H.-X. YI KODAI MATH. J. 18 (1995), 300–314

UNICITY THEOREMS FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS THAT SHARE THREE VALUES

Hong-Xun Yi

This paper studies the problem of the uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share three values. The results in this paper improve some theorems given by H. Ueda, Shou-Zhen Ye and Hong-Xun Yi. Examples are provided to show that our results are sharp.

1. Introduction and main results

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions in the complex plane. If f and g have the same a-points with the same multiplicities, we say f and g share the value a CM. (see [1]). It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the basic notations and fundamental results of Nevanlinna's theory of meromorphic functions, as found in [2]. It will be convenient to let Edenote any set of positive real numbers of finite linear measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. The notation S(r, f) denotes any quantity satisfying

$$S(r, f) = o(T(r, f)) \qquad (r \to \infty, r \notin E).$$

H. Ueda proved the following theorem.

THEOREM A (see [3]). Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant entire functions such that f and g share 0, 1 CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a\neq 0, 1$. If a is lacunary for f, then 1-a is lacunary for g, and

$$(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$$
.

In [4] the present author proved the following result which is an improvement of the above result.

THEOREM B. Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant entire functions such that f and g share 0, 1 CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a \neq 0, 1$.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification 30D35, 30D30, 30D20.

^{*} Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of PRC. Received October 4, 1993; revised June 6, 1994.

If $\delta(a, f) > 1/3$, then a and 1-a are Picard exceptional values of f and g respectively, and

$$(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$$
.

Recently Shou-Zhen Ye extended the above theorems to meromorphic functions, and proved the following theorems.

THEOREM C (see [5]). Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a \neq 0, 1$. If

$$\delta(a, f) + \delta(\infty, f) > \frac{4}{3},$$

then a and 1-a are Picard values of f and g respectively, and also ∞ is so, and

 $(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$.

THEOREM D (see [5]). Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p be $p \ (\geq 1)$ distinct finite complex numbers, and $a_j \neq 0, 1 \ (j=1, 2, \dots, p)$. If

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta(a_j, f) + \delta(\infty, f) > \frac{2(p+1)}{p+2},$$

then there exists one and only one a_k in a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p such that a_k and $1-a_k$ are Picard values of f and g respectively, and also ∞ is so, and

$$(f-a_k)(g+a_k-1) \equiv a_k(1-a_k).$$

In this paper we improve the above theorems and obtain the following results.

THEOREM 1. Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a \neq 0, 1$. If

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and

$$N(r, f) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

then a and 1-a are Picard values of f and g respectively, and also ∞ is so, and

$$(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$$
.

By Theorem 1 we immediately obtain the following result which is an improvement of Theorems A, B, C and D.

THEOREM 2. Let f and g be two distint nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a \neq 0, 1$. If $\delta(a, f) > 0$ and $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$, then a and 1-a are Picard values of f and g respectively, and also ∞ is so, and

$$(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$$
.

Example 1. Let $f(z)=(e^{2z}+1)/(e^{z}+1)$, $g(z)=(e^{-2z}+1)/(e^{-z}+1)$, a=2. It is easy to see that f and g share 0, 1, ∞CM , and

$$N(r, f) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(\infty, f) = 1/2 > 0$. Noting

$$f(z) - a = \frac{e^{2z} - 2e^z - 1}{e^z + 1},$$

we have

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(a, f)=0$. $(f-a)(g+a-1) \not\equiv a(1-a)$ is evident.

Example 2. Let $f(z)=2/(1+e^z)$, $g(z)=2/(1+e^{-z})$, a=2. It is easy to see that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., and

$$N(r, f) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(\infty, f)=0$. Noting

$$f(z) - a = -\frac{2e^z}{1 + e^z},$$

we have

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(a, f)=1>0$. $(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$ is evident.

Example 3. Let $f(z)=1/(e^z+1)$, $g(z)=1/(e^{-z}+1)$, a=2. It is easy to see that f and g share 0, 1, ∞CM , and

$$N(r, f) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(\infty, f)=0$. Noting

$$f(z) - a = -\frac{2e^z + 1}{e^z + 1},$$

we have

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(a, f)=0$. $(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$ is evident.

The above examples show that Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are sharp.

2. Some lemmas

The following lemmas will be needed in the proof of our theorems.

LEMMA 1. Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., then

$$f = \frac{e^q - 1}{e^p - 1}, \qquad g = \frac{e^{-q} - 1}{e^{-p} - 1},$$

where p and q are entire functions such that $e^{p} \neq 1$, $e^{q} \neq 1$ and $e^{q-p} \neq 1$, and

$$T(r, g) + T(r, e^p) + T(r, e^q) = O(T(r, f))$$
 $(r \notin E).$

Proof. By assumption we have with two entire functions α and β ,

$$f = e^{\alpha} \cdot g$$
 and $f - 1 = e^{\beta} \cdot (g - 1)$.

Since $f \neq g$, then $e^{\alpha} \neq 1$, $e^{\beta} \neq 1$ and $e^{\beta - \alpha} \neq 1$. Setting $p = \beta - \alpha$ and $q = \beta$, we have $e^{p} \neq 1$, $e^{q} \neq 1$ and $e^{q-p} \neq 1$. Thus from this we get

$$f = \frac{e^q - 1}{e^p - 1}$$
 and $g = \frac{e^{-q} - 1}{e^{-p} - 1}$.

By the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$T(r, g) < N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + N(r, g) + S(r, g)$$

= $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + N(r, f) + S(r, g)$
<3 $T(r, f) + S(r, g)$.

Thus from this we get

$$\begin{split} T(r, \ e^q) &= T(r, \ e^\beta) {\leq} T(r, \ f-1) {+} T(r, \ g-1) {+} O(1) \\ &< 4T(r, \ f) {+} S(r, \ g) \,, \\ T(r, \ e^{q-p}) {=} T(r, \ e^\alpha) {\leq} T(r, \ f) {+} T(r, \ g) {+} O(1) \\ &< 4T(r, \ f) {+} S(r, \ g) \,, \\ T(r, \ e^p) {=} T(r, \ e^{q-(q-p)}) {\leq} T(r, \ e^q) {+} T(r, \ e^{q-p}) {+} O(1) \\ &< 8T(r, \ f) {+} S(r, \ g) \,. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$T(r, g) + T(r, e^p) + T(r, e^q) = O(T(r, f))$$
 $(r \notin E).$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let c_1, c_2 and c_3 be three nonzero constants. If

then

$$c_1f+c_2g\equiv c_3,$$

$$T(r, f) < \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Proof. By the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$T(r, f) < \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \left(f - \frac{c_3}{c_1}\right)^{-1}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$$
$$= \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which proves Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3 (see [6, Lemma 4]). Let p(z) be a nonconstant entire function, then

$$T(r, p') = o(T(r, e^p)) \qquad (r \notin E).$$

In order to state Lemma 4, we introduce the following notations.

Let f be a meromorphic function. We denote by $n_1(r, f)$ the number of simple poles of f in $|z| \leq r$. $N_1(r, f)$ is defined in terms of $n_1(r, f)$ in the usual way. We further define

$$N_2(r, f) = N(r, f) - N_1(r, f)$$
.

In the same way we can define $N_2(r, 1/f)$ and $N_2(r, 1/(f-1))$.

LEMMA 4. Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM., then

$$N_2(r, f) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) = S(r, f).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have

$$f = \frac{e^q - 1}{e^p - 1},$$

where p and q are entire functions such that $e^{p} \neq 1$, $e^{q} \neq 1$ and $e^{q-p} \neq 1$, and

$$T(r, g) + T(r, e^{p}) + T(r, e^{q}) = O(T(r, f))$$
 $(r \notin E)$

If $e^q \equiv c$, where $c \neq 0, 1$ is a constant, then we have N(r, 1/f) = 0. If e^q

is not a constant, let $\{z_n\}$ be all the roots of f=0 with multiplicity ≥ 2 , then $\{z_n\}$ are the roots of $(e^q-1)'=q'e^q=0$. Thus

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{q'}\right) \leq 2T(r, q') + O(1).$$

Again by Lemma 3, we deduce

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

If $e^p \equiv c$, where $c \neq 0, 1$ is a constant, then we have N(r, f)=0. If e^p is not a constant, let $\{z_n\}$ be all the roots of 1/f=0 with multiplicity ≥ 2 , then $\{z_n\}$ are the roots of $(e^p-1)'=p'e^p=0$. Thus

$$N_2(r, f) \leq 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{p'}\right) \leq 2T(r, p') + O(1).$$

Again by Lemma 3, we have

Note that

$$N_2(r, f) = S(r, f).$$

$$f(z) - 1 = \frac{e^{p}(e^{q-p} - 1)}{e^{p} - 1}.$$

If $e^{q-p} \equiv c$, where $c \neq 0, 1$ is a constant, then N(r, 1/(f-1))=0. If e^{q-p} is not a constant, let $\{z_n\}$ be all the roots of f-1=0 with multiplicity ≥ 2 , then $\{z_n\}$ are the roots of $(e^{q-p}-1)'=(q'-p')e^{q-p}=0$. Thus

$$N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{q'-p'}\right) \leq 2T(r, q') + 2T(r, p') + O(1).$$

Again by Lemma 3, we have

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) = S(r, f).$$

From the above three equalities, we obtain

$$N_2(r, f) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) = S(r, f),$$

which proves Lemma 4.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

By the assumption, from Lemma 1 we have

(1)
$$f = \frac{e^q - 1}{e^p - 1}, \quad g = \frac{e^{-q} - 1}{e^{-p} - 1},$$

where p and q are entire functions such that $e^{p} \neq 1$, $e^{q} \neq 1$ and $e^{q-p} \neq 1$, and

(2)
$$T(r, g)+T(r, e^p)+T(r, e^q)=O(T(r, f))$$
 $(r \notin E).$

We discuss the following four cases.

a) Suppose that $e^p \equiv c \neq 0, 1$.

By (1) we have

$$f = \frac{e^q - 1}{c - 1}$$

and

(4)
$$f-a = \frac{e^q - 1 - a(c-1)}{c-1}.$$

If $-1-a(c-1) \neq 0$, from (4),

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1. Then -1-a(c-1)=0 and c=(a-1)/a. Again by (1), we obtain

and

$$g = (1-a) - (1-a)e^{-q}$$
.

 $f = a - ae^q$

Thus a and 1-a are Picard values of f and g respectively, and also ∞ is so, and

$$(f-a)(g+a-1) \equiv a(1-a)$$
.

b) Suppose that $e^q \equiv c \neq 0, 1$.

By (1) we have

$$f = \frac{c-1}{e^p - 1}.$$

Thus

$$N(r, f) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1.

c) Suppose that $e^{q-p} \equiv c \ (\neq 0, 1)$.

By (1) we have

$$f = \frac{ce^{p} - 1}{e^{p} - 1} = c + \frac{c - 1}{e^{p} - 1}.$$

Thus

$$N(r, f) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which is again a contradiction.

d) Suppose that none of e^p , e^q and e^{q-p} are constants. It is clear that $p' \not\equiv 0$, $q' \not\equiv 0$ and $p' \not\equiv q'$. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we have

(5)
$$T(r, p') + T(r, q') = S(r, f).$$

Set

$$h = \frac{q'}{p'}.$$

From (5) and (6) we obtain $h \not\equiv 0$, 1, and

T(r, h) = S(r, f).

If

$$q'(h-1)-h'\equiv 0,$$

by integration, we have

$$(7) h-1=c_1e^q,$$

where c_1 is a constant, and $c_1 \neq 0$. From (6) and (7), we obtain

$$\frac{q'}{c_1 e^q + 1} = p'.$$

Again by integration, we get

 $c_1 + e^{-q} = c_2 e^{-p}$,

where c_2 is a constant, and $c_2 \neq 0$. Thus

$$c_2 e^{-p} - e^{-q} = c_1$$
.

By Lemma 2, we obtain

$$T(r, e^{-p}) = S(r, e^{-p}),$$

which is impossible. Hence

$$q'(h-1)-h' \not\equiv 0$$
.

From (1), we have

(8)
$$f-h = \frac{e^q - he^p + h - 1}{e^p - 1}$$

Set

$$F = (f-h)(e^p-1) = e^q - he^p + h - 1$$
,

then

$$\frac{F'}{F} - q' = \frac{(e^q - he^p + h - 1)' - q'(e^q - he^p + h - 1)}{(f - h)(e^p - 1)}$$

 $=\!\frac{q'(h\!-\!1)\!-\!h'}{f\!-\!h}$

and hence

(9)
$$\frac{1}{f-h} = \frac{(F'/F)-q'}{q'(h-1)-h'}.$$

From (9) we get

(10)
$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-h}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) + S(r, f) = S(r, f)$$

and

(11)
$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f-h}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Again from (1), we have

$$\frac{f-g}{g-1} = e^q - 1$$

and

$$\frac{g'}{g} = \frac{q'e^p - p'e^q + (p'-q')}{(e^q - 1)(e^p - 1)} \,.$$

Thus

(12)
$$\frac{g'(f-g)}{g(g-1)} = \frac{q'e^p - p'e^q + (p'-q')}{e^p - 1}.$$

From (6) and (8), we obtain

(13)
$$-p'(f-h) = \frac{q'e^p - p'e^q + (p'-q')}{e^p - 1}.$$

By (12) and (13), we get

(14)
$$-p'(f-h) = \frac{g'(f-g)}{g(g-1)}.$$

Again by Lemma 4 and (11), we have

(15)
$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-h}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + N_0(r) + S(r, f),$$

where $N_0(r)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros of f-g that are not zeros of g and g-1. From (10) and (15), we obtain

$$T(r, f) = T(r, f-h) + S(r, f)$$

= $m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-h}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-h}\right) + S(r, f)$

$$= N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + N_0(r) + S(r, f).$$

Thus

(16)
$$T(r, f) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) = N_0(r) + S(r, f).$$

In the same manner as above, we have

(17)
$$T(r, g) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) = N_0(r) + S(r, f).$$

By the second fundamental theorem, and using (16), we obtain

$$\begin{split} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq T(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + N(r, g) \\ &- N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + N(r, g) + N_0(r) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N\left(, r\frac{1}{f-g}\right) + N(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f-g) + N(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq m(r, f) + m(r, g) + N(r, f-g) + N(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq m(r, f) + m(r, g) + N(r, f) + N(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ &= T(r, f) + T(r, g) + S(r, f). \end{split}$$

Thus

(18)
$$T(r, f) + T(r, g) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + N(r, g) + N_0(r) + S(r, f).$$

Again by the second fundamental theorem, and using (17) and (18), we have

$$2T(r, f) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \\ + N(r, f) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \\ + N(r, g) + N_0(r) - T(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ = T(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + S(r, f)$$

 $\leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f)$.

Thus

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Applications of Theorem 1

For any set S and any meromorphic function f let

$$E_f(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{ z \mid f(z) - a = 0 \},$$

where each zero of f-a with multiplicity m is repeated m times in $E_f(S)$ (see [9]).

Recently the present author corrected a result of Gross and Yang [11] and proved the following theorems.

THEOREM E (see [10]). Let $S_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be two pairs of distinct elements with $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$ but $a_1 a_2 \neq b_1 b_2$, and let $S_3 = \{\infty\}$. Suppose that f and g are two nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for j=1, 2, 3. Then N(r, f) = S(r, f) and

$$T(r, f) = T(r, g) + S(r, f).$$

THEOREM F (see [10]). If, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem E, $\delta(c/2, f) > 1/5$, where $c = a_1 + a_2$, then f and g must satisfy exactly one of the following relations:

(i) $f \equiv g$,

(ii)
$$f+g \equiv a_1+a$$

(ii) $(f-c/2)(g-c/2) \equiv \pm ((a_1-a_2)/2)^2$. This occurs only for $(a_1-a_2)^2 + (b_1-b_2)^2 = 0$.

THEOREM G (see [10]). If, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem E,

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{1}}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{2}}\right) = 2T(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and $\delta(c/2, f) > 0$, where $c = a_1 + a_2$, then the conclusions of Theorem F hold.

Applying Theorem 1, we immediately obtain the following result which is an improvement of Theorem F and Theorem G.

THEOREM 3. If, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem E, $\delta(c/2, f) > 0$, where $c = a_1 + a_2$, then the conclusions of Theorem F hold.

Proof. By Theorem E we have

(19)
$$N(r, f) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Again by $\delta(c/2, f) > 0$, we also have

(20)
$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c/2}\right) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Let

$$F = \frac{(f - c/2)^2 - ((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2}{((b_1 - b_2)/2)^2 - ((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2}, \qquad G = \frac{(g - c/2)^2 - ((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2}{((b_1 - b_2)/2)^2 - ((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2}.$$

If $F \equiv G$, it is obvious that $f \equiv g$ or $f + g \equiv a_1 + a_2$. Next, assume that $F \not\equiv G$.

By $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ (j=1, 2, 3), we know that F and G share 0, 1, ∞ CM. From (19) and (20), we have

$$N(r, F) \neq T(r, F) + S(r, F)$$

and

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right) \neq T(r, F) + S(r, F),$$

where

$$a = \frac{-((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2}{((b_1 - b_2)/2)^2 - ((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2} \neq 0, \ 1.$$

By Theorem 1, it follows that a is a Picard value of F, and hence c/2 is a Picard value of f. Thus $\delta(c/2, f)=1>1/5$. Again by Theorem F, we obtain

$$(f-c/2)(g-c/2) \equiv \pm ((a_1-a_2)/2)^2$$
,

this occurs only for $(a_1-a_2)^2+(b_1-b_2)^2=0$.

This also completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Example 4. Let $f(z)=1-4e^z$, $g(z)=1-e^{-z}$, $a_1=-1$, $a_2=1$, $b_1=-\sqrt{3}i$, $b_2=\sqrt{3}i$, $S_1=\{a_1, a_2\}$, $S_2=\{b_1, b_2\}$, $S_3=\{\infty\}$. It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{(f-a_1)(f-a_2)}{(g-a_1)(g-a_2)} = -8e^{3z}, \qquad \frac{(f-b_1)(f-b_2)}{(g-b_1)(g-b_2)} = 4e^{2z},$$

which show $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for j=1, 2, 3. Thus, f and g satisfy the conditions of Theorem E. Noting that $c = a_1 + a_2 = 0$ and $f(z) - c/2 = 1 - 4e^z$, we have $\delta(c/2, f) = 0$. $f \neq g$, $f + g \neq a_1 + a_2$ and $(f - c/2)(g - c/2) \neq \pm ((a_1 - a_2)/2)^2$ are evident. This shows that Theorem 3 is sharp.

5. Improvement of Theorem 1

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the following result, which is an improvement of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a \neq 0, 1$. If

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

then a is a Picard exceptional value of f, and f and g must satisfy exactly one of the following relations:

(i) $(f-a)(g+a-1)\equiv a(1-a)$. This occurs only for ∞ be a Picard exceptional value of f. In this case, 1-a and ∞ are Picard exceptional values of g.

(ii) $f+(a-1)g \equiv a$. This occurs only for 0 be a Picard exceptional value of f. In this case, a/(a-1) and 0 are Picard exceptional values of g.

(iii) $f \equiv ag$. This occurs only for 1 be a Picard exceptional value of f. In this case, 1/a and 1 are Picard exceptional values of g.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can obtain (1).

We discuss the following four cases.

a) Suppose that $e^p \equiv c(\neq 0, 1)$.

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can obtain the relation (i), and a and ∞ are Picard exceptional values of f, 1-a and ∞ are Picard exceptional values of g.

b) Suppose that $e^q \equiv c \neq 0, 1$.

By (1) we have

$$f = \frac{c-1}{e^p - 1}$$

and

(21)
$$f - a = \frac{(c - 1 + a) - ae^p}{e^p - 1}.$$

If $c - 1 + a \neq 0$, from (21),

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 4. Then c-1+a=0 and c=1-a. Again by (1), we obtain

$$f = -\frac{a}{e^p - 1}$$

and

$$g = \frac{ae^p}{(a-1)(e^p-1)}.$$

Thus, we get the relation (ii), and a and 0 are Picard exceptional values of f, a/(a-1) and 0 are Picard exceptional values of g.

- c) Suppose that $e^{q-p} \equiv c \neq 0, 1$.
- By (1) we have

$$f = \frac{ce^p - 1}{e^p - 1}$$

and

(22)
$$f - a = \frac{(c-a)e^p - (1-a)}{e^p - 1}.$$

If $c-a \neq 0$, from (22),

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 4. Then c=a. Again by (1), we obtain

$$f = \frac{ae^p - 1}{e^p - 1}$$

and

$$g = \frac{ae^p - 1}{a(e^p - 1)}$$

Thus, we get the relation (iii), and a and 1 are Picard exceptional values of f, 1/a and 1 are Picard exceptional values of g.

d) Suppose that none of e^p , e^q and e^{q-p} are constants.

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can arrive at a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

By Theorem 4 we immediately obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 0, 1, ∞ CM., and let a be a finite complex number, and $a \neq 0, 1$. If

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

and none of 0, 1, ∞ are Picard exceptional values of f, then $f \equiv g$.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to the referee for valuable comments.

References

- [1] G.G. GUNDERSEN, Meromorphic functions that share three or four values, J. London Math. Soc., 20 (1979), 457-466.
- [2] W.K. HAYMAN, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] H. UEDA, Unicity theorems for meromorphic or entire functions, Kodai Math. J., 3 (1980), 457-471.

- [4] HONG-XUN YI, Meromorphic functions that share three values, Chinese Ann. Math., 9A (1988), 434-439.
- [5] SHOU-ZHEN YE, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share three values, Kodai Math. J., 15 (1992), 236-243.
- [6] HONG-XUN YI, Meromorphic functions that share two or three values, Kodai Math. J., 13 (1990), 363-372.
- [7] HONG-XUN YI, Unicity theorems for meromorphic functions, J. Shandong Univ., 23 (1988), 15-22.
- [8] G. BROSCH, Eindeutigkeitssätze für meromorphe Funktionen, Thesis, Technical University of Aachen, 1989.
- [9] F. GROSS, On the distribution of values of meromorphic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 131 (1968), 199-214.
- [10] HONG-XUN YI, On a result of Gross and Yang, Tôhoku Math. J., 42 (1990), 419-428.
- [11] F. GROSS AND C.C. YANG, Moromorphic functions covering certain finite sets at the same points, Illinois J. Math., 26 (1982), 432-441.

Department of Mathematics Shandong University Jinan, Shandong, 250100 People's Republic of China