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## SUMMARY

In a series of successive papers we have developed a unified theory of inheritance from a probabilistic viewpoint. They have been mainly concerned with the distributions in an ordinary population. In succession, we now deal with analogous problems in a population which consists of families involving several types of consanguineous marriages.

We consider again a single inherited character which consists of any number of multiple alleles at one diploid locus and of which the inheritance is subject to Mendelian law. Our main purpose is then to study the distributions of genotypes in several definite combinations, lineal or collateral, which consist of individuals in families possibly involving consanguineous marriages chosen at random from a population under consideration.

By making use of relative frequencies of genes as basic quantities, we derive systematically the formulas expressing probabilities of several combinations. It is well clarified how the correlations between genotypes of family-members are affected by intervention of consanguineous marriages. On the other hand, many notable phenomena are elucidated. Especially, the distribution of genotypes in a generation immediate after any consanguineous marriage deviates from an equilibrium state in such a manner that the frequency of any homozygous type increasea while that of any heterozygous one decreases.

This fact will illustrate a cause of a deviation observed on a distribution in an isolated population.

Introduction, In a series of successive papersI) we have developed a unified theory of inheritance from a probabilistic view-point. It has been the purpose of these papers to investigate the phenomenon of inheritance based upon a general mode which is supposed to be subject to Mendelian law and then to apply the results to some practical problems on forensic medicine. One of the main problems has been concerned in determining the distributions of genotypes in several definite combinations of individuals chosen at random from a population of a given equilibrium state. The motherchild combination, among others, has played a distinguished role. This concept is, as its own name shows, a combination consisting of an individual and its immediate descendant. It will be plausible, for instance, to attempt to generalize the concept to a lineal combination consisting of an individual and its distant descendant after any definite number of generations.

The main purpose of the present report ${ }^{2}$ ) is to discuss further problems of determining the distributions of genotypes in several definite combinations, lineal or collateral, consisting of members in a family possibly involving consanguineous marriages. A population in consideration is again supposed to be in an equilibrium state unless the contrary is stated. It is further supposed that pammixia takes place at any generation except when consanguineous marriages are appointed.

Now we consider again a single inherited character which consists of $m$ multiple alleles at one diploid locus denoted by $A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ and
of which the inheritance is subject to Mendelian law. According to circumstances, introducing an abbreviated notation $A_{i j} \equiv A_{i} A_{j}$, we make an agreement that $A_{j i}$ represents an identical object with $A_{1 j}$. There are therefore $m(m+1) / 2$ possible genotypes, $m$ homozygous and $m(m-1) / 2$ heterozygous, which are denoted rea spectively by $A_{i i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ and $A_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots, m ; n<j)$ and together by $A_{a b}(a, b=1, \ldots, m ; a \leqq b)$.

We now designate by $p_{i}$, or often merely by $i$ provided no confusion can arise, the relative frequency of the gene $A_{a}$ in a population under consideration. The frequency of the genotype $A_{a b}$ is then, in view of the assumption of an equilibrium, represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{A}_{i i}=p_{i}^{2}, \quad \bar{A}_{i j}=2 p_{i} p_{j} \\
& (n, j=1, \ldots, m ; \quad i<j)
\end{aligned}
$$

These basic quantities must, of course, satisfy the fundamental relations $\sum p_{b}=1, \sum \bar{A}_{a b}=\left(\sum p_{b}\right)^{2}=1$ where the first and the second summations extend over $b=1, \ldots, m$ and $a, b=1, \ldots, m ; a \leqq b$, respectively. We notice here in passing that, also in the following lines, the different Latin suffices except those indicating the running types in summation are supposed, in principle, to designate the different genes.

## 1. Simple lineal combinations.

 The probability of mother-child combination $\pi \equiv \pi_{1}$ discussed in a previous paper ${ }^{3}$. is now generalized to that of a combination consisting of an individual $A_{\alpha \beta}$ and its $n$th descendant $A_{\xi \eta}$ which will be designated by $\pi_{n}^{\xi}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} K_{n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)$. The reduced probability $K_{n}$ satisfies a recurrence equation$$
k_{n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=\sum k_{n-1}(\alpha \beta ; a b) k(a \cdot b ; \xi \eta),
$$

the running type in summation being $A_{a b}(a, b=1, \ldots, m ; a \leqq b)$. It is shown that the probability is expressed by the formula

$$
K_{n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-n+1} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)
$$

where the quantity $Q\left(=K_{1}-\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}\right)$ is
independent of the generation-number $n$ and its explicit values are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(u i ; i i)=\imath(1-i), \quad Q(i i ; i k)=K(1-2 \iota), \\
& Q(i i ; k K)=-K^{2}, \quad Q(i i ; h K)=-2 K K, \\
& Q(i j ; i i)=\frac{1}{2} i(1-2 i), Q(i j ; i j)=\frac{1}{2}(i+j-4 i j), \\
& Q(i j ; \kappa K)=\frac{1}{2} K(1-4 i), Q(i j ; K K)=-K^{2}, \\
& Q(i j ; h K)=-2 K K .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the formula can be performed by induction 4 by actually verifying an identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum Q(\alpha \beta ; a b) \kappa(a b ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum Q(\alpha \beta ; a b) Q(a b ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An asymptotic behavior of $K_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ can be readily deduced from its expression derived above. There holds, in fact, a limit relation $K_{n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{n} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)$ stating that a consanguineous correlation between the types of an individual and of its $n$th descendant becomes negligible for a. sufficiently large $n$.

Let next a pair of individuals with assigned types ( $A_{\alpha \beta}, A_{\gamma \delta}$ ) be given, the order of members being taken into account. We then denote by $\varepsilon_{n}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta$; $\xi \eta$ ) the probability that the pair is accompanied by an $n$th descendant $A_{\xi}$ 。 The values of $\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon_{1}$ have been already availed in a previous paper ${ }^{5}$ ). The probability in question is given by a recurrence formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum \varepsilon(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; a b) k_{n-1}(a b ; \xi \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is shown that the probability is expressible in the form

$$
\varepsilon_{n}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-n+1} E(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)
$$

valid for $n \geqq 2$. The factor $E$ in the
residual term is then defined by $E(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)=2 \sum \varepsilon(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; a b) Q(a b ; \xi \eta)$ ． Its values can be determined by actual computation while they are omitted here for economy reason of space．An asymptotic behavior of $\varepsilon_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is obvious；namely，we get $\varepsilon_{n}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)$ $\rightarrow \bar{A}_{\xi \eta}(n \rightarrow \infty)$ ．

2．Simple collateral combinations． By generalizing the probability of brethren combination $\sigma \equiv \sigma_{11}$ dis－ cussed in a previous paper $\left.{ }^{6}\right)^{11}$ ，we now introduce that of a combination con－ sisting of $\mu \mathrm{th}$ and $\nu$ th collateral descendants $A_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}}$ and $A_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}}, r e-$ spectively，originated from tne same couple，which will be designated by $\sigma_{\mu \nu}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ ．It can be proved that there holds an identical relation

$$
\sigma_{\mu \nu}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)=\pi_{\mu+\nu-1}\left(\xi_{1} \eta_{1} ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)
$$

provided $\mu+\nu>2$ ，while it should be remembered that $\sigma_{11}$ is not identical with $\pi_{1}$ ．The last relation shows，in particular，that the dependence of $\sigma_{\mu \nu}$ with $\mu+\nu>2$ on the generation numbers is subject merely to their sum $\mu+\nu$ 。

## 3．Simple mother－descendants

 combinations．We designate，in general，by $\pi_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta}$ －$K_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ the probability of $a \beta$ combination consisting of an indi－ vidual $A_{\alpha \beta}$ and its $\mu$ th and $\nu$ th collateral descendants $A_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}}$ and $A_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}}$ ，respectively，originated from the same spouse of $A_{\alpha \beta}$ 。Three systems will be distinguished according to $\mu=\nu=1, \mu>1=\nu$ or $\mu=1<\nu$ and $\mu, \nu>1$ ．The lowest system $\pi \equiv \pi_{11}$ has already been treated in a previous paper7）as the probability of mother－children combi－ nation．Based on an evident quasi－ symmetry relation $\tau_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ $=\pi_{\nu \mu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}, \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right)$ ，it suffices to deal with the former of the second system． The reduced probability is then defined by a recurrence equation

$$
K_{1 \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1}^{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)=\sum \kappa\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, a b\right) K_{\mu_{1}}\left(a b ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)
$$

