

FOURIER SERIES XI: GIBBS' PHENOMENON

BY KAZUO ISHIGURO

1. Concerning the Gibbs phenomenon of Fourier series, H. Cramér [1] proved the following

THEOREM 1. *There exists a number r_0 , $0 < r_0 < 1$, with the following property: If $f(x)$ is simply discontinuous at a point ξ , the (C, r) means $\sigma_n^r(x)$ of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ present Gibbs' phenomenon at ξ for $r < r_0$, but not for $r \geq r_0$.*

We shall extend this theorem to the discontinuity of the second kind. In this direction S. Izumi and M. Satô [2] proved the the following

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that*

$$(1) \quad f(x) = a\psi(x - \xi) + g(x),$$

where $\psi(x)$ is a periodic function with period 2π such that

$$(2) \quad \psi(x) = (\pi - x)/2 \quad (0 < x < 2\pi)$$

and where

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \downarrow \xi} g(x) &= 0, & \liminf_{x \uparrow \xi} g(x) &= 0, \\ \liminf_{x \downarrow \xi} g(x) &\geq -a\pi, & \limsup_{x \uparrow \xi} g(x) &\leq a\pi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \int_0^\pi |g(\xi + u)| du = o(|x|),$$

then the Gibbs' phenomenon of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ appears at $x = \xi$.

We shall prove that Theorem 1 holds even when ξ is the discontinuity point of the second kind, satisfying the condition in Theorem 2. More precisely,

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that*

$$(1) \quad f(x) = a\psi(x - \xi) + g(x),$$

where $\psi(x)$ is a periodic function with period 2π such that

$$(2) \quad \psi(x) = (\pi - x)/2 \quad (0 < x < 2\pi)$$

and where

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \downarrow \xi} g(x) &= 0, & \liminf_{x \uparrow \xi} g(x) &= 0, \\ \liminf_{x \downarrow \xi} g(x) &\geq -a\pi, & \limsup_{x \uparrow \xi} g(x) &\leq a\pi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \int_0^\pi |g(\xi + u)| du = o(|x|).$$

Received December 14, 1956.

Then there exists a number r_0 , $0 < r_0 < 1$, with the following property: the (C, r) means of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ present Gibbs' phenomenon at ξ for $r < r_0$, but not for $r \geq r_0$, r_0 being the Cramér number in Theorem 1.

Further we prove the following

THEOREM 4. Let $f(x)$ be an odd function about ξ such that

$$\limsup_{x \downarrow \xi} f(x) = a\pi/2, \quad \liminf_{x \uparrow \xi} f(x) = -a\pi/2.$$

Let the Fourier series of $f(x)$ be

$$(5) \quad f(x) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \sin n(x - \xi),$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \frac{a}{n}(1 + o(1)), \quad \sum \Delta\alpha_n < \infty, \quad \sum |\Delta^2\alpha_n| < \infty.$$

Then there exists a number r_0 , $0 < r_0 < 1$, with the following property: the (C, r) means of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ present Gibbs' phenomenon at ξ for $r < r_0$, but not for $r \geq r_0$. r_0 is the Cramér number in Theorem 1.

In order to prove Theorems 3 and 4 we use the methods of S. Izumi and M. Satô [2] and of H. Cramér [1], respectively.

2. Proof of Theorem 3. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\xi = 0$ and $a = 1$. We have

$$\sigma_n^r(x, f) = \sigma_n^r(x, \psi) + \sigma_n^r(x, g).$$

By Theorem 1 $\sigma_n^r(\pi/n, \psi)$ tends to a constant which is greater than $\pi/2$ if $r < r_0$ but less than $\pi/2$ if $r \geq r_0$. We can see that $\sigma_n^r(k\pi/n, \psi)$ is near to $\pi/2$ for large k , and if $r < r_0$, then there is a k such that

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_n^r(\pi/n, \psi) + \sigma_n^r(k\pi/n, \psi))$$

tends to a constant, greater than $\pi/2$, and if $r \geq r_0$, then (6) tends to $\pi/2$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that

$$(7) \quad \sigma_n^r(\pi/n, g) + \sigma_n^r(k\pi/n, g)$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any r , $0 < r < 1$, and any k . Now

$$\sigma_n^r(x, g) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t+x) K_n^r(t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) K_n^r(t-x) dt,$$

where $K_n^r(t)$ is the Fejér kernel of order r . It is known that¹⁾

$$(8) \quad |K_n^r(t)| \leq An$$

1) A denotes a numerical constant which may be different in each occurrence.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_n^r(t) &= \frac{1}{A_n^r} \frac{\sin\left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}r\right)t - \frac{1}{2}\pi r\right]}{\left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t\right)^{r+1}} \\
 (9) \quad &+ \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{1}{\left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t\right)^2} + \frac{\theta}{n^2} \frac{8r(1-r)}{\left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t\right)^3} \\
 &= K_{n1}^r + K_{n2}^r + K_{n3}^r,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $A_n^r = \binom{n+r}{n}$ and $|\theta| \leq 1$. We write

$$\sigma_n^r(x, g) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\pi + \int_{-\pi}^0 \right) g(t) K_n^r(t-x) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} (I_1(x) + I_2(x)).$$

We shall estimate I_1 only, since I_2 may be estimated quite similarly. We write now

$$I_1(x) = \int_0^\pi = \int_0^{2k\pi/n} + \int_{2k\pi/n}^\pi = I_{11}(x) + I_{12}(x).$$

Then by (8) and (4) we have

$$|I_{11}| \leq n \int_0^{2k\pi/n} |g(t)| dt = o(1).$$

Thus it is sufficient to prove that

$$(10) \quad I_{12}(\pi/n) + I_{12}(k\pi/n) = o(1).$$

The left side is

$$(11) \quad J = \int_{2k\pi/n}^\pi g(t) (K_n^r(t - \pi/n) + K_n^r(t - k\pi/n)) dt.$$

We denote by J_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) the J , replaced K_n^r by K_{ni}^r . Then

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{A_n^r} \int_{2k\pi/n}^\pi g(t) \left\{ \frac{\sin[(n+\alpha)(t-\pi/n)-\beta]}{(t-\pi/n)^{r+1}} - \frac{\sin[(n+\alpha)(t-\pi/n)-\beta]}{(t-k\pi/n)^{r+1}} \right\} dt$$

if we take k such that $(n+\alpha)(k-1)/n$ is an odd integer. Thus we have

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{A_n^r} \int_{2k\pi/n}^\pi g(t) \frac{(t-k\pi/n)^{r+1} - (t-\pi/n)^{r+1}}{(t-\pi/n)^{r+1}(t-k\pi/n)^{r+1}} \sin[(n+\alpha)(t-\pi/n)-\beta] dt.$$

Since

$$(t-k\pi/n)^{r+1} - (t-\pi/n)^{r+1} \leq A(t-\pi/n)^r/n,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad J_1 &\leq \frac{A}{n^{r+1}} \int_{2k\pi/n}^\pi |g(t)| \frac{dt}{t^{r+2}} \\
 &= \frac{A}{n^{r+1}} \left[\frac{1}{t^{r+2}} \int_0^t g(u) du \right]_{2k\pi/n}^\pi + \frac{A}{n^{r+1}} \int_{2k\pi/n}^\pi \frac{dt}{t^{r+3}} \int_0^t g(u) du,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is $o(1)$ by the condition (4). On the other hand we have

$$J_2 = \int_{2k\pi/n}^{\pi} g(t) (K_{n^2}^r(t - \pi/n) + K_{n^2}^r(t - k\pi/n)) dt = J_{21} + J_{22}.$$

Then

$$|J_{21}| = \left| \int_{2k\pi/n}^{\pi} g(t) \frac{r dt}{(n+1)(2 \sin(t - \pi/n)/2)^2} \right| \leq \frac{A}{n} \int_{2k\pi/n}^{\pi} g(t) \frac{dt}{t^2},$$

which is the case $r = 0$ in (12), and then tends to zero. Similarly we get $J_{22} = o(1)$. Finally

$$J_3 = \frac{8r(1-r)\theta}{n^2} \int_{2k\pi/n}^{\pi} g(t) \left(\frac{1}{(2 \sin(t - \pi/n)/2)^3} + \frac{1}{(2 \sin(t - k\pi/n)/2)^3} \right) dt,$$

which is majorated by

$$\frac{A}{n^2} \int_{2k\pi/n}^{\pi} |g(t)| \frac{dt}{t^3}.$$

This is the case $r = 1$ in (12), and then tends also to zero. Thus we have proved that $J = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 = o(1)$, and hence (10) is proved, which is the required.

3. Proof of Theorem 4. For the proof, we need a lemma, which is an extension of the Cramér's.

LEMMA. *Let $g(x)$ be an odd integrable function. Then, for any ε , there are an η and an N such that*

$$(13) \quad |\sigma_n^r(x, g) - \varphi_r(n, nx, g)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } |x| < \eta, \quad n > N,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_r(n, x, g) &= n \int_0^1 (1-t)^r a(nt) \sin xt \, dt, \\ a(t) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} g(u) \sin tu \, du. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We denote by $\tau_n^r(x, g)$ the Riesz mean of the Fourier series of $g(x)$ of order r , that is

$$\tau_n^r(x, g) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{n}\right)^r a_{\nu} \sin \nu x.$$

It is well known that

$$\sigma_n^r(x, g) - \tau_n^r(x, g) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence (13) is equivalent to

$$(14) \quad |\tau_n^r(x, g) - \varphi_r(n, nx, g)| < \varepsilon.$$

Let us now use Euler's summation formula which reads as follows:

$$(15) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n h(\nu) = \int_0^n h(t) dt + \int_0^n \left(t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}\right) h'(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} h(0) + \frac{1}{2} h(n),$$

where $h(t)$ is continuously differentiable. In (15) we put

$$h(t) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a(t) \sin tx,$$

then $h(t)$ is continuously differentiable and $h(0) = h(n) = 0$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= -\frac{r}{n} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^{r-1} a(t) \sin tx \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a'(t) \sin tx + \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a(t) x \cos xt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \tau_n^r(x, g) - \varphi_r(n, nx, g) = \int_0^n P(t) h'(t) dt \\ &= -\frac{k}{n} \int_0^n P(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^{r-1} a(t) \sin tx dx + \int_0^n P(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a'(t) \sin tx dt \\ &\quad + x \int_0^n P(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a(t) \cos tx dt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(t) = t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}.$$

We have first

$$I_1 = -\frac{r}{n^r} \int_0^n P(t) (n-t)^{r-1} a(t) \sin tx dt$$

and hence

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{A}{n^r} \int_0^n \frac{|a(t)|}{(n-t)^{1-r}} dt = \frac{A}{n^r} \left(\int_0^m + \int_m^n \right).$$

We take m such that

$$|a(t)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t > m,$$

which is possible by $a(t) = o(1) (t \rightarrow \infty)$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \frac{A}{n^r} \int_0^m \frac{dt}{(n-t)^{1-r}} + \frac{A\varepsilon}{n^r} \int_m^n \frac{dt}{(n-t)^{1-r}} \\ &\leq A(n^r - (n-m)^r)/n^r + A\varepsilon \\ &\leq A\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n . Secondly, we have

$$I_2 = \int_0^n P(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a'(t) \sin tx dt.$$

By the second mean value theorem

$$I_2 = \int_0^{\theta_n} P(t) a'(t) \sin tx dt \quad (0 \leq \theta_n \leq n),$$

and then by integration by parts

$$I_2 = \left[a'(t) \int_0^t P(u) \sin ux \, du \right]_0^{\theta_n} - \int_0^{\theta_n} a''(t) \, dt \int_0^t P(u) \sin ux \, du.$$

Since $P(u) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\sin 2\pi\nu u) / \pi\nu$, we have

$$\int_0^t P(u) \sin ux \, du = \int_0^t \sin ux \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi\nu u}{\pi\nu} \right) du = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi\nu} \int_0^t \sin ux \sin 2\pi\nu u \, du,$$

where the change of order of summation and integration is legitimate since the series $\sum \sin \nu u / \nu$ is boundedly convergent. The last sum is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_0^t (\cos(x - 2\pi\nu)u - \cos(x + 2\pi\nu)u) \, du \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \left(\frac{\sin(x - 2\pi\nu)t}{x - 2\pi\nu} - \frac{\sin(x + 2\pi\nu)t}{x + 2\pi\nu} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \frac{(x + 2\pi\nu) \sin(x - 2\pi\nu)t - (x - 2\pi\nu) \sin(x + 2\pi\nu)t}{(x - 2\pi\nu)(x + 2\pi\nu)} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{2\pi\nu} \frac{\sin(x - 2\pi\nu)t - \sin(x + 2\pi\nu)t}{(x - 2\pi\nu)(x + 2\pi\nu)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\sin(x - 2\pi\nu)t + \sin(x + 2\pi\nu)t}{(x - 2\pi\nu)(x + 2\pi\nu)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^{\theta_n} a''(t) \, dt \int_0^t P(u) \sin ux \, du \\ &= x \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\theta_n} a''(t) \frac{\sin(x - 2\pi\nu)t - \sin(x + 2\pi\nu)t}{2\pi\nu(x - 2\pi\nu)(x + 2\pi\nu)} \, dt \\ & \quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\theta_n} \frac{a''(t) \{ \sin(x - 2\pi\nu)t + \sin(x + 2\pi\nu)t \}}{(x - 2\pi\nu)(x + 2\pi\nu)} \, dt = J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^{\infty} |a''(t)| \, dt < \infty$, $\int_0^{\theta_n} a''(t) \sin ut \, dt$ is bounded, and hence

$$|J_1| \leq Ax \sum \nu^{-3}$$

which is less than ε for small x . Concerning J_2 we write

$$J_2 = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{\nu=1}^N + \sum_{\nu=N+1}^{\infty} = J_{21} + J_{22},$$

where N is taken such that $\sum_{\nu=N+1}^{\infty} \nu^{-2} < \varepsilon$. Then

$$|J_{22}| < A\varepsilon.$$

Since $a''(t)$ is absolutely integrable,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{\theta_n} a''(t) [\sin(2\pi\nu + x)t - \sin(2\pi\nu - x)t] \, dt \right| \\ & \leq 2 \left| \int_0^M a''(t) \sin xt \cos 2\pi\nu t \, dt \right| + 2 \int_M^{\theta_n} |a''(t)| \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

If M is taken such that $\int_M^\infty |a''(t)| dt < \varepsilon$, then, for such fixed M

$$\left| \int_0^M a''(t) \sin xt \cos 2\pi vt dt \right| \leq xM \int_0^M |a''(t)| dt \leq Ax,$$

which is less than ε for sufficiently small x . Thus we have proved that

$$|I_2| = \left| \int_0^{\xi n} a''(t) dt \int_0^t P(u) \sin ux du \right| < A\varepsilon.$$

Finally

$$I_3 = x \int_0^n P(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^r a(t) \cos tx dt = \frac{x}{n^r} \int_0^n P(t) (n-t)^r a(t) \cos tx dt,$$

and then by the second mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= x \int_0^{\xi n} P(t) a(t) \cos tx dt && (0 \leq \xi n \leq n) \\ &= x \left[a(t) \int_0^t P(u) \cos ux du \right]_0^{\xi n} - x \int_0^{\xi n} a'(t) dt \int_0^t P(u) \cos ux du. \end{aligned}$$

We have now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t P(u) \cos ux du &= \int_0^t \cos ux \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \frac{\sin 2\pi \nu u}{\pi \nu} \right) du \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\pi \nu} \int_0^t \cos ux \sin 2\pi \nu u du \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi \nu} \int_0^t \{ \sin(x + 2\pi \nu)u - \sin(x - 2\pi \nu)u \} du \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi \nu} \int_0^t \{ \sin(x + 2\pi \nu)u + \sin(2\pi \nu - x)u \} du \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi \nu} \left(\frac{1 - \cos(x + 2\pi \nu)t}{2\pi \nu + x} - \frac{1 - \cos(2\pi \nu - x)t}{2\pi \nu - x} \right) \\ &= O(\sum \nu^{-2}) = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|I_3| \leq Ax + Ax \int_0^{\xi n} |a'(t)| dt \leq Ax$$

which is less than ε for sufficiently small x . Summing up above estimations, we get

$$|\tau_n^r(x, g) - \varphi_r(n, nx, g)| < A\varepsilon,$$

which prove (7). Thus the lemma is proved.

We shall now prove Theorem 4. Since

$$f(x) = \psi(x) + g(x), \quad (a = 1, \xi = 0)$$

we have

$$\sigma_n^r(x, f) = \sigma_n^r(x, \psi) + \sigma_n^r(x, g).$$

By Theorem 1, $\sigma_n^r(x, \psi)$ presents Gibbs' phenomenon for $r < r_0$ but not for $r \geq r_0$, and hence it is sufficient to prove that

$$(16) \quad \sigma_n^r(x, g) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for all r and for all x . Let us put

$$g(x) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx,$$

then, by Lemma, (16) is equivalent to

$$(17) \quad n \int_0^1 (1-t)^r a(nt) \sin xt \, dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us take m such that

$$|ta(t)| < \varepsilon \quad (t > m),$$

and write

$$n \int_0^1 (1-t)^r a(nt) \sin tx \, dt = n \int_0^{m/n} + n \int_{m/n}^1$$

and then its absolute value is less than

$$\begin{aligned} & A_n \int_0^{m/n} (1-t)^r \frac{\sin tx}{t} dt + \varepsilon \int_{m/n}^1 (1-t)^r |\sin tx| \, dt \\ & \leq Axm + \varepsilon < A\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small x . Thus (11) and then (10) is proved. Thus the theorem is proved.

Finally I wish to express here my hearty thanks to Professor S. Izumi and Miss M. Satô for their kind advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. CRAMÉR, Etudes sur la sommation des séries de Fourier. A. F. M. 13 (1919) No. 20, 1-21.
- [2] S. IZUMI AND M. SATÔ, Fourier series X: Rogosinski's lemma. Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. 8 (1956), 164-180.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO.