constant, that is, we consider the following neutral differential equation

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{d^n}{dt^n} [y(t) - p_0 y(t - \tau)] + f(t, y(\sigma(t))) = 0.$$

We have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2:** Consider equation (1.7). Let  $k$  be an integer with  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Define  $u(t; k, p_0)$  by (1.4). If

$$\int_0^\infty s^{n-k-1} F(s, cu(\sigma(s); k, p_0)) ds < \infty$$

for some  $c > 0$ , then (1.7) has an eventually positive solution  $y$  satisfying

$$0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{u(t; k, p_0)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{u(t; k, p_0)} < \infty.$$

REMARK 2. A similar result is obtained in [17, Corollary 2.2]. Recently, Kitamura and Kusano [10] and Kitamura, Kusano and Lalli [11, 12] have obtained some sufficient conditions for equation (1.7) to have nonoscillatory solutions satisfying more precise asymptotic behavior.

## 2. Proof of Theorem

The proof of Theorem is based on the following lemma which can be easily derived through a slight modification of Theorem 2.1 of Naito [17].

LEMMA 1. Let  $k$  be an integer with  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and let  $u_k$  be a positive continuous function satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau)}{t^k} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau)}{t^k} < \infty.$$

If

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\infty s^{n-k-1} F(s, cu_k(\sigma(s))) ds < \infty$$

for some  $c > 0$ , then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $y$  satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{u_k(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{u_k(t)} < \infty.$$

We notice that there always exists a positive continuous function  $u_k$  satisfying (2.1). (See [17, Remark 2.1].)

For the proof of Theorem we investigate the asymptotic properties of the function  $u_k$  in the statement of Lemma 1 under condition (1.3). We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Assume that  $p(t)$  satisfies (1.3). Let  $k$  be an integer with  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , and define  $u(t; k, p_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , by (1.4). Let  $u_k$  be a positive continuous function satisfying (2.1). Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k(t)}{u(t; k, p_0)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k(t)}{u(t; k, p_1)} < \infty.$$

Before we prove Lemma 2, we prove Theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let  $u_k$  be a positive continuous function satisfying (2.1). From Lemma 2, we have (2.4). Then, the integral condition (1.5) implies (2.2) for some  $c > 0$ . From Lemma 1, there exists a positive solution  $y$  of (1.1) satisfying (2.3). By virtue of (2.4), we obtain (1.6). This completes the proof of Theorem.

To prove Lemma 2, we prepare the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Assume that  $u, v \in C[a - \tau, \infty)$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u(t) - p(t)u(t - \tau) \geq v(t) - p(t)v(t - \tau), & t \geq a, \\ u(t) \geq v(t), & a - \tau \leq t \leq a. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u(t) \geq v(t), \quad t \geq a - \tau.$$

PROOF. Let  $w(t) \equiv u(t) - v(t)$  for  $t \geq a - \tau$ . We have

$$\begin{cases} w(t) - p(t)w(t - \tau) \geq 0, & t \geq a, \\ w(t) \geq 0, & a - \tau \leq t \leq a. \end{cases}$$

Define  $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  by  $T_i = a + (i - 1)\tau$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we see that  $[a - \tau, \infty) = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty [T_i, T_{i+1})$ . By the assumption, if  $t \in [T_0, T_1) = [a - \tau, a)$ , then  $w(t) \geq 0$ . If  $w(t) \geq 0$  is true on the interval  $[T_i, T_{i+1})$  for some  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then

$$w(t) \geq p(t)w(t - \tau) \geq 0$$

on the next interval  $[T_{i+1}, T_{i+2})$ . By induction on  $i$ , we conclude that  $w(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq a - \tau$ , which implies  $u(t) \geq v(t)$  for  $t \geq a - \tau$ . This completes the proof.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. Define  $v_{i(k)}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , by

$$v_{i(k)}(t) = \begin{cases} t^k & \text{if } 0 \leq p_i < 1, \\ t^{k+1} & \text{if } p_i = 1, \\ p_i^{t/\tau} - t^k & \text{if } p_i > 1. \end{cases}$$

We observe that, for  $i = 0, 1$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_{i(k)}(t) - p_i v_{i(k)}(t - \tau)}{t^k} = \begin{cases} 1 - p_i > 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq p_i < 1, \\ (k + 1)\tau > 0 & \text{if } p_i = 1, \\ p_i - 1 > 0 & \text{if } p_i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we can choose a sufficiently large  $T \geq a$ , a sufficiently small  $c_* > 0$  and a sufficiently large  $c^* > 0$  such that

$$c_* \frac{v_{0(k)}(t) - p_0 v_{0(k)}(t - \tau)}{t^k} \leq \frac{u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau)}{t^k}, \quad t \geq T,$$

$$\frac{u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau)}{t^k} \leq c^* \frac{v_{1(k)}(t) - p_1 v_{1(k)}(t - \tau)}{t^k}, \quad t \geq T,$$

and

$$c_* v_{0(k)}(t) \leq u_k(t) \leq c^* v_{1(k)}(t), \quad T - \tau \leq t \leq T.$$

It follows that

$$(2.5) \quad c_* v_{0(k)}(t) - p_0 c_* v_{0(k)}(t - \tau) \leq u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau), \quad t \geq T,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau) \leq c^* v_{1(k)}(t) - p_1 c^* v_{1(k)}(t - \tau), \quad t \geq T.$$

Because  $u_k$  is positive, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad u_k(t) - p_1 u_k(t - \tau) \leq u_k(t) - p(t)u_k(t - \tau) \leq u_k(t) - p_0 u_k(t - \tau), \quad t \geq T.$$

From (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$c_* v_{0(k)}(t) - p_0 c_* v_{0(k)}(t - \tau) \leq u_k(t) - p_0 u_k(t - \tau), \quad t \geq T,$$

and

$$u_k(t) - p_1 u_k(t - \tau) \leq c^* v_{1(k)}(t) - p_1 c^* v_{1(k)}(t - \tau), \quad t \geq T.$$

Applying Lemma 3 with  $u$  and  $v$  replaced by  $u_k$  and  $c_* v_{0(k)}$ , respectively, we obtain  $c_* v_{0(k)}(t) \leq u_k(t)$  for  $t \geq T - \tau$ . In a similar fashion, we get  $u_k(t) \leq c^* v_{1(k)}(t)$  for  $t \geq T - \tau$ . Therefore we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k(t)}{v_{0(k)}(t)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k(t)}{v_{1(k)}(t)} < \infty.$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(t; k, p_i)}{v_{i(k)}(t)} = 1,$$

we conclude that (2.4) holds. This completes the proof.

### References

- [1] Chen, S. and Huang, Q., Asymptotic behavior of solutions to neutral functional differential equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **40** (1989), 345–355.
- [2] Chen, Y., Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of  $n$ th order neutral delay differential

- equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **35** (1992), 557–570.
- [3] Gopalsamy, K., Oscillation and nonoscillation in neutral differential equations with variable parameters, *J. Math. Phys. Sci.*, **21** (1987), 593–611.
  - [4] Gopalsamy, K. and Zhang, B. G., Oscillation and nonoscillation in first order neutral differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **151** (1990), 42–57.
  - [5] Grove, E. A., Kulenović, M. R. S. and Ladas, G., Sufficient conditions for oscillation and nonoscillation of neutral equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **68** (1987), 373–382.
  - [6] Jaroš, J. and Kusano, T., Oscillation theory of higher order linear functional differential equations of neutral type, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **18** (1988), 509–532.
  - [7] Jaroš, J. and Kusano, T., Asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of nonlinear differential equations of neutral type, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **32** (1989), 251–263.
  - [8] Jaroš, J. and Kusano, T., On a class of first order nonlinear functional differential equations, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **40** (1990), 475–490.
  - [9] Kitamura, Y. and Kusano, T., Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of first-order functional differential equations of neutral type, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **33** (1990), 325–343.
  - [10] Kitamura, Y. and Kusano, T., Existence theorems for a neutral functional differential equation where leading part contains a difference operator of higher degree, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **25** (1995), 53–82.
  - [11] Kitamura, Y., Kusano, T. and Lalli, B. S., Existence theorems for nonlinear functional differential equations of neutral type, *Georgian Math. J.* (to appear).
  - [12] Kitamura, Y., Kusano, T. and Lalli, B. S., Existence of oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions for a class of neutral functional differential equations, *Mathematica Bohemica* **120** (1995), 57–69.
  - [13] Ladas, G. and Qian, C., Linearized oscillations for odd-order neutral delay differential equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **88** (1990), 238–247.
  - [14] Ladas, G. and Qian, C., Linearized oscillations for even-order neutral differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **159** (1991), 237–250.
  - [15] Naito, Y., Nonoscillatory solutions of neutral differential equations, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **20** (1990), 231–258.
  - [16] Naito, Y., Asymptotic behavior of decaying nonoscillatory solutions of neutral differential equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **35** (1992), 95–110.
  - [17] Naito, Y., Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of neutral differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **188** (1994), 227–244.
  - [18] Ruan, J., Types and criteria of nonoscillatory solutions for second order linear neutral differential equations, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **8** (1987), 114–124. (Chinese)
  - [19] Wudu, L., Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of first order nonlinear neutral equations, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, **32** (1990), 180–192.
  - [20] Yu, J., Wang, Z. and Qian, C., Oscillation of neutral differential equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1992), 195–200.
  - [21] Zhang, B. G. and Yu, J. S., On the existence of asymptotic decaying positive solutions of second order neutral differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **166** (1992), 1–11.
  - [22] Zhang, B. G. and Yu, J. S., Oscillation and nonoscillation for neutral differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **172** (1993), 11–23.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Hiroshima University*