and is expressible in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1 \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \\
= & K\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right) \bar{A}_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}}+2^{-\nu} W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantity $W$ in the residual term is then defined by $W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ $=4 \sum K\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, a b\right) Q\left(a b ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ ．Its values can be determined by actual computation，while they are omitted here．The validity of the formula may be ensured by induction by directly verifying an identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, a b\right) k\left(a b ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula for the last generic system is expressed in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mu \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \\
= & \bar{A}_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}} \bar{A}_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}}+2^{-\mu+1} \bar{A}_{\xi_{2} \eta_{2}} Q\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right) \\
+ & 2^{-\nu+1} \bar{A}_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}} Q\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)+2^{-\mu-\nu+1} T\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

While the explicit values of $T$ are onfited here，the validity of the formula may be proved by means of a recurrence equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mu y}\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) \\
= & \sum K_{\mu-1, \nu}\left(\alpha \beta ; a b, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) K\left(a b ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

together with the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum W\left(\alpha \beta ; a b, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) k\left(a b ;\left.\xi_{1}\right|_{1}\right) \\
= & \sum T\left(\alpha \beta ; a b, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) k\left(a b ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} T\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be noted that the formia for generic system does not involve one for the second system as a particular case．In fact，$W\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ is not identical with $2 \bar{A}_{\xi_{1} \eta_{1}} Q\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ $+T\left(\alpha \beta ; \xi_{1} \eta_{1}, \xi_{2} \eta_{2}\right)$ 。

4．Ancestors－descendant combi－ nations through intermediate marriages． Suppose that two individuals $A_{\alpha \beta}$ and $A_{\nu \delta}$ are accompanied by their $\mu$ th and $\nu$ th descendants $A_{a b}$ and $A_{c d}$ ，re－ spectively，and that these descendants are married and originate themselves an $n$th descendant $A_{\eta \eta}$ ．Let the probability of a triple consisting of $A_{\alpha \beta}, A_{\gamma \delta}$ and $A_{\xi \eta}$ be then designated
by $\bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} \bar{A}_{\gamma \delta} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu_{3} n}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)$. The probability of parents-descendant combination, treated in 1, may be regarded to correspond to the lowest case $\mu=\nu=0: \varepsilon_{00 ; n}=\varepsilon_{n}$. In case of higher generation-numbers $\mu, \nu$ we state here merely the final formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mu 0 ; 1}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & K(\gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)+2^{-\mu} C_{0}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta), \\
& \varepsilon_{\mu 0 ; n}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & k_{n}(\gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)+2^{-\mu-n} C(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta) \\
& (n>1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mu \nu ; 1}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)
$$

$$
=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-\mu} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)+2^{-\gamma} Q(\gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)
$$

$$
+2^{-\mu-\nu} D_{0}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mu \nu ; n}(\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)
$$

$$
=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-n+1}\left\{2^{-\mu} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+2^{-\nu} Q(\gamma \delta ; \xi \eta)\right\} \quad(n>1)
$$

The defining equations for $C_{0}, C$ and $D_{0}$ will be readily written down. Their explicit values can be obtained by actual computation, while they are omitted here.
5. Mother-descendant combinations through a single consanguineous
marriage. Up to the last paragraph, any consanguineous marriage has never yet been implicated. We now begin to attack the problems concerning a consanguineous marriage. Let $\mu$ th and $\nu$ th descendants originated collaterally from a mother $A_{\alpha \beta}$ and her same spouse be married consanguineously and then originate themselves an $n$th descendant A $1 \eta$. Our present purpose is to determine the probability of combination ( $A_{d \mu} ; A_{\xi \eta}$ ) which will be designated by

$$
\pi r_{\mu \nu ; n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} K_{\mu \nu ; n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)
$$

Distinguishing two cases according to $n=1$ and $n>1$, we get the defining equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mu \nu ; 1}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum K_{\mu \nu}(\alpha \beta ; a b, c \alpha) \varepsilon(a b, c d ; \xi \eta), \\
& K_{\mu \nu ; n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum K_{\mu \nu ; 1}(\alpha \beta ; a b) K_{n-1}(a b ; \xi \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yield the final formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mu \nu ; 1}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+L_{\mu \nu} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)+2^{-\lambda} T(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
& K_{\mu \nu ; n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+L_{\mu \nu} 2^{-n+1} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \quad(n>1)
\end{aligned}
$$

valid for any $\mu \geqq 1, \nu \geqq 1$; here we put

$$
L_{\mu \nu}=2^{-\mu}+2^{-\nu}, \quad \lambda=\mu+\nu-1
$$

The values of $T(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=2\left\{K_{11 ; 1}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)\right.$ $-k(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)\}$ are set out as follows:
$T(i i ; i i)=\frac{1}{4}(1-i)(2-i), T(i i ; i k)=-\frac{1}{2} k(1-2 i)$,
$T(i i ; k k)=\frac{1}{4} k(1+k), T(i i ; h k)=\frac{1}{2} h k$,
$T(i j ; i i)=\frac{1}{8}\left(1-2 i+2 i^{2}\right), T(i j ; i j)=\frac{1}{4}(1-2 i-2 j+2 i j)$,
$T(i j ; i k)=-\frac{1}{2} k(1-i), T(i j ; k k)=\frac{1}{4} k(1+K)$, $T(i j ; h k)=\frac{1}{2} h k$.

More generally, the reduced probebility defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -K_{l \mid \mu \nu ; n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum K_{l}(\alpha \beta ; a b) K_{\mu \nu ; n}(a b ; \xi \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

can be determined in the following forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{l \mid \mu \nu ; 1}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-l} L_{\mu \nu} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
& +2^{-\lambda} R(\xi \eta)+2^{-l-\lambda} S(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{e \mid \mu v ; n}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \bar{A}_{\{\eta}+2^{-l-n+1} L_{\mu \nu} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \quad(n>1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of the quantities defined by $R(\xi \eta)=\sum \bar{A}_{a l} T(a b ; \xi \eta)$ and $S(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=2 \sum Q(\alpha \beta ; a b) T(a b ; \xi \eta)$ are set out as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(i i)=\frac{1}{2} i(1-i), \quad R(i j)=-i j ; \\
& S(i i ; i i)=\frac{1}{4}(1-i)(2-i), S(i i ; i k)=-\frac{1}{2} K(1-2 i), \\
& S(i i ; k K)=-\frac{1}{4} k(1-2 K), S(i i ; h K)=h K, \\
& S(i j ; i i)=\frac{1}{8}(1-2 i)^{2}, \quad S(i j ; i j)=-\frac{1}{4}(i+j-4 i j), \\
& S(i j ; i K)=-\frac{1}{4} K(1-4 i), S(i j ; K K)=-\frac{1}{4} K(1-2 K), \\
& S(i j ; h K)=h K .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now propose to explain a meaning of the quantity

$$
L_{\mu \nu} \equiv 2^{-\mu}+2^{-\nu}
$$

introduced above, from a view-point of genetics. As shown, the probability $K_{\mu \nu ; a}(n>1)$ of mother-descendant combination distant after a consanguineous marriage is expressed in the form

$$
\kappa_{\mu \nu ; \mu}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+L_{\mu \nu} 2^{-n+1} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) .
$$

On the other hand, the probability $K_{n^{*}}$ of mother-descendant combination without any consanguineous marriage has been established in the form

$$
K_{n^{*}}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-n^{*}+1} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) .
$$

The comparison of these formulas will well interpret a meaning of the factor $L_{\mu \nu}$. In fact, we introduce a positive number $\rho=\rho_{\mu \nu}$ by

$$
2^{-p}=L_{\mu \nu}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\log L_{\mu \nu} / \log 2 \\
& =\mu+\nu-\log \left(2^{\mu}+2^{\nu}\right) / \log 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability $K_{\mu \nu ; n}$ is then brought into the form $K_{\mu \gamma_{;}\left(\alpha \beta ;\{\eta)=\bar{A}_{1 \eta}, ~\right.}^{\text {( }}$ $+2^{-(\rho+\mu)+1} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)$ which coincides
formally with $K_{p+\eta}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)$, though the number $p$ is, in general, i. e. unless $\mu=\nu$, not equal to an integer.

By reason of their own meanings explained just above, we may call the number $P_{\mu \nu}$ an equivalent generationnumber and the factor $L_{\mu \nu}$ a contracting factor. As readily shown, there holds

$$
\operatorname{xin}(\mu, \nu)-1 \leqq \rho_{\mu \nu} \leqq \operatorname{Max}(\mu, \nu)-1
$$

6. Kother-descendant combinations through several consanguineous marriages. We have discussed in the preceding paragraph the mother-descendant combinations through a single consanguineous marriage. We now attempt to generalize the problem to the case where several consanguineous marriages intervene between a mother and her descendant. Our present purpose is thus to determine the probability of a combination which consists of an original individual $A_{\alpha \beta}$ and of its descendant $A_{\xi \eta}$, consanguineous marriages interjacent between them occurring $t$ times, designated, with an easily comprehensible routine notation, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{\mu_{1} \nu_{1} ; n_{1}|\cdots| \mu_{t} \nu_{t} ; n_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
\equiv & \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} k_{\mu_{1} \nu_{1} ; n_{1}|\cdots| \mu_{t} \nu_{t} ; n_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

or briefly, provided no confusion can arise, by

$$
\pi{ }_{(\mu \nu ; n)}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} k_{(\mu \nu ; n)}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) .
$$

By definition, the reduced probability is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{(\mu \nu ; n)_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum \prod_{x=1}^{t} K_{\mu_{x} \nu_{x} ; n_{x}}\left(a_{x-1} b_{x-1} ; a_{x} b_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a_{0} b_{0} \equiv \alpha \beta$ and $a_{t} b_{t} \equiv \xi \eta$, where the summation extends over all the possible sets of $t-1$ genotypes $A_{a_{x} b_{x}}(r=1, \cdots$, $t-1$ ). It satisfies therefore a recurrence equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{(\mu \nu ; n)_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
= & \sum K_{(\mu \gamma ; n)_{t-1}}(\alpha \beta ; a b) K_{\mu_{t} \nu_{t} ; n_{t}}(a b ; \xi \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a special case where the $n$ 's are all equal to unity, it can be proved by induction that there holds the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{(\mu \nu ; 1)}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
& =\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-t+1} \Lambda_{t} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) \\
& +4 u_{t} R(\xi \eta)+2 v_{t} S(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)+2 w_{t} T(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) ; \\
& \lambda_{x}=\mu_{x}+\nu_{x}-1 \\
& \Lambda_{t}=\prod_{x=1}^{t}\left(2^{\left.-\mu_{x}+2^{-\gamma_{x}}\right)}\right. \\
& u_{t}=\sum_{x=1}^{t-1} \prod_{s=r+1}^{t} 2^{-\lambda_{s}-2} \\
& v_{t}=\sum_{x=1}^{t-1} 2^{-\gamma+1} \Lambda_{x} \prod_{s=\gamma+1}^{t} 2^{-\lambda_{s}-2} \\
& w_{t}=\prod_{s=1}^{t} 2^{-\lambda_{s}-2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the formula remains valid even for $t=1$ provided an empty sum is understood to be equal to zero. On the other hand, we get the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{(\mu \nu ; n)}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) & =\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+2^{-N_{t}+1} \Lambda_{t} Q(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta) ; \\
N_{t} & =\sum_{x=1}^{t} n_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

which remains valid regardless of the values of the $n_{r}{ }^{\prime s}$ with $1 \leqq \Upsilon<t$ provided merely $n_{t}^{r}>1.8$ )

The probability of the form $\pi_{\ell 1(\mu \nu) ;} x_{1} \mid\left(\mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} ; 1 t_{t^{\prime}}(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)\right.$ with $n_{x} \geq 1$ for $1 \leqq x<t^{t}$ and $n_{t}>1$ can also be treated in a similar manner.

We now proceed to illustrate a very notable phenomenon concerning the distribution of genotypes in a generation after consanguineous marriages. Up to now we have dealt with several mother descendant combinations $\left(A_{\alpha \beta} ; A_{\text {in }}\right)$ ) are desienated by

$$
\pi \ldots(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{K} . .(\alpha \beta ; \xi \eta),
$$

respectively. For each combination;
if we eliminate mother's type by summing up over whole possible range, then we obtain the probability of descendant $A_{\xi \eta}$ alone, i. $e_{0}$ the relative frequency of genotype $A_{\text {§ }} \eta$ in the generation of descendant, which will be designated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{A}_{\ldots}(\xi \eta) & =\sum \pi_{\ldots}(a b ; \xi \eta) \\
& \equiv \sum \bar{A}_{a b} K_{\ldots}(a b ; \xi \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case of a simple mother-descendant combination, with probabilityg $C_{n}$, without intervention of any consanguineous marriage, it is given by

$$
\bar{A}_{n}(\xi \eta)=\sum \pi_{n}(a b ; \xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}
$$

This shows that the distribution of $A_{\xi_{\eta}}$ in the generation of descendant then coincides just with one in the original generation.

We next consider the mother-descendant combination $\left(A_{\alpha \beta} ; A_{\xi \eta}\right)_{(\mu \nu ; 1)_{t}}$. The distribution in the generation of descendant is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{A}_{\left.(\mu \nu ; 1)_{t}^{(\xi \eta}\right)} \\
&=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta}+4\left(u_{t}+w_{t}\right) R(\xi \eta) ; \\
& u_{t}+w_{t}=\sum_{x=0}^{t-1} \prod_{s=x+1}^{t} 2^{-\lambda_{s}+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case of mother-descendant combi${ }_{\text {ne get gion }}\left(A_{\alpha \beta} ; A_{\xi \eta}\right)(\mu \nu ; n)_{t}$ with $n_{t}>1$,

$$
\bar{A}_{(\mu \nu ; n)}(\xi \eta)=\bar{A}_{\xi \eta^{\circ}}
$$

The probability of the form
$\bar{A}_{\ell l\left(\mu \nu^{\prime} ; n\right) t\left(\mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} ;\right)_{k}}(\xi \eta)$ with $n_{t}>1$ can also be treated similarly.

We can thus assert the following proposition: The distribution of genotypes deviates in a generation immediate after any consanguineous marriage, while the deviation disappears in a generation distant after a consanguineous marriage. The deviation of frequency of genotype $A_{\S \eta}$ in the former case amounts to $R(\xi \eta)$ multiplied by a definite positive factor $4\left(u_{t}+w_{t}\right)$ depending only on the generation-numbers. Consequently, the frequency of $A_{\xi \eta}$ in the genera-
tion of descendant increases or decreases according to whether it is homozygous or heterozygous. Thus, we are led to a conjecture concerning practical observations. Suppose, in fact, there exists a population which is isolated hereditarily from its neighboring populations and in which consanguineous marriages take place to a considerable extent. If one observes frequencies on an inherited character in the population, it will then be found out that the frequencies of homozygous and heterozygous types are more than and less than those expected from the supposition of an equilibrium state, respectively. Moreover, the deviation is probabilistically given quantitatively as above.

Asymptotic behaviors of $K_{(\mu \nu ;}(x)_{t}$ as each among $\mu_{x}, \nu_{x}$ and $n_{x}$ tends to infinity, can be readily deduced from its expression derived above. We here supplement an asymptotic
 which depends on the choice of a sequence $\{\mu \nu\} \equiv\left\{\mu_{x}, \nu_{x}\right\}_{x=1}^{\infty}$. The following result can be deduced: Introducing the notations defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\lambda}=\overline{\lim }_{x \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{x}, & \underline{\lambda}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{x}, \\
\underline{v}=\frac{4}{2^{\bar{\lambda}+2}-1}, & \bar{v}=\frac{4}{2^{\lambda+2}-1},
\end{array}
$$

for which there hold evidently the inequalities $1 \leqq \lambda \leqq \bar{\lambda} \leqq \infty, 0 \leqq v \leqq \bar{v}$ $\leq 4 / 7$, we can assert that there hold the estimations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{A}_{i i}+\underline{\cup} R(i i) & \leqq \sum_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{(\mu \nu ; 1)_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; i i) \\
& \leqq \overline{\lim }_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{(\mu \nu ; 1)_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; i i) \\
& \leqq \bar{A}_{i i}+\bar{y} R(i i)_{,} \\
\bar{A}_{i j}+\bar{v} R(i j) & \leqq \sum_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{(\mu \nu ; i)_{t}}(\alpha \beta ; i j) \\
& \leqq \overline{\lim }_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{\left.(\mu j ; 1)_{t}\right)}(\alpha \beta ; i j) \\
& \leqq \bar{A}_{i j}+\underline{v}(i j)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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