YANO, K., S.-S. EUM, AND U.-H. KI KODAI MATH. SEM. REP. **24** (1972), 459-470

ON TRANSVERSAL HYPERSURFACES OF AN ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLD

BY KENTARO YANO, SANG-SEUP EUM AND U-HANG Ki

§ 0. Introduction.

Hypersurfaces of an almost contact manifold have been studied by Blair [2], [3], Bum [4], Goldberg [5], Ki [11], [12], Ludden [2], [3], Okumura [7], [13], Yamaguchi [10], Yano [3], [5], [11], [12], [13], and. others.

Blair [3], Ludden [3], Okumura [13] and Yano [3], [13] found that a hypersurface of an almost contact manifold admits what they call an (f, U, V, u, v, λ) structure.

Goldberg and one of the present authors [5] called a noninvariant hypersurface of an almost contact manifold a hypersurface such that the transform of a tangent vector of the hypersurface by the tensor φ defining the almost contact structure is never tangent to the hypersurface.

In the present paper, we study what we call transversal hypersurfaces, that is, hypersurfaces which never contain the vector field *ξ* defining the almost contact structure.

In § 1 we first of all show that a transversal hypersurface of an almost contact manifold admits an almost complex structure F and that a transversal hypersurface of an almost contact metric manifold admits an almost Hermitian structure *(F, γ).* We also derive a formula which gives the relation between the connection with respect to the Hermitian metric γ and that with respect to the induced Riemannian metric *g.*

In § 2, we study transversal hypersurfaces of a cosymplectic manifold. In this case the almost Hermitian structure *(F, γ)* is Kahlerian [5].

In §3, we study transversal hypersurfaces of a Sasakian manifold. In this case also, the almost Hermitian structure *(F, γ)* is Kahlerian.

The last §4 is devoted to the study of transversal hypersurfaces of a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature.

§ 1. Transversal hypersurface of an almost contact manifold.

Let \tilde{M} be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold covered by a system

Received September 9, 1971.

of coordinate neighborhoods { \tilde{U} ; y ^r}, where, here and in the sequel, the indices κ , λ , μ , ν , \cdots run over the range {1, 2, \cdots , 2n+1} and let \tilde{M} admit an almost contact structure, that is, a set $(\varphi_{\lambda}^*, \xi^*, \eta_{\lambda})$ of a tensor field φ_{λ}^* of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field *ξ κ* and a 1-form *η^λ* satisfying

(1. 1)
\n
$$
\varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\mu}\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\epsilon} = -\delta_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} + \eta_{\lambda}\xi^{\epsilon},
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{\epsilon}\varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\epsilon} = 0, \qquad \varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\epsilon}\xi^{\lambda} = 0, \qquad \eta_{\lambda}\xi^{\lambda} = 1.
$$

Consider a 2n-dimensional differentiable manifold *M* covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^h\}$, where, here and in the sequel, the indices h, i, j, k , *•••* run over the range {1, 2, *•••*, 2*n*}, and assume that *M* is differentiably immersed in \tilde{M} as a hypersurface by the immersion $i: M \longrightarrow \tilde{M}$, in \tilde{M} as a hypersurface by the immersion

$$
i\colon M\longrightarrow \widetilde{M},
$$

which is expressed locally by

 $y^* = y^*(x^h)$.

We assume that for each *p€M,* the vector field *ζ** at *i(p)* never belongs to the tangent hyperplane of the hypersurface $i(M)$. We call such a hypersurface $i(M)$ a *transversal hypersurface* of an almost contact manifold. In this case, we can take *ξ κ* as an affine normal to the hypersurface *i(M).*

Now the vectors $B_i^* = \partial_i y^*$ ($\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$) and ξ^* being linearly independent, the transforms $\varphi_{\lambda}^* B_{\lambda}^{\lambda}$ of B_{λ}^{λ} by φ_{λ}^* can be expressed as

$$
\varphi_{\iota}^{\kappa} B_{i}^{\kappa} = F_{\iota}^{\kappa} B_{\hbar}^{\kappa} + \alpha_{i} \xi^{\kappa},
$$

where F_i^h is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ and α_i a 1-form of M.

Applying φ_n^{μ} again to (1. 2) and taking account of (1. 1), we find

$$
(1, 3) \t\t F_i^t F_t^h = -\delta_i^h,
$$

(1.4)

where

$$
\eta_i = \eta_i B_i{}^i.
$$

Thus *M* admits an almost complex structure *F* and a 1-form α .

We now assume that \tilde{M} admits an almost contact metric structure, that is, a set $(\varphi_{\lambda}^{\kappa}, \xi^{\kappa}, \eta_{\lambda}, G_{\mu\lambda})$ of $\varphi_{\lambda}^{\kappa}, \xi^{\kappa}, \eta_{\lambda}$ and a positive definite Riemannian metric $G_{\mu\lambda}$ satisfying, in addition to (1. 1),

$$
(1.5) \tG_{\tau\sigma}\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\tau}\varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\sigma} = G_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda},
$$

and

$$
\eta_{\mu} = G_{\mu\lambda} \xi^{\lambda}, \qquad G_{\mu\lambda} \xi^{\mu} \xi^{\lambda} = 1.
$$

TRANSVERSAL HYPERSURFACES OF ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLD 461

Transvecting (1. 5) with $B_j^{\mu} B_i^{\lambda}$ and using (1. 2), we find

$$
G_{\tau\sigma}(F_j{}^tB_t{}^{\tau}+\alpha_j\xi^{\tau})(F_i{}^sB_s{}^{\sigma}+\alpha_i\xi^{\sigma})=g_{ji}-\eta_j\eta_i,
$$

 g_{ji} being the Riemannian metric on M induced from that of \tilde{M} , that is,

$$
g_{ji} = G_{\mu\lambda} B_j^{\mu} B_i^{\lambda}.
$$

Thus, remembering $G_{\tau\sigma}B_{t}^{\tau}\xi^{\sigma}=\eta_{t}$ and $F_{j}^{\tau}\eta_{t}=-\alpha_{j}$, we have

$$
F_j{}^t F_i{}^s g_{ts} - \alpha_j \alpha_i = g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i,
$$

or

$$
(1.6) \tF_j{}^t F_i{}^s (g_{ts} - \eta_t \eta_s) = g_{jt} - \eta_j \eta_i.
$$

This shows that

$$
\gamma_{ji} = g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i
$$

is an almost Hermitian metric with respect to the almost complex structure *F.* The fact that the metric γ_{ji} is positive definite will be verified as follows.

Let X^k be an arbitrary vector in \widetilde{M} , then the square of the length of the vector $X^{\prime} - (\eta_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}) \xi^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
G_{\mu\lambda}\lbrace X^{\mu}-(\eta_{\tau}X^{\tau})\xi^{\mu}\rbrace \lbrace X^{\lambda}-(\eta_{\beta}X^{\beta})\xi^{\lambda}\rbrace = (G_{\mu\lambda}-\eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda})X^{\mu}X^{\lambda},
$$

which shows that $(G_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda})X^{\mu}X^{\lambda} \ge 0$ and $=0$ if and only if $X^{\mu} = (\eta_{\tau}X^{\tau})\xi^{\mu}$. Thus the Riemannian metric

$$
\gamma_{ji}{=} (G_{\mu\lambda} {-} \eta_{\mu} \eta_{\lambda}) B_{j}{}^{\mu} B_{i}{}^{\lambda}
$$

on *M* induced from $G_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu} \eta_{\lambda}$ of \tilde{M} is also positive definite.

We now assume that M is orientable and choose a unit vector field C^* of \tilde{M} normal to $i(M)$ in such a way that $2n+1$ vectors B_i^* and C^* give the positive orientation of \tilde{M} . We put

$$
\xi^* = B_i^{\ \ k} v^i + \lambda C^{\mathfrak{c}},
$$

where $v^i = \eta^i = \eta_j g^{ji}$ and λ is a scalar field which never vanishes along $i(M)$, because f * is never tangent to *i(M).* The length of *ζ^κ* being 1, we have from (1. 8)

 $g_{ii}\eta^j\eta^i = 1 - \lambda^2$.

Since

$$
(g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i) \bigg(g^{ih} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \eta^i \eta^h \bigg) = \delta_j^h,
$$

we see that the contravariant components γ^{th} of the metric γ are given by

(1. 9)^r «=fl,«»+ 4-ΐY X

The transforms $\varphi_i^*B_i^{\lambda}$ of B_i^{λ} by φ_i^* and the transform $\varphi_i^*C^{\lambda}$ of C^{λ} by φ_i^* can be respectively expressed as

(1. 10)
$$
\varphi_{i}^{\ \ k}B_{i}^{2} = f_{i}^{\ h}B_{h}^{\ \ k} + u_{i}C^{\ast},
$$

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}^{\kappa} C^{\lambda} = -u^{i} B_{i}^{\kappa},
$$

where f_i^h is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, u_i a 1-form of M and $u^i = u_j g^{ji}$. From (1. 1), (1. 5), (1. 8), (1. 10) and (1. 11), we find [13], [14],

 $f_i^t f_i^h = -\delta_i^h + u_i u^h + v_i v^h,$ $u_t f_t^{\ t} = \lambda v_i, \qquad f_t^{\ h} u^t = -\lambda v^h,$ (1. 12) $v_t f_i^t = -\lambda u_i, \qquad f_t^h v^t = \lambda u^h,$ $u_t u^t = v_t v^t = 1 - \lambda^2$, $u_t v^t = 0$, $g_{ts} f_j^t f_i^s = g_{ji} - u_j u_i - v_j v_i$

that is, *M* admits an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure [13]. We can easily see that

$$
f_{ji} = f_j^{\ t} g_{ti}
$$

is skew-symmetric with respect to *j* and *i.* Substituting $(1, 8)$ into $(1, 2)$, we find

$$
\varphi_i^{\kappa} B_i^{\kappa} = (F_i^{\kappa} + \alpha_i v^{\kappa}) B_{\kappa}^{\kappa} + \lambda \alpha_i C^*.
$$

Comparing this with (1. 10), we find

$$
F_i^h + \alpha_i v^h = f_i^h, \qquad u_i = \lambda \alpha_i,
$$

and consequently

(1. 13)
$$
F_i^h = f_i^h - \frac{1}{\lambda} u_i v^h,
$$

$$
\alpha_i = \frac{1}{\lambda} u_i,
$$

from which, using (1.4) and (1.12) ,

$$
(1. 15) \t\t u_t F_t^t = \lambda v_t, \t F_t^h u^t = -\frac{1}{\lambda} v^h,
$$

$$
(1. 16) \t v_t F_i^t = -\frac{1}{\lambda} u_i, \t F_i^h v^t = \lambda u^h
$$

We also have, using (1. 7),

$$
(1.17) \t\t Fi\gamma_{th}=fih.
$$

We denote by $\{f^{(k)}_j\}$ the Christoffel symbols formed with g_{ji} and by \bar{V}_i the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to these Christoffel symbols.

We denote by Γ_j^h the Christoffel symbols formed with γ_{ji} . Substituting (1.7) and (1. 9) into

$$
\Gamma_j^{\,n}{}_{\scriptscriptstyle{N}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_j \gamma_{it} + \partial_i \gamma_{jt} - \partial_t \gamma_{ji} \right) \gamma^{th},
$$

we get

$$
\Gamma_j{}^h{}_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h \\ j \\ i \end{array} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\mathbf{F}_j v_t - \mathbf{F}_t v_j) v_i + (\mathbf{F}_i v_t - \mathbf{F}_t v_i) v_j \right\} g^{th} \n- \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left\{ (\mathbf{F}_j v_t + \mathbf{F}_t v_j) + (\mathbf{F}_j v_t - \mathbf{F}_t v_j) v^t v_i + (\mathbf{F}_t v_t - \mathbf{F}_t v_i) v^t v_j \right\} v^h
$$

Therefore, we have the following

LEMMA. The connection Γ_j^h _{*i*} with respect to γ_{ji} is given by

$$
(1.18) \t\t \t\Gamma_j^{h_i} = \begin{cases} h \\ j & i \end{cases} - \frac{1}{2} \{ (\mathbf{F}_j v_t - \mathbf{F}_t v_j) v_i + (\mathbf{F}_i v_t - \mathbf{F}_t v_i) v_j \} \gamma^{th} - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (\mathbf{F}_j v_i + \mathbf{F}_t v_j) v^h.
$$

For the hypersurface $i(M)$, the equations of Gauss and Weingarten are respectively

(1.19)

$$
\mathbf{V}_j C^* = -h_j{}^i B_i{}^i,
$$

where h_{ji} is the second fundamental tensor of $i(M)$ and $h_j^i =$

Differentiating (1. 10) covariantly along $i(M)$ and taking account of (1. 19) and (1. 20), we have

$$
(1, 21) \qquad (\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\ \ k}) B_{j}^{\ \mu} B_{i}^{\ \lambda} - h_{ji} u^{h} B_{h}^{\ \ k} = (\mathbf{V}_{j} f_{\lambda}^{\ \ h} - h_{j}^{\ \ h} u_{i}) B_{h}^{\ \ k} + (\mathbf{V}_{j} u_{i} + h_{j} f_{\lambda}^{\ \ t}) C^{\ast},
$$

where \tilde{V}_{μ} is the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to $G_{\mu\lambda}$ of \tilde{M} . Similarly, we have, from (1. 8),

$$
(1. 22) \qquad (\tilde{V}_\lambda \xi^{\kappa}) B_j{}^{\lambda} = (V_j v^h - \lambda h_j{}^h) B_h{}^{\kappa} + (V_j \lambda + h_{ji} v^i) C^{\kappa}.
$$

§2. **Transversal hypersurface of a cosymplectic manifold.**

An almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}(\varphi_{\lambda}, \xi^*, \eta_{\lambda}, G_{\mu\lambda})$ is said to be cosymplectic if the 2-form $\Phi_{\mu\lambda} = \varphi_{\mu}^{\alpha} G_{\alpha\lambda}$ and the 1-form η_{λ} are both closed. It is known [1] that the cosymplectic structure is characterized by

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu}\varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\kappa}=0,\qquad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu}\xi^{\kappa}=0.
$$

In this section, we consider a transversal hypersurface $i(M)$ of a cosymplectic manifold.

From (1. 21), (1. 22) and (2. 1), we find

(2.2) *r,f**

(2.3) Γ^y iί<

(2. 4) *Vfli*

(2.5) *Vjλ^-hjttf.*

Differentiating (1. 13) covariantly and using $(2, 2) \sim (2, 5)$, we find

(2. 6)
$$
\nabla_j F_i^h = -h_{ji} u^h + \frac{1}{\lambda} h_{ji} F_i^t v^h.
$$

Using (2. 6), we compute the Nijenhuis tensor

$$
[F, F]_{ji}{}^{n} = F_j{}^{t} \nabla_t F_i{}^{n} - F_i{}^{t} \nabla_t F_j{}^{n} - (\nabla_j F_i{}^{t} - \nabla_t F_j{}^{t}) F_i{}^{n},
$$

and find

$$
(2.7) \t\t\t [F, F] = 0.
$$

From (2. 2), we have

$$
\nabla_j f_{ih} + \nabla_i f_{hj} + \nabla_h f_{ji} = 0,
$$

which shows that the exterior differential of the 2-form

 $F_t^{\ t}$ rth

vanishes. Thus the almost Hermitian structure (F, γ) is Kählerian, and consequently denoting by \mathcal{F}_{i}^{*} the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Christoffel symbols Γ_j^h formed with γ_{ji} , we have, from (1. 18) and (2. 4),

$$
\tag{2.8} \tag{7*} \mathbb{F}_i^* F_i^* = 0,
$$

(2.9) Γ I J *

We denote by R_{kji}^h the curvature tensor of the connection $\Gamma_j^h{}_i$, that is, we put

(2. 10)
$$
R_{kj}{}^{h} = \partial_{k} \Gamma_{j}{}^{h}{}_{i} - \partial_{j} \Gamma_{k}{}^{h}{}_{i} + \Gamma_{k}{}^{h}{}_{l} \Gamma_{j}{}^{t}{}_{i} - \Gamma_{j}{}^{h}{}_{l} \Gamma_{k}{}^{t}{}_{i}.
$$

In general, if

(2.11) ΓΛ

 T_{ji} ^h being a tensor field of type (1, 2), we have

$$
(2. 12) \t\t R_{kj}{}^{h} = K_{kj}{}^{h} + V_{k}T_{ji}{}^{h} - V_{j}T_{ki}{}^{h} + T_{ki}{}^{h}T_{ji}{}^{t} - T_{ji}{}^{h}T_{ki}{}^{t},
$$

where K_{kj} ^{*h*} is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\{j^h\}$. Differentiating

(2. 13)
$$
T_{ji}{}^{h} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} h_{ji} v^{h}
$$

covariantly and using $(2. 4)$ and $(2. 5)$, we find

(2. 14)
$$
\nabla_k T_{ji}{}^h = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} h_{ki} v^i h_{ji} v^h - \frac{1}{\lambda} (\nabla_k h_{ji}) v^h - h_{ji} h_k^h.
$$

Substituting (2.13) and (2.14) into (2.12) , we obtain

(2. 15)
$$
R_{kji}{}^{h} = K_{kji}{}^{h} - (h_{k}{}^{h}h_{ji} - h_{j}{}^{h}h_{ki}) - \frac{1}{\lambda} (V_{k}h_{ji} - V_{j}h_{ki})v^{h}.
$$

On the other hand, differentiating (2.4) covariantly and using (2.5) , we find

$$
\nabla_k \nabla_j v_i = -h_{kt} v^t h_{ji} + \lambda \nabla_k h_{ji},
$$

from which, using Ricci identity,

$$
\nabla_k \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_j \nabla_k v_i = -K_{kj}v_i, \quad \partial_k v_k
$$

we have

$$
K_{kji}^{\dagger}v_i = (h_k^{\dagger}h_{ji} - h_j^{\dagger}h_{ki})v_i - \lambda(\nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki}),
$$

or

(2. 16)
$$
\nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} (K_{kj}t - h_k{}^t h_{ji} + h_j{}^t h_{ki}) v_i.
$$

Substituting (2.16) into (2.15), we find

$$
R_{kji}{}^{h} = (K_{kji}{}^{t} - h_{k}{}^{t}h_{ji} + h_{j}{}^{t}h_{ki}) \left(\delta_{t}^{h} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}v_{t}v^{h}\right)
$$

that is,

$$
R_{kji}{}^h = (K_{kjii} - h_{kl}h_{ji} + h_{jl}h_{ki})\gamma^{th}.
$$

Thus we have

 $R_{kjih}=K_{kjih}-(h_{kh}h_{ji}-h_{jh}h_{ki}),$

where

$$
R_{kjih}=R_{kji}{}^t\gamma_{th}.
$$

Applying the equations of Gauss

466 KENTARO YANO, SANG-SEUP EUM AND U-HANG KI

$$
K_{kjih} = \tilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} B_{k}^{\nu} B_{j}^{\mu} B_{i}^{\lambda} B_{h}^{\kappa} + (h_{kh} h_{ji} - h_{jh} h_{ki})
$$

of $i(M)$ in \widetilde{M} , where $\widetilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa}$ is the curvature tensor of the connection $\{f_{\mu\lambda}\}\$ of \widetilde{M} , we obtain, from (2. 17),

(2. 18)
$$
R_{kjih} = \tilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda s} B_{k}^{\ \nu} B_{j}^{\ \mu} B_{i}^{\ \lambda} B_{h}^{\ \kappa}.
$$

In a cosymplectic manifold of constant φ -holomorphic sectional curvature, the curvature tensor *βvμλκ* has the form [6]

$$
\widetilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = \frac{k}{4} \left((G_{\nu\kappa} - \eta_{\nu}\eta_{\kappa}) (G_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda}) \right)
$$

(2. 19)

$$
-(G_{\mu\kappa}-\eta_{\mu}\eta_{\kappa})(G_{\nu\lambda}-\eta_{\nu}\eta_{\lambda})+\varphi_{\nu\kappa}\varphi_{\mu\lambda}-\varphi_{\mu\kappa}\varphi_{\nu\lambda}-2\varphi_{\nu\mu}\varphi_{\lambda\kappa},
$$

k being a constant.

Substituting $(2. 19)$ into $(2. 18)$, we have

(2. 20)
$$
R_{kjth} = \frac{k}{4} \left(\gamma_{kh} \gamma_{ji} - \gamma_{jh} \gamma_{ki} + F_{kh} F_{ji} - F_{jh} F_{ki} - 2 F_{kj} F_{ih} \right),
$$

which shows that the Kählerian manifold with complex structure F and the Hermitian metric *γ* is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 2. 1. *If M is a cosymplectic manifold of constant ψ-holomorphic sectional curvature, then the transversal Kahlerian hypersurface i(M) is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.*

§3. Transversal hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold.

In this section, we consider a hypersurface $i(M)$ of a Sasakian manifold \widetilde{M} . In a Sasakian manifold *M,* we have

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu}\varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\epsilon} = -G_{\mu\lambda}\xi^{\epsilon} + \delta^{\epsilon}_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda},
$$

(3.1)

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu}\xi^{\kappa}=\varphi_{\mu}^{\ \kappa}.
$$

Substituting (3. 1) into (1. 21) and (1. 22) and using (1. 8), (1. 10) and (1. 11), we find [13]

(3. 2) $\mathcal{V}_j f_i^h = -h_{ji} u^h + h_j^h u_i - g_{ji} v^h + \delta_j^h v_i,$

(3.3)

(3.4)

 $\nabla_i \lambda = u_j - h_{ji} v^t$. (3. 5)

TRANSVERSAL HYPERSURFACES OF ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLD 467

Differentiating (1. 13) covariantly and using $(3, 2) \sim (3, 5)$, we find

(3. 6)
$$
V_j F_i^h = -h_{ji} u^h - \frac{1}{\lambda} F_j^h u_i + \frac{1}{\lambda} h_{ji} F_i^t v^h + \delta_j^h v_i.
$$

Using (3. 6), we compute the Nijenhuis tensor *[F, F]* formed with *F* and find

$$
(3.7) \t\t\t [F, F] = 0.
$$

From (3. 2), we have

$$
\nabla_j f_{ih} + \nabla_i f_{hj} + \nabla_h f_{ji} = 0,
$$

which shows that the exterior differential of the 2-form $F_i^t r_{th}$ vanishes. Thus the almost Hermitian structure (F, γ) is Kählerian and we have

$$
\tag{3.8} \tag{3.8}
$$
\n
$$
V_j^* F_i^* = 0.
$$

Substituting (3. 4) into (1. 18), we find

(3. 9)
$$
\Gamma_{j}{}^{h}{}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ j & i \end{bmatrix} - F_{j}{}^{h}v_{i} - F_{i}{}^{h}v_{j} - \frac{1}{\lambda} h_{ji}v^{h}.
$$

Thus we put

(3. 10)
$$
T_{ji}{}^{h} = -F_{j}{}^{h}v_{i} - F_{i}{}^{h}v_{j} - \frac{1}{\lambda} h_{ji}v^{h},
$$

and compute

(3. 11)
\n
$$
F_{k}T_{ji}{}^{h} - F_{j}T_{ki}{}^{h} + T_{ki}{}^{h}T_{ji}{}^{t} - T_{ji}{}^{h}T_{ki}{}^{t}
$$
\n
$$
= F_{k}{}^{h}F_{ji} - F_{j}{}^{h}F_{ki} - 2F_{kj}F_{i}{}^{h} - (\delta_{k}^{h}v_{j} - \delta_{j}^{h}v_{k})v_{i}
$$
\n
$$
-(h_{k}{}^{h}h_{ji} - h_{j}{}^{h}h_{ki}) - \frac{1}{\lambda}(F_{k}h_{ji} - F_{j}h_{ki})v^{h}.
$$

On the other hand, differentiating (3. 4) covariantly and taking account of (3. 2) and (3. 5), we find

$$
\mathbf{V}_k \mathbf{V}_j v_i = -h_{kj} u_i + h_{ki} u_j - g_{kj} v_i + g_{ki} v_j + (u_k - h_{kl} v^t) h_{ji} + \lambda \mathbf{V}_k h_{ji},
$$

from which, using Ricci identity,

$$
K_{kji}{}^t v_t = v_k g_{ji} - v_j g_{ki} + (h_{ki}h_{ji} - h_{ji}h_{ki})v^t - \lambda(\nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki}),
$$

or

(3. 12)
$$
\mathcal{V}_{k}h_{ji}-\mathcal{V}_{j}h_{ki}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\{v_{k}g_{ji}-v_{j}g_{ki}-(K_{kji}{}^{t}-h_{k}{}^{t}h_{ji}+h_{j}{}^{t}h_{ki})v_{i}\right\}.
$$

Substituting (3. 12) into (3. 11), we find

468 KENTARO YANO, SANG-SEUP EUM AND U-HANG KI

$$
\begin{aligned} &F_k T_{ji}{}^h - F_j T_{ki}{}^h + T_{ki}{}^h T_{ji}{}^t - T_{ji}{}^h T_{ki}{}^t \\ &= -(\partial_k^h v_j - \partial_j^h v_k) v_i + F_k{}^h F_{ji} - F_j{}^h F_{ki} - 2F_{kj} F_i{}^h \\ &- \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (v_k g_{ji} - v_j g_{ki}) v^h + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (K_{kj}{}^t - h_k{}^t h_{ji} + h_j{}^t h_{ki}) v_i v^h \\ &- h_k{}^h h_{ji} + h_j{}^h h_{ki} .\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from (2. 12), we have

(3. 13)
\n
$$
R_{kji}{}^{h} = (K_{kjii} - h_{kl}h_{ji} + h_{jl}h_{ki})\left(g^{th} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}v^{t}v^{h}\right) - (\delta_{k}^{h}v_{j} - \delta_{j}^{h}v_{k})v_{i} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}(v_{k}g_{ji} - v_{j}g_{ki})v^{h} + F_{k}{}^{h}F_{ji} - F_{j}{}^{h}F_{ki} - 2F_{kj}F_{i}{}^{h}.
$$

Transvecting (3. 13) with $\gamma_{hl} = g_{hl} - v_h v_l$ and taking account of (1. 9) and (1. 17), we have

$$
R_{kjil} = K_{kjil} - h_{kl}h_{ji} + h_{jl}h_{ki}
$$

$$
- \{(g_{kl} - v_k v_l)v_j - (g_{jl} - v_j v_l)v_k)v_i
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (v_k g_{ji} - v_j g_{ki})v^h(g_{hl} - v_h v_l)
$$

$$
+ F_{kl}F_{ji} - F_{jl}F_{ki} - 2F_{kj}F_{il},
$$

or

(3. 14)
\n
$$
R_{kjih} = K_{kjih} - (h_{kh}h_{ji} - h_{jh}h_{ki}) - (g_{kh}v_j - g_{jh}v_k)v_i - (v_kg_{ji} - v_jg_{ki})v_h + F_{kh}F_{ji} - F_{jh}F_{ki} - 2F_{kj}F_{ih}.
$$

On the other hand, we have

 \bar{a}

$$
-(g_{\mathit{kh}} v_j\!-\!g_{\mathit{jh}} v_k)v_i\!-\!(v_kg_{\mathit{ji}}\!-\!v_{\mathit{j}}g_{\mathit{ki}})v_h
$$

(3. 15)

$$
=-(g_{kh}g_{ji}-g_{jh}g_{ki})+(\gamma_{kh}\gamma_{ji}-\gamma_{jh}\gamma_{ki}).
$$

Substituting (3. 15) into (3. 14), we find

 $\overline{ }$

$$
R_{kjih} - (\gamma_{kh}\gamma_{ji} - \gamma_{jh}\gamma_{ki} + F_{kh}F_{ji} - F_{jh}F_{ki} - 2F_{kj}F_{ih})
$$

(3. 16)

$$
=(K_{kjih}-h_{kh}h_{ji}+h_{jh}h_{ki})-(g_{kh}g_{ji}-g_{jh}g_{ki}).
$$

Applying the equations of Gauss of $i(M)$ in \tilde{M} , we obtain, from (3.16),

$$
R_{kjih}-(\gamma_{kh}\gamma_{ji}-\gamma_{jh}\gamma_{ki}+F_{kh}F_{ji}-F_{jh}F_{ki}-2F_{kj}F_{ih})
$$

(3. 17)

$$
=(\widetilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa}-G_{\nu\kappa}G_{\mu\lambda}+G_{\mu\kappa}G_{\nu\lambda})B_{\kappa}^{\ \nu}B_{\jmath}^{\ \mu}B_{\iota}^{\ \lambda}B_{\hbar}^{\ \kappa}.
$$

In a Sasakian manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature, the curvature tensor has the form [9]

(3. 18)
\n
$$
\widetilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = \frac{k+3}{4} (G_{\nu\kappa} G_{\mu\lambda} - G_{\mu\kappa} G_{\nu\lambda}) - \frac{k-1}{4} \{ \eta_{\nu} (G_{\mu\lambda} \eta_{\kappa} - G_{\mu\kappa} \eta_{\lambda}) - \eta_{\mu} (G_{\nu\lambda} \eta_{\kappa} - G_{\nu\kappa} \eta_{\lambda}) - \varphi_{\nu\kappa} \varphi_{\mu\lambda} + \varphi_{\mu\kappa} \varphi_{\nu\lambda} + 2 \varphi_{\nu\mu} \varphi_{\lambda\kappa} \}.
$$

Substituting (3. 18) into (3. 17), we obtain

(3. 19)
$$
R_{kjih} = \frac{k+3}{4} (\gamma_{kh} \gamma_{ji} - \gamma_{jh} \gamma_{ki} + F_{kh} F_{ji} - F_{jh} F_{ki} - 2 F_{kj} F_{ih}),
$$

which shows that the Kahlerian manifold with complex structure *F* and the Hermitian metric γ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 3. 1. *If M is a Sasakian manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature, then the transversal Kahlerian hypersurface i(M) is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.*

§4. **Transversal hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature.**

In this section we consider a hypersurface $i(M)$ of a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature.

When \tilde{M} is of constant curvature 1, the curvature tensor has the form

$$
\widetilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = G_{\nu\kappa} G_{\mu\lambda} - G_{\mu\kappa} G_{\nu\lambda},
$$

and consequently, we have

(4.2)
$$
\tilde{K}_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} B_{k}^{\ \nu} B_{j}^{\ \mu} B_{i}^{\ \lambda} B_{h}^{\ \kappa} = g_{k\kappa} g_{j\iota} - g_{j\kappa} g_{k\iota}.
$$

Substituting (4.1) into (3.17) , we find

$$
(4.3) \t R_{kjih} = \gamma_{kh}\gamma_{ji} - \gamma_{jh}\gamma_{ki} + F_{kh}F_{ji} - F_{jh}F_{ki} - 2F_{kj}F_{ih}.
$$

 \mathbf{L}

Thus, we have

PROPOSITION 4. 1. *If M is a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature* 1, *then a transversal hypersurface i(M] is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature which is equal to* 4.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BLAIR, D. E., The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures. J. Differential Geometry 1 (1967), 331-345.
- [2] BLAIR, D. E., AND G. D. LUDDEN, Hypersurfaces in almost contact manifold. Tohoku Math. J. 22 (1969), 354-362.
- [3] BLAIR, D. E., G. D. LUDDEN, AND K. YANO, Induced structures on submanifolds. Kδdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 188-198.
- [4] EUM, S. S., On complex hypersurfaces in normal almost contact spaces. Tensor **19** (1968), 45-50.
- [5] GOLDBERG, S. L, AND K. YANO, Noninvariant hypersurfaces of almost contact manifolds. J. of Math. Soc. Japan **22** (1970), 25-35.
- [6] LUDDEN, G. D., Submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds. J. Differential Geometry 4 (1970), 237-244.
- [7] OKUMURA, M., Certain hypersurfaces of an odd-dimensional sphere. Tôhoku Math. J. 19 (1967), 381-395.
- [8] SASAKI, S., Almost contact manifolds, Part I (1965), Part II (1967), Part III (1968). Tohoku University.
- [9] TASHIRO, Y., AND S. TACHIBANA, On Fubmian and C-Fubinian manifolds. Kόdai Math. Sem. Rep. 15 (1963), 176-183.
- [10] YAMAGUCHI, S., On hypersurfaces in Sasakian manifold. Kδdai Math. Sem. Rep. **21** (1969), 64-72.
- [11] YANO, K., AND U-HANG KI, On quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 24 (1972), 121-130.
- [12] YANO, K., AND U-HANG KI, Submanifolds of codimension 2 in an even-dimensional Euclidean space. Kόdai Math. Sem. Rep. 24 (1972), 315-330.
- [13] YANO, K., AND M. OKUMURA, On (f, g, u, v, l)-structures. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 401-423.
- [14] YANO, K., AND M. OKUMURA, On normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in an even-dimensional Euclidean space. Kδdai Math. Sem. Rep. 23 (1971), 172-197.

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SUNG KYUN KWAN UNIVERSITY AND KYUNGPOOK UNIVERSITY.