ON FACTORIZATION OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

By Yoji Noda

1. Introduction. A meromorphic function F(z)=f(g(z)) is said to have f and g as left and right factors respectively, provided that f is meromorphic and g is entire (g) may be meromorphic when f is rational). F(z) is said to be prime (pseudo-prime, left-prime, right-prime) if every factorization of the above form into factors implies either f is linear or g is linear (either f is rational or g is a polynomial, f is linear whenever g is transcendental, g is linear whenever f is transcendental). When factors are restricted to entire functions, it is called to be a factorization in entire sense.

Gross [4] posed the following problem:

(A) Given any entire function f, does there exist a polynomial Q such that f+Q is prime?

Further, Gross-Yang-Osgood [6] posed the following problem:

(B) Given any entire function f, does there exist an entire function g such that fg is prime?

In this paper we shall give affirmative answers to the above two problems (Theorem 2 and Theorem 3). Further we shall show a similar result for periodic entire functions (Theorem 4). In each case it can be shown that almost all functions are prime.

According to [9], [10], we shall make use of the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} F(z)=c, \\ F'(z)=0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 and Theorem 5 are extensions of theorem 1 and theorem 2 in $\lceil 10 \rceil$.

2. In this section we shall state the following two theorems which are used in the proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

Theorem A (a modified version of theorem 2 in [9]). Let F(z) be a transcendental entire function satisfying N(r, 0, F') > km(r, F') on a set of r of infinite

Received May 6, 1980

measure for some k>0. Assume that the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} F(z)=c, \\ F'(z)=0 \end{cases}$$

have only finitely many common roots for any constant c. Then F(z) is left-prime in entire sense.

The proof is essentially the same as that of theorem 2 in [9], hence omitted. The following theorem is an extension of theorem 2 in [10].

Theorem 1. Let F(z) be a transcendental entire function with at least one simple zero satisfying

(2.1)
$$N(r, 0, F') - (N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F)) > kT(r, F'/F)$$

on a set of r of infinite measure for some k>0. Assume that the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} F(z)=c, \\ F'(z)=0 \end{cases}$$

have only finitely many common roots for any non-zero constant c. Then F(z) is left-prime in entire sense.

Proof. Let F(z) = f(g(z)).

- a) f and g are transcendental entire. We consider the following two cases.
- (1) There exists a complex number w_0 such that $f'(w_0)=0$ and $f(w_0)\neq 0$.
- (2) If p is a zero of f'(w), then f(p)=0.

Firstly we consider the case (1). By the assumption g(z) must be of the form

$$g(z) = w_0 + P(z)e^{G(z)}$$

where P(z) is a polynomial and G(z) a non-constant entire function. Further if x is a zero of f'(w) other than w_0 , then f(x)=0. Thus

$$N(r, 0, F') = N(r, 0, f' \circ g) + N(r, 0, g')$$

 $\leq (N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F)) + N(r, 0, g') + O(\log r).$

Therefore

$$(2.2) N(r, 0, F') - (N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F)) \le m(r, G') + O(\log r) \le O(m(r, G))$$

outside a set of r of finite measure. Let p be a zero of f(w). Then $p = w_0$. By the second fundamental theorem

(2.3)
$$(1-t)m(r, g) < \bar{N}(r, p, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, 0, F)$$

outside a set of r of finite measure, where t is an arbitrarily fixed number in (0, 1). By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3)

on a set of r of infinite measure. By Clunie's theorem [1] we have a contradiction.

Secondly we consider the case (2). In this case

$$N(r, 0, F') = N(r, 0, f' \circ g) + N(r, 0, g')$$

 $\leq N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F) + N(r, 0, g').$

Thus

(2.4)
$$N(r, 0, F') - (N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F)) \le O(m(r, g))$$

outside a set of r of finite measure. There are the following two subcases.

- (2, a) f(w) has infinitely many zeros $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
- (2, b) f(w) has at most finitely many zeros.

In the case (2, a), by the second fundamental theorem,

(2.5)
$$(1-t)M \cdot m(r, g) < \sum_{n=1}^{2M} \bar{N}(r, w_n, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, 0, F)$$

outside a set of r of finite measure, where t is an arbitrarily fixed number in (0, 1) and M an arbitrarily fixed positive integer. By (2.1) and (2.5)

$$(2.6) (1-t)kM \cdot m(r, g) < N(r, 0, F') - (N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F))$$

on a set of r of infinite measure. Since M can be taken arbitrarily large, from (2.4) and (2.6) we have a contradiction.

In the case (2, b) f(w) is of the form

$$(2.7) f(w) = P(w)e^{H(w)},$$

where P(w) is a non-constant polynomial and H(w) a non-constant entire function. Suppose that H(w) is transcendental entire. Since

$$F'(z)/F(z) = g'(z)(P'(g(z)) + P(g(z))H'(g(z)))/P(g(z))$$
 ,

$$(2.8) T(r, F'/F) \sim m(r, H' \circ g)$$

holds outside a set of r of finite measure. By (2.1), (2.4), (2.8) and Clunie's theorem [1], we have a contradiction. Thus H(w) must be a polynomial.

Since

$$f'(w) = (P'(w) + P(w)H'(w))e^{H(w)}$$
,

by (2) and (2.7) we see that any root x of

$$P'(w) + P(w)H'(w) = 0$$

satisfies

$$(2.9) P(x) = P'(x) = 0.$$

By (2.9) P(w) has at least one multiple zero. Let $\{a_i\}_i$ be the set of multiple zeros of P(w) and n_i the multiplicity at a_i . Put

$$Q(w) = (P'(w) + P(w)H'(w))/\prod_{i} (w - a_i)^{n_i - 1}$$
.

Then Q(w) is a polynomial satisfying $Q(a_i) \neq 0$ for every i. If x is a zero of Q(w), then

$$P'(x)+P(x)H'(x)=0$$
.

Thus by (2.9) $x=a_i$ for some i. This is a contradiction. Thus Q(w) is equal to a constant. Hence

$$\deg(P'+PH') = \sum_{i} (n_i-1)$$
.

On the other hand the left side is not less than $\deg(P)$. And $\deg(P) \ge \sum_{i} n_{i}$. Thus we have a contradiction. Therefore F(z) is pseudo-prime in entire sense.

b) f is a polynomial of degree d (≥ 2) and g is transcendental entire. We consider the same conditions (1) and (2) as in the case a). If the case (2) occurs, then it is easily seen that f(w) must be of the form

$$f(w) = A(w - B)^d$$
,

where A and B are constants. This is a contradiction, since F(z) has at least one simple zero. If the case (1) occurs, then using the same argument as in the case a) we have again a contradiction.

Theorem 1 is thus proved.

3. Problem (A).

Theorem 2. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function. Then the set

$$\{a \in C; f(z) + az \text{ is not prime}\}$$

is at most a countable set.

We shall first prove

LEMMA 1. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function. Then there is a countable set E of complex numbers such that the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} f(z) - az = c, \\ f'(z) - a = 0 \end{cases}$$

have at most one common root for any constant $c \in C$ provided that a is in $C \setminus E$.

Proof. Let us write

$$A = \mathbf{C} - \{ p \in \mathbf{C}; f''(p) = 0 \}.$$

We choose open sets $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of A satisfying the following conditions.

- $(1) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i = A.$
- (2) f'(z) is univalent in c_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$).
- (3) $\{f'(z); z \in c_i\}$ is a disk $(i=1, 2, \cdots)$.

Put

$$D_i = \{ f'(z) ; z \in c_i \}$$
 $(i=1, 2, \dots),$

$$(3.1) F(z)=f(z)-z\cdot f'(z),$$

(3.2)
$$u_i(w) = (f'|c_i)^{-1}(w) \quad (w \in D_i, i=1, 2, \cdots),$$

(3.3)
$$v_i(w) = F(u_i(w)) \quad (w \in D_i, i=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$I = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset, v_i(w) \not\equiv v_j(w) (w \in D_i \cap D_j)\},$$

(3.4)
$$S_{i,j} = \{ w \in D_i \cap D_j ; v_i(w) = v_j(w) \} \quad ((i, j) \in I),$$

$$(3.5) E_0 = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i \right) - \left(\{ f'(p) ; f''(p) = 0, p \in C \} \cup \left(\bigcup_{(i,j) \in I} S_{i,j} \right) \right).$$

Then $E=C\setminus E_0$ is a countable set.

Let $a \in E_0$. If

$$(3.6) v_i(a) = v_i(a)$$

for some i, j, then by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$v_i(w) \equiv v_i(w)$$
 $(w \in D_i \cap D_i)$.

Thus

$$v_i'(a)=v_i'(a)$$
.

By (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$v_k'(a) = -u_k(a)$$
 $(k=i, j)$.

Hence

(3.7)
$$u_i(a) = u_i(a)$$
.

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$v_k(a) = f(u_k(a)) - a \cdot u_k(a)$$
 $(k=i, i)$.

Thus from (3.6) and (3.7) we see that if

$$f(u_i(a)) - a \cdot u_i(a) = f(u_i(a)) - a \cdot u_i(a)$$

then

$$u_i(a) = u_i(a)$$
.

On the other hand, by (3.2) and (3.5), the set

$$\{u_k(a); a \in D_k, k=1, 2, \cdots\}$$

coincides with the set of distinct a-points $\{z_n\}_n$ of f'(z). Therefore if $z_n \neq z_m$, then $f(z_n) - az_n \neq f(z_m) - az_m$. Thus the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} f(z)-az=c, \\ f'(z)-a=0 \end{cases}$$

have at most one common root for any constant c. Lemma 1 is thus proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $t \in (0, 1/2)$. Then by Lemma 1 and the second fundamental theorem there is a countable set E_1 of complex numbers such that the conclusion of Lemma 1 holds with E replaced by E_1 and that

$$(3.8) N(r, a, f') > t \cdot m(r, f')$$

holds on a set of r of infinite measure for every a in $C \setminus E_1$. Hence by Theorem A f(z) - az is left-prime in entire sense for every a in $C \setminus E_1$.

We next show the right-primeness of f(z)-az in entire sense $(a \in C \setminus E_1)$. Let f(z)-az=g(P(z)), where g is transcendental entire and P is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$. Then f'(z)-a=g'(P(z))P'(z). From (3.8) g' has infinitely many zeros $\{w_n\}_n$. For sufficiently large n the equation $w_n=P(z)$ has d distinct roots, which are also common roots of the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} f(z)-az=g(w_n), \\ f'(z)-a=0. \end{cases}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus f(z)-az is prime in entire sense for every a in $C \setminus E_1$.

If for some constants a, b $(a \neq b)$ the functions f(z)-az and f(z)-bz are periodic with periods x and y respectively, then f'(z) has periods x and y. Hence x/y must be a real number. Thus f(z)-az and f(z)-bz are both bounded on the straight line $\{tx\;;\;t\in(-\infty,+\infty)\}$. This is impossible. Thus f(z)-az is not periodic for every a $(\in C)$ with at most one exception.

Therefore by Gross' theorem [3] we conclude that f(z)-az is prime for every a in $C \setminus E_1$ with at most one exception. Theorem 2 is thus proved.

4. Problem (B).

Theorem 3. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function. Then the set

$$\{a \in C ; f(z) \cdot (z-a) \text{ is not prime}\}$$

is at most a countable set.

We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function. Then there is a countable set E' of complex numbers such that the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} f(z) \cdot (z-a) = c, \\ \frac{d}{dz} (f(z) \cdot (z-a)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

have at most one common root for any non-zero constant $c \in C$ provided that a is in $C \setminus E'$.

Proof. Put

$$h(z)=z+(f(z)/f'(z))$$
,

 $A'=C-\{p\in C; p \text{ is a zero or a pole of } h'(z)\}.$

We choose open sets $\{c'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of A' satisfying the following conditions.

- $(1) \quad \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} c_i' = A'.$
- (2) h(z) is univalent in c'_i ($i=1, 2, \cdots$).
- (3) $\{h(z); z \in c_i'\}$ is a disk $(i=1, 2, \dots)$.

Put

$$D_i' = \{h(z); z \in c_i'\}$$
 $(i=1, 2, \dots),$

$$(4.1) H(z) = (z - h(z)) \cdot f(z),$$

(4.2)
$$x_{i}(w) = (h \mid c'_{i})^{-1}(w) \quad (w \in D'_{i}, i=1, 2, \cdots),$$

(4.3)
$$y_i(w) = H(x_i(w)) \quad (w \in D_i', i=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$I' = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; D_i' \cap D_j' \neq \emptyset, y_i(w) \not\equiv y_j(w) \ (w \in D_i' \cap D_j')\},$$

$$(4.4) S'_{i,j} = \{ w \in D'_i \cap D'_j; \ y_i(w) = y_j(w) \} ((i, j) \in I'),$$

$$(4.5) E_0' = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i' \right) - \left(\{ h(p) ; h'(p) = 0, p \in C \} \cup \left(\bigcup_{(i,j) \in I'} S_{i,j}' \right) \right)$$

$$\bigcup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ p \in D'_i ; f \circ x_i(p) = 0 \} \right) \right).$$

As in the case of Lemma 1 we can show the following four facts.

- 1) $E' = C \setminus E'_0$ is a countable set.
- 2) $y_k(w) = (x_k(w) w) \cdot f(x_k(w)) \quad (w \in D'_k)$.
- 3) If $y_i(a) = y_j(a)$ for some a in E'_0 , then $x_i(a) = x_j(a)$.
- 4) If a is in E_0' , then the set $\{x_k(a); a \in D_k', k=1, 2, \cdots\}$ contains the set $\{p \in C; \frac{d}{dz}(f(z)(z-a))|_{z=p}=0, f(p)(p-a)\neq 0\}$.
 - 1) and 2) are immediate consequences of (4.1)–(4.5).

Next we shall show 3). From (4.4) and (4.5) we deduce that $y_i(w) \equiv y_j(w)$ ($w \in D_i' \cap D_j'$). Thus $y_i'(a) = y_j'(a)$. Since H'(z) = -f(z)h'(z), from (4.2) and (4.3) we have

(4.6)
$$y'_k(a) = -f(x_k(a)) \quad (k=i, j).$$

From (4.5) we have $f(x_k(a)) \neq 0$ (k=i, j). Thus by 2) and (4.6) we obtain $x_i(a) = x_j(a)$. 3) is thus proved.

Finally, we shall show 4). If $\frac{d}{dz}(f(z)(z-a))|_{z=p}=f'(p)(p-a)+f(p)=0$ and $f(p)(p-a)\neq 0$ for some p in C, then $f'(p)\neq 0$. Thus a=p+(f(p)/f'(p))=h(p). Therefore by (4.5) we have $h'(p)\neq 0$. Thus $p\in c_k'$ for some k in N. Hence we have $p=x_k(a)$ and $a\in D_k'$. 4) is thus proved.

From 1), 2), 3) and 4) we have the desired result.

LEMMA A [6]. Let F(z) be a transcendental entire function. Then except for a countable set of $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the function $(z-a) \cdot F(z)$ has no factorization of form $(z-a) \cdot F(z) = g(P(z))$, where g is transcendental entire and P is a polynomial of degree at least two.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let us write

$$h(z) = z + (f(z)/f'(z))$$
,

$$F_a(z) = (z-a) \cdot f(z)$$
,

 $E_1'=\{p \; ; \; p \text{ is a zero of } f(z)\} \cup \{h(p) \; ; \; p \text{ is a zero of } h'(z)\}.$

Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E'_1$. Then $F_a(z)$ has at least one simple zero and

$$N(r, a, h) = \bar{N}(r, a, h) \le N(r, 0, F_a) - (N(r, 0, F_a) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F_a))$$
.

Let $t \in (0, 1/3)$. Then by the second fundamental theorem

holds on a set of r of infinite measure for every complex number a with at most two exceptions. Further we see that for some k (>0)

$$T(r, h) \sim kT(r, F'_{\alpha}/F_{\alpha})$$
.

By Theorem 1, Lemma 2, Lemma A and the above consideration we deduce that there is a countable set E'_2 of complex numbers such that $F_a(z)$ is prime in entire sense for every a in $C \setminus E'_2$.

It is easily seen that there is a countable set E_3' of complex numbers such that $F_a(z)$ is not periodic for every a in $\mathbb{C}\backslash E_3'$. Therefore by Gross' theorem [3] $F_a(z)$ is prime for every a in $\mathbb{C}\backslash (E_2'\cup E_3')$. Theorem 3 is thus proved.

5. In this section we shall prove.

THEOREM 4. Let h(w) be a one-valued regular function in $0 < |w| < \infty$, having essential singularities at w=0 and $w=\infty$. Let n be a non-zero integer. Then the set

$$\{a \in \mathbb{C} ; h(e^z) + ae^{nz} \text{ is not prime}\}$$

is at most a countable set.

By the same method as in the proof of Lemma 1 we can show

LEMMA 3. Let h(w) and n satisfy the assumption of Theorem 4. Then there is a countable set E'' of complex numbers such that any two common roots s, t of the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} h(w)+aw^n=c, \\ h'(w)+anw^{n-1}=0 \end{cases}$$

satisfy $s^n = t^n$ for any constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ provided that a is in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{E}''$.

Proof. Put

$$k(w) = -h'(w)/nw^{n-1}$$
, $A'' = C - \{0\} \cup \{p \in C - \{0\} ; k'(p) = 0\}$).

We choose open sets $\{c_i''\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of A'' satisfying the following conditions.

- $(1) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i'' = A''.$
- (2) k(w) is univalent in c_i'' ($i=1, 2, \cdots$).
- (3) $\{k(w); w \in c_i^n\}$ is a disk $(i=1, 2, \dots)$.

Put

$$K(w) = h(w) + w^{n} k(w), \quad D''_{i} = \{k(w); w \in c''_{i}\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$q_{i}(x) = (k \mid c'_{i})^{-1}(x) \qquad (x \in D''_{i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$r_{i}(x) = K(q_{i}(x)) \qquad (x \in D''_{i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$I'' = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; \ D''_{i} \cap D''_{j} \neq \emptyset, \ r_{i}(x) \not\equiv r_{j}(x) \ (x \in D''_{i} \cap D''_{j})\},$$

$$S''_{i,j} = \{x \in D''_{i} \cap D''_{j}; \ r_{i}(x) = r_{j}(x)\} \qquad ((i, j) \in I''),$$

$$E_0'' = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i''\right) - \left(\{k(p); k'(p) = 0, p \in C - \{0\}\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{(i,j) \in I'} S_{i,j}''\right)\right).$$

As in the case of Lemma 1 we can show the following four facts.

- 1) $E'' = C \setminus E''_0$ is a countable set.
- 2) $r_k(x) = h(q_k(x)) + q_k(x)^n x \quad (x \in D_k'').$
- 3) If $r_i(a)=r_j(a)$ for some a in E''_0 , then $q_i(a)^n=q_j(a)^n$.
- 4) If a is in E_0'' , then the set $\{q_k(a); a \in D_k'', k=1, 2, \cdots\}$ coincides with the set of roots of $h'(w) + anw^{n-1} = 0$.

From 1), 2), 3) and 4) we have the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 4. Put

$$H_a(z) = h(e^z) + ae^{nz}$$
.

Let $t \in (0, 1/2)$. Then Lemma 3 and the second fundamental theorem imply that there is a countable set E_0'' of complex numbers such that the conclusion of Lemma 3 holds with E'' replaced by E_0'' and that the inequalities

(5.1)
$$N(r, 0, H'_a) \ge tm(r, h'(e^z)),$$

$$(5.2) N(r, c, H_a) \ge tm(r, h(e^z))$$

hold on a set of r of infinite measure for any complex number c, provided that a is in $C \setminus E_0^r$.

In what follows we shall assume that a is in $C \setminus E_0''$ and prove that $H_a(z)$ is prime.

Let
$$H_a(z)=f(g(z))$$
.

a) f and g are transcendental entire. We shall make use of Kobayashi's theorem [7]. This idea is due to theorem 3 in [11]. Since $H'_a(z)=f'(g(z))g'(z)$, by (5.1) f'(w) has infinitely many zeros $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then any root of $g(z)=w_n$ is also a common root of the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases}
H_a(z) = f(w_n), \\
H'_a(z) = 0.
\end{cases}$$

Therefore, since $a \in E_0''$, all the roots of $g(z) = w_n$ lie on a straight line of the complex plane $(n=1, 2, \cdots)$. Thus by Kobayashi's theorem [7]

$$g(z) = P(e^{\Lambda z})$$

with a quadratic polynomial P(z) and a non-zero constant A. It is easily seen that A=n/N with an integer N. Thus

$$H_{\sigma}(z) = f(P(e^{nz/N}))$$
.

Put $w=e^{z/N}$. Then

$$h(w^{N}) + a w^{nN} = f(P(w^{n}))$$
.

The right side is regular at w=0 but the left side is not. This is a contradiction.

- b) f is transcendental meromorphic (not entire) and g is transcendental entire. This case can be treated by the same method as in the case a).
- c) f is transcendental entire and g is a polynomial of degree at least two. By Rényi's theorem [13] g is a quadratic polynomial. Put $g(z)=s(z-u)^2+v$ with constants s, u, v. Let $\{w_m\}_m$ be the zeros of f'(w) and let p_m and q_m be the two roots of $g(z)=w_m$. Then p_m and q_m are also common roots of the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} H_a(z)=f(w_m), \\ H'_a(z)=0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, since $a \notin E_0''$, $e^{np_m} = e^{nq_m}$. Thus Re $p_m = \text{Re } q_m = \text{Re } u$. Hence

$$N(r, 0, H'_a) = N(r, 0, f' \circ g) + N(r, 0, g')$$

= $O(r) + O(\log r) = o(m(r, h'(e^{z})))$.

This contradicts (5.1).

d) f is a polynomial of degree d (≥ 2) and g is transcendental entire. By Rényi's theorem [13] g is periodic. Put $g(z)=k(e^{Az})$, where k(w) is a regular function in $0<|w|<\infty$ and A a non-zero constant. Since 0 and ∞ are essential singularities of H_a , they are also essential singularities of k.

Let x be a zero of f'. Then by $a \notin E_0''$ k(w) = x has at most finitely many roots. Thus f' has exactly one zero, say x. Therefore $f'(w) = b(w-x)^{d-1}$, $f(w) = bd^{-1}(w-x)^d + c$ with constants $b \neq 0$, c. Thus $H_a(z) = bd^{-1}(g(z) - x)^d + c$. Hence

(5.3)
$$N(r, c, H_a) = dN(r, x, g)$$
.

Since k(w)=x has at most finitely many roots,

$$N(r, x, g) = O(r) = o(m(r, h(e^{z})))$$
.

This contradicts (5.2) and (5.3).

e) f is rational (not a polynomial) and g is transcendental entire. Then

(5.4)
$$f(w) = \frac{P(w)}{(w-w_0)^q} \qquad (P(w_0) \neq 0),$$

(5.5)
$$g(z) = w_0 + e^{G(z)},$$

where P is a polynomial, G a non-constant entire function and q a positive integer [8, proposition 2].

By the theorem in [5, p. 59], g is periodic. Put $g(z)=k(e^{Az})$, where k(w) is a regular function in $0<|w|<\infty$ and A a non-zero constant. Since 0 and ∞ are essential singularities of H_a , they are also essential singularities of k. Thus

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} m(r, g)/r = \infty.$$

If x is a zero of f', then $x \neq w_0$. Further, by $a \notin E_0''$, k(w) = x has at most finitely many roots. Thus

(5.7)
$$N(r, x, g) = O(r)$$
.

From (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and the second fundamental theorem, we have a contradiction. Thus f' has no zero.

From (5.4)

$$f'(w) = (P'(w)(w-w_0)-qP(w))/(w-w_0)^{q+1} = b/(w-w_0)^{q+1}$$

where b is a non-zero constant. Hence $f(w)=d(w-w_0)^{-q}+c$ with constants c, $d(\neq 0)$. Thus from (5.5) $H_a(z)=de^{-qG(z)}+c$. This contradicts (5.2).

f) f is rational (not a polynomial) and g is transcendental meromorphic (not entire). This case can be reduced to the case d) or the case e).

Theorem 4 is thus proved.

A remark should be mentioned here. Theorem 4 indicates that there are prime periodic entire functions of arbitrarily rapid growth.

6. In this section we shall give an extension of theorem 1 in [10].

Theorem 5. Let F(z) be a transcendental entire function of finite order and R an arbitrarily fixed positive number. Assume that the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} F(z)=c, \\ F'(z)=0 \end{cases}$$

have only finitely many common roots for any constant c satisfying |c| > R. Then F(z) is pseudo-prime.

Examples. The functions $\cos z$ and $P(Q(z)e^{S(z)})$, where P and S are non-constant polynomials and Q is a non-zero polynomial, satisfy the assumption of Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let F(z)=f(g(z)).

a) f and g are transcendental entire. By Pólya's theorem [12] f(z) is of order zero. Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the zeros of f'(z). Then by the assumption $|f(z_n)| \le R$ for every z_n with at most one exception. Hence there is a positive number A satisfying

(6.1)
$$|f(z_n)| < A \quad (n=1, 2, \cdots).$$

By Wiman's theorem and (6.1) we can see that $\{z \in C : |f(z)| \le A\}$ consists of infinitely many bounded components $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and that ∂D_i consists of one closed Jordan curve $(i=1, 2, \cdots)$. Let $E_r(r>0)$ be that component of $\{z \in C : |f(z)| \le M(r, f)\}$ which contains the circle |z| = r. Then, as in the case of D_i , ∂E_r consists of one closed Jordan curve for every r satisfying M(r, f) > A. Let $I(r) = \{i : D_i \subset E_r\}$ (M(r, f) > A).

For a subset X of the complex plane and an entire function h, we denote by n(X, h) the number of zeros (counting multiplicity at multiple zeros) of h in X. If M(r, f) > A, then

(6.2)
$$n(E_r, f) = \sum_{i \in I(r)} n(D_i, f).$$

On the other hand, if M(r, f) > A, then by the argument principle

(6.3)
$$n(E_r, f') = n(E_r, f) - 1$$
,

(6.4)
$$n(D_i, f') = n(D_i, f) - 1$$
 $(i=1, 2, \dots)$.

By (6.1) we have

(6.5)
$$n(E_r, f') = \sum_{i \in I(r)} n(D_i, f').$$

Since the number of the elements of I(r) tends to infinity as $r \rightarrow \infty$, from (6.2)-(6.5) we have a contradiction.

b) f is transcendental meromorphic (not entire) and g is transcendental entire. Then by proposition 2 in [8]

$$f(w) = \frac{f^*(w)}{(w - w_0)^m}, \quad f^*(w_0) \neq 0,$$

where f^* is transcendental entire and m a positive integer. By Edrei-Fuchs' theorem [2] f is of order zero. Then by the same argument as in the case a), we have a contradiction. The detail is omitted.

The following corollary is an extension of theorem 1 in [10].

COROLLARY 1. Let F(z) be a transcental entire function of finite order with at least one but at most finitely many simple zeros. Assume that the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{cases} F(z)=c, \\ F'(z)=0 \end{cases}$$

have only finitely many common roots for any non-zero constant c. Then F(z) is left-prime in entire sense.

Proof. By Theorem 5 F(z) is pseudo-prime. Let F(z)=P(g(z)), where g is transcendental entire and P is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$. We consider the following two cases.

- (1) There exists a complex number w_0 such that $P'(w_0)=0$ and $P(w_0)\neq 0$.
- (2) If x is a zero of P'(w), then P(x)=0.

Firstly we consider the case (1). By the assumption g(z) must be of the form

(6.6)
$$g(z) = w_0 + Q(z)e^{R(z)}$$
,

where Q(z) and R(z) are polynomials. By the assumption P(w) has a simple zero b. Then $b \neq w_0$. Thus from (6.6) and the second fundamental theorem we have

$$\Theta(b, g) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} (\bar{N}(r, b, g)/m(r, g)) = 0.$$

Thus g(z) has infinitely may simple b-points. Hence F(z)=P(g(z)) has infinitely many simple zeros. This is a contradiction.

Secondly we consider the case (2). In this case P(w) must be of the form $P(w)=a(w-b)^d$ with constants a, b. This is a contradiction, since F(z)=P(g(z)) has a simple zero.

Corollary 1 is thus proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] CLUNIE, J., The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintype (Ohio Univ. Press, 1970), 75-92.
- [2] EDREI, A. AND W.H.J. FUCHS, On the zeros of f(g(z)) where f and g are entire functions, J. Analyse Math., 12 (1964), 243-255.
- [3] Gross, F., Factorization of entire functions which are periodic mod g, Indian J. pure and applied Math., 2 (1971), 561-571.
- [4] GROSS, F., Factorization of meromorphic functions, U.S. Gov. Printing Office, 1972.
- [5] GROSS, F., Factorization of meromorphic functions and some open problems, Complex analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., 599, Springer (1977), 51-67.
- [6] GROSS, F., C.C. YANG AND C. OSGOOD, Primeable entire functions, Nagoya Math. J., 51 (1973), 123-130.
- [7] Ковауаsні, Т., On a characteristic property of the exponential function, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1977), 130-156.

- [8] Ozawa, M., Factorization of entire functions, Tôhoku Math. J., 27 (1975), 321-336.
- [9] Ozawa, M., On certain criteria for the left-primeness of entire functions, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 26 (1975), 304-317.
- [10] Ozawa, M., On certain criteria for the left-primeness of entire functions, II, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 27 (1976), 1-10.
- [11] Ozawa, M., On the existence of prime periodic entire functions, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1978), 308-321.
- [12] PÓLYA, G., On an integral function of an integral function, J. London Math. Soc., 1 (1926), 12-15.
- [13] RÉNYI, A. AND C. RÉNYI, Some remarks on periodic entire functions, J. Analyse Math., 14 (1965), 303-310.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY