Y. KUBOTA KODAI MATH. SEM. REP. 29 (1977), 197-206

A REMARK ON THE THIRD COEFFICIENT OF MEROMORPHIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

By Yoshihisa Kubota

1. Let G be a domain on the z-sphere containing the origin and let S(G) denote the family of functions f(z) regular and univalent in G with expansion at the origin

$$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nz^n$$
.

Let D be a domain on the z-sphere containing the point at infinity and let $\Sigma'(D)$ denote the family of functions f(z) meromorphic and univalent in D with expansion at the point at infinity

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n} .$$

The following problem was considered by Schaeffer and Spencer [5]. Let \mathfrak{G} be the set of domains onto which E, the unit circle, is mapped by functions belonging to S(E). For each domain G belonging to \mathfrak{G} we write

$$\alpha_n(G) = \sup_{f \in S(G)} |a_n| \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots).$$

Find the precise values

$$\gamma_n = \inf_{G \in \mathfrak{G}} \alpha_n(G) \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots)$$

$$\Gamma = \sup_{G \in \mathfrak{G}} \alpha_n(G) \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots)$$

and

$$I_n = \sup_{G \in \mathfrak{G}} \alpha_n(G) \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots).$$

Schaeffer and Spencer showed that $\gamma_n = \alpha_n(E)$ and that if the Bieberbach conjecture is true, then $\Gamma_n = 4^{n-1}$.

In this paper we consider a similar problem for meromorphic univalent functions. Let \mathfrak{D} be the set of domains onto which \tilde{E} , the exterior of the unit circle, is mapped by functions belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$. For each domain D belonging to \mathfrak{D} we write

$$\beta_n(D) = \sup_{f \in \Sigma^r(D)} |b_n| \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

Further we write

Received September 9, 1976

$$\lambda_n = \inf_{D \in \mathfrak{D}} \beta_n(D) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

and

$$\Lambda_n = \sup_{D \in \mathfrak{D}} \beta_n(D) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

Let D be a domain belonging to \mathfrak{D} and let

$$\widetilde{g}(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_n \zeta^{-n}$$

be the function belonging to $\Sigma'(\widetilde{E})$ which maps \widetilde{E} onto D. If

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n}$$

is a function belonging to $\Sigma'(D)$, then there is a function

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^{-n}$$

belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ such that $f(z)=g \circ \tilde{g}^{-1}(z)$, and we have

$$b_1 = c_1 - \tilde{c}_1$$
,
 $b_2 = c_2 - \tilde{c}_2$,
 $b_3 = c_3 + c_1 \tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^2$.

Hence it follows that $\Lambda_1=2$, $\Lambda_2=4/3$. Further we can prove by the same method as in [5] that $\lambda_1=\beta_1(\tilde{E})=1$, $\lambda_2=\beta_2(\tilde{E})=2/3$ and $\lambda_3=\beta_3(\tilde{E})=1/2+e^{-6}$. The purpose of this paper is to find the precise value Λ_3 . We shall prove the following

THEOREM.

$$\Lambda_3 = (1 + e^{-\tau})^2 \approx 2.111$$
,

where τ is the root of $e^{\tau} + \tau - 3 = 0$.

Since $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ is compact, there are extremal functions $g(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{g}(\zeta)$ belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ such that $g \circ \tilde{g}^{-1}$ attains the value Λ_s . In §2 we shall show by using Jenkins General Coefficient Theorem that extremal functions $g(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{g}(\zeta)$ are odd. In §3 we shall prove by Löwner's method that if

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^{-n}$$

and

$$\widetilde{g}(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_n \zeta^{-n}$$

are odd functions belonging to $\Sigma'(\widetilde{E})$, then

$$|c_3+c_1\tilde{c}_1-\tilde{c}_3-\tilde{c}_1^2| \leq (1+e^{-\tau})^2$$
, $e^{\tau}+\tau-3=0$,

and that equality is possible.

