

## ON REAL HYPERSURFACES OF FINITE TYPE OF $CP^m$

BY A. MARTINEZ AND A. ROS

### §1. Introduction.

Let  $M$  be a closed Riemannian manifold and  $\Delta$  the Laplace-Beltrami operator of  $M$  acting on the smooth functions  $C^\infty(M)$ . It is well known that  $\Delta$  is an elliptic operator with a discrete sequence of eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k < \dots \uparrow \infty$ . Let  $V_k$  be the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ . Then  $V_k$  has finite dimension. Moreover the decomposition is orthogonal respect to the inner product

$$(1.1) \quad (f, g) = \int_M f g dV$$

and  $\sum_k V_k$  is dense in  $C^\infty(M)$ .

Let  $x : M \rightarrow E^m$  be an isometric immersion of  $M$  into the  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean space with coordinate functions  $x_i$ , that is,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . Then for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have the decomposition

$$(1.2) \quad x_i = \sum_k (x_i)_k \quad (L^2\text{-sense}).$$

As  $M$  is closed,  $V_0$  consists of the constant functions on  $M$  and so, from (1.2) we can write

$$(1.3) \quad x_i - (x_i)_0 = \sum_{k=p_i}^{q_i} (x_i)_k$$

where  $q_i = \{\text{Sup } k \mid (x_i)_k \neq 0\}$  (respectively,  $p_i = \{\text{Inf } k \mid (x_i)_k \neq 0\}$ ).

If  $p = \text{Inf}_i \{p_i\}$  and  $q = \text{Sup}_i \{q_i\}$  using (1.3) we obtain the following spectral decomposition (in a vector form)

$$(1.4) \quad x - x_0 = \sum_{k=p}^q x_k$$

where  $x_k : M \rightarrow E^m$  are smooth for any  $k$ ,  $q$  is an integer or  $q = \infty$ ,  $x_0$  is a constant and  $\Delta x_k = \lambda_k x_k$ .  $x_0$  is called center of gravity of  $M$ .

We shall say that the immersion  $x$  is of *finite type* if  $q < \infty$ . If not it will be called of *no finite type* [5].

An immersion  $x$  of finite type will be called *Mono-order* (*Bi-order*, *Tri-order*, ...) if there exists only one (two, three, ...) of the  $x_k$ 's that is (are) non null. If  $p=q$ ,  $x$  is called of *order*  $p$ .

Considering the isometric immersion of the complex projective space  $CP^m$  in an Euclidean space  $HM(m+1)$  given in [10], any submanifold of the complex projective space is isometrically immersed in  $HM(m+1)$ . In this paper we study the real hypersurfaces  $M$  of  $CP^m$  for which the immersion of  $M$  into  $HM(m+1)$  is Mono-order or Bi-order.

In §3 we classify the real hypersurfaces of  $CP^m$  for which the immersion in  $HM(m+1)$  is Mono-order. We also give a bound of the first eigenvalue of their spectrum.

In §4 we classify the minimal real hypersurfaces of  $CP^m$  for which the immersion in  $HM(m+1)$  is Bi-order. We prove a spectral inequality involving the first and second eigenvalues of the spectrum.

The manifolds are supposed to be connected and of real dimension  $\geq 2$  (if no other thing is mentioned).

For the necessary knowledge and notations of submanifold theory see [3, 4]. For the particular case of real hypersurfaces of  $CP^m$  see also [2, 6, 11] and for spectral geometry see [1].

**§2. The complex projective space.**

For details in this section see [8, 9, 10].

Let  $CP^m$  be the complex projective space obtained as a quotient space of the unit sphere  $S^{2m+1}(1) = \{Z \in C^{m+1} | z z^* = z \bar{z}^t = 1\}$  by identifying  $z$  with  $\lambda z$ ,  $\lambda \in C$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Let  $g$  be the canonical metric on  $CP^m$ , that is, the invariant metric such that the fibration  $\Pi : S^{2m+1}(1) \rightarrow CP^m$  is a Riemannian submersion. It is known that  $CP^m$  with this metric is a complex-space-form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 and its Riemannian curvature tensor is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z = & g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(JY, Z)JX \\ & - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z$  in  $TCP^m$ .

Let  $HM(m) = \{B \in gl(m, C) | \bar{B} = B^t\}$  with metric

$$(2.2) \quad g(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(AB) \quad \text{for any } A, B \in HM(m).$$

In [10], Sakamoto proves that the map  $\tilde{\psi} : S^{2m+1}(1) \rightarrow HM(m+1)$  given by

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\psi}(z) = z^* z = \bar{z}^t z \quad z \in S^{2m+1}(1)$$

induces an immersion  $\psi : CP^m \rightarrow HM(m+1)$  satisfying

- (A)  $\psi(CP^m) = \{B \in HM(m+1) | B^2 = B \text{ and } \text{trace } B = 1\}$ .
- (B)  $\psi$  is an equivariant full isometric imbedding into

$$H_1M(m+1) = \{B \in HM(m+1) \mid \text{trace } B = 1\}.$$

In the following (if nothing is mentioned) we shall consider  $CP^m$  identified with  $\phi(CP^m)$ .

Under this identification [8, 9] the tangent and normal spaces at each point  $B \in CP^m$  are given, respectively, by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} T_B CP^m &= \{X \in HM(m+1) \mid XB + BX = X\}, \\ T_B^\perp CP^m &= \{Z \in HM(m+1) \mid ZB = BZ\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $Q$  in  $HM(m+1)$ , the component of  $Q$  in  $T_B CP^m$  is

$$(2.5) \quad Q^\tau = QB + BQ - 2BQB = QB + BQ - 4g(B, Q)B$$

Moreover the complex structure  $J$  induced on  $CP^m$  by  $\phi$  is given by

$$(2.6) \quad JX = \sqrt{-1}(I - 2B)X$$

for any  $X \in T_B CP^m$ ,  $I$  being the identity matrix of  $HM(m+1)$ .

We shall denote by  $D$  the Riemannian connection of  $HM(m+1)$ , by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the one induced on  $CP^m$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$ ,  $\tilde{\nabla}^\perp$ ,  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{H}$ , respectively, the second fundamental form, the normal connection, the Weingarten endomorphism and the mean curvature vector of  $CP^m$  in  $HM(m+1)$ . Now, analogously as the case of holomorphic sectional curvature 1, [8, 9] we have

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(X, Y) = (XY + YX)(I - 2B), \quad \tilde{A}_Z X = (XZ - ZX)(I - 2B),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{H}_B = \frac{2}{m}(I - (m+1)B),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(JX, JY) = \tilde{\sigma}(X, Y),$$

for any  $X, Y$  in  $T_B CP^m$  and  $Z$  in  $T_B^\perp CP^m$ . From (2.9) we get

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{\sigma} = 0,$$

that is, the second fundamental form of the immersion is parallel, where  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\sigma})(Y, Z) = \tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp \tilde{\sigma}(Y, Z) - \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - \tilde{\sigma}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z)$  for any  $X, Y, Z \in TCP^m$ .

From the equation of Gauss, (2.1), (2.6) and (2.7) it also follows

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\tilde{\sigma}(X, Y), \tilde{\sigma}(V, W)) &= 2g(X, Y)g(V, W) + g(X, V)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(X, W)g(Y, V) + g(JX, V)g(JY, W) + g(JX, W)g(JY, V), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.12) \quad g(\tilde{\sigma}(X, Y), I) = 0, \quad g(\tilde{\sigma}(X, Y), B) = -g(X, Y),$$

for any  $X, Y, V, W \in T_B CP^m$ .



that is,  $\lambda$  is constant.

From (2.5), the component of  $Q$  in  $T_B CP^m$  is  $Q^\top = BQ + QB - 4g(B, Q)B$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 &= g(Q^\top, Q^\top) = g(Q^\top, Q) = g(QB + BQ - 4g(B, Q)B, Q) \\ &= 2g(Q^2, B) - 4g(B, Q)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.4) \quad g(B, Q^2) = \beta = \frac{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha^2}{2} = \text{constant}$$

for any  $B \in M$ .

As  $g(B, I) = \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $B \in CP^m$ ,  $M$  being a real hypersurface and  $\phi$  a full imbedding into  $H_1 M(m+1)$ , from (3.2) and (3.3) we get that  $Q, Q^2$  and  $I$  are linearly dependent vectors, that is, there exist  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$  real number such that

$$(3.5) \quad \theta_1 Q^2 + \theta_2 Q + \theta_3 I = 0.$$

Consequently

$$(3.6) \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \cdot(m_1) & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \lambda_2 & \\ & & & \cdot(m_2) \\ & & & & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R$$

Note from (3.1) that trace  $Q = 1$ . Then  $m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 = 1$ .

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , i.e.  $Q = \frac{1}{m+1} I$ , then from (3.1) it follows that  $M$  is minimal in  $CP^m$ . But it is known (Theorem 2.8, of [8]) that there exist no minimal real hypersurfaces in  $CP^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) which are minimal in some hypersphere of  $HM(m+1)$ . So  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  and the points of  $M$  satisfy the equation

$$(3.7) \quad \text{trace } QB = 2\alpha = \text{constant} \quad \text{for any } B \in M.$$

Let  $B = \phi(z) = z^* z$ , with  $\|z\|^2 = z z^* = 1$ , then (3.7) can be written in the form

$$(3.8) \quad |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_{m_1}|^2 = r = \text{constant}$$

with  $z = (z_0, \dots, z_{m_1}, \dots, z_m)$ .

Consequently, from (3.8)  $M$  will be locally congruent to a hypersurface of the type  $M_{p,q}(r_1, r_2) = \Pi(S^p(\sqrt{r_1}) \times S^q(\sqrt{r_2}))$  with  $r_1 + r_2 = 1, p + q = 2m$ .

In the following we see which  $M_{p,q}(r_1, r_2)$  are minimal in a hypersphere of  $HM(m+1)$ .

From (2.3)

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\phi}(z, w) = \begin{pmatrix} z^* \\ w^* \end{pmatrix} (z, w) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \bar{z}_i z_j & \bar{z}_i w_j \\ \hline \bar{w}_j z_i & \bar{w}_i w_j \end{array} \right),$$

where  $(z, w) \in S^p(\sqrt{r_1}) \times S^q(\sqrt{r_2}) \subset S^{2m+1}(1)$ .

Finally, from (3.9), the properties of  $\Delta$  and the fact that the fibres of  $\Pi : S^p(\sqrt{r_1}) \times S^q(\sqrt{r_2}) \rightarrow M_{p,q}(r_1, r_2)$  are totally geodesic it follows

$$(3.10) \quad \Delta x = -(2m-1)\bar{H} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{2(p+1)}{r_1} \bar{z}_i z_j - 4r_1 I & \left( \frac{p}{r_1} + \frac{q}{r_2} \right) \bar{z}_i w_j \\ \hline \left( \frac{p}{r_1} + \frac{q}{r_2} \right) \bar{w}_j z_i & \frac{2(q+1)}{r_2} \bar{w}_i w_j - 4r_2 I \end{array} \right),$$

if  $p, q > 1$ , and

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta x = -(2m-1)\bar{H} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \left( \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{2m-1}{r_2} \right) \bar{z}_0 w_i \\ \hline \left( \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{2m-1}{r_2} \right) \bar{w}_i z_0 & \frac{2(2m)}{r_2} \bar{w}_i w_j - 4r_2 I \end{array} \right),$$

if  $p=1$ , where  $x$  is the immersion of  $M_{p,q}(r_1, r_2)$  in  $HM(m+1)$  induced by  $\phi$ .

Thus from (3.1), (3.10) and (3.11) we can conclude that  $M_{p,q}(r_1, r_2)$  is minimal in a hypersphere of  $HM(m+1)$  if and only if  $p=1, q=2m-1, r_1 = \frac{1}{2(m+1)}, r_2 = \frac{2m+1}{2m+2}$ , which concludes the proof.

From Theorem 3.1 and the definition of Mono-order it follows

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a closed real hypersurface of  $CP^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ). Then the isometric immersion  $x : M \rightarrow HM(m+1)$  is Mono-order if and only if  $M$  is congruent to the geodesic hypersphere*

$$M_{1,2m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2m+2}, \frac{2m+1}{2m+2}\right) = \Pi\left(S^1\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2m+2}}\right) \times S^{2m-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2m+1}{2m+2}}\right)\right).$$

The following result is known

**THEOREM A [7].** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional closed Riemannian manifold and  $x : M^n \rightarrow E^m$  an isometric immersion of  $M$  into the Euclidean space. Then*

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{n} \text{vol}(M) \leq \int_M \|H\|^2 dV,$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $M$  is an order 1 submanifold of  $E^m$ ,  $H$  being the mean curvature vector of the immersion and  $\lambda_1$  the first spectral eigenvalue.

Using this result, (2.11) and (2.12) it follows

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a closed real hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . Then*

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda_1 \leq \frac{2m-1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M \|H\|^2 dV + \frac{4(2m^2-1)}{2m-1},$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector of  $M$  in  $CP^m$ . Moreover, the equality in (3.12) holds if and only if  $M$  is congruent to the geodesic hypersphere

$$M_{1, 2m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2m+2}, \frac{2m+1}{2m+2}\right).$$

*Remark.* From Theorem 2.8 of [8], if  $H=0$ , the equality in (3.12) never occurs.

**§ 4. Bi-order Immersions.**

Along this section  $M$  will be a minimal real hypersurface of  $CP^m$  and we shall denote by  $\bar{H}$  the mean curvature vector of  $M$  in  $HM(m+1)$ . Then as  $M$  is minimal in  $CP^m$ , from (2.8) it follows

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{H}_B = H_B^\perp = \frac{4}{2m-1}(I-(m+1)B) - \frac{1}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(N, N).$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $M$  be a minimal real hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta\bar{H}(B) = \frac{4}{2m-1}JAJN + \frac{8(2m+1)}{2m-1}(I-(m+1)B) - \frac{2(2m+2+\|\sigma\|^2)}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(N, N) + \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, AE_j),$$

where  $N$  is a unit normal vector field to  $M$  in  $CP^m$  and  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{2m-1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $TM$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{2m-1}\}$  be an orthonormal basis in  $TM$  such that  $(\nabla_{E_i}E_j)_B = 0$  for any  $i, j=1, \dots, 2m-1$ . Then from (2.10), (2.11) and (4.1),

$$(4.3) \quad (d\bar{H})(E_j) = -\frac{4(m+1)}{2m-1}E_j + \frac{2}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, N) + \frac{1}{2m-1}\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\sigma}(N, N)}E_j \\ = -\frac{2(2m+1)}{2m-1}E_j + \frac{2}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, N) + \frac{2}{2m-1}g(JN, E_j)JN.$$

Now from (4.3) and having in mind that  $(\nabla_{E_i}E_j)_B = 0$  it follows

$$\Delta\bar{H}(B) = -\sum_j D_{E_j}D_{E_j}\bar{H} = \sum_j D_{E_j}\left(\frac{2(2m+1)}{2m-1}E_j - \frac{2}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, N) - \frac{2}{2m-1}g(JN, E_j)JN\right) \\ = \frac{2(2m+1)}{2m-1}\bar{H} + \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j g(\phi AE_j, E_j)JN - \frac{2}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(JN, JN) + \frac{2}{2m-1}JAJN + \frac{2}{2m-1}\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, N)}E_j \\ - \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}(\sigma(E_j, AE_j), N) - \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}((\bar{\nabla}_{E_j}A)E_j, N)$$

$$+\frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, AE_j).$$

From the above expression, (2.10), (2.11) and the fact that  $M$  is minimal in  $CP^m$ , we conclude

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta\bar{H}(B) &= \frac{8(2m+1)}{2m-1}(I-(m+1)B) - \frac{2(2m+1)}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(N, N) - \frac{2}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(N, N) \\ &+ \frac{2}{2m-1}JAJN + \frac{2}{2m-1}JAJN - \frac{2}{2m-1}\|\sigma\|^2\tilde{\sigma}(N, N) \\ &+ \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, AE_j) - \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}((\bar{\nabla}_{E_j}A)E_j, N). \end{aligned}$$

From the equation of Codazzi of  $M$  in  $CP^m$  it is easy to see that

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_j \tilde{\sigma}((\bar{\nabla}_{E_j}A)E_j, N) = 0.$$

Consequently, from (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\bar{H}(B) &= \frac{4}{2m-1}JAJN + \frac{8(2m+1)}{2m-1}(I-(m+1)B) \\ &- \frac{2(2m+2+\|\sigma\|^2)}{2m-1}\tilde{\sigma}(N, N) + \frac{2}{2m-1}\sum_j \tilde{\sigma}(AE_j, AE_j), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $M$  be a minimal hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . Then*

- i)  $g(B, B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;
- ii)  $g(B, \bar{H}) = -1$ ,
- iii)  $g(B, \Delta\bar{H}) = \frac{4(1-2m^2)}{2m-1}$ ,
- iv)  $g(\bar{H}, \bar{H}) = \frac{4(2m^2-1)}{(2m-1)^2}$ ,
- v)  $g(\Delta\bar{H}, \bar{H}) = \frac{8(m+1)(4m^2-2m-1)+4\|\sigma\|^2-4\|AJN\|^2}{(2m-1)^2}$ .

*Proof.* It follows easily from (2.11), (2.12) and (4.2).

DEFINITION 4.3. Let  $x : M^n \rightarrow E^m$  be an isometric immersion of a closed Riemannian manifold into the Euclidean space with mean curvature vector  $H$ .  $x$  is called of order  $\{k_1, k_2\}$ , [9], if it is of the form

$$(4.6) \quad x - x_0 = x_{k_1} + x_{k_2}$$

for some  $k_1, k_2$ .

It is easy to see that  $x$  is of order  $\{k_1, k_2\}$  if and only if



$$(4.7) \quad \Delta H = aH + b(x - x_0)$$

for some  $a, b \in R$ ; [9].

Note that  $k_1 \neq k_2$  if and only if  $x$  is Bi-order. Moreover  $a=0$  if and only if  $x$  is Mono-order.

As  $M$  cannot be Mono-order in  $HM(m+1)$  (Theorem 2.8 of [8]) it follows that the immersion  $x : M \rightarrow HM(m+1)$  is of order  $\{k_1, k_2\}$  if and only if (4.7) holds with  $a, b \neq 0$ .

In the following we study the minimal real hypersurfaces of  $CP^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) satisfying

$$(*) \quad \Delta \bar{H}(B) = a\bar{H} + b(B - Q) \quad \text{for any } B \in M$$

$a, b \in R$ ,  $a, b \neq 0$ ,  $Q$  being a constant, for which we need to prove

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $M^n$  be a complex submanifold of  $CP^m$  of complex dimension  $n$ . If for any unit normal vector to  $M^n$  in  $CP^m$ ,  $\xi$ , the Weingarten endomorphism,  $A_\xi$ , has at most four principal curvatures, which are constants on  $M^n$ , then  $M^n$  has parallel second fundamental form in  $CP^m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be a unit normal vector field to  $M$  in  $CP^m$  such that  $(\nabla^\perp \xi)(B) = 0$  for some fixed point  $B \in M$ , where  $\nabla^\perp$  is the normal connection on  $M$ .

As  $M$  is a complex submanifold, the eigenvalues of  $A_\xi$  are  $\lambda, \mu, -\lambda, -\mu$ , for some  $\lambda, \mu \in R$ . Let  $V_\lambda$  and  $V_\mu$  be the distributions of the eigenspaces of  $A_\xi$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively. If  $\{E_1, \dots, E_p\}, \{E_{p+1}, \dots, E_n\}$  are local basis of orthonormal vector fields of  $V_\lambda$  and  $V_\mu$ , respectively, then  $\{JE_1, \dots, JE_p\}, \{JE_{p+1}, \dots, JE_n\}$  are local basis of orthonormal vector fields of the distributions  $V_{-\lambda}$  and  $V_{-\mu}$ , respectively.

Let  $X \in TM$  and  $i, j=1, \dots, p$ . Then as  $\lambda$  is constant

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X(g(A_\xi E_i, E_j)) = g((\bar{\nabla}_X A)_\xi E_i, E_j) + g(A_\xi \nabla_X E_i, E_j) \\ &\quad + g(A_\xi E_i, \nabla_X E_j) = g((\bar{\nabla}_X A)_\xi E_i, E_j) + \lambda(g(\nabla_X E_i, E_j) \\ &\quad + g(E_i, \nabla_X E_j)) = g((\bar{\nabla}_X A)_\xi E_i, E_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the commutativity properties of  $\bar{\nabla}A$  and  $J$ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad g((\bar{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y, Z) = 0,$$

for all  $X \in T_B M$ ,  $Y, Z \in V_\lambda(B) \oplus V_{-\lambda}(B)$ .

In the same way

$$(4.9) \quad g((\bar{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y, Z) = 0,$$

for all  $X \in T_B M$ ,  $Y, Z \in V_\mu(B) \oplus V_{-\mu}(B)$ .

Finally if  $X, Y, Z \in T_B M$ , taking orthogonal projection on  $V_\lambda(B) \oplus V_{-\lambda}(B)$  and  $V_\mu(B) \oplus V_{-\mu}(B)$ , from (4.8), (4.9) and Codazzi equation, we conclude the Lemma.



$$(4.15) \quad \Delta \bar{H}(B) = a\bar{H} + b\left(B - \frac{1}{m+1}I\right) \quad \text{for any } B \in M, a, b \in R, a, b \neq 0.$$

By equaling the tangent components of (4.15) we obtain, from (4.2)

$$(4.16) \quad AJN = 0.$$

From (4.16) and using the Codazzi equation for the immersion of  $M$  in  $CP^m$  we have

$$(4.17) \quad g(A\phi AX, Y) = g(\phi X, Y), \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in TM.$$

On the other hand, multiplying scalarly by  $\tilde{\sigma}(X, Y)$  in (4.15), we get, from (2.11),

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &4g(AX, AY) + 4g(A\phi X, A\phi Y) \\ &= \{2a(2m+1) - (2m-1)b - 8(2m+1)(m+1)\}g(X, Y) \\ &\quad + 4\{2m+2 + \|\sigma\|^2 - 2a\}g(X, JN)g(Y, JN), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y \in TM$ . In particular, if  $X \in TM$  and  $g(X, JN) = 0$ , from (4.16) and (4.18) we have

$$(4.19) \quad A^2X - JA^2JX = \lambda X,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real constant. From (4.17) and (4.19) we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad A^4X - \lambda A^2X + X = 0,$$

for any  $X \in TM$  with  $g(X, JN) = 0$ .

Consequently, from (4.16) and (4.20) we conclude that

$$(4.21) \quad A = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} \alpha & & & & \\ & \alpha & & & \\ & & \beta & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & \beta & \\ & & & & -\alpha & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -\alpha & \\ & & & & & & -\beta & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & -\beta & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

respect to certain orthonormal basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}, JX_1, \dots, JX_{m-1}, JN\}$  of  $TM$ , where  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \lambda$  and  $\alpha^2\beta^2 = 1$ . Then if  $\alpha = \beta$ , from (4.21) we have that  $m$  is even and  $M$  is locally congruent to  $M_{m,m}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  (see [11]). If  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , as  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants on  $M$  and  $JN$  is principal with principal curvature 0, from Prop-

osition 3.1 and Theorem 1 in [2], and taking into account Lemma 4.4, we see that  $M$  is locally congruent to a minimal tube of radius  $\frac{\Pi}{4}$  on the complex quadric, embedded as a complex hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . But it is known that there are not tubes of the above radius on the complex quadric. So this last possibility cannot occur.

Case ii)  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . As in the Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $M$  is locally congruent to a hypersurface of the type  $M_{p,q}(r_1, r_2)$ . From (3.10) and (3.11) we see that the only minimal submanifold of the above type with  $Q \neq \frac{1}{m+1}I$ , which is Bi-order in  $HM(m+1)$  is  $M_{1,2m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2m-1}, \frac{2m-2}{2m-1}\right)$ .

Note that  $M_{1,2m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2m-1}, \frac{2m-2}{2m-1}\right)$  and  $M_{m,m}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  are submanifolds of order  $\{1, 2\}$  in  $HM(m+1)$ . This concludes the proof.

The following result is due to B.Y. Chen and A. Ros, independently, see [5] and [9].

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $x : M^n \rightarrow E^m$  be an isometric immersion of a compact manifold into the Euclidean space. Then*

$$\int_M (n^2 g(\Delta H, H) - n^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) g(H, H) - n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 g(x, H)) dV \geq 0$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $x$  is of order  $\{1, 2\}$ .

As an application of Theorem B, using Lemma 4.2 we obtain

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $M$  be a compact minimal real hypersurface immersed in  $CP^m$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (8(m+1)(4m^2 - 2m - 1) - 4(2m^2 - 1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + (2m - 1)\lambda_1 \lambda_2) \text{vol}(M) \\ & \geq 4 \int_M (\|AJN\|^2 - \|\sigma\|^2) dV \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $M$  is either i) or ii) in Theorem 4.5.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER, P. GAUDUCHON AND E. MAZET. Le spectre d'une variété Riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 194, Springer Verlag. Berlin 1971.
- [2] T.E. CECIL AND P.I. RYAN. Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 193, No. 2 (1982) 481-499.
- [3] B.Y. CHEN. Geometry of Submanifolds, M. Dekker, New-York, 1973.
- [4] B.Y. CHEN. Geometry of submanifolds and its applications, Science University of Tokyo, 1981.

- [ 5 ] B. Y. CHEN. On the total curvature of immersed manifolds, VI; Submanifolds of finite Type and their applications, Bull. Math. Acad. Sinica, 11, No. 3, (1983) 309-328.
- [ 6 ] M. KON. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in complex-space-forms, J. Differential Geometry, 14 (1979), 339-354.
- [ 7 ] R. C. REILLY. On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space, Comm. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), 525-533.
- [ 8 ] A. ROS. Spectral geometry of CR-minimal submanifolds in the complex projective space, Kodai Math. J., 6 (1983), 88-99.
- [ 9 ] A. ROS. On spectral geometry of Kaehler submanifolds, To appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [10] K. SAKAMOTO. Planar geodesic immersions, Tohoku Math. J., 29 (1977), 25-56.
- [11] R. TAKAGI. Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27, No. 4 (1975), 507-516.

DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRIA Y TOPOLOGIA  
FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS  
UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA  
GRANADA (SPAIN)