

## Bessel-type functions of matrix variables

Salem Ben SAÏD

(Received November 18, 2003)

(Revised October 18, 2004)

**ABSTRACT.** In the present work we compute explicitly a certain type of hypergeometric functions of matrix variables given as an integral of a Gaussian-type kernel. In the case of one variable, these functions are related to the modified Bessel function of the third kind.

### 1. Introduction

This paper deals with explicit computations of certain type of hypergeometric functions related to the linear groups  $U(p, q)$  and  $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ . In doing this, some integral formulas over the group of unitary matrices are given. To be more precise, let us take the case of  $U(p, q)$ .

For  $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $n = p + q$ , let  $I_{p,q} := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix}$  be the diagonal matrix with  $p$  copies of  $(+1)$  and  $q$  copies of  $(-1)$  along the diagonal. Define  $U(p, q)$  as the set of invertible matrices  $g \in M(n, \mathbf{C})$  such that  $gI_{p,q}g^* = I_{p,q}$ , where  $g^* := \bar{g}^t$ .

For diagonal matrices  $\mathbf{a} := \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  and  $\mathbf{\beta} := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$ , such that  $\alpha_i + \beta_j \neq 0$ , we define

$$\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}) := \int_{U(p,q)} e^{-\text{tr}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{\beta} \end{bmatrix}(gg^*)^{-1}\right\}} dg.$$

Here “tr” means the usual trace of a matrix. If  $p = q = 1$ , we can easily show that

$$\zeta_{1,1}(\alpha, \beta) = c_0(\alpha + \beta)^{-1/2} K_{1/2}(\alpha + \beta),$$

where  $K_\nu(z)$  is the modified Bessel function of the third kind

$$K_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} \frac{e^{-z/2}}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\nu-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\nu-1/2} dt$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T35, 65D20.

*Key Words and phrases.* Matrices and determinant, Computation of special matrix functions, Integrals over compact groups.

for  $\operatorname{Re}(v + \frac{1}{2}) > 0$  and  $|\arg z| < \pi$ . As we can see, the function  $\zeta_{p,q}$  is a multivariate analogue of the modified Bessel function  $K_\nu$ . To compute  $\zeta_{p,q}$ , the main idea is to write  $\zeta_{p,q}$  as an integral over the unit ball  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q} := \{z \in M(p, q; \mathbf{C}) \mid \det(I_p - zz^*) > 0\}$ , and to use the polar decomposition of  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$ . In doing this, we also obtain the explicit formula of

$${}_0F_0(S, T) := \int_{U(m)} e^{\operatorname{tr}(uSu^*T)} du$$

for  $S = \operatorname{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_{m_1}, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $T = \operatorname{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{m_2}, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Here  $U(m)$  denotes the set of unitary matrices  $u \in M(m, \mathbf{C})$ . It turns out that  ${}_0F_0(S, T)$  was introduced by A. T. James in [JAMES, 1964] as a generalization of the usual hypergeometric function  ${}_0F_0(S) = e^{\operatorname{tr}(S)}$ .

The Bessel-type functions under investigation play a crucial role in the theory of random matrices, mainly when one needs to derive explicit formulas for the correlation functions of the random variables (see for instance [BRÉZIN-HIKAMI, 2001, BRÉZIN-HIKAMI, 2003]).

The following notations will be used through out the paper. For a matrix  $x$  we write  $x^* = \bar{x}^t$  where  $x^t$  is the transpose of  $x$ . If  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  are complex numbers,  $\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r}_{r \times r})$  denotes the diagonal matrix of size  $r \times r$ .

If  $x$  and  $y$  are two  $r \times r$  square matrices of size  $r \times r$  and  $s \times s$ , respectively,  $\exp[\operatorname{tr}(x + y)]$  stands for  $\exp[\operatorname{tr}(x)] \exp[\operatorname{tr}(y)]$  where ‘‘exp’’ is the exponential function. For  $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$ , the element  $I_{r,s}$  is the diagonal matrix  $\operatorname{diag}[I_r; -I_s]$ , where  $I_N$  is the  $N \times N$  identity matrix. For  $r \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $S_r$  denotes the group of permutations.

## 2. The $U(p, q)$ -case

Let  $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$ , and assume that  $q \geq p$ . We define

$$U(p, q) = \{g \in GL(n, \mathbf{C}) \mid gI_{p,q}g^* = I_{p,q}\} \quad (n = p + q),$$

where  $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$ -invertible matrices. For  $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in U(p, q)$ , the defining condition of  $U(p, q)$  implies the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad AA^* - BB^* &= I_p & \text{(e)} \quad C &= DB^*A^{*-1}, \\ \text{(b)} \quad CC^* - DD^* &= -I_q & \text{(f)} \quad B &= AC^*D^{*-1}, \\ \text{(c)} \quad A^*A - C^*C &= I_p & \text{(g)} \quad C &= D^{*-1}B^*A, \\ \text{(d)} \quad B^*B - D^*D &= -I_q & \text{(h)} \quad B &= A^{*-1}C^*D. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $\mathbf{a} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  with  $\alpha_i > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{\beta} = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$  with  $\beta_i > 0$ , let

$$\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}) = \int_{U(p,q)} \exp[-\text{tr}(\text{diag}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}](gg^*)^{-1})] dg.$$

$$\text{For } g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{diag}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}](gg^*)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}(AA^* + BB^*) & \mathbf{a}(-AC^* - BD^*) \\ \mathbf{\beta}(-CA^* - DB^*) & \mathbf{\beta}(CC^* + DD^*) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, by the relations (a) and (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\text{diag}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}](gg^*)^{-1}) &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{a}(AA^* + BB^*) + \mathbf{\beta}(CC^* + DD^*)) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{a}(2AA^* - I_p) + \mathbf{\beta}(2DD^* - I_q)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$  be the domain defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_{p,q} = \{T \in M(p, q, \mathbf{C}) \mid \det(I_p - TT^*) > 0\}.$$

The measure  $d\mu(T) = \det(I_p - TT^*)^{-p-q} dT$  is the  $U(p, q)$ -invariant measure on  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$  where  $dT$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$ .

The map  $U(p, q) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$  defined by

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \mapsto T = BD^{-1}$$

is an isomorphism. Using the relations (a), ..., (g) and (h), we can write  $AA^* = (I_p - TT^*)^{-1}$  and  $DD^* = (I_q - T^*T)^{-1}$ .

Next, we write  $U(N) \equiv U(N, 0)$ . It is well known that for all functions  $F$  defined on  $U(p, q)$ , such that  $F(gk) = F(g)$  for all  $k \in \begin{bmatrix} U(p) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & U(q) \end{bmatrix}$ , there exists a function  $F^\# : \mathfrak{D}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  defined by  $F^\#(T) = F(g)$  such that

$$\int_{U(p,q)} F(g) dg = \int_{\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}} F^\#(T) d\mu(T).$$

Therefore, if  $F(g) = \exp[-\text{tr}(\text{diag}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}](gg^*)^{-1})]$ , there exists a complex valued function  $F^\#$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} F^\#(T) &= \exp[-\text{tr}(\mathbf{a}[2(I_p - TT^*)^{-1} - I_p] + \mathbf{\beta}[2(I_q - T^*T)^{-1} - I_q])] \\ &= \exp[-\text{tr}(\mathbf{a}(I_p - TT^*)^{-1}(I_p + TT^*) + \mathbf{\beta}(I_q - T^*T)^{-1}(I_q + T^*T))] \\ &= \exp[-\text{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{\beta} + 2\mathbf{a}(I_p - TT^*)^{-1}TT^* + 2\mathbf{\beta}(I_q - T^*T)^{-1}T^*T)]. \end{aligned}$$

By [HUA, 1963], for  $T \in \mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$ , there exists  $u \in U(p)$  and  $v \in U(q)$  such that  $T = uAv$ , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M(p, q; \mathbf{R})$$

and  $1 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$ . Hence

$$TT^* = u \operatorname{diag} \underbrace{[\lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_p^2]}_{p \times p} u^*, \quad T^*T = v^* \operatorname{diag} \underbrace{[\lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_p^2, 0, \dots, 0]}_{q \times q} v.$$

Therefore  $F^\#$  can be written in terms of  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $A$  as

$$\begin{aligned} F^\#(T) &= \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\beta})] \exp(-2 \operatorname{tr}(u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u (I_p - AA^*)^{-1} AA^*)) \\ &\quad \times \exp(-2 \operatorname{tr}(v^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} v (I_q - A^*A)^{-1} A^*A)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the map  $\psi : \mathfrak{D}_{p,q} \rightarrow Y$  taking each  $T \in \mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$  to the collection of the eigenvalues of  $\sqrt{TT^*}$ . The image of the Lebesgue measure  $dT$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_{p,q}$  with respect to the map  $\psi$  is the measure on  $Y$  given by

$$c \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{2(q-p)+1} d\lambda_i,$$

for some constant  $c$ . Thus, the image of the measure  $d\mu(T) = \det(I_p - TT^*)^{-p-q} dT$  is

$$(2.1) \quad c \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{2(q-p)+1} (1 - \lambda_i^2)^{-p-q} d\lambda_i.$$

Hence, the function  $\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= c \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\beta})] \int_{U(p)} \int_{U(q)} \int_Y \exp(-2 \operatorname{tr}(u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u (I_p - AA^*)^{-1} AA^*)) \\ &\quad \times \exp(-2 \operatorname{tr}(v^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} v (I_q - A^*A)^{-1} A^*A)) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{2(q-p)+1} (1 - \lambda_i^2)^{-p-q} \prod_{i=1}^p d\lambda_i dudv. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.2) \quad A := 2(I_p - AA^*)^{-1} AA^* = \operatorname{diag} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{2\lambda_1^2}{1 - \lambda_1^2}, \dots, \frac{2\lambda_p^2}{1 - \lambda_p^2} \right]}_{p \times p},$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad B := 2(I_q - A^*A)^{-1}A^*A = \text{diag} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{2\lambda_1^2}{1-\lambda_1^2}, \dots, \frac{2\lambda_p^2}{1-\lambda_p^2}, 0, \dots, 0 \right]}_{q \times q}.$$

It will be convenient for us to define new coordinates  $x_i = \frac{2\lambda_i^2}{1-\lambda_i^2}$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{Y} = \{A \mid 1 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0\}$  becomes the set

$$\mathfrak{X} := \{\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \mid x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_p \geq 0\}.$$

The measure (2.1) in the coordinates  $x_i$  has the form

$$c \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{q-p} dx_i,$$

and the function  $\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}) &= c \exp[-\text{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{\beta})] \int_{U(p)} \int_{U(q)} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \exp(-\text{tr}(u^{-1}\mathbf{a}uA)) \exp(-\text{tr}(v^{-1}\mathbf{\beta}vB)) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{q-p} dx_i dudv, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are given by (2.2) and (2.3).

Now we turn our attention to the integral formula over  $U(p)$  and  $U(q)$ . For this we need to introduce some terminology.

For a multi-parameter  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)$ , the Vandermonde polynomial is defined by  $D(t) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (t_i - t_j)$ . Let  $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N) \in \mathbf{N}^N$ . The Schur polynomial  $S_\ell(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  is defined by

$$S_\ell(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \frac{\det(t_i^{\ell_j + N - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{D(t)}.$$

For more details on Schur polynomials, we refer to [MACDONALD, 1979, Chapter I]. We also need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1 (cf. [HUA, 1963], Theorem 1.2.1). *Let the power series*

$$f_i(y) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} a_\kappa^{(i)} y^\kappa.$$

Then for all  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$  the following identity holds

$$\det(f_i(y_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \sum_{\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_N \geq 0} \det(a_{\ell_j}^{(i)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \det(y_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N},$$

where  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_N$  are integers.

Now we are in position to compute the integral over the compact groups  $U(p)$  and  $U(q)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** (i) For  $A = \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ , and for  $\mathbf{a} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ , we have

$$\int_{U(p)} \exp[-\text{tr}(u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u A)] du = (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^p (i-1)! \frac{\det(e^{-x_i \alpha_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}.$$

(ii) For  $B = \text{diag}(\underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p}_{q \times q}, 0, \dots, 0)$ , and for  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U(q)} \exp[-\text{tr}(v^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} v B)] dv \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{q(q-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^q (i-1)!}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (\beta_i - \beta_j)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \frac{\det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\prod_{j=1}^p (\ell_j + q - p)!} \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1 + q - p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1 + q - p} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_p + q - p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_p + q - p} \\ (-\beta_1)^{q-p-1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{q-p-1} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ (-\beta_1) & \dots & (-\beta_q) \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p$  are integers.

**PROOF.** (i) First, let us write the Taylor series of  $\exp[-\text{tr}(u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u A)]$  as a series of Schur polynomials  $S_\ell$ , in the form

$$\exp[-\text{tr}(u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u A)] = \sum_{\ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_p \geq 0} d_\ell \frac{\delta!}{(\ell + \delta)!} S_\ell(\wp(-u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u A)),$$

where  $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p)$ ,  $\delta = (p-1, p-2, \dots, 0)$ ,  $d_\ell = \frac{D(\ell + \delta)}{D(\delta)}$ , and  $\wp(g)$  stands for the collection  $(z_1, \dots, z_p)$  of the eigenvalues of  $g$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{a}, A) &\equiv \int_{U(p)} \exp[-\text{tr}(u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u A)] du \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_p \geq 0} d_\ell \frac{\delta!}{(\ell + \delta)!} \int_{U(p)} S_\ell(\wp(-u^{-1} \mathbf{a} u A)) du \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_p \geq 0} \frac{\delta!}{(\ell + \delta)!} S_\ell(\mathbf{a}) S_\ell(-A). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the latter equality, we used the following well known functional equation

$$\int_{U(p)} \chi_\ell(x y u^{-1}) du = \frac{1}{d_\ell} \chi_\ell(x) \chi_\ell(y)$$

where  $\chi_\ell$  is the central function on  $U(p)$  whose restriction to the set of diagonal matrices in  $U(p)$  is equal to  $S_\ell$  (see for instance [MACDONALD, 1979, Chapter I]).

Using the determinant formula of  $S_\ell$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{a}, A) &= \frac{\delta!}{D(\mathbf{a})D(-A)} \sum_{\ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_p \geq 0} \frac{\det(\alpha_i^{\ell_j + p - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \det(-x_i^{\ell_j + p - j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{(\ell_1 + p - 1)! (\ell_2 + p - 2)! \dots \ell_p!} \\ &= \frac{\delta!}{D(\mathbf{a})D(-A)} \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \frac{\det(\alpha_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \det(-x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\ell_1! \ell_2! \dots \ell_p!}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f_i(\alpha) = e^{-x_i \alpha} = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x_i)^\kappa}{\kappa!} \alpha^\kappa.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 where  $a_\kappa^{(i)} = \frac{(-x_i)^\kappa}{\kappa!}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det(e^{-x_i \alpha_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} &= \det(f_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \det\left(\frac{(-x_i)^{\ell_j}}{\ell_j!}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \det(\alpha_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, statement (i) holds.

(ii) Let  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$  and let  $X = \text{diag}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_p; x_{p+1}, \dots, x_q}_{q \times q})$ . Using statement (i), we have

$$\int_{U(q)} \exp[-\text{tr}(v^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} v X)] dv = c_q \frac{\det(e^{-x_i \beta_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (\beta_i - \beta_j)},$$

where  $c_q = (-1)^{q(q-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^q (i-1)!$ . Also, from the proof of statement (i), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\det(e^{-x_i \beta_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (x_i - x_j)} = \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_{q-1} > \ell_q \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{\ell_j!} \frac{\det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (x_i - x_j)} \det((- \beta_i)^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q}.$$

Now we set  $x_q = 0$  in (2.4). Then all terms with  $\ell_q > 0$  vanish, and we get

$$(2.4)|_{x_q=0} = \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_{q-1} > 0} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\ell_j!} \frac{\det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} x_i} \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_{q-1}} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_{q-1}} \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_{q-1} > 0} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{\ell_j!} \frac{\det(x_i^{\ell_j-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (x_i - x_j)} \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_{q-1}} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_{q-1}} \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

After substituting  $\ell_i$  by  $\ell_i + 1$ , we obtain

$$(2.4)|_{x_q=0} = \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_{q-1} \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{(\ell_j + 1)!} \frac{\det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q-1} (x_i - x_j)} \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1+1} & \dots & \beta_q^{\ell_1+1} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_{q-1}+1} & \dots & \beta_q^{\ell_{q-1}+1} \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Setting now  $x_{q-1} = 0$  and repeating this process  $(q-p-1)$ -times, we arrive at the following sum: if  $x_q = 0, x_{q-1} = 0, \dots, x_{p+1} = 0$ , then



$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U(q)} \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(v^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}vX)] dv|_{x_q=\dots=x_{p+1}=0} \\
&= \frac{c_q}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (\beta_i - \beta_j)} \sum_{\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{(\ell_j + q - p)!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)} \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1+q-p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_p+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_p+q-p} \\ (-\beta_1)^{q-p-1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{q-p-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (-\beta_1) & \dots & (-\beta_q) \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

(After the work on this paper was completed, we learned that the argument presented above for statement (i) was used earlier by G. Olshanski and A. M. Vershik in [OLSHANSKI-VERSHIK, 1996].)

**REMARK 2.3.** The first statement of Proposition 2.2 can be proved in a number of different ways. For instance, it can be obtained by using the Harish-Chandra integral formula (some times also called HIZ integral) [HARISH-CHANDRA, 1957], [GROSS-RICHARDS, 1989]. Another interesting way is to obtain the integral formula over  $U(p)$  from the spherical function on  $GL(p, \mathbf{C})$  by a passage to the limit. For more about the latest way described above, and in a general setting of compact Lie groups, we refer to [BEN SAÏD-ØRSTED, 2003].

Next we turn to the computation of  $\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ . The proof of the following lemma is obvious.

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \det\{f_k(x_\ell)\}_{k,\ell} \det\{g_k(x_\ell)\}_{k,\ell} d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_N) \\
&= N! \det \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}} f_k(x) g_m(x) d\mu(x) \right\}_{k,m},
\end{aligned}$$

whenever the right-hand side of the equation makes sense.

Using Proposition 2.2, the function  $\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is given by

$$\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{c \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\beta})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (\beta_i - \beta_j)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{(\ell_j + q - p)!} \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1+q-p} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_p+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_p+q-p} \\ (-\beta_1)^{q-p-1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{q-p-1} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1) & \dots & (-\beta_q) \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ & \times \int_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\det(e^{-x_i z_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{q-p} dx_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\det(e^{-x_i z_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{q-p} dx_i \\ & = c \det \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-x z_i} x^{\ell_j+q-p} dx \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \\ & = c \det \left( \frac{\Gamma(\ell_j + q - p + 1)}{\alpha_i^{\ell_j+q-p+1}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \\ & = c \det \left( \frac{(\ell_j + q - p)!}{\alpha_i^{\ell_j+q-p+1}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{c \exp[-\text{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\beta})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (\beta_i - \beta_j)} \sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{(\ell_j + q - p)!} \\ & \times \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1+q-p} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_p+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_p+q-p} \\ (-\beta_1)^{q-p-1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{q-p-1} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1) & \dots & (-\beta_q) \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \det \left( \frac{(\ell_j + q - p)!}{\alpha_i^{\ell_j+q-p+1}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{c \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\beta})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (\beta_i - \beta_j) \prod_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{q-p+1}} \sum_{\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \\
&\quad \times \begin{vmatrix} (-\beta_1)^{\ell_1+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_1+q-p} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1)^{\ell_p+q-p} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{\ell_p+q-p} \\ (-\beta_1)^{q-p-1} & \dots & (-\beta_q)^{q-p-1} \\ & \dots & \\ (-\beta_1) & \dots & (-\beta_q) \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \det \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_i^{\ell_j}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.5 (cf. [HUA, 1963], Theorem 1.2.3). *Let  $q \geq p > 0$ . The following identity holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell_1 > \dots > \ell_p \geq 0} \det(x_i^{\ell_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \begin{vmatrix} y_1^{\ell_1+q-p} & \dots & y_q^{\ell_1+q-p} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ y_1^{\ell_p+q-p} & \dots & y_q^{\ell_p+q-p} \\ y_1^{q-p-1} & \dots & y_q^{q-p-1} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ y_1 & \dots & y_q \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (y_i - y_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - x_i y_j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above lemma, we obtain the following explicit expression for  $\zeta_{p,q}$ .

THEOREM 2.6. *Let  $c_0$  be a constant. For  $\mathbf{a} = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \operatorname{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$  such that  $\alpha_i + \beta_j \neq 0$ , the Bessel-type function  $\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is given by*

$$\zeta_{p,q}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = c_0 \frac{\exp[-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\beta})]}{\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (\alpha_i + \beta_j)}.$$

### 3. The $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ -case

Let

$$Sp(2n, \mathbf{R}) = \left\{ g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{bmatrix} \in M(2n, \mathbf{C}) \mid gI_{n,n}g^* = I_{n,n} \right\},$$

where  $A \in GL(n, \mathbf{C})$  and  $B \in M(n, \mathbf{C})$ .

A simple calculation shows that all elements  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{bmatrix} \in Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$  satisfy

$$AA^* - BB^* = I_n, \quad \text{and} \quad A^*A - B^t\bar{B} = I_n.$$

For a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{a} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , such that  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ , we write

$$\zeta_n(\mathbf{a}) = \int_{Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})} \exp[-\text{tr}(\text{diag}[\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{a}](gg^*)^{-1})] dg.$$

REMARK 3.1. For  $n = 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$

$$\zeta_1(\alpha) = c_0(4\alpha)^{-1/2} K_{1/2}(4\alpha),$$

where  $K_\nu(z)$  is the modified Bessel function of the third kind.

Let

$$\mathfrak{D}_n = \{T \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbf{C}) \mid \det(I_n - T\bar{T}) > 0\},$$

where  $\text{Sym}(n, \mathbf{C})$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$ -symmetric matrices. The  $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ -invariant measure  $d\mu(T)$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  is given by  $d\mu(t) = \det(I_n - T\bar{T})^{-(n+1)} dT$ , where  $dT$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{D}_n$ .

Using the same method used in section 2, we can deduce that if

$$F(g) = \exp[-\text{tr}(\text{diag}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}](gg^*)^{-1})], \quad g \in Sp(2n, \mathbf{R}),$$

then there exists a function  $F^\# : \mathfrak{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$F^\#(T) = \exp[-2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{a})] \exp[-4 \text{tr}(\mathbf{a}(I_n - T\bar{T})^{-1}T\bar{T})].$$

By [HUA, 1944], every symmetric matrix  $Z \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbf{C})$  can be written as  $Z = uAu^t$ , where  $u \in U(n)$  and  $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ . Therefore the function  $F^\#$  can be written as

$$F^\#(T) = \exp[-2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{a})] \exp[-4 \text{tr}(\mathbf{a}u(I_n - A^2)^{-1}A^2u^*)],$$

where  $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $1 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$  and  $u \in U(n)$ .

As in section 2, we consider the map  $\psi : \mathfrak{D}_n \rightarrow Y$ . The image of the Lebesgue measure  $dT$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  with respect to  $\psi$  is the measure on  $Y$  given by

$$c \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i d\lambda_i,$$

for some constant  $c$ . Thus the image of  $d\mu(T) = \det(I_n - T\bar{T})^{-(n+1)} dT$  is

$$c \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i^2)^{-(n+1)} d\lambda_i.$$

Using the above notations and Proposition 2.2(i) for  $U(n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(\mathbf{a}) &= c \exp[-2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a})] \int_{U(n)} \int_{\mathcal{I}} \exp[-4 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a}u(I_n - A^2)^{-1} A^2 u^*)] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i^2)^{-(n+1)} d\lambda_i du \\ &= c \exp[-2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a})] \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \left\{ \int_{U(n)} \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a}u \operatorname{diag}[x_1, \dots, x_n] u^*)] du \right\} \\ &\quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j) dx_1 \dots dx_n. \\ &= \frac{c \exp[-2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \det(e^{-\alpha_i x_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} dx_1 \dots dx_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{\operatorname{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0\}.$$

To obtain the above second equality, we used the change of variable  $x_i = \frac{4\lambda_i^2}{1 - \lambda_i^2}$ . Since  $\det(e^{-\alpha_i x_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\alpha_{\tau(i)} x_i}$ , where  $S_n$  is the group of permutations, then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(\mathbf{a}) &= \frac{c \exp[-2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} \int_{0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\alpha_{\tau(i)} x_i} dx_i \\ &= \frac{c \exp[-2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau) \zeta_1^{\alpha_{\tau(1)} - 1} \dots \zeta_n^{\alpha_{\tau(1)} + \dots + \alpha_{\tau(n)} - 1} d\zeta_1 \dots d\zeta_n \\ &= \frac{c \exp[-2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau) \frac{1}{\alpha_{\tau(1)} (\alpha_{\tau(1)} + \alpha_{\tau(2)}) \dots (\alpha_{\tau(1)} + \dots + \alpha_{\tau(n)})}. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the computation of  $\zeta_n(\mathbf{a})$ , we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2 (cf. [HUA, 1963], Lemma 6.3.1).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_N} \varepsilon(\tau) \frac{1}{\ell_{\tau(1)}(\ell_{\tau(1)} + \ell_{\tau(2)}) \cdots (\ell_{\tau(1)} + \cdots + \ell_{\tau(N)})} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{N(N-1)/N} 2^N \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\ell_i - \ell_j)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\ell_i + \ell_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $c_0$  be a constant. For  $\mathbf{a} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  such that  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ , the Bessel-type function  $\zeta_n(\mathbf{a})$  is given by*

$$\zeta_n(\mathbf{a}) = c_0 \frac{\exp[-2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{a})]}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}.$$

## References

- [BRÉZIN-HIKAMI, 2001] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, Characteristic polynomials of real symmetric random matrices, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **223** (2001), 363–382.
- [BRÉZIN-HIKAMI, 2003] ———, An extension of the HarishChandra-Itzykson-Zuber integral, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **235** (2003), 125–137.
- [BEN SAÏD-ØRSTED, 2003] S. Ben Saïd and B. Ørsted, Analysis on flat symmetric spaces, preprint (2003).
- [GROSS-RICHARDS, 1989] K. I. Gross and D. P. Richards, Total positivity, spherical series, and hypergeometric functions of matrix argument, *J. Appr. Theory* **59** (1989), 224–246.
- [HUA, 1963] L. K. Hua, *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island (1963).
- [HUA, 1944] L. K. Hua, On the theory of automorphic functions of a matrix variable I, *Amer. J. Math.* **66** (1944), 470–488.
- [HARISH-CHANDRA, 1957] Harish-Chandra, Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.* **79** (1957), 87–120.
- [JAMES, 1964] A. T. James, Distributions of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** (1964), 475–501.
- [MACDONALD, 1979] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1979).
- [OLSHANSKI-VERSHIK, 1996] G. Olshanski and A. M. Vershik, Ergodic unitarily invariant measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices, *Contemporary Mathematical Physics* (R. L. Dobroshin, R. A. Minlos, M. A. Shubin, A. M. Vershik), Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2), **175** (1996), 137–175.

*University of Aarhus  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Building 530, Ny Munkegade  
DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark  
E-mail address: [ssaid@imf.au.dk](mailto:ssaid@imf.au.dk)*