2. The following two lemmas were given by Jenkins [1].

LEMMA 1. Let $Q(z)dz^2 = e^{i\phi}(z^2 + \alpha)dz^2$ be a quadratic differential on the z-sphere and let

$$g^*(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^* \zeta^{-n}$$

be a function belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ which maps \tilde{E} onto a domain admissible with respect to $Q(z)dz^2$. Let

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^{-n}$$

be a function belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ with $c_1 = c_1^*$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{e}\left\{e^{\imath\phi}c_{3}
ight\} \leq \mathcal{R}_{e}\left\{e^{\imath\phi}c_{3}^{*}
ight\}$$
 .

Equality occurs only for $g(\zeta) \equiv g^*(\zeta)$.

LEMMA 2. Let s, t and φ be real parameters with $0 \leq t \leq 1, -\sqrt{1-t^2}+t \cos^{-1}t \leq s \leq \sqrt{1-t^2}-t \cos^{-1}t$ and $-\pi < \varphi \leq \pi$. Then there is an odd function $h(\zeta : s, t, \varphi)$ belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ which maps \tilde{E} onto a domain admissible with respect to $e^{i2\varphi}(z^2-4ie^{-i\varphi}t)dz^2$ and which has the expansion at the point at infinity

$$\begin{split} h(\zeta:s,t,\varphi) &= \zeta + e^{-i\varphi} [s + it(1 - \log t)] \zeta^{-1} \\ &+ e^{-i2\varphi} \Big[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} s^2 - \frac{1}{2} t^2 + t^2 \log t + \frac{1}{2} t^2 (\log t)^2 \\ &+ ist(1 + \log t) \Big] \zeta^{-3} + \cdots \qquad (0 < t \le 1) \,, \\ &= \zeta + e^{-i\varphi} s \zeta^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-i2\varphi} (1 - s^2) \zeta^{-3} + \cdots \qquad (t = 0) \,. \end{split}$$

By a similar argument as in [6, Chapter XIII] we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let ρ , θ and φ be real parameters with $0 < \rho < 1$, $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$, $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $-\pi < \varphi \leq \pi$. Then there is an odd function $g(\zeta : \rho, \theta, \varphi)$ belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ which maps \tilde{E} onto a domain admissible with respect to $e^{i2\varphi}(z^2 - e^{-i\varphi}a)dz^2$, $a = 2e^{i\theta} - (\rho + \rho^{-1})e^{-i\theta}$ and which has the expansion at the point at infinity

$$g(\zeta:\rho,\theta,\varphi) = \zeta + c_1^* \zeta^{-1} + c_3^* \zeta^{-3} + \cdots,$$

where

$$c_{1}^{*} = e^{-i\varphi} \Big[e^{i\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \Big(e^{-i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2\rho} e^{i\theta} \Big) \log \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \Big(e^{i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2\rho} e^{-i\theta} \Big) \log \frac{1-2\rho e^{i2\theta} + \rho^{2}}{1-\rho^{2}} \Big]$$

and

$$2e^{i2\varphi}c_3^* + e^{i2\varphi}c_1^{*2} - e^{i\varphi}ac_1^* = -\cos 2\theta + \frac{1+\rho^2}{\rho}$$

Here the logarithms have their principal values.

Proof. Let a be a complex number such that $\Re_e a \neq 0$. We consider the quadratic differential

$$Q(w:a)dw^2 = \frac{w-a}{w}dw^2.$$

Formal integration gives

$$W = \int \left(\frac{w-a}{w}\right)^{1/2} dw = \frac{1}{2} a \log \frac{w^{1/2} - (w-a)^{1/2}}{w^{1/2} + (w-a)^{1/2}} + w^{1/2} (w-a)^{1/2}.$$

Since $\Re_e a \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Im} \{W(a) - W(0)\} \neq 0$, and so there is a trajectory γ of $Q(w:a)dw^2$ having limiting end points at w=0 and the point at infinity. Let g be a function belonging to $\Sigma(\tilde{E})$ which maps \tilde{E} onto a domain bounded by an arc on γ and not containing the origin. The function g is uniquely defined. We show that $[e^{-i\varphi}g(e^{i\varphi}\zeta^2)]^{1/2}$ is the desired function.

The function $w=g(\eta)$ satisfies a differential equation of the form

(1)
$$\eta^{2} \left(\frac{dw}{d\eta}\right)^{2} \frac{w-a}{w} = \eta^{-2} (\eta - e^{i\theta})^{2} (\eta - \rho e^{i\phi}) \left(\eta - \frac{1}{\rho} e^{i\phi}\right)$$
$$= \eta^{2} + B_{1} \eta + B_{0} + \bar{B}_{1} \eta^{-1} + \eta^{-2}$$

where

$$B_{0} = e^{i2\theta} + e^{i2\phi} + 2\left(\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}\right)e^{i(\theta + \phi)},$$
$$B_{1} = -2e^{i\theta} - \left(\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}\right)e^{i\phi}$$

and $0 < \rho < 1$, $-\pi < \theta \le \pi$, $\phi = -\theta + n\pi$ (n = 0 or 1). Since $B_0 \le 0$, we must take n=1 and then

$$B_{0} = 2\cos 2\theta - 2\left(\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}\right),$$
$$B_{1} = -2e^{i\theta} + \left(\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}\right)e^{-i\theta}.$$

Setting

$$w = g(\eta) = \eta + b_0 + b_1 \eta^{-1} + \cdots$$

we have

$$\eta^2 \left(-\frac{dw}{d\eta}\right)^2 - \frac{w-a}{w} = \eta^2 - a\eta - (2b_1 - ab_0) - \cdots.$$

Hence we obtain

201

(2)

$$2b_1 - ab_0 = -2\cos 2\theta + 2\left(\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}\right)$$

 $a=2e^{i\theta}-\left(\rho+\frac{1}{\rho}\right)e^{-i\theta}$,

Here we remark that ρ and θ are uniquely determined for a given a and that $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $-\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Formal integration gives

$$\begin{split} W &= \int \left(\frac{w-a}{w}\right)^{1/2} dw = \frac{1}{2} a \left(\log \frac{1-u}{1+u} + \frac{2u}{1-u^2} \right), \qquad u^2 = \frac{w-a}{w}, \\ Z &= \int \eta^{-2} (\eta - e^{i\theta}) (\eta + \rho e^{-i\theta})^{1/2} \left(\eta + \frac{1}{\rho} e^{-i\theta} \right)^{1/2} d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a \log \frac{1-\xi}{1+\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{a} \log \frac{1-\rho\xi}{1+\rho\xi} - \frac{1-\rho^2}{\rho} e^{-i\theta} \frac{\xi}{1-\xi^2} \\ &+ (1-\rho^2) e^{i\theta} \frac{\xi}{1-\rho^2 \xi^2}, \qquad \xi^2 = \frac{\eta + \rho^{-1} e^{-i\theta}}{\eta + \rho e^{-i\theta}}. \end{split}$$

Here the logarithms have their principal values and so W(a)=0, $Z(-\rho^{-1}e^{-i\theta})=0$. Since $w=g(\eta)$ satisfies (1) and $\eta=-\rho^{-1}e^{-i\theta}$ corresponds to w=a by this function, therefore $w=g(\eta)$ satisfies the equation W=Z. As η tends to the point at infinity

$$\begin{split} W &= \eta - \frac{1}{2} a \log \eta + b_0 - \frac{1}{2} a + \frac{1}{2} a \log \frac{a}{4} + o(1) , \\ Z &= \eta - \frac{1}{2} a \log \eta + e^{i\theta} + \frac{1 + \rho^2}{2\rho} e^{-i\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{a} \log \frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} a \log \left(- \frac{1 - \rho^2}{4\rho} e^{-i\theta} \right) + o(1) . \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain

$$b_{0} = 2e^{i\theta} - \left(e^{-i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2\rho}e^{i\theta}\right)\log\left[\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right] \\ - \left(e^{i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2\rho}e^{-i\theta}\right)\log\left[\frac{1-2\rho e^{i2\theta} + \rho^{2}}{1-\rho^{2}} + iak\pi\right],$$

where the logarithms have their principal values and k is an integer. The function g depends on ρ , θ continuously in $0 < \rho < 1$, $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$, $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $-\frac{\pi}{2}$, and so b_0 depends on ρ , θ continuously. Taking $\theta=0$, we have

$$b_0 = 2 + \iota a k \pi$$
, $a = 2 - \left(\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \right)$.

Since $|b_0| \leq 2$, this is impossible unless k=0. Hence we have

(3)
$$b_{0} = 2e^{i\theta} - \left(e^{-i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2\rho}e^{i\theta}\right)\log\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} - \left(e^{i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2\rho}e^{-i\theta}\right)\log\frac{1-2\rho e^{i2\theta} + \rho^{2}}{1-\rho^{2}}$$

Now, setting $w=e^{i\varphi}z^2$, $\eta=e^{i\varphi}\zeta^2$ and using (2), (3) we obtain the desired result.

We set

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{0} &= \{s + \imath \varepsilon t (1 - \log t) : \ 0 < t \leq 1, \ -\sqrt{1 - t^{2}} + t \cos^{-1} t \leq s \leq \sqrt{1 - t^{2}} - t \cos^{-1} t \\ & \varepsilon = \{ \pm 1 \} \cup \{s : \ -1 \leq s \leq 1 \} \ , \\ \mathcal{A}_{1} &= \Big\{ e^{\imath \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \Big(e^{-i\theta} - \frac{1 + \rho^{2}}{2\rho} e^{i\theta} \Big) \log^{-1} \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Big(e^{i\theta} - \frac{1 + \rho^{2}}{2\rho} e^{-i\theta} \Big) \log \frac{1 - 2\rho e^{i2\theta} + \rho^{2}}{1 - \rho^{2}} \\ & : \ 0 < \rho < 1, \ -\pi < \theta \leq \pi, \ \theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}, \ -\frac{\pi}{2} \Big\} \,. \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.

$$\{|c_1| < 1\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$$

Proof. Set

$$\Psi(\rho,\theta) = e^{i\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^2}{2\rho} e^{i\theta} \right) \log \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\theta} - \frac{1+\rho^2}{2\rho} e^{-i\theta} \right) \log \frac{1-2\rho e^{i2\theta} + \rho^2}{1-\rho^2}.$$

Here we remark that

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg \frac{1-2\rho e^{i2\theta}+\rho^2}{1-\rho^2} < \frac{\pi}{2}$$
.

Then we have

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \Psi(\rho, \theta) = 1, \lim_{\theta \to \pm \pi} \Psi(\rho, \theta) = -1, \lim_{\theta \to \pm \pi/2} \Psi(\rho, \theta) = \pm i$$

for $0 < \rho < 1$. Further we have that $\Psi(\rho, \theta) \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $0 < |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\frac{\pi}{2} < |\theta| < \pi$, and that $\Psi(\rho, \theta) \rightarrow e^{i\theta} - i \sin \theta \log\{(1 - e^{i2\theta})/2\} \equiv c(\theta)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1$, uniformly for $0 < |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\frac{\pi}{2} < |\theta| < \pi$. In the case $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \pi$ we have

$$\log \frac{1-e^{i2\theta}}{2} = \log \sin \theta + i \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

and so we have, setting $t = \sin \theta$,

$$c(\theta) = -\sqrt{1-t^2} + t\cos^{-1}t + it(1-\log t) \qquad \left(t = \sin \theta, \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \pi\right).$$

203

Similarly in the other cases we have

$$c(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - t^2} - t \cos^{-1}t + it(1 - \log t) & \left(t = \sin \theta, \ 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \\ \sqrt{1 - t^2} - t \cos^{-1}t - it(1 - \log t) & \left(t = -\sin \theta, \ -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < 0\right), \\ -\sqrt{1 - t^2} + t \cos^{-1}t - it(1 - \log t) & \left(t = -\sin \theta, \ -\pi < \theta < -\frac{\pi}{2}\right). \end{cases}$$

Hence it follows that $\{|c_1| < 1\} - \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_0$. This implies that $\{|c_1| < 1\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$.

We can now prove that if $g(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{g}(\zeta)$ are extremal functions belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ such that $g \circ \tilde{g}^{-1}(z)$ attains the value Λ_s , then $g(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{g}(\zeta)$ are odd functions.

We write

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^{-n},$$
$$\tilde{g}(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_n \zeta^{-n}.$$

We may assume that $\Re_{e}\{c_{3}+c_{1}\tilde{c}_{1}-\tilde{c}_{3}-\tilde{c}_{1}^{2}\}=\Lambda_{3}$. If $|\tilde{c}_{1}|=1$, obviously $\tilde{g}(\zeta)$ is odd. If $|\tilde{c}_{1}|<1$, then from the above four lemmas it follows that there is an odd function

$$g^*(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^* \zeta^{-n}$$

belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ such that $c_1^* = \tilde{c}_1$ and $-\mathcal{R}_e c_s^* \ge -\mathcal{R}_e \tilde{c}_s$. Since

$$\Lambda_3 = \Re \{ c_3 + c_1 \tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^{\ 2} \} \leq \Re \{ c_3 + c_1 c_1^* - c_3^* - c_1^{*2} \} \leq \Lambda_3,$$

we have

and

$$-\mathcal{R}e\tilde{c}_3 = -\mathcal{R}ec_3^*$$
.

Hence by Lemma 1 we have that $\tilde{g}(\zeta) \equiv g^*(\zeta)$. Similarly we can conclude that $g(\zeta)$ is odd.

3. Now it is sufficient to prove the following

LEMMA. If

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^{-n}$$

$$\tilde{g}(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_n \zeta^{-n}$$

are odd functions belonging to $\Sigma'(\widetilde{E})$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_{e}\{c_{3}+c_{1}\tilde{c}_{1}-\tilde{c}_{3}-\tilde{c}_{1}^{2}\} \leq (1+e^{-\tau})^{2}, \qquad e^{\tau}+\tau-3=0.$$

Equality is possible.

If

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta^{-n}$$

is an odd function belonging to $\Sigma'(\widetilde{E}),$ then the function

$$g\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\right)^{-2} = z - 2c_1 z^2 + (-2c_3 + 3c_1^2) z^3 + \cdots$$

belongs to S(E). Then following Löwner [4] we may confine ourselves to odd functions belonging to $\Sigma'(\tilde{E})$ whose coefficients are represented as

$$c_{1} = \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}k(t)dt,$$

$$c_{3} = \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t}k(t)^{2}dt - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}k(t)dt \right)^{2},$$

where $t_0 \ge 0$ and k(t) is a continuous function in $0 \le t \le t_0$, satisfying |k(t)|=1. Thus to prove Lemma we start from the representation

$$b_{3} = c_{3} + c_{1}\tilde{c}_{1} - \tilde{c}_{3} - \tilde{c}_{1}^{2}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t}k(t)^{2}dt - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}k(t)dt \right)^{2}$$

$$+ \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}k(t)dt \right) \left(\int_{0}^{\tilde{t}_{0}} e^{-t}\tilde{k}(t)dt \right)$$

$$- \int_{0}^{\tilde{t}_{0}} e^{-2t}\tilde{k}(t)^{2}dt - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{\tilde{t}_{0}} e^{-t}\tilde{k}(t)dt \right)^{2},$$

where k(t) and $\tilde{k}(t)$ are continuous functions satisfying |k(t)| = 1, $|\tilde{k}(t)| = 1$. Writing k(t)=u(t)+iv(t), $\tilde{k}(t)=\tilde{u}(t)+i\tilde{v}(t)$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{e}b_{3} = \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t} \{u(t)^{2} - v(t)^{2}\} dt - \int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-2t} \{\widetilde{u}(t)^{2} - \widetilde{v}(t)^{2}\} dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}u(t)dt\right)^{2} + \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}u(t)dt\right) \left(\int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-t}\widetilde{u}(t)dt\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-t}\widetilde{u}(t)dt\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}v(t)dt\right)^{2}$$

$$- \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t}v(t)dt\right) \left(\int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-t}\widetilde{v}(t)dt\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-t}\widetilde{v}(t)dt\right)^{2}.$$

Since |k(t)|=1 and $|\tilde{k}(t)|=1$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t} \{u(t)^{2} - v(t)^{2}\} dt = \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t} \{1 - 2v(t)^{2}\} dt$$

 $1 - 1 \int_{0}^{t_0} e^{-2t_0(t)^2} dt$

$$< \frac{1}{2} - 2 \int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-2t} \{ \tilde{u}(t)^{2} - \tilde{v}(t)^{2} \} dt = \int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}} e^{-2t} \{ 1 - 2\tilde{u}(t)^{2} \} dt < \frac{1}{2} ,$$

and

$$\left|\int_{0}^{\widetilde{\iota}_{0}}e^{-t}\tilde{v}(t)dt\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\widetilde{\iota}_{0}}e^{-t}dt < 1.$$

Further obviously

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}e^{-t}u(t)dt\right)^{2}+\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}e^{-t}u(t)dt\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}}e^{-t}\widetilde{u}(t)dt\right)\\-\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\widetilde{t}_{0}}e^{-t}\widetilde{u}(t)dt\right)^{2}\leq0.$$

Thus from (4) we obtain

(5)
$$\mathcal{R}_{e} b_{3} < \frac{3}{2} - 2 \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t} v(t)^{2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t} v(t) dt \right)^{2} + \left| \int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-t} v(t) dt \right|.$$

If $\int_{0}^{t_{0}} e^{-2t}v(t)^{2}dt = 0$, then $v(t) \equiv 0$ and so (5) implies that $\mathcal{R}_{e} b_{3} < -\frac{3}{2}$. Otherwise let x be the non-negative real root of the equation

$$\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)e^{-2x}=\int_{0}^{t_{0}}e^{-2t}v(t)^{2}dt$$
.

Then, by the theorem of Valiron-Landau [3], we have

$$\left|\int_0^{t_0} e^{-t} v(t) dt\right| \leq (x+1) e^{-x}.$$

Hence from (5) we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{e} b_{3} < \frac{3}{2} + (x+1)e^{-x} + \frac{1}{2}(x^{2}-2x-1)e^{-2x}$$

We define

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{3}{2} + (x+1)e^{-x} + \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - 2x - 1)e^{-2x} \qquad (0 \le x < \infty)$$

Since $\Phi'(x) = -x(e^x + x - 3)e^{-2x}$, the maximum of $\Phi(x)$ occurs for the root τ of the equation $e^x + x - 3 = 0$, and

$$\Phi(\tau) = (1 + e^{-\tau})^2 > \frac{3}{2}$$
.

Hence we have the desired inequality.

Finally we take

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(\zeta) &= \zeta - i\zeta^{-1}, \\ g(\zeta) &= h(\zeta: 0, e^{-\tau}, 0) = \zeta + i(\tau+1)e^{-\tau}\zeta^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\tau^2 - 2\tau - 1)e^{-2\tau} + 1 \right\} \zeta^{-3} + \cdots \end{split}$$

where τ is the root of $e^x + x - 3 = 0$. Then the third coefficient of $g \circ \tilde{g}^{-1}$ is equal to $\Phi(\tau) = (1 + e^{-\tau})^2$. Thus equality is possible.

References

- [1] JENKINS, J.A., On certain coefficients of univalent functions. Analytic functions. Princeton Univ. Press. (1960) 159-194.
- [2] JENKINS, J.A., Univalent functions and conformal mapping. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1965).
- [3] Landau, E., Über die Blochsche Konstante und zwei verwandte Weltkonstanten. Math. Z. 30 (1929), 608-634.
- [4] LÖWNER, K., Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises, I. Math. Ann. 89 (1923) 103-121.
- [5] SCHAEFFER, A. C. AND D. C. SPENCER, The coefficients of schlicht functions. Duke Math. J. 10 (1943), 611-635.
- [6] SCHAEFFER, A. C. AND D. C. SPENCER, Coefficient regions for schlicht functions Amer. Math. Soc. Collog. Publ. vol. 35, New York (1950).

TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY.