By Takuji ONOYAMA

1. Introduction. Our problem is how to study a special solution of the linear translatable stochastic functional equation;

(1.1)
$$\Lambda f(x, \omega r) \equiv \int_{0}^{r} f(x, t, \omega) d\varphi(t) = g(x, \omega),$$

where

- l°, A is a linear translatable
 operator,
- 2°, $f(x,\omega)$ is a given strictly stationary stochastic process, and
- 3°, $\int_{\sigma}' \cdot d\varphi$ is defined as Bochner's integral according to the operator Λ .

The object of this paper is to study especially the case when zero points of generating function $(f(\lambda))$ $(Ae^{\lambda \chi} = G(\lambda)e^{\lambda \chi})$ of Λ are only pure imaginary, because other cases are not so difficult.

Here we have to note that N.Wiener's (*) and T.Kitagawa's²⁰method in the pure functional scheme are not always adoptable as they are.

1°, We put here:

(2.1)
$$G(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{1} e^{\lambda t} d\varphi dy$$

where

 $G_{(\lambda)}$ is an integral function, and let λ_{o} be a zero point of order $k(k \ge o)$ of $G_{(\lambda)}$, then we can write following:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_o)^R e^{(\lambda - \lambda_o) h}}{(\tau, \lambda)} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{s,\lambda}^k(h) (\lambda - \lambda_o)^s$$
$$(1\lambda - \lambda_o) < \mathcal{P}(\lambda_o))$$

with $f(\lambda_o)$ which is the distance from λ_o to the other nearest zero point of $f(\lambda)$ on the imaginary axis.

 $\{\mathcal{B}_{s,\lambda_o}^{h}(h)\}$ is the sequence of the generalized Bernoulli's polynomial (3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (2.3) & \Lambda B_{s,\lambda_0}^{k}(h)e^{\lambda_0 h} = \begin{cases} \frac{h^{s-k}}{(s-k)!}e^{\lambda_0 h} & (s=k,k+\ell\cdots) \\ 0 & (s=0,\ell,\cdots,k-\ell) \end{cases} \\ (2.4) & B_{s,\lambda_0}^{k}(h,+h_2) = \sum_{v=0}^{s} \frac{h_2^{v}}{v!}B_{s-v,\lambda_0}^{k}(h_v) & (s=0,\ell,2,\cdots) \end{cases}$$

2°, Regarding $f(x,\omega)$, $g(x,\omega)$ as two strictly stationary stochastic processes, we have the following definition:

(2.5) distance
$$(f, g) \equiv ||f - g||$$

$$\equiv \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |f(x, \omega) - g(x, \omega)|^2 d\rho}$$

Lemma 2.⁽⁵⁾ A strictly stationary stochastic process $\mathcal{Y}(x, \omega)$ and its autocorrelation coefficient \mathcal{R}_{is-ti} are represented as follows.

$$(2.6) \quad g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\mathbf{\lambda}\cdot\mathbf{x}} dS(\mathbf{\lambda}, \omega)$$

$$(2.7) \quad R_{1S-t1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\mathbf{\lambda}\cdot(S-t)} dF(\mathbf{\lambda})$$

$$-1 \leq R_{1S-t1} \leq 1,$$

where $S(\lambda, \omega)$ is a differential process, and $F(\lambda)$ is a spectre function defined by $S(\lambda, \omega)$.

Lemma 3^(b) If $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(t)|^2 d F(t) < \infty$, then

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda) e^{it\lambda} dS(\lambda, \omega) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_{iti} = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} e^{int} df(\omega)$$

exist.

Let λ_i (*i*=0,1,2,...) be zero points of G(A) on imaginary axis, and be non dense in any interval on imaginary axis, then the interval $(-\infty,\infty)$ can be devided into the direct sum $(T, \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots)$ of enumerable subintervals I_i (*i*=0, (2, ...) by $P(A_i)$ (*i*=0, (2, ...) in (2.2). From (2.6), we can write

(2.8)
$$g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda \mathbf{x}} dS(\lambda, \omega)$$

 $= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_n} e^{i\lambda \mathbf{x}} dS(\lambda, \omega) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$
where $g_n(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \int_{I_n} e^{i\lambda \mathbf{x}} dS(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$.

3. Main Theorem.

Theorem I. The stochastic functional equations which are obtained from (1.1) and (2.8)

(3.1)
$$\int f_n(x,\omega) = g_n(x,\omega) \quad (n=0,1,2,...)$$

have the special solutions

$$(3.2) \quad f_n(x,\omega) = \int \sum_{\substack{I_n \\ s = 0}}^{h-1} B_{s,i\lambda_n}^{k_n}(o) \left(D_x - \iota \lambda_n \right)^s$$

$$\times \left\{ e^{i\lambda x} - \sum_{\substack{k=0\\ l \neq 0}}^{h-1} \frac{(i\lambda x - i\lambda_n x)^{\ell}}{l!} e^{i\lambda x} \right\}_{(i\lambda - i\lambda_n)}^{-1} e^{i\lambda x} \left\{ \frac{1}{(i\lambda - i\lambda_n)^{\ell}} e^{i\lambda x} \lambda_n \right\}$$

$$+ \int \sum_{\substack{I_n \\ s = k}}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_n}^{k_n}(o) (i\lambda - i\lambda_n)^{s-k} e^{i\lambda x} \lambda_n S(\lambda, \omega)$$

$$(n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

where zero points of $(\mathcal{F}(\lambda))$ are pure imaginary, and $(D_x - i\lambda_n) (\equiv (\frac{d}{dx} - i\lambda_n))$ is a differential operator.

Here we have to prove a lemma before a proof of Theorem I.

Lemma 4. In any finite interval of x

$$\int_{I_n} h(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) dS(\lambda, \omega) = \int_{I_n} \Lambda h(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) dS(\lambda, \omega)$$

where $h(x, \lambda)$ is a uniformly bounded function on $\lambda \in I_x$ and any finite interval of χ .

Proof

$$\| \int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) dS(\lambda, \omega) \|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) dS(\lambda, \omega) \right)^2 dF$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) dS(\lambda, \omega) \cdot \int_{I_n} h(x,\mu) dS(\mu, \omega) \right\} dP$$

$$= \int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) h(x,\mu) \cdot \int_{\Omega} dS(\lambda, \omega) dS(\mu, \omega) dP$$

$$= \int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) h(x,\lambda) dF(\lambda) \quad (by. Lemma 2.2)$$

$$\leq \int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) h(x,\lambda)^2 \{F(\lambda_n + p(\lambda_n)) - F(\lambda_n - p(\lambda_n))\}$$
in any finite in erval of x •

< K (= absolute constant).

Therefore, by the property of Bochner Integral

$$\Lambda \int_{I_n} h(x,\lambda) dS(\lambda,\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_n^{\infty} h(x+t,\lambda) dS(\lambda,\omega) d\varphi(t) = \int_{I_n} \int_0^{1} h(x+t,\lambda) d\varphi(t) dS(\lambda,\omega) = \int_n^{\infty} \Lambda h(x,\lambda) dS(\lambda,\omega) = I_n$$

Proof of Theorem 1.

Since $e^{i\lambda_n x} \int_0^x g_n(x,\omega) e^{-i\lambda_n t} dt$ exists with ω^2 -norm, we can put

(3.3)
$$g_{n}^{(-i)}(x,\omega) \equiv e^{i\lambda_{n}x} \int_{0}^{x} g_{n}(t,\omega) e^{-i\lambda_{n}t} dt$$
$$h_{n}(x,\omega) \equiv e^{i\lambda_{n}x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} g_{n}(t,\omega) e^{-i\lambda_{n}t} dt$$

Then we have easily

$$(3.4) \qquad (D_{\mathbf{x}} - \iota \lambda_{n})^{k} h_{n}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = g_{n}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$$

$$(3.5) \qquad g_{n}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = e^{i\lambda_{n}t} \int_{g_{n}}^{\chi} (t, \omega) e^{-i\lambda_{n}t} dt$$

$$= e^{i\lambda_{n}x} \int_{I_{n}}^{\chi} \{\int_{I} e^{it\mathbf{u} - \lambda_{n}}\} dS(\lambda, \omega) dt$$

$$= \int_{I_{n}} \frac{e^{i\lambda_{n}x} - e^{i\lambda_{n}x}}{(i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})} dS(\lambda, \omega)$$
with ω^{2} -norm.

In the same way
(3.6)
$$h_n(x,\omega) = \int \frac{e^{i\lambda x} \sum_{l=0}^{h-1} \frac{\left\{l (\lambda - \lambda_n)\right\}}{l!} e^{i\lambda_n x}}{i(\lambda - i\lambda_n)^{k}} ds(\lambda_l \omega)$$

with
$$\omega^2$$
 -norm.

Let S be any positive integer, then

$$\int_{L} (i\lambda)^{s} e^{i\lambda x} dS(x, \omega) \quad \text{always exists,}$$

and is considered as (k+s)'s differential of $h_n(x, \omega)$ (or s' differential of $g_n(x, \omega)$).

And so

$$\begin{split} \|(D_{\chi}-i\lambda_{n})^{k+s}h_{n}(\chi,\omega)\|^{2} &\|\int (i\lambda-i\lambda_{n})^{k+s}i\lambda_{\chi}S(\lambda,\omega)\|^{2} \\ &\leq \int |i\lambda-i\lambda_{n}|^{2(s+k)} aF(\lambda) \\ &I_{n} \\ &\leq \rho^{2(s+k)}(\lambda_{n})\int dF(\lambda) \\ &\leq \rho^{2(s+k)}(\lambda_{n})\{F(\lambda_{n}+\rho(\lambda_{n})-F(\lambda_{n}-\rho(\lambda_{n}))\}\} \\ &\quad (not \ relative \ to \ \chi.) \end{split}$$

We put

$$f_{\lambda,N} = \sum_{S=0}^{N} B_{S,i\lambda_n}^{k} (o) (D_{\lambda} - i\lambda_n)^{S} h_n (x, \omega)$$

$$(d.7) \quad f_n(x, \omega) = \sum_{S=0}^{\infty} B_{S,i\lambda_n}^{k} (o) (D_{\lambda} - i\lambda_n)^{S} h_n (x, \omega),$$

then $f_{n,N}$ converges to $f_n(x,\omega)$ uniformly in any finite interval of x with ω^2 -norm, because $s \ge M$

$$f_{n,N} - f_{n,M} \parallel^{2} \left\{ \sum_{s=M}^{N} \mid B_{\phi}^{k}(o) \mid P(\lambda_{n}) \mid F(\lambda_{n} + P(\lambda_{n}) - F(\lambda_{n} - P(\lambda_{n})) \right\}$$

this convergency is easily seen from (2.2).

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_n}^{\lambda_n} (0) (D_x - i\lambda_n)^s h_n (x, \omega)$$

$$= \int \sum_{I_n}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_n}^{\lambda} (0) (D_x - i\lambda_n)^s \frac{e^{i\lambda_x} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{i(\lambda - \lambda_n)}{R!} e^{i\lambda_n x}}{(i\lambda - i\lambda_n)^k} dS(x, \omega)$$

and

$$f_{n}(x,\omega) = \int \sum_{I_{n}s=0}^{k-1} B_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}}(o) (D_{x}-i\lambda_{n})^{s} \left\{ e^{-\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(i\lambda_{x}-i\lambda_{n}x)} e^{i\lambda_{x}} \right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{(i\lambda-i\lambda_{n})k} \stackrel{d}{\to} S(\lambda,\omega)$$

$$+ \int_{\prod_{n} s=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}}(o) (i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{s-k} e^{it\lambda} dS(\lambda \omega)$$

$$\equiv f_{n1}(\kappa, \omega) + f_{n,2}(\kappa, \omega).$$

Next we prove

$$\Lambda f_n(x,\omega) = \Lambda f_{n,1} + \Lambda f_{n,2} (= F_1 + F_2)$$

= g_n ,

Where

 Λ is the integral operator of (1.1) in the sence of Bochner integral.

$$F_{1} = \Lambda_{x} \int_{I_{n}}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}}(o) (D_{x} - i\lambda_{n})^{s} \nabla dS(\lambda, \omega)$$

$$F_{2} = \Lambda_{x} \int_{I_{n}}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}}(o) (D_{x} - i\lambda_{n})^{s-k} \mathcal{A} S(\lambda, \omega)$$

$$\overline{V} = \left\{ e^{i\lambda x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{h-i} \left\{ \frac{i(\lambda x - \lambda n x)^{\ell}}{\ell!} e^{i\lambda n x} \right\} \frac{1}{(i\lambda - i\lambda n)^{k}} \right\}$$

$$\left(D_{x} - i\lambda n \right)^{S} \overline{V} = \left(i\lambda - i\lambda n \right)^{S} e^{i\lambda n x} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{h-i} \left(D_{x} - i\lambda n \right)^{S} \left\{ \frac{(i\lambda x - i\lambda n x)^{\ell}}{\ell!} e^{i\lambda n x} \right\} \frac{1}{(i\lambda - (\lambda n)^{k}}$$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{h-i} \left(D_{x} - i\lambda n \right)^{S} \left\{ \frac{(i\lambda x - i\lambda n x)^{\ell}}{\ell!} e^{i\lambda n x} \right\} \frac{1}{(i\lambda - (\lambda n)^{k}}$$

$$= \left[(i\lambda - i\lambda n)^{s} e^{i\lambda x} \sum_{A=s}^{R-1} \frac{(i\lambda x - i\lambda n)^{s} (i\lambda - i\lambda n)}{(R-s)!} e^{i\lambda n x} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(i\lambda - i\lambda n)^{k}}$$

By uniform boundedness of f_n . of (3.7) in finite interval of x , and Lemma 4

$$F_{I} = \int \Lambda \left(\sum_{s=0}^{k_{n}-1} B_{s, \iota\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}} (o) (D_{x} - i\lambda_{n})^{s} \Psi \right) dS(\lambda, \omega)$$

$$= \int \Lambda \sum_{s=0}^{k_{n}-1} B_{s, \iota\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}} (o) \left\{ (i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{s} e^{i\lambda x} - \sum_{l=s}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{(\iota\lambda x - i\lambda_{n}x)^{(l-s)}}{(l-s)!} e^{i\lambda_{n}x} \right\}$$

$$= \int \alpha S(\lambda, \omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{k_{n}-1} B_{s, \iota\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}} (o) \left\{ (i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{g} e^{i\lambda_{n}x} - \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} \frac{(\iota\lambda x - i\lambda_{n}x)^{(l-s)}}{(l-s)!} e^{i\lambda_{n}x} \right\}$$

$$= \int \alpha S(\lambda, \omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{k_{n}-1} B_{s, \iota\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}} (o) \left\{ (i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{g} G(i\lambda) e^{i\lambda_{n}x} - P(\lambda, x) \right\}$$

$$I_{n} = \int \alpha S(\lambda, \omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{k_{n}-1} B_{s, \iota\lambda_{n}}^{k_{n}} (o) \left\{ (i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{g} G(i\lambda) e^{i\lambda_{n}x} - P(\lambda, x) \right\} \right\}$$

Here

$$P(\lambda, \mathbf{x}) = \Lambda \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} B_{s,i\lambda_n}^{k_n} (o) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k_n-1} \frac{(i\lambda x - i\lambda_n x)^{\ell-s}}{(\ell-s)!} \right\}$$

$$= \Lambda \sum_{s=0}^{k_n - i} \sum_{l=0}^{k_n} B_{s, i\lambda_n}(o) \frac{(i\lambda x - i \ln x)^{l-s}}{(l-s)!} e^{i\lambda_n x + i\lambda_n s}$$

$$=\sum_{l=0}^{k_n-1} \Lambda B_{l,i\lambda_n}(x) (i\lambda - i\lambda_n)^l e^{i\lambda_n x}$$

= 0

By Lemma 2.

And so

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda f_{n}(x,\omega) = F_{1} + F_{2} \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda x} dS(\lambda,\omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{(s)}(i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{s} G(i\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda x} dS(\lambda,\omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{(k)}(i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{s-k} G(i\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda x} dS(\lambda,\omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{(k)}(i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{s-k} G(i\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda x} dS(\lambda,\omega) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_{s,i\lambda_{n}}^{(s)}(i\lambda - i\lambda_{n})^{s-k} G(i\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \int g_{n}(x,\omega) \\ &= g_{n}(x,\omega) \end{split}$$

Theorem is proved.

We can not get so easily some condition for convergence of $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f_{r_r}(x,\omega)$,

But it is easily seen that, if K such that $|\varphi_n(\lambda, x)| \leq K$ (= absolute constant $\langle \infty \rangle$)

$$f_n(\lambda,\omega) \equiv \int_{I_n} \varphi_n(\lambda,x) \, dJ(\lambda,\omega)$$

exists uniformly in any finite interval of χ and no relative to κ and λ ,

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x,\omega)$ converges uniformly

in any finite interval of $\mathcal K$ with respect to $\ensuremath{\,\,\omega^2}\xspace$ -norm.

4.

When no zero point of $G(\lambda)$ is pure imaginary, we have the following result.

 $G(\lambda)$ is written as the sum of three parts, $H(\lambda)$, $F(\lambda)$ and $S(\lambda)$, step, absolutely continuous, and singular respectively. Then

Theorem II. If <u>Bd</u> | G(i 3) |> 0 (1°)

$$\int_{E} |AS(ij)|^{\leq} \frac{BA}{BA} |H(ij)| (2^{\circ})$$

$$\begin{cases} \vdots \text{ real} \\ E & \vdots \text{ a certain interval on the} \\ \text{ real axis} \end{cases}$$

Then, the functional equation (1.1) has the special solution

 $f(x,\omega) = \int_{E} g(x-t,\omega) d\alpha(t)$

where $\alpha(t)$ is defined as

$$\frac{d}{G(i)} = \int_{E} e^{-i\frac{3}{2}t} d\alpha(t)$$

Proof

By the condition (1°) and (2°) there exist E and $\propto (t)$ such that (7)

$$\int_{G} \frac{1}{(i^{*}x)} = \int_{O} e^{-i^{*}x} d\alpha it$$

$$A + (x, \omega) = \int_{O} \int_{E} g(x - t + s, \omega) d\alpha it) d\varphi(s)$$

$$= \int_{O} \frac{1}{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \cdot \lambda} (x - t + s) d\alpha it \cdot d\varphi(s)$$

$$= \int_{O} e^{i \cdot \lambda x} ds (\lambda, \omega) \int_{O} e^{i \cdot \lambda s} d\varphi(s) \int_{E} e^{-i \cdot \lambda t} d\alpha it$$

$$(b_{Y} \ Lemma \ 4)$$

$$= \int_{O} g(x, \omega) \ G(i^{*}\lambda) - \frac{1}{G(i^{*}\lambda)}$$

$$= g(x, \omega).$$

When no zero points of (r, n) is pure imaginary, the condition I° is satisfied.

In the Wold's Theorem $\binom{8}{2}$ I^o and II^o are satisfied. In this sence, Theorem II gives the generalization of Wold's Theorem.

(*) Received July 4th, 1949.

- Wiener, N., The solution of a difference equation by trigonometrical integrals. Jour.Math.Phys. N.I.T. 4(1926).
- (2) Kitagawa, T., The special solution of the non-homogeneous linear translatable functional equation. The TOHOKU Math.jour. Vol.43, Part II.
- (3) Kitagawa, T., On the theory of linear translatable functional equation and Cauchy's series. Japanese Jour.Math. Vol.XIII, 1937.
- (4) See (3).
- (5) Maruyama, G., The memoirs of the faculty of Science, Kyusyu University Vol.2, No.1.
- (6) See (5).
- (7) Wiener, N. and Pitt, R.H., On absolutely convergent Fourier-Stietjes transform. Duke Math.Jour.1938.
- (8) Wold, H., A study in the analysis of stationary time series, Uppsala 1938.

Tokyo Institute of Technology.

36