

SOME REMARKS ON THE RELATIVE GENUS FIELDS

BY KOICHI TAKASE

§1. Introduction.

Let k be a finite algebraic number field and K its finite extension. We denote by K^* the maximal abelian extension of k such that the composite field K^*K is unramified over K at all the finite or infinite primes, and the field K^*K is called the genus field of k with respect of k . (If K^* were defined as the maximal abelian extension of k such that K^*K was unramified over K at all the finite primes, the field K^*K was called the narrow genus field of K . We do not treat the narrow genus field in this paper.)

The field K^* is explicitly determined when k is the rational number field (see M. Ishida [5], [6] or M. Bhaskaran [1]). In §3 of this paper we discuss the fundamental structure of K^* for general k . In §4 we treat, as an example, the case of k =quadratic field of class number one in which 2 remains prime and $(K:k)=2$.

In §5 we prove the following theorem; let k be a finite algebraic number field of class number one, G any finite abelian group, and m a positive integer such that $ex(G)|m$ and $m||G|^\infty$. Then there exist infinitely many cyclic extensions F of k of degree m such that

$$C_F/C_F^{1-\sigma} \cong G(F^*/F) \cong G.$$

This paper contains the author's master thesis at Tokyo Institute of Technology (1981, March).

§2. Definitions.

Let k be a finite algebraic number field and K its finite extension. We denote by K^* the maximal abelian extension of k such that K^*K is unramified over K at all the finite or infinite primes. By the class field theory, K^* is the maximal abelian extension of k in the Hilbert class field of K , and $K^* \cap K$ is the maximal abelian extension of k in K . Throughout this paper the following notations are used;

- O_k : the integer ring of k
- U_k : the unit group of k

Received November 5, 1981

- $\phi(\mathfrak{a})$: the Euler function of k
- $U_k(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\varepsilon \in U_k \mid \varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}\}$, for an integral ideal \mathfrak{a} of k
- $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$: the completion of k at a finite or infinite prime \mathfrak{p} of k
- k_A^\times : the idele group of k into which we embed k^\times and $k_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ in usual way
- $k^{(1)}$ the Hilbert class field of k
- $G(K/k)$: the Galois group of Galois extension K/k
- $\mathfrak{f}(K/k)$: the conductor of abelian extension K/k .

§ 3. Structure of genus field.

Let k be a finite algebraic number field, K its finite extension, and fix them. For a finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k , we put

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_r^{e_r} \quad (\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_r: \text{distinct primes of } K, e_j > 0)$$

$$e_K(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{g. c. d. } \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}, \quad g_k(\mathfrak{p}) = \phi(\mathfrak{p}) / (U_k : U_k(\mathfrak{p})),$$

$$d_K(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{g. c. d. } \{e_K(\mathfrak{p}), g_k(\mathfrak{p})\}.$$

Let $S(\mathfrak{p})$ be the ray class field modulo \mathfrak{p} of k . Then $S(\mathfrak{p})/k^{(1)}$ is a cyclic extension of degree $g_k(\mathfrak{p})$, and we put

$$k(\mathfrak{p}): \text{unique intermediate field of } S(\mathfrak{p})/k^{(1)} \text{ such that } (k(\mathfrak{p}) : k^{(1)}) = d_K(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Then we have

LEMMA 1. $k(\mathfrak{p}) \subset K^*$ for any finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k .

Proof. This lemma is proved in [4]. Another proof using Abhyanker's lemma is given in [3].

We define two subfield K_1^* and K_2^* of K^* by

$$K_1^* = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} k(\mathfrak{p}), \quad K_2^* = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} T(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs over all finite primes of k such that $e_K(\mathfrak{p}) \mid g_k(\mathfrak{p})$, and $T(\mathfrak{p})$ is the inertia field of \mathfrak{p} in K^*/k . Notice that, for distinct finite primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ of k , the fields $k(\mathfrak{p}_1), \dots, k(\mathfrak{p}_r)$ are linearly disjoint over $k^{(1)}$. Then we have

THEOREM 2.

$$K_1^* \cap K_2^* = k^{(1)}, \quad K^* = K_1^* K_2^*.$$

Proof. Because the primes of k which are ramified in K_1^* are unramified in K_2^* , the field $K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ is an unramified abelian extension of k . Hence we have $K_1^* \cap K_2^* = k^{(1)}$, since $K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ contains $k^{(1)}$.

Because K^*K/k is unramified, we have $e_{K^*}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid e_K(\mathfrak{p})$ for any finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k . Then we have the following inequalities from which the equality

$K^* = K_1^* K_2^*$ follows;

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1^* : k^{(1)}) &= (K_1^* K_2^* : K_2^*) \leq (K^* : K_2^*) \leq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (K^* : T(\mathfrak{p})) \\ &\leq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (k(\mathfrak{p}) : k^{(1)}) = (K_1^* : k^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

On the conductor of abelian extension K^*/k , we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that K is a normal extension of k . Then $\mathfrak{f}(K^*/k) = \mathfrak{f}(K^* \cap K/k)$. (Notice that the field $K^* \cap K$ is the maximal abelian extension of k in K .)*

Proof. Put $U = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}} U_{\mathfrak{P}}$ the unit idele group of K , where \mathfrak{P} runs over all finite or infinite primes of K and $U_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is the unit group of $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Then, by the class field theory, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^* &= \text{the class field of } k \text{ corresponding to } k^\times N_{K/k} U, \\ K^* \cap K &= \text{the class field of } k \text{ corresponding to } k^\times N_{K/k} K_A^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Since K is normal over k , we have

$$N_{K/k} U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} N_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}} U_{\mathfrak{P}}, \quad N_{K/k} K_A^\times = k_A^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} N_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs over all the finite or infinite primes of k , \mathfrak{P} is any one of the primes of K lying over \mathfrak{p} , and $N_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$ is the norm from $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ to $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Because the inverse image of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by $N_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$ is contained in $U_{\mathfrak{P}}$, we have $\mathfrak{f}(K^*/k) = \mathfrak{f}(K^* \cap K/k)$.

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose that K is a normal extension of k . Then $K_* = K_1^*$ if and only if $K^* \cap K/k$ is unramified at the infinite primes and $e_K(\mathfrak{p}) | g_k(\mathfrak{p})$ for any finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k ramified in $K^* \cap K$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ be the finite primes of k such that $e_K(\mathfrak{p}_j) | g_k(\mathfrak{p}_j)$ and $e_K(\mathfrak{p}_j) > 1$. Then we have $\mathfrak{f}(K_1^*/k) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$. Because $\mathfrak{f}(K_1^*/k)$ and $\mathfrak{f}(K_2^*/k)$ are relatively prime and K^* is the composite field of K_1^* and K_2^* , we have $\mathfrak{f}(K^*/k) = \mathfrak{f}(K_1^*/k) \mathfrak{f}(K_2^*/k)$. Because $K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ is equal to $k^{(1)}$ and K_2^* contains $k^{(1)}$, $K^* = K_1^*$ if and only if $\mathfrak{f}(K_2^*/k) = 1$, that is, if and only if $\mathfrak{f}(K^*/k) | \mathfrak{f}(K_1^*/k)$. Hence, because of Theorem 3, $K^* = K_1^*$ if and only if $\mathfrak{f}(K^* \cap K/k) | \mathfrak{f}(K_1^*/k)$, and only-if-part of the assertion is proved.

If $K^* \cap K/k$ is unramified at the infinite primes and $e_K(\mathfrak{p}) | g_k(\mathfrak{p})$ for any finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k which is ramified in $K^* \cap K/k$, $K^* \cap K$ is tamely ramified over k at the finite primes and hence $\mathfrak{f}(K^* \cap K/k)$ is square-free. Because the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ includes the prime factors of $\mathfrak{f}(K^* \cap K/k)$ by hypothesis, we have $\mathfrak{f}(K^* \cap K/k) | \mathfrak{f}(K_1^*/k)$.

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose that K is an abelian extension of k which is unramified over k at the infinite primes and that there exists only one finite prime*

\mathfrak{p} of k such that $e_K(\mathfrak{p}) \nmid g_k(\mathfrak{p})$. Then we have

$$K^* = K_1^* K, \quad K_1^* \cap K = \text{the inertia field of } \mathfrak{p} \text{ in } K/k.$$

Proof. Since \mathfrak{p} is unique prime of k which may be ramified in K_2^* , \mathfrak{p} is totally ramified in $K_2^*/k^{(1)}$. Because K^*K is unramified over K , we have $(K_2^*: k^{(1)}) | e_K(\mathfrak{p})$. As \mathfrak{p} is unramified in $K_1^* \cap K$, we have

$$K_1^* \cap K \subset T = \text{the inertia field of } \mathfrak{p} \text{ in } K/k.$$

Therefore we have the following inequalities from which our assertion follows;

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1^*: k) &= (K_1^* K : K)(K_1^* \cap K : k) \\ &\leq (K^* : K)(T : k) \\ &= (K_1^* : k^{(1)})(K_2^* : k^{(1)})(k^{(1)} : k)/(K : T) \leq (K_1^* : k). \end{aligned}$$

In Proposition 5 the uniqueness of prime \mathfrak{p} of k such that $e_K(\mathfrak{p}) \nmid g_k(\mathfrak{p})$ is indispensable as the following example shows:

EXAMPLE. Put $k = Q(\sqrt{-11})$. The polynomial $f(X) = X^3 - 3X - 1$ is irreducible over k . Let α be a root of $f(X) = 0$ and put $K = k(\alpha)$. Then K is a cyclic extension of k of degree 3 and the relative discriminant of K over k is $D(K/k) = 3^4$. The prime factors $D(K/k)$ in k are $1 + \omega$ and ω where $\omega = (1 + \sqrt{-11})/2$. Since $g_k(1 + \omega) = g_k(\omega) = 1$, $e_K(1 + \omega) = e_K(\omega) = 3$, we have

$$K_1^* = k.$$

On the other hand, by the genus number formula proved in [2], we have

$$(K^* : k) = 9.$$

For the latter use, we prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 6. Let L and M be finite extension of k such that $(L : k)$ and $(M : k)$ are relatively prime. Then we have $(LM)^* = L^* M^*$.

Proof. Put $K = LM$. The inclusion $L^* M^* \subset K^*$ is obvious. We have to prove that any finite abelian extension F of k such that FK is unramified over K is contained in $L^* M^*$. We can suppose that $(F : k)$ is a power of a rational prime l and the F is ramified over k . Then, as FK is unramified over K , we have $l | (K : k)$ and hence $l | (L : k)$ or $l | (M : k)$. Suppose $l | (L : k)$. Since $(FL : L) = (F : F \cap L)$ is a power of l , the ramification index in FL/L of the finite primes of L are power of l . Because FK is unramified over K and $l \nmid (M : k) = (K : L)$, FL is unramified over L and so $F \subset L^* \subset L^* M^*$.

§ 4. Examples.

Let k be a finite algebraic number field of class number one in which 2 remains prime. Let $(k:Q)=n$ and $\{\omega_j^2 \ (j=1, 2, \dots, 2^n-1)\}$ be a system of complete representatives of the squares of the multiplicative group of $O_k/(4)$ (its order is easily shown to be 2^n-1). Let m be a square-free integer of k and put $K=k(\sqrt{m})$. We define an integer θ of K by

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (\omega_j + \sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4} \text{ for some } j \\ \sqrt{m} & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 7. O_K is a free O_k -module with base $\{1, \theta\}$, and the relative discriminant of K over k is given by

$$D(K/k) = \begin{cases} m & : m \equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4} \text{ for some } j \\ 4m & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use the following fact; for integers a, b and c of k , the equation

$$a^2 - b^2c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

is equivalent to $a \equiv b\omega_j \pmod{2}$ if $c \equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4}$ for some j , and to $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ if $c \not\equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4}$ for any j . Because m is a square-free integer of k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} O_K &= \{a + b\sqrt{m} \mid a, b \in k \text{ such that } 2a \in O_k, a^2 - b^2m \in O_k\} \\ &= \{(a + b\sqrt{m})/2 \mid a, b \in O_k \text{ such that } a^2 - b^2m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m \equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4}$ for some j , we have by above remark

$$\begin{aligned} O_K &= \{(a - b\omega_j)/2 + b(\omega_j + \sqrt{m})/2 \mid a, b \in O_k \text{ such that } a \equiv b\omega_j \pmod{2}\} \\ &= \{a + b\theta \mid a, b \in O_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m \not\equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4}$ for any j , we have

$$O_K = \{a + b\sqrt{m} \mid a, b \in O_k\}.$$

We have

$$K_1^* = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} k(\mathfrak{p}), \quad K_2^* = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} T(\mathfrak{p})$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs over the prime factors of $D(K/k)$ in k such that $2 \mid g_k(\mathfrak{p})$, and $T(\mathfrak{p})$ is the inertia field of \mathfrak{p} in K^*/k . For a prime factor \mathfrak{p} of $D(K/k)$ in k such that $2 \mid g_k(\mathfrak{p})$, $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is a quadratic extension of k , and by Lemma 7, $k(\mathfrak{p}) = k(\sqrt{\pi})$ where π is a generator of \mathfrak{p} such that $\pi \equiv \omega_j^2 \pmod{4}$ for some j and satisfies conditions on its signature (if necessary).

We treat more explicitly the case of k =quadratic field below.

1) Let k be a imaginary quadratic field of class number one in which 2 remains prime, that is, $k=Q(\sqrt{D})$ where $D=-3, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163$, and put $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{D})/2$. Then $\{a+b\omega|a, b=0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a system of complete representatives of O_k modulo 4. There are only three representatives which are prime to 2 and are congruent modulo 4 to squares, and they are named as in the following table:

D \ name	ω_1	ω_2	ω_3
- 3, - 19 -67, -163	1	$\omega \equiv (1+\omega)^2$	$3+3\omega \equiv \omega^2$
-11, - 43	1	$2+\omega \equiv (1+\omega)^2$	$1+3\omega \equiv \omega^2$

Let m be a square-free integer of k and put $K=k(\sqrt{m})$. Let θ be an integer of K defined by

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (1+\sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_1 \pmod{4} \\ (1+\omega+\sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4} \\ (\omega+\sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{m} & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 7, O_k is a free O_k -module with base $\{1, \theta\}$ and the relative discriminant of K over k is given by

$$D(K/k) = \begin{cases} m & : m \equiv \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \pmod{4} \\ 4m & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k , we have

$$k(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} k(\sqrt{\pi}) & : \text{if } \mathfrak{p}=(\pi) \text{ where } \pi \equiv \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \pmod{4} \\ k & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLE 1. Put $k=Q(\sqrt{-11}), K=k(\sqrt{5})$. Because 5 is a square-free integer of k and $5 \equiv \omega_1 \pmod{4}$, we have $D(K/k)=5$. The prime factors of $D(K/k)$ in k are $1-\omega$ and $2+\omega$. Since $g_k(1-\omega)=g_k(2+\omega)=2$ and $1-\omega \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4}, 2+\omega \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4}$, we have by Corollary 4

$$K^* = K_1^* = k(\sqrt{1-\omega}, \sqrt{2+\omega}).$$

EXAMPLE 2. Put $k=Q(\sqrt{-3}), K=k(\sqrt{26})$. Because 26 is a square-free integer of k and $26 \not\equiv \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \pmod{4}$, we have $D(K/k)=2^3 \cdot 13$. The prime

factors of $D(K/k)$ in k are $2, 3-\omega$ and $4+\omega$. Since $g_k(2)=1, g_k(3-\omega)=g_k(4+\omega)=2$ and $3-\omega \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4}, 4+\omega \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4}$, we have by Proposition 5

$$K^* = K_1^* K = k(\sqrt{26}, \sqrt{3-\omega}, \sqrt{4+\omega}).$$

2) There are ten real quadratic field of discriminant less than 100 of class number one in which 2 remains prime, that is, $Q(\sqrt{D})$ where $D=5, 13, 21, 29, 37, 53, 61, 69, 77, 93$. Let k be one of the ten real quadratic fields and put $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{D})/2$. Then $\{a+b\omega \mid a, b=0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a system of complete representatives of O_k modulo 4. There are only three representatives of O_k modulo 4 which are prime to 2 and are congruent modulo 4 to squares, and they are named as in the following table

D	name	ω_1	ω_2	ω_3
5, 21, 37 53, 69		1	$2+\omega \equiv (1+\omega)^2$	$1+3\omega \equiv \omega^2$
13, 29, 61 77, 93		1	$\omega \equiv (1+\omega)^2$	$3+3\omega \equiv \omega^2$

Let m be a square-free integer of k and put $K = k(\sqrt{m})$. Let θ be an integer of K defined by

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (1+\sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_1 \pmod{4} \\ (1+\omega+\sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4} \\ (\omega+\sqrt{m})/2 & : m \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{m} & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 7, O_K is a free O_k -module with base $\{1, \theta\}$ and the relative discriminant of K over k is given by

$$D(K/k) = \begin{cases} m & : m \equiv \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \pmod{4} \\ 4m & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k , we have

$$k(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} k(\sqrt{\pi}) & : \text{if } \mathfrak{p} = (\pi) \text{ where } \pi \equiv \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } \pi \geq 0 \\ k & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\pi \geq 0$ means that π is totally positive.

EXAMPLE 3. Put $k = Q(\sqrt{13}), K = k(\sqrt{53})$. Because 53 is a square-free integer of k and $53 \equiv \omega_1 \pmod{4}$, we have $D(K/k) = 53$. The prime factors of $D(K/k)$ in k are $7-\omega$ and $8+\omega$. Since $g_k(7-\omega) = g_k(8+\omega) = 2$ (see the tables at the end of this §), and $7-\omega \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4}, 8+\omega \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4}, 7-\omega \geq 0, 8+\omega \geq 0$,

we have by Corollary 4

$$K^* = K_1^* = k(\sqrt{7-\omega}, \sqrt{8+\omega}).$$

EXAMPLE 4. Put $k = Q(\sqrt{29})$, $K = k(\sqrt{10})$. Because 10 is a square-free integer of k and $10 \not\equiv \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \pmod{4}$, we have $D(K/k) = 2^5$. The prime factors of $D(K/k)$ in k are $2, 4+\omega$, and $3-\omega$. Since $g_k(2) = 1$, $g_k(4+\omega) = g_k(3-\omega) = 2$, and $4+\omega \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4}$, $3-\omega \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4}$, $4+\omega \geq 0$, $3-\omega \geq 0$, we have by Proposition 5

$$K^* = K_1^* K = k(\sqrt{10}, \sqrt{4+\omega}, \sqrt{3-\omega}).$$

EXAMPLE 5. Put $k = Q(\sqrt{53})$, $K = k(\sqrt{221})$. Because 221 is a square-free integer of k and $221 \equiv \omega_1 \pmod{4}$, we have $D(K/k) = 13 \cdot 17$. The prime factors of $D(K/k)$ in k are $13+3\omega, 17+4\omega, 5-\omega$, and $6+\omega$. Since $g_k(13+3\omega) = g_k(17+4\omega) = g_k(5-\omega) = g_k(6+\omega) = 2$, and $13+3\omega \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4}$, $17+4\omega \equiv \omega_1 \pmod{4}$, $5-\omega \equiv \omega_3 \pmod{4}$, $6+\omega \equiv \omega_2 \pmod{4}$, $13+3\omega \geq 0$, $17+4\omega \geq 0$, $5-\omega \geq 0$, $6+\omega \geq 0$, we have

$$K^* = K_1^* = k(\sqrt{13+3\omega}, \sqrt{17+4\omega}, \sqrt{5-\omega}, \sqrt{6+\omega}).$$

Let L be the genus field of K with respect to the rational number field, that is, the maximal abelian extension of Q such that KL/K is unramified. Then we have by the genus number formula

$$(L : Q) \leq 2^3 \text{ i.e. } (L : k) \leq 2^2$$

On the other hand, we have $(K^* : k) = 2^4$ and hence $L \not\subseteq K^*$.

Tables.

Table of $g_k(p)$ and prime elements of k above each rational primes. (Blanks mean that the rational prime remains prime in k .)

a) $k = Q(\sqrt{5})$, $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, fundamental unit $= (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1 + \omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29			
			$2-\omega$		$3-\omega$	$4+\omega$		$4-\omega$	$5+\omega$	$5-\omega$	$6+\omega$		
$g_k(p)$	1	1	1	3	1	1	$2 \cdot 3$	2^3	1	1	11	2	2

	31	37	41	43	47	53	59			
	$7+2\omega$	$5-2\omega$	$6-\omega$	$7+\omega$			$9+2\omega$	$7-2\omega$		
	1	1	$2 \cdot 3^2$	1	1	$3 \cdot 7$	$3 \cdot 23$	$2 \cdot 13$	1	1

b) $k = Q(\sqrt{13})$, $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{13})/2$, fundamental unit $= (3 + \sqrt{13})/2 = 2 + \omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23			
		ω	$1+\omega$				$1+2\omega$	$4-\omega$	$5+\omega$	$1-3\omega$	$4+3\omega$	
$g_k(p)$	1	1	1	2	3	$3 \cdot 5$	3	1	1	3^2	1	1

29	31	37	41	43	47	53	59	61				
$2+3\omega$	$1+3\omega$			$1-4\omega$	$5+4\omega$	$7-\omega$	$8+\omega$	$8-3\omega$	$11+3\omega$			
1	1	$3\cdot 5$	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5$	1	1	23	2	2	$5\cdot 29$	2	2

c) $k=Q(\sqrt{29})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{29})/2$, fundamental unit= $(5+\sqrt{29})/2=3+\omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23				
			$1-\omega$	$2+\omega$	ω	$1+\omega$	$4-\omega$	$5+\omega$	$5-\omega$	$6+\omega$			
$g_k(p)$	1	1	2	2	1	1	5	1	1	2^3	3^2	1	1

29	31	37	41	43	47	53	59	61		
$1+2\omega$					$5+3\omega$	$2-\omega$	$1-3\omega$	$4+3\omega$		
7	$3\cdot 5$	$2\cdot 3^2$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5$	$3\cdot 7$	23	1	1	1	1	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5$

d) $k=Q(\sqrt{37})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{37})/2$, fundamental unit= $6+\sqrt{37}=7+2\omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29			
		$2-\omega$	$3+\omega$	$1-\omega$	$2+\omega$	$4-\omega$	$5+\omega$						
$g_k(p)$	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	$2\cdot 3$	2^3	3^2	11	$2\cdot 5\cdot 7$

31	37	41	43	47	53	59	61			
$1+2\omega$	$8+3\omega$	$5-3\omega$		$7-\omega$	$8+\omega$	$4-3\omega$	$7+3\omega$			
$3\cdot 5$	3^2	1	1	$3\cdot 7$	1	1	1	1	29	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5$

e) $k=Q(\sqrt{53})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{53})/2$, fundamental unit= $(7+\sqrt{53})/2=4+\omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23				
			$2-\omega$	$3+\omega$	$1-\omega$	$2+\omega$	ω	$1+\omega$	$5-\omega$	$6+\omega$			
$g_k(p)$	1	1	2	3	3	1	1	2	2	2	2	3^2	$3\cdot 11$

29	31	37	41	43	47	53				
$6-\omega$	$7+\omega$	$5+2\omega$	$3-2\omega$	$7-\omega$	$8+\omega$	$7-3\omega$	$10+3\omega$	$1+2\omega$		
1	1	$3\cdot 5$	1	1	$2^2\cdot 5$	3	3	1	1	13

f) $k=Q(\sqrt{61})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{61})/2$, fundamental unit= $(39+5\sqrt{61})/2=22+5\omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23			
		$4+\omega$	$3-\omega$	$4-\omega$	$5+\omega$	$1-\omega$	$2+\omega$	$11-3\omega$	$14+3\omega$			
$g_k(p)$	1	1	1	1	3	5	$2\cdot 3$	$2\cdot 3$	2^3	1	1	$3\cdot 11$

29	31	37	41		43	47		53	59	61	67
			$7-\omega$	$8+\omega$		$11+3\omega$	$8-3\omega$			$1+2\omega$	
$2^2 \cdot 7$	$3 \cdot 15$	$2 \cdot 3^2$	2^2	2^2	$3 \cdot 7$	1	1	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13$	$5 \cdot 29$	$3 \cdot 5$?

g) $k=Q(\sqrt{21})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{21})/2$, fundamental unit= $(5+\sqrt{21})/2=3+\omega$

	2	3	5		7	11	13	17		19	23	29	31
		$1-\omega$	$1+\omega$	ω	$3-\omega$			$3+2\omega$	$1-2\omega$				
$g_k(p)$	1	1	1	1	3	$2 \cdot 5$	$2^2 \cdot 3$	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 3^2$	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$

	37		41		43		47		53	59		61	
	$6-\omega$	$7+\omega$	$1-3\omega$	$4+3\omega$	$9+2\omega$	$7-2\omega$	$2+3\omega$	$1+3\omega$		$7+4\omega$	$3-4\omega$		
	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 13$	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	

h) $k=Q(\sqrt{69})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{69})/2$, fundamental unit= $(25+3\sqrt{69})/2=4+3\omega$

	2	3	5		7	11		13		17		19	23
		$4-\omega$	$3-\omega$	$4+\omega$		$2-\omega$	$3+\omega$	$5-\omega$	$6+\omega$	ω	$1+\omega$		$10-3\omega$
$g_k(p)$	1	1	1	1	$2 \cdot 3$	1	1	2	2	1	1	$2 \cdot 3^2$	11

29	31	37	41	43	47	53		59	61	67
	$11+2\omega$	$9-2\omega$				$5+2\omega$	$3-2\omega$			
$2^2 \cdot 7$	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 3^2$	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$2^2 \cdot 23$	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 29$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$

i) $k=Q(\sqrt{77})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{77})/2$, fundamental unit= $(9+\sqrt{77})/2=5+\omega$

	2	3	5	7	11	13		17		19		23	
				$3-\omega$	$5-\omega$	$2-\omega$	$3+\omega$	$1-\omega$	$2+\omega$	ω	$1+\omega$	$6-\omega$	$7+\omega$
$g_k(p)$	1	2	2^2	3	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

29	31	37		41		43	47	53		59	
		$7-\omega$	$8+\omega$	$7+2\omega$	$5-2\omega$			$8-\omega$	$9+\omega$		
$2^2 \cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	2	2	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$2^2 \cdot 23$	2	2	$2 \cdot 29$	

j) $k=Q(\sqrt{93})$, $\omega=(-1+\sqrt{93})/2$, fundamental unit= $(29+3\sqrt{93})/2=16+3\omega$

	2	3	5	7		11		13	17		19	
		$4-\omega$		$5-\omega$	$6+\omega$	$3-\omega$	$4+\omega$		$2-\omega$	$3+\omega$	$6-\omega$	$7+\omega$
$g_k(p)$	1	1	2^2	1	1	1	1	$2^2 \cdot 3$	1	1	1	1

	23		29		31	37	41	43	47		53		59	61
ω	$1+\omega$	$9+2\omega$	$7-2\omega$	$14-3\omega$							$14+3\omega$	$11-3\omega$		
1	1	7	7	3·5	$2^2 \cdot 3^2$	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 23$	1	1	1	1	1	2·29	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$

§ 5. Construction of genus field.

For a finite abelian group G , we denote by $|G|$ the order of G , $ex(G)$ the exponent of G , that is, the smallest positive integer which annihilates G . For integer m and n , $n|m^\infty$ means that $n|m^t$ for sufficiently large t .

THEOREM 8. Let k be a finite algebraic number field of class number one, G any finite abelian group, and m a positive integer such that $ex(G)|m$ and $m||G|^\infty$. Then there exist infinitely many cyclic extensions F of k of degree m such that

$$C_F/C_F^{-\sigma} \cong G(F^*/F) \cong G$$

where C_F is the ideal class group of F on which $G(F/k)$ acts in usual way and σ is one of the generators of cyclic group $G(F/k)$.

To prove this theorem, we use the following lemma proved in [7]. For a rational prime number l and a positive integer n , we put

$$k(l, n) = k(\zeta_l, \epsilon_1^{1/l-n}, \dots, \epsilon_r^{1/l-n})$$

where l^δ is the number of l -power roots of unity in k , ζ_l is a primitive $l^{\delta+n}$ -th root of unity, and $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$ is the fundamental units of k . Then we have

LEMMA 9. Let k be a finite algebraic number field. For a rational prime number l such that $l \nmid h_k$, a positive integer n , and a finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k , the following three conditions are equivalent;

- 1) Let S be the ray class field modulo \mathfrak{p} of k . Then there exists an intermediate field L of S/k such that $(L:k) = l^n$.
- 2) l^n divides $\phi(\mathfrak{p})/(U_k:U_k(\mathfrak{p}))$.
- 3) $\mathfrak{p} \nmid l$ and \mathfrak{p} splits completely in $k(l, n)$.

When these three equivalent conditions are fulfilled, L is a cyclic extension of k and \mathfrak{p} is totally ramified in L . Therefore the intermediate field of 1) is unique.

Proof of Theorem 8. Suppose first that G is l -primary for a rational prime number l . Then we have

$$G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_t, \quad m = l^{e_{t+1}}$$

where G_j is cyclic group of order l^{e_j} and $1 < e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_t \leq e_{t+1}$. By Lemma 9,

there exist distinct finite primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$ of k such that l^{e_j} divides $\phi(\mathfrak{p}_j)/(U_k : U_k(\mathfrak{p}_j))$. Let S_j be the ray class field modulo \mathfrak{p}_j of k , L_j the intermediate field of S_j/k such that $(L_j : k) = l^{e_j}$, and σ_j a generator of cyclic group $G(L_j/k)$. Put $K = \prod_{j=1}^{t+1} L_j$ composite field, then we have

$$G(K/k) = G(L_1/k) \times \cdots \times G(L_{t+1}/k).$$

Let H be the subgroup of $G(K/k)$ generated by $\{\sigma_j \sigma_{t+1}^{-e_j} : (1 \leq j \leq t)\}$, and F the fixed field of H (the construction of F is due to [7]). Then $G(F/k) = G(K/k)/H$ is a cyclic group of order m whose generator is $\sigma_{t+1}H$, and σ_j generates the inertia group of \mathfrak{p}_j in K/k , for $1 \leq j \leq t+1$. Therefore K is unramified over F , and hence $K \subset F^*$. Since $h_k = 1$, we have $(K : k) \geq (F^* : k)$ by the genus number formula, and hence $K = F^*$. Then we have

$$G(F^*/F) = H \cong G_1 \times \cdots \times G_t = G.$$

For general G , we have

$$G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_s, \quad m = q_1 \cdots q_s$$

where G_j is the l_j -primary part of G for a rational prime number l_j , and q_j is a power of l_j . Then there exists a cyclic extension F_j of k of degree q_j such that

$$G(F_j^*/F_j) \cong G_j.$$

Let $F = \prod_{j=1}^s F_j$ composite field. Then, by Lemma 6, we have $F^* = \prod_{j=1}^s F_j^*$ composite field. By the genus number formula, $\{(F_j^* : k) : (1 \leq j \leq s)\}$ are mutually prime, therefore we have

$$G(F^*/F) \cong G(F_1^*/F_1) \times \cdots \times G(F_s^*/F_s) \cong G_1 \times \cdots \times G_s = G.$$

The infinity of F is follows from the way of construction of F and from Lemma 9. The fact that the Artin mapping gives the isomorphism $C_F/C_F^{1-\sigma} \cong G(F^*/F)$ is proved in [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BHASKARAN, Construction of genus field and some applications. J. Number Theory 11 (1979), 488-497.
- [2] Y. FURUTA, The genus field and genus number in algebraic number field. Nagoya Math. J. 29 (1967), 281-285.
- [3] R. GOLD and L. MADAN, Some applications of Abhyanker's lemma. Math. Nachr. 82 (1978), 115-119.
- [4] M. ISHIDA, Some unramified abelian extensions of algebraic number field. J. Reine Angew. Math. 268/269 (1974), 165-173.
- [5] M. ISHIDA, The genus fields of algebraic number fields. Springer Lecture Note 555 (1976).

- [6] M. ISHIDA, On the genus fields of pure number fields. Tokyo J. Math. Vol. **3** No. 1 (1980), 163-171.
- [7] O. YAHAGI, Construction of number fields with prescribed l -class group. Tokyo J. Math. Vol. 1 No. 2 (1978), 275-283.
- [8] H. YOKOI, On the class number of relatively cyclic number field. Nagoya Math. J. **29** (1967), 31-44.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY