

ON ALMOST CONTACT AFFINE 3-STRUCTURES

BY KENTARO YANO, SANG-SEUP EUM AND U-HANG KI

The almost quaternion structure has been studied by Ako [10], Bonan [1], Obata [6, 7] and one of the present authors [10]. The purpose of the present paper is to study almost contact affine 3-structures [2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9] induced on hypersurfaces of an almost quaternion or quaternion manifold.

§1. Hypersurfaces of an almost quaternion manifold.

Let M^{4n} be an almost quaternion manifold, that is, a $4n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold which admits a set of three tensor fields \tilde{F} , \tilde{G} , \tilde{H} of type (1, 1) satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{F}^2 = -I, \quad \tilde{G}^2 = -I, \quad \tilde{H}^2 = -I, \\ \tilde{F} = \tilde{G}\tilde{H} = -\tilde{H}\tilde{G}, \quad \tilde{G} = \tilde{H}\tilde{F} = -\tilde{F}\tilde{H}, \quad \tilde{H} = \tilde{F}\tilde{G} = -\tilde{G}\tilde{F}, \end{aligned}$$

I denoting the identity tensor.

We first prove

LEMMA 1. 1. *There exists an almost Hermitian metric \tilde{g} for the almost quaternion structure \tilde{F} , \tilde{G} , \tilde{H} , that is, a Riemannian metric \tilde{g} satisfying*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{F}\tilde{X}, \tilde{F}\tilde{Y}) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{G}\tilde{X}, \tilde{G}\tilde{Y}) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{H}\tilde{X}, \tilde{H}\tilde{Y}) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary vector fields \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} of M^{4n} .

Proof. Take an arbitrary Riemannian metric \tilde{a} in M^{4n} and put

$$\tilde{b}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{a}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + \tilde{a}(\tilde{F}\tilde{X}, \tilde{F}\tilde{Y}),$$

then we easily see that

$$\tilde{b}(\tilde{F}\tilde{X}, \tilde{F}\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{b}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$$

since $\tilde{F}^2 = -I$. We next put

Received February 3, 1972.

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{b}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + \tilde{b}(\tilde{G}\tilde{X}, \tilde{G}\tilde{Y}),$$

then we see that

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{F}\tilde{X}, \tilde{F}\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}),$$

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{G}\tilde{X}, \tilde{G}\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}),$$

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{H}\tilde{X}, \tilde{H}\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}).$$

Suppose that a $(4n-1)$ -dimensional orientable differentiable manifold M^{4n-1} is immersed differentially in M^{4n} by the immersion

$$i: M^{4n-1} \longrightarrow M^{4n}$$

and denote by B the differential of i . We denote by C the unit normal to $i(M^{4n-1})$ with respect to the Hermitian metric \tilde{g} introduced above. Then the transform $\tilde{F}BX$ of a vector field BX tangent to $i(M^{4n-1})$ by \tilde{F} can be expressed as

$$\tilde{F}BX = BFX + u(X)C,$$

where F is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, u a 1-form, and X an arbitrary vector field of M^{4n-1} .

Replacing \tilde{Y} by $\tilde{F}\tilde{Y}$ in

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{F}\tilde{X}, \tilde{F}\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}),$$

we find

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{F}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = -\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{F}\tilde{Y}),$$

from which, putting $\tilde{X} = C, \tilde{Y} = C$,

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{F}C, C) = -\tilde{g}(C, \tilde{F}C) = 0,$$

and consequently $\tilde{F}C$ is tangent to $i(M^{4n-1})$. Thus we can put

$$\tilde{F}C = -BU,$$

U being a vector field of M^{4n-1} .

In this way, we have formulas of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & \tilde{F}BX = BFX + u(X)C, \quad \tilde{F}C = -BU, \\ \text{(ii)} & \tilde{G}BX = BGX + v(X)C, \quad \tilde{G}C = -BV, \\ \text{(iii)} & \tilde{H}BX = BHX + w(X)C, \quad \tilde{H}C = -BW, \end{array}$$

where F, G, H are tensor fields of type $(1, 1)$, U, V, W vector fields and u, v, w 1-forms of M^{4n-1} .

Applying \tilde{F} to (1.3) (i) and taking account of (1.3) (i), we find

$$(1.4) \quad F^2 = -I + u \otimes U, \quad u \circ F = 0, \quad FU = 0, \quad u(U) = 1,$$

which show that M^{4n-1} admits an almost contact affine structure (F, U, u) .

Similarly, we can prove

$$(1.5) \quad G^2 = -I + v \otimes V, \quad v \circ G = 0, \quad GV = 0, \quad v(V) = 1$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad H^2 = -I + w \otimes W, \quad w \circ H = 0, \quad HW = 0, \quad w(W) = 1,$$

which show that M^{4n-1} admits another affine almost contact structures (G, V, v) and (H, W, w) .

On the other hand, from

$$\tilde{G}\tilde{H}BX = \tilde{F}BX$$

and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(BHX + w(X)C) &= BFX + u(X)C, \\ BGHX + v(HX)C - w(X)BV &= BFX + u(X)C, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$GH = F + w \otimes V, \quad v \circ H = u.$$

Also, from

$$\tilde{G}\tilde{H}C = \tilde{F}C$$

and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(-BW) &= -BU, \\ -BGW - v(W)C &= -BU, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$GW = U, \quad v(W) = 0.$$

Thus

$$(1.7) \quad GH = F + w \otimes V, \quad v \circ H = u, \quad GW = U, \quad v(W) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$(1.8) \quad HF = G + u \otimes W, \quad w \circ F = v, \quad HU = V, \quad w(U) = 0$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad FG = H + v \otimes U, \quad u \circ G = w, \quad FV = W, \quad u(V) = 0.$$

Also, from

$$(\tilde{G}\tilde{H} + \tilde{H}\tilde{G})BX = 0$$

and (1. 3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(BHX + w(X)C) + \tilde{H}(BGX + v(X)C) &= 0, \\ BGHX + v(HX)C - w(X)BV + BHGX + w(GX)C - v(X)BW &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which,

$$(GH + HG)X = v(X)W + w(X)V$$

and

$$v(HX) + w(GX) = 0.$$

Also, from

$$(\tilde{G}\tilde{H} + \tilde{H}\tilde{G})C = 0$$

and (1. 3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{G}BW - \tilde{H}BV &= 0, \\ BGW + v(W)C + BHV + w(V)C &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which,

$$GW + HV = 0, \quad v(W) + w(V) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (1. 10) \quad GH + HG &= v \otimes W + w \otimes V, \\ v \circ H + w \circ G &= 0, \quad GW + HV = 0, \quad v(W) + w(V) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} (1. 11) \quad HF + FH &= w \otimes U + u \otimes W, \\ w \circ F + u \circ H &= 0, \quad HU + FW = 0, \quad w(U) + u(W) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1. 12) \quad FG + GF &= u \otimes V + v \otimes U, \\ u \circ G + v \circ F &= 0, \quad FV + GU = 0, \quad u(V) + v(U) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

A set $(F, G, H; U, V, W; u, v, w)$ of tensor fields F, G, H of type (1, 1), vector fields U, V, W and 1-forms u, v, w satisfying (1. 4), (1. 5), (1. 6); (1. 7), (1. 8), (1. 9) and (1. 10), (1. 11), (1. 12) is called an almost contact affine 3-structure. Thus, we have proved

THEOREM 1. 1. *An orientable hypersurface of an almost quaternion manifold admits an almost contact affine 3-structure.*

Equations (1. 4)~(1. 12) can also be written as follows

$$(1. 13) \quad \begin{aligned} F^2 &= -I + u \otimes U, & G^2 &= -I + v \otimes V, & H^2 &= -I + w \otimes W, \\ GH &= F + w \otimes V, & HF &= G + u \otimes W, & FG &= H + v \otimes U, \\ HG &= -F + v \otimes W, & FH &= -G + w \otimes U, & GF &= -H + u \otimes V, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1. 14) \quad \begin{aligned} u \circ F &= 0, & u \circ G &= w, & u \circ H &= -v, \\ v \circ F &= -w, & v \circ G &= 0, & v \circ H &= u, \\ w \circ F &= v, & w \circ G &= -u, & w \circ H &= 0, \\ FU &= 0, & FV &= W, & FW &= -V, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1. 15) \quad \begin{aligned} GU &= -W, & GV &= 0, & GW &= U, \\ HU &= V, & HV &= -U, & HW &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1. 16) \quad \begin{aligned} u(U) &= 1, & u(V) &= 0, & u(W) &= 0, \\ v(U) &= 0, & v(V) &= 1, & v(W) &= 0, \\ w(U) &= 0, & w(V) &= 0, & w(W) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there is given a Hermitian metric \tilde{g} with respect to \tilde{F} , \tilde{G} and \tilde{H} . In this case, we put

$$\tilde{g}(BX, BY) = g(X, Y)$$

which gives the Riemannian metric induced on the hypersurface $i(M^{4n-1})$.

From

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{F}BX, \tilde{F}BY) = \tilde{g}(BX, BY) = g(X, Y),$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(BFX + u(X)C, BFY + u(Y)C) &= g(X, Y), \\ g(FX, FY) + u(X)u(Y) &= g(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$g(FX, FY) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y).$$

We have also

$$\tilde{g}(BX, \tilde{F}C) = \tilde{g}(BX, -BU) = -g(X, U)$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}(BX, \tilde{F}C) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{F}BX, \tilde{F}^2C) \\ &= \tilde{g}(BFX + u(X)C, -C) \\ &= -u(X),\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$g(X, U) = u(X).$$

Thus

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned}g(FX, FY) &= g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y), \\ g(X, U) &= u(X), \quad g(U, U) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned}g(GX, GY) &= g(X, Y) - v(X)v(Y), \\ g(X, V) &= v(X), \quad g(V, V) = 1\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned}g(HX, HY) &= g(X, Y) - w(X)w(Y), \\ g(X, W) &= w(X), \quad g(W, W) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

An almost contact affine 3-structure with a Riemannian metric g satisfying (1.17), (1.18) and (1.19) is called an almost contact metric 3-structure. Thus we have proved

THEOREM. 1. 2. *An orientable hypersurface of an almost quaternion manifold with a Hermitian metric admits an almost contact metric 3-structure.*

Equations

$$g(X, U) = u(X), \quad g(X, V) = v(X), \quad g(X, W) = w(X)$$

and

$$v(W) = 0, \quad w(U) = 0, \quad u(V) = 0$$

show that U, V, W are mutually orthogonal unit vectors.

§2. Hypersurfaces of a quaternion manifold.

Ako and one of the present authors [10] proved following theorems:

THEOREM A. Let $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H}$ define an almost quaternion structure. If two of six Nijenhuis tensors:

$$[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}], [\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}], [\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}], [\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}], [\tilde{H}, \tilde{F}], [\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}]$$

vanish, then the others vanish too.

If there exists a coordinate system with respect to which components of the tensor fields $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H}$ are all constants, the almost quaternion structure $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H})$ is integrable and the almost quaternion structure is called a quaternion structure.

THEOREM B. In order that there exists, in an almost quaternion manifold, a symmetric affine connection \tilde{V} such that

$$\tilde{V}\tilde{F}=0, \quad \tilde{V}\tilde{G}=0, \quad \tilde{V}\tilde{H}=0,$$

it is necessary and sufficient that two of Nijenhuis tensors

$$[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}], [\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}], [\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}], [\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}], [\tilde{H}, \tilde{F}], [\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}]$$

vanish.

THEOREM C. A necessary and sufficient condition that an almost quaternion structure $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H})$ be integrable is that two of Nijenhuis tensors

$$[\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}], [G, \tilde{G}], [\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}], [\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}], [\tilde{H}, \tilde{F}], [\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}]$$

vanish and

$$\tilde{R}=0,$$

where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of the affine connection \tilde{V} appearing in Theorem B.

We assume in this section that the almost quaternion structure $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H})$ is integrable and denote by \tilde{V} the symmetric affine connection with respect to which $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H}$ are covariantly constant.

We now cover M^{4n} by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^h\}$ and denote by $\tilde{F}_i^h, \tilde{G}_i^h, \tilde{H}_i^h$ components of $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H}$ respectively and by \tilde{V}_j the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the symmetric affine connection \tilde{V} , then

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{V}_j \tilde{F}_i^h = 0, \quad \tilde{V}_j \tilde{G}_i^h = 0, \quad \tilde{V}_j \tilde{H}_i^h = 0.$$

We represent $i(M^{4n-1})$ by

$$(2.2) \quad x^h = x^h(y^a),$$

$\{y^a\}$ being local coordinates on M^{4n-1} and put $B_b^h = \partial_b x^h$ ($\partial_b = \partial/\partial y^b$) and denote by C^h components of C used in §1. Then equations of Gauss and Weingarten are

$$\nabla_c B_b^h = h_{cb} C^h, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla_c C^h = -h_c^a B_a^h + l_c C^h$$

respectively, where h_{cb} and h_c^a are the second fundamental tensors with respect to the affine normal C^h and l_c the third fundamental tensor.

We write the first equation of (1.3) (i) in the form

$$\tilde{F}_i^h B_b^i = F_b^a B_a^h + u_b C^h,$$

where F_b^a and u_b are components of F and u respectively and differentiate this covariantly along $i(M^{4n-1})$. Then we get

$$\tilde{F}_i^h (h_{cb} C^i) = (\nabla_c F_b^a) B_a^h + F_b^e h_{ce} C^h + (\nabla_c u_b) C^h + u_b (-h_c^a B_a^h + l_c C^h),$$

from which

$$\nabla_c F_b^a = -h_{cb} U^a + h_c^a u_b,$$

$$\nabla_c u_b = -h_{ce} F_b^e - l_c u_b,$$

using the second equation of (1.3) (i) written in the form

$$\tilde{F}_i^h C^i = -U^a B_a^h,$$

where U^a are components of the vector field U . We differentiate this covariantly along $i(M^{4n-1})$. Then we get

$$\tilde{F}_i^h (-h_c^a B_a^i + l_c C^i) = -(\nabla_c U^a) B_a^h - U^e h_{ce} C^h,$$

from which

$$\nabla_c U^a = h_c^e F_e^a + l_c U^a, \quad h_c^e u_e = h_{ce} U^e.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c F_b^a &= -h_{cb} U^a + h_c^a u_b, & \nabla_c U^a &= h_c^e F_e^a + l_c U^a, \\ \nabla_c u_b &= -h_{ce} F_b^e - l_c u_b, & h_c^e u_e &= h_{ce} U^e. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c G_b^a &= -h_{cb} V^a + h_c^a v_b, & \nabla_c V^a &= h_c^e G_e^a + l_c V^a, \\ \nabla_c v_b &= -h_{ce} G_b^e - l_c v_b, & h_c^e v_e &= h_{ce} V^e \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\nabla_c H_b^a = -h_{cb} W^a + h_c^a w_b, \quad \nabla_c W^a = h_c^e H_e^a + l_c W^a, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\nabla_c w_b = -h_{ce} H_b^e - l_c w_b, \quad h_c^e w_e = h_{ce} W^e,$$

where $G_b^a, H_b^a, V^a, W^a, v_b, w_b$ are components of G, H, V, W, v, w respectively.

Now, the almost contact structure (F, U, u) is said to be normal if the tensor

$$[F, F] + du \otimes U$$

vanishes, where $[F, F]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with F . We compute components of this tensor.

Using (2.4), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & [F, F]_{cb}^a + (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) U^a \\ &= (F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a) u_b - (F_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e F_e^a - l_b U^a) u_c. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, computing components of the tensor

$$[G, G] + dv \otimes V,$$

we find

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & [G, G]_{cb}^a + (\nabla_c v_b - \nabla_b v_c) V^a \\ &= (G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a) v_b - (G_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e G_e^a - l_b V^a) v_c. \end{aligned}$$

We also compute components of the tensor field

$$[F, G] + du \otimes V + dv \otimes U,$$

where $[F, G]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with F and G .

Using (2.4) and (2.5), we find

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & [F, G]_{cb}^a + (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) V^a + (\nabla_c v_b - \nabla_b v_c) U^a \\ &= (G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a) u_b - (G_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e G_e^a - l_b V^a) u_c \\ & \quad + (F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a) v_b - (F_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e F_e^a - l_b U^a) v_c. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the almost contact affine structures (F, U, u) and (G, V, v) are both normal, then we have, from (2.7) and (2.8),

$$(2.10) \quad (F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a) u_b - (F_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e F_e^a - l_b U^a) u_c = 0$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad (G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a) v_b - (G_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e G_e^a - l_b V^a) v_c = 0$$

respectively.

Putting $c=a$ in (2.10) and (2.11) and summing up, we find

$$(2.12) \quad -(l_c U^c) u_b - F_b^e h_e^c u_c + l_b = 0$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad -(l_c V^c)v_b - G_b^e h_e^c v_c + l_b = 0$$

respectively.

Transvecting (2.12) and (2.13) with W^b and using (1.15), (1.16), (2.4) and (2.5), we find

$$h_{cb} U^c V^b + l_b W^b = 0$$

and

$$-h_{cb} U^c V^b + l_b W^b = 0$$

respectively, from which

$$(2.14) \quad h_{cb} U^c V^b = 0, \quad l_b W^b = 0.$$

Transvecting (2.12) with V^b and (2.13) with U^b , we have respectively

$$(2.15) \quad h_{cb} W^c U^b = l_c V^c, \quad h_{cb} V^c W^b = -l_c U^c.$$

Transvecting (2.10) and (2.11) with $w_a W^b$, we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad h_{cb} V^c W^b = 0, \quad h_{cb} W^c U^b = 0,$$

from which, using (2.15),

$$(2.17) \quad l_c U^c = 0, \quad l_c V^c = 0.$$

Summing up, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{cb} V^c W^b &= 0, & h_{cb} W^c U^b &= 0, & h_{cb} U^c V^b &= 0, \\ l_b U^b &= 0, & l_b V^b &= 0, & l_b W^b &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Transvecting (2.10) with U^b and (2.11) with V^b and using (2.18), we find

$$(2.19) \quad F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a = -(h_b^e F_e^a U^b) u_c$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a = -(h_b^e G_e^a V^b) v_c$$

respectively.

Transvecting (2.19) and (2.20) with W^c and using (1.15), (1.16) and (2.18), we find

$$-h_e^a V^e - h_c^e F_e^a W^c = 0$$

and

$$h_e^a U^e - h_c^e G_e^a W^c = 0$$

respectively, and consequently

$$h_b^e F_e^a U^b = +h_c^e G_e^d W^c F_d^a = h_c^e H_e^a W^c$$

and

$$h_b^e G_e^a V^b = -h_c^e F_e^d W^c G_d^a = h_c^e H_e^a W^c$$

by virtue of (1.13). Thus we can write (2.19) and (2.20) in the form

$$(2.21) \quad F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a = u_c P^a$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a = v_c P^a$$

respectively, where

$$P^a = -h_b^e F_e^a U^b = -h_b^e G_e^a V^b.$$

Substituting (2.21) and (2.22) into (2.9), we find

$$(2.23) \quad [F, G] + du \otimes V + dv \otimes U = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose that two almost contact affine structures (F, U, u) and (G, V, v) satisfy (2.23). Then we have from (2.9)

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & (G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a) u_b - (G_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e G_e^a - l_b V^a) u_c \\ & + (F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a) v_b - (F_b^e h_e^a - h_b^e F_e^a - l_b U^a) v_c = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting (2.24) with respect to a and b and using (1.14) and (1.15), we find

$$(2.25) \quad G_c^e h_e^a u_a + F_c^e h_e^a v_a + (l_a V^a) u_c + (l_a U^a) v_c = 0,$$

from which, transvecting U^c , V^c and W^c respectively, we find

$$(2.26) \quad h_{cb} W^c U^b = l_a V^a,$$

$$(2.27) \quad h_{cb} V^c W^b = -l_a U^a,$$

$$(2.28) \quad h_{cb} U^c U^b = h_{cb} V^c V^b.$$

Transvecting (2.24) with U^b and using (1.15) and (1.16), we find

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a \\ & = -(h_e^a W^e + h_b^e G_e^a U^b + l_b U^b V^a) u_c - (h_b^e F_e^a U^b + l_b U^b U^a) v_c. \end{aligned}$$

Transvecting (2.29) with v_a and taking account of (2.27), we find

$$(2.30) \quad G_c^e h_e^a v_a - l_c = h_{ba} W^b U^a v_c,$$

from which, transvecting with V^c

$$-l_c V^c = h_{ba} W^b U^a.$$

Comparing (2.26) with this, we find

$$(2.31) \quad h_{cb} W^c U^b = 0, \quad l_c V^c = 0.$$

Transvecting again (2.30) with W^c , we find

$$(2.32) \quad l_c W^c = h_{cb} U^c V^b.$$

Now, transvecting (2.24) with V^b and using (2.31), we find

$$(2.33) \quad F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a = -h_b^e V^b G_e^a u_c + (h_e^a W^e - h_b^e V^b F_e^a) v_c.$$

Transvecting (2.33) with u_a and using (2.31), we find

$$(2.34) \quad F_c^e h_e^a u_a - l_c = -h_{ba} V^b W^a u_c$$

from which, transvecting with U^c ,

$$l_c U^c = h_{cb} V^c W^b,$$

and consequently, from (2.27) and this equation, we have

$$(2.35) \quad h_{cb} V^c W^b = 0, \quad l_c U^c = 0.$$

Thus we have, from (2.34),

$$(2.36) \quad l_c = F_c^e h_e^a u_a,$$

from which, transvecting W^c ,

$$l_c W^c = -h_{cb} U^c V^b.$$

Thus (2.32) and this give

$$(2.37) \quad h_{cb} U^c V^b = 0, \quad l_c W^c = 0.$$

Summing up, we have

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{cb} V^c W^b &= 0, & h_{cb} W^c U^b &= 0, & h_{cb} U^c V^b &= 0, \\ l_c U^c &= 0, & l_c V^c &= 0, & l_c W^c &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, transvecting (2.29) with W^c and taking account of (1.14), (1.15) and (2.38),

$$h_e^a U^e - h_c^e W^c G_e^a = 0,$$

from which, transvecting G_a^b ,

$$h_e^a U^e G_a^b - h_c^e W^c (-\delta_e^b + v_e V^b) = 0,$$

or

$$(2.39) \quad h_e^d U^e G_a^a + h_c^a W^c = 0.$$

Thus, (2.29) becomes

$$G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a = -h_b^e F_e^a U^b v_c,$$

that is,

$$(2.40) \quad G_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e G_e^a - l_c V^a = \beta^a v_c,$$

β^a being a certain vector field.

In the same way, from (2.33) we can deduce

$$(2.41) \quad F_c^e h_e^a - h_c^e F_e^a - l_c U^a = \alpha^a u_c,$$

α^a being a certain vector field.

Substituting (2.41) into (2.7), we find

$$[F, F] + du \otimes U = 0$$

and substituting (2.40) into (2.8), we find

$$[G, G] + dv \otimes V = 0,$$

that is, the almost contact affine structures (F, U, u) and (G, V, v) are both normal. Thus, we have proved

THEOREM 2.1. *On a hypersurface of an almost quaternion manifold, the condition*

$$[F, F] + du \otimes U = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [G, G] + dv \otimes V = 0$$

and the condition

$$[F, G] + du \otimes V + dv \otimes U = 0$$

are equivalent.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BONAN, E., Sur les G-structures de type quaternionien. Cahiers de Topol. et Géom. Diff. **9** (1967), 389-461.
- [2] ISHIHARA, S., AND M. KONISHI, Fibred Riemannian spaces with Sasakian 3-structure. Differential Geometry, in honor of Kentaro Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1972), 179-194.
- [3] KASHIWADA, T., A note on a Riemannian space with Sasakian 3-structure. Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. **22** (1971), 1-2.
- [4] KUO, Y. Y., On almost contact 3-structure. Tôhoku Math. J. **22** (1970), 325-332.

- [5] KUO, Y. Y., AND S. TACHIBANA, On the distribution appeared in contact 3-structure. *Taita J. Math.* **2** (1970), 17-24.
- [6] OBATA, M., Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternion or Hermitian structure. *Jap. J. Math.* **26** (1956), 43-77
- [7] OBATA, M., Affine connections in a quaternion manifold and transformations preserving the structure. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **9** (1957), 404-416.
- [8] SASAKI, S., On spherical space forms with normal contact metric 3-structure. To appear.
- [9] TACHIBANA, S., AND W. N. YU, On a Riemannian space admitting more than one Sasakian structures. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **22** (1970), 536-540.
- [10] YANO, K., AND M. AKO, Integrability conditions for almost quaternion structures. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **1** (1972), 63-86.

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
SUNG KYUN KWAN UNIVERSITY, AND
KYUNGPOOK UNIVERSITY.