

## AN EXTREMAL PROBLEM ON THE CLASSICAL CARTAN DOMAINS, II

BY YOSHIHISA KUBOTA

1. Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $C^n$  and denote by  $\mathcal{F}(D)$  the family of holomorphic mappings from  $D$  into the unit hyperball  $B_n$  in  $C^n$ . For a mapping  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}(D)$  we denote by  $(\partial f/\partial z)$  the Jacobian matrix of  $f$ :

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial z_n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = (f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

In [3] we were concerned with the problem of maximizing  $|\det(\partial f/\partial z)_{z=z_0}|$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ , where  $z_0$  is a fixed point in  $D$ , and we found the precise value

$$M(0, D) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0} \right|$$

for classical Cartan domains. In this paper we shall find the value  $M(0, D)$  for products of classical Cartan domains.

By a classical Cartan domain we understand a domain of one of the following four types:

$$R_I(r, s) = \{Z = (z_{ij}) : I - Z\bar{Z}' > 0, \text{ where } Z \text{ is an } r \times s \text{ matrix}\}, \quad (r \leq s),$$

$$R_{II}(p) = \{Z = (z_{ij}) : I - Z\bar{Z}' > 0, \text{ where } Z \text{ is a symmetric} \\ \text{matrix of order } p\},$$

$$R_{III}(q) = \{Z = (z_{ij}) : I - Z\bar{Z}' > 0, \text{ where } Z \text{ is a skew-symmetric} \\ \text{matrix of order } q\},$$

$$R_{IV}(m) = \{z = (z_{11}, \dots, z_{1m}) : 1 + |zz'|^2 - 2z\bar{z}' > 0, 1 - |zz'| > 0\}.$$

Instead of  $R_{II}(p)$  we consider the following modified domain:

$$\hat{R}_{II}(p) = \{Z = (z_{ij}) : z_{ij} = \sqrt{2} x_{ij} \ (i \neq j), z_{ii} = x_{ii}, \\ \text{where } X = (x_{ij}) \in R_{II}(p)\}.$$

---

Received November 19, 1980

Let  $D$  be a classical Cartan domain. We set

$$n_D = \begin{cases} rs & , \quad \text{if } D=R_I(r, s), \\ \frac{p(p+1)}{2} & , \quad \text{if } D=\hat{R}_{II}(p), \\ \frac{q(q-1)}{2} & , \quad \text{if } D=R_{III}(q), \\ m & , \quad \text{if } D=R_{IV}(m), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_D = \begin{cases} \sqrt{s} & , \quad \text{if } D=R_I(r, s), \\ \sqrt{\frac{p+1}{2}} & , \quad \text{if } D=\hat{R}_{II}(p), \\ \sqrt{q-1} & , \quad \text{if } D=R_{III}(q) \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ \sqrt{q} & , \quad \text{if } D=R_{III}(q) \text{ and } q \text{ is odd,} \\ \sqrt{m} & , \quad \text{if } D=R_{IV}(m). \end{cases}$$

Further we denote by  $\lambda D$  the set  $\{\lambda z : z \in D\}$ .

We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If  $D_1, \dots, D_N$  are classical Cartan domains and  $D = \lambda_{D_1} D_1 \times \dots \times \lambda_{D_N} D_N$ , then*

$$M(0, D) = n^{-n/2},$$

where  $n = n_{D_1} + \dots + n_{D_N}$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem.**

We represent the points  $z$  in  $D$  in the form of vectors in  $C^n$

$$z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(N)}), \quad z^{(\nu)} \in \lambda_{D_\nu} D_\nu \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, N),$$

where

$$z^{(\nu)} = \begin{cases} (z_{11}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{1s}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{r1}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{rs}^{(\nu)}) & , \quad \text{if } D_\nu = R_I(r, s), \\ (z_{11}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{1p}^{(\nu)}, z_{22}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{2p}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{pp}^{(\nu)}) & , \quad \text{if } D_\nu = \hat{R}_{II}(p), \\ (z_{12}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{1q}^{(\nu)}, z_{23}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{2q}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{q-1, q}^{(\nu)}) & , \quad \text{if } D_\nu = R_{III}(q), \\ (z_{11}^{(\nu)}, \dots, z_{1m}^{(\nu)}) & , \quad \text{if } D_\nu = R_{IV}(m). \end{cases}$$

Let  $f$  be a mapping in  $\mathcal{F}(D)$ . We set

$$f = (f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(N)}),$$

where

$$f^{(\mu)} = \begin{cases} (f_{11}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{1s}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{r1}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{rs}^{(\mu)}) & , \text{ if } D_\mu = R_I(r, s), \\ (f_{11}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{1p}^{(\mu)}, f_{22}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{2p}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{pp}^{(\mu)}) & , \text{ if } D_\mu = \hat{R}_\Pi(p), \\ (f_{12}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{1q}^{(\mu)}, f_{23}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{2q}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{q-1q}^{(\mu)}) & , \text{ if } D_\mu = R_{III}(q), \\ (f_{11}^{(\mu)}, \dots, f_{1m}^{(\mu)}) & , \text{ if } D_\mu = R_{IV}(m). \end{cases}$$

As we noted in [3], we may assume that  $f(0)=0$ . Then  $f_{kl}^{(\mu)}$  has the expansion

$$f_{kl}^{(\mu)}(z) = \sum_{\nu, i, j} a_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\mu; k, l) z_{ij}^{(\nu)} + (\text{higher powers})$$

in a neighborhood of the origin  $z=0$ . Further we may assume that  $(\partial f / \partial z)_{z=0}$  is a triangular matrix of order  $n$ , namely,  $a_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\mu; k, l) = 0$ , if  $\nu < \mu$ , if  $\nu = \mu$  and  $i < k$ , or if  $\nu = \mu$ ,  $i = k$  and  $j < l$ . Hence we have

$$\left| \det \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0} \right| = \prod_{\nu, i, j} |a_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\nu; i, j)|.$$

In the following we prove the inequality

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\nu, i, j} |a_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\nu; i, j)| \leq \sqrt{\bar{n}}.$$

Firstly we consider the modified mapping  $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{f}^{(N)})$  which is defined in the following manner:

If  $D_\nu = R_I(r, s)$ , then we define  $\tilde{f}^{(\nu)} = f^{(\nu)}$ .

If  $D_\nu = \hat{R}_\Pi(p)$ , then we define  $\tilde{f}^{(\nu)} = (\tilde{f}_{11}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{1p}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{p1}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{pp}^{(\nu)})$ ,

where

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}^{(\nu)} = \tilde{f}_{ji}^{(\nu)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{ij}^{(\nu)} \quad (i < j), \quad \tilde{f}_{ii}^{(\nu)} = f_{ii}^{(\nu)}.$$

If  $D_\nu = R_{III}(q)$ , then we define  $\tilde{f}^{(\nu)} = (\tilde{f}_{11}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{1q}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{q1}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \tilde{f}_{qq}^{(\nu)})$ ,

where

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}^{(\nu)} = \tilde{f}_{ji}^{(\nu)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{ij}^{(\nu)} \quad (i < j), \quad \tilde{f}_{ii}^{(\nu)} = 0.$$

If  $D_\nu = R_{IV}(m)$ , then we define  $\tilde{f}^{(\nu)} = f^{(\nu)}$ .

The mapping  $\tilde{f}$  maps  $D$  into the unit hyperball  $B_{\tilde{n}}$  in  $C^{\tilde{n}}$ , where  $\tilde{n} = \tilde{n}_{D_1} + \dots + \tilde{n}_{D_N}$ ,

$$\tilde{n}_{D_\nu} = \begin{cases} rs, & \text{if } D_\nu = R_I(r, s), \\ p^2, & \text{if } D_\nu = \hat{R}_\Pi(p), \\ q^2, & \text{if } D_\nu = R_{III}(q), \\ m, & \text{if } D_\nu = R_{IV}(m). \end{cases}$$

Next we consider mappings  $\sigma_\nu$  defined as follows:

If  $D_\nu=R_I(r, s)$ ,  $\sigma_\nu$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  into  $\{1, \dots, s\}$ .

If  $D_\nu=\hat{R}_{II}(p)$  and  $p$  is even,  $\sigma_\nu$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  onto itself such that

$$\sigma_\nu(i) \neq i, \quad \sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i \quad (i=1, \dots, p),$$

or

$$\sigma_\nu(i) = i \quad (i=1, \dots, p).$$

If  $D_\nu=\hat{R}_{II}(p)$  and  $p$  is odd,  $\sigma_\nu$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  onto itself such that

$$\sigma_\nu(i_0) = i_0; \quad \sigma_\nu(i) \neq i, \quad \sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i \quad (i \neq i_0, 1 \leq i \leq p)$$

for some  $i_0$ , or

$$\sigma_\nu(i) = i \quad (i=1, \dots, p).$$

If  $D_\nu=R_{III}(q)$  and  $q$  is even,  $\sigma_\nu$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\{1, \dots, q\}$  onto itself such that

$$\sigma_\nu(i) \neq i, \quad \sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i \quad (i=1, \dots, q).$$

If  $D_\nu=R_{III}(q)$  and  $q$  is odd,  $\sigma_\nu$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\{1, \dots, q\}$  onto itself such that

$$\sigma_\nu(i_0) = i_0; \quad \sigma_\nu(i) \neq i, \quad \sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i \quad (i \neq i_0, 1 \leq i \leq q)$$

for some  $i_0$ .

If  $D_\nu=R_{IV}(m)$ ,  $\sigma_\nu$  is a mapping from  $\{1\}$  into  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Further we consider a unitary matrix  $V=(v_{\alpha\beta})$  of order  $\tilde{n}$  such that  $v_{1\beta} = \rho_\mu \varepsilon_k^{(\mu)}$  for  $\beta = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} \tilde{n}_{D_\nu} + (k-1)\eta_\mu + \sigma_\mu(k)$  and otherwise  $v_{1\beta} = 0$ , where  $\varepsilon_k^{(\mu)} = 0$ , if  $D_\mu=R_{III}(q)$  ( $q$  is odd) and  $\sigma_\mu(k) = k$ , and otherwise  $|\varepsilon_k^{(\mu)}| = 1$ , and  $\rho_\mu, \eta_\mu$  are numbers defined as follows:

$$\rho_\mu = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{s}{n}}, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_I(r, s), \\ \sqrt{\frac{p+1}{2n}}, & \text{if } D_\mu = \hat{R}_{II}(p), \\ \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{2n}}, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_{III}(q) \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ \sqrt{\frac{q}{2n}}, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_{III}(q) \text{ and } q \text{ is odd,} \\ \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_{IV}(m), \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_\mu = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_I(r, s), \\ p, & \text{if } D_\mu = \hat{R}_{II}(p), \\ q, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_{III}(q), \\ m, & \text{if } D_\mu = R_{IV}(m). \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\phi$  the automorphism of  $B_{\tilde{n}}$  defined by  $\phi(w)=wV'$ ,  $w \in C^{\tilde{n}}$ . The mapping

$$g = \phi \circ \tilde{f} = (g_1, \dots, g_{\tilde{n}})$$

maps  $D$  into  $B_{\tilde{n}}$ . Since

$$g_1 = \sum_{\mu, k} \rho_{\mu} \varepsilon_k^{(\mu)} \tilde{f}_k^{(\mu)} \sigma_{\mu(k)},$$

we have the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= \sum_{\nu, i, j} b_{ij}^{(\nu)} z_{ij}^{(\nu)} + (\text{higher powers}), \\ (2) \quad b_{ij}^{(\nu)} &= \sum_{\mu, k} \rho_{\mu} \varepsilon_k^{(\mu)} \tilde{a}_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\mu; k, \sigma_{\mu}(k)) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \rho_{\mu} \{ \sum_k \varepsilon_k^{(\mu)} \tilde{a}_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\mu; k, \sigma_{\mu}(k)) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_{kl}^{(\mu)}(z) = \sum_{\nu, i, j} \tilde{a}_{ij}^{(\nu)}(\mu; k, l) z_{ij}^{(\nu)} + (\text{higher powers}).$$

Let  $\zeta$  be a complex number with  $|\zeta| < 1$ . We take a point  $z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(N)})$  in  $C^n$  such that  $z_{ij}^{(\nu)} = \delta_i^{(\nu)} \tau(D_{\nu}, i) \zeta$  for  $j = \sigma_{\nu}(i)$  and otherwise  $z_{ij}^{(\nu)} = 0$ , where  $|\delta_i^{(\nu)}| = 1$  and  $\tau(D_{\nu}, i) < \sqrt{2} \lambda_{D_{\nu}}$ , if  $D_{\nu} = \hat{R}_{\Pi}(p)$  and  $i < \sigma_{\nu}(i)$ , and otherwise  $\tau(D_{\nu}, i) = \lambda_{D_{\nu}}$ . Here we note that we consider only  $i$  such that  $i \leq \sigma_{\nu}(i)$  in the case  $D_{\nu} = \hat{R}_{\Pi}(p)$  and  $i < \sigma_{\nu}(i)$  in the case  $D_{\nu} = R_{\text{III}}(q)$ . Obviously  $z$  belongs to  $D$ . Hence the function

$$h(\zeta) = g_1(z) = \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_i b_{i, \sigma_{\nu}(i)}^{(\nu)} \delta_i^{(\nu)} \tau(D_{\nu}, i) \right\} \zeta + (\text{higher powers})$$

is holomorphic in  $|\zeta| < 1$  and satisfies the conditions  $|h(\zeta)| < 1$ ,  $h(0) = 0$ . Therefore, by Schwarz lemma we have

$$(3) \quad \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_i b_{i, \sigma_{\nu}(i)}^{(\nu)} \delta_i^{(\nu)} \tau(D_{\nu}, i) \right| \leq 1.$$

Since  $\varepsilon_k^{(\mu)}$  and  $\delta_i^{(\nu)}$  are arbitrary, we obtain, by (2) and (3),

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^N A_{\nu} \leq \sqrt{n},$$

where  $A_{\nu}$  is the number determined as follows:

If  $D_{\nu} = R_{\text{I}}(r, s)$ , then

$$A_{\nu} = s \sum_{i=1}^r |a_i^{(\nu)} \sigma_{\nu(i)}(\nu; i, \sigma_{\nu}(i))|.$$

If  $D_{\nu} = \hat{R}_{\Pi}(p)$  and  $\sigma_{\nu}(i) = i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ), then

$$A_{\nu} = \frac{p+1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p |a_{ii}^{(\nu)}(\nu; i, i)|.$$

If  $D_\nu = \hat{R}_{II}(p)$  and  $p$  is even and if  $\sigma_\nu(i) \neq i$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ), then

$$A_\nu = (p+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^t |a_{i_\alpha}^{(\nu)} \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)(\nu; i_\alpha, \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha))|,$$

where  $p = 2t$  and  $1 = i_1 < \dots < i_t < p$ ,  $i_\alpha < \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, t$ ).

If  $D_\nu = \hat{R}_{II}(p)$  and  $p$  is odd and if  $\sigma_\nu(i_0) = i_0$ ;  $\sigma_\nu(i) \neq i$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i$  ( $i \neq i_0, 1 \leq i \leq p$ ), then

$$A_\nu = \frac{p+1}{2} |a_{i_0}^{(\nu)} i_0(\nu; i_0, i_0)| + (p+1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^t |a_{i_\alpha}^{(\nu)} \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)(\nu; i_\alpha, \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha))|,$$

where  $p = 2t + 1$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t < p$ ,  $i_\alpha < \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, t$ ).

If  $D_\nu = R_{III}(q)$  and  $q$  is even and if  $\sigma_\nu(i) \neq i$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ), then

$$A_\nu = (q-1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^t |a_{i_\alpha}^{(\nu)} \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)(\nu; i_\alpha, \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha))|,$$

where  $q = 2t$  and  $1 = i_1 < \dots < i_t < q$ ,  $i_\alpha < \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, t$ ).

If  $D_\nu = R_{III}(q)$  and  $q$  is odd and if  $\sigma_\nu(i_0) = i_0$ ;  $\sigma_\nu(i) \neq i$ ,  $\sigma_\nu \circ \sigma_\nu(i) = i$  ( $i \neq i_0, 1 \leq i \leq q$ ), then

$$A_\nu = q \sum_{\alpha=1}^t |a_{i_\alpha}^{(\nu)} \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)(\nu; i_\alpha, \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha))|,$$

where  $q = 2t + 1$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t < q$ ,  $i_\alpha < \sigma_\nu(i_\alpha)$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, t$ ).

If  $D_\nu = R_{IV}(m)$ , then

$$A_\nu = m |a_1^{(\nu)} \sigma_\nu(1)(\nu; 1, \sigma_\nu(1))|.$$

Now, from this inequality we can prove (1). Indeed, for instance, if  $D_1 = \hat{R}_{II}(p)$  and  $p$  is odd, by taking appropriate  $p$  mappings  $\sigma$  from  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  onto itself such that  $\sigma(i_0) = i_0$  for some  $i_0$  and  $\sigma(i) \neq i$ ,  $\sigma \circ \sigma(i) = i$  for the other  $i$ 's as  $\sigma_1$  in (4), we have

$$\frac{p+1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p |a_{ii}^{(1)}(1; i, i)| + (p+1) \sum_{i < j} |a_{ij}^{(1)}(1; i, j)| + p \sum_{\nu=2}^N A_\nu \leq p\sqrt{n}.$$

Further, by taking the identity mapping as  $\sigma_1$  in (4), we have

$$\frac{p+1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p |a_{ii}^{(1)}(1; i, i)| + \sum_{\nu=2}^N A_\nu \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Hence, from these two inequalities, we obtain

$$\sum_{i < j} |a_{ij}^{(1)}(1, i, j)| + \sum_{\nu=2}^N A_\nu \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

By a similar argument, in general, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \sum |a_{ij}^{(1)}(1; i, j)| + \sum_{\nu=2}^N A_\nu \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Similarly, from (5) we have the inequality

$$\sum |a_{ij}^{(1)}(1; i, j)| + \sum |a_{ij}^{(2)}(2; i, j)| + \sum_{\nu=3}^N A_{\nu} \leq \sqrt{n},$$

and so on we obtain (1). Immediately inequality (1) implies that

$$M(0, D) \leq n^{-n/2}.$$

On the other hand, we showed in [3] that

$$R_{\text{I}}(r, s) \subset \sqrt{r} B_k, \quad (k=rs); \quad \hat{R}_{\text{II}}(p) \subset \sqrt{p} B_k, \quad \left(k = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}\right);$$

$$R_{\text{III}}(q) \subset \sqrt{\left[\frac{q}{2}\right]} B_k, \quad \left(k = \frac{q(q-1)}{2}\right); \quad R_{\text{IV}}(m) \subset B_m.$$

Hence

$$D \subset \sqrt{n} B_n.$$

Thus we complete the proof of theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CARATHÉODORY, C., Über die Abbildungen, die durch Systeme von analytischen Funktionen von mehreren Veränderlichen erzeugt werden. *Math. Z.* **34** (1932), 758-792.
- [2] HUA, L.K., *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1963.
- [3] KUBOTA, Y., An extremal problem on the classical Cartan domains. *Kodai Math. J.* **4** (1980), 278-287.